

A NOTE ON INNER ACTIONS OF HOPF ALGEBRAS

STEFAN CAENEPEEL

(Communicated by Maurice Auslander)

ABSTRACT. Let H be a commutative, cocommutative, and faithfully projective Hopf algebra over a commutative ring R . A twisted version of inner action of a Hopf algebra, called H -inner action is introduced, and it is shown that H acts H -innerly on an H -Azumaya algebra, if $\text{Pic}(H^*)$ is trivial.

0. INTRODUCTION

Let H be a Hopf algebra over a commutative ring R , and suppose that H acts on an R -algebra A . Sweedler [19] introduced the notion of inner action of H on A : H is said to be acting innerly on A if there exists a convolution invertible $u \in \text{Hom}_R(H, A)$ such that for all $h \in H$, $a \in A$, $h \rightarrow a = \sum_{(h)} u(h_2)av(h_{(2)})$, where v is the convolution inverse of u . A natural question is the following: when is a Hopf algebra action inner? The case where R is a field has been studied by several authors, notably [6, 10, 13, 17]; a nice survey was recently published by Montgomery (cf. [16]). In the case of an arbitrary commutative ring, Beattie [4, 5] and Masuoka [15] recently obtained significant results.

Most cases studied in the literature reduce to the case where A is a central simple algebra, or more generally, an Azumaya algebra. Under certain conditions on R , H , and A , one obtains that the action of H on A is inner; in view of the form of the formula stated above, it is not surprising that these results are called "Skolem-Noether-like" theorems. In this note we will show that, at least in the case where H is cocommutative and faithfully projective, this type of result is not only "Skolem-Noether-like", but actually follows from the Skolem-Noether Theorem. We will apply the following trick: if H is cocommutative, then H^* is a commutative R -algebra, and $A \otimes H^*$ is an Azumaya algebra, with groundring H^* , on which we will apply the classical Skolem-Noether Theorem.

Although Proposition 2.7 is a special case of results obtained in [5, 15], we have decided to include full details of our new proof. Actually this method of proof allows us to study Hopf algebra actions on H -dimodule algebras. An

Received by the editors April 10, 1990 and, in revised form, September 11, 1990.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 16A24, 16A16.

Key words and phrases. Azumaya algebra, Hopf algebra, dimodule algebra.

H -dimodule algebra is an algebra furnished with a compatible H -module and H -comodule structure. For a definition and basic properties of H -modules, H -comodules, and H -dimodules, we refer to the literature (e.g. [1, 14, 20]). We will introduce a kind of “twisted” version of inner action, called H -inner action, and we will show that, if A is an H -Azumaya algebra in the sense of Long [14], and if $\text{Pic}(H^*) = 1$, then H acts H -innerly on A .

1. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Let R be a commutative ring, and H a faithfully projective Hopf algebra, that is, a Hopf algebra which is finitely generated, faithfully flat, and projective as an R -module. The structural maps of H will be denoted by Δ_H (the diagonal), ε_H (the counit), m_H (the multiplication), η_H (the unit), and S_H (the antipode). The subscript H will be omitted whenever no confusion is possible. We will use Sweedler’s Σ -notation extensively; for example, we will write, for $h \in H$: $\Delta h = \Sigma_{(h)} h_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)}$, $(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta h = (1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta h = \Sigma_{(h)} h_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)} \otimes h_{(3)}$, etc. For more details, cf. [20].

The group of grouplike elements of H will be denoted by $G(H)$. For the structural map $\psi: H \otimes M \rightarrow M$ of an H -module M , we will write $\psi(h \otimes m) = h \rightarrow m$, and for the structural map $\chi: M \rightarrow M \otimes H$ of an H -comodule, we will use Sweedler’s notation: $\chi(m) = \Sigma_{(m)} m_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)}$. Given two H -dimodule algebras A and B , we define the *smash* product $A \# B$ of A and B to be $A \otimes B$ as an H -dimodule, and with algebra structure defined by $(a \# b)(c \# d) = \Sigma_{(b)} a(b_{(1)} \rightarrow c) \# b_{(0)} d$.

The H -opposite algebra \bar{A} of an H -dimodule algebra A is equal to A as an H -dimodule, but with multiplication structure given by $\bar{a} \cdot \bar{b} = \overline{\Sigma_{(a)}(a_{(1)} \rightarrow b)a_{(0)}}$.

Suppose that H is a commutative, cocommutative, and faithfully projective Hopf algebra. Long [14] showed that the maps

$$F: A \# \bar{A} \rightarrow \text{End}_R(A) \quad \text{and} \quad G: \bar{A} \# A \rightarrow \text{End}_R(A)^{\text{opp}}$$

defined by

$$F(a \# \bar{b})(c) = \Sigma_{(b)} a(b_{(1)} \rightarrow c) b_{(0)} \quad \text{and} \quad G(\bar{a} \# b)(c) = \Sigma_{(c)} (c_{(1)} \rightarrow a) c_{(0)} b$$

are homomorphisms of H -dimodule algebras. If A is faithfully projective as an R -module, and if the maps F and G defined above are isomorphisms, then A is called an H -Azumaya algebra (cf. [2, 14] for more details on H -Azumaya algebras).

The main purpose of this note is to study Hopf algebra actions on H -Azumaya algebras. At first glance, one would expect that an H -Azumaya algebra is nothing else than an Azumaya algebra which is also an H -dimodule algebra; this is not always true, since an H -Azumaya algebra is not necessarily R -central (in fact, it is left and right H -central, and that is a weaker notion). These non-central H -Azumaya algebras are an interesting source of algebras on which a Hopf algebra does not act innerly. Nevertheless, H -Azumaya algebras satisfy properties that are similar to the classical properties of an Azumaya algebra.

For example, they may be classified into a Brauer group that is usually called the Brauer-Long group.

Let $\mathbf{PD}(R, H)$ be the category of invertible H -dimodules and H -dimodule homomorphisms; then the Grothendieck group of this category may be viewed as a dimodule version of the Picard group:

$$PD(R, H) = K_0\mathbf{PD}(R, H).$$

This invariant of R and H may be easily linked to $\text{Pic}(R)$, H and H^* :

1.1. **Proposition** [7, Proposition 1.6]. $PD(R, H) \cong \text{Pic}(R) \times G(H) \times G(H^*)$.

Proof. For details, we refer to [7, Proposition 1.6]. We restrict this paper to giving a description of the isomorphism $\alpha: \text{Pic}(R) \times G(H) \times G(H^*) \rightarrow PD(R, H)$. For $([I], h, h^*) \in \text{Pic}(R) \times G(H) \times G(H^*)$, we define $\alpha([I], h, h^*) = [I(h, h^*)]$, where $I(h, h^*)$ is equal to I as an R -module, and with dimodule structure defined by $\chi(x) = x \otimes h$ for all $x \in I$, and $k \rightarrow x = h^*(k)x$ for all $k \in H$, $x \in I$.

For an Azumaya algebra over a ring with trivial Picard group, we have the Skolem-Noether theorem, that is, every automorphism of A is inner. For an H -Azumaya algebra, this is not always true, and this is why we introduce the notions of H -inner and H -INNER automorphisms. As before, let H be a faithfully projective, commutative, and cocommutative Hopf algebra, and let A be an H -Azumaya algebra. Let $H\text{-Aut}(A)$ be the group of all H -dimodule R -algebra automorphisms of A . We call $f \in H\text{-Aut}(A)$ H -INNER, if there exists an invertible $x \in A$ such that

- (1) $f(a) = xax^{-1}$, for all $a \in A$;
- (2) $\chi(x) = x \otimes 1$;
- (3) $h \rightarrow x = \varepsilon(h)x$, for all $h \in H$.

The subgroup of $H\text{-Aut}(A)$ consisting of H -INNER automorphisms of A will be denoted by $H\text{-INN}(A)$. $f \in H\text{-Aut}(A)$ will be called H -inner if there exists an invertible $x \in A$, and $h^* \in G(H^*)$ such that for all $a \in A$: $f(a) = \sum_{(a)} h^*(a_{(1)})x a_{(0)}x^{-1}$. We have the following generalization of the Rosenberg-Zelinsky exact sequence:

1.2. **Proposition** (Dimodule version of the Rosenberg-Zelinsky sequence). *For an H -Azumaya algebra A , we have the following short exact sequence:*

$$1 \rightarrow H\text{-INN}(A) \rightarrow H\text{-Aut}(A) \xrightarrow{\Phi} PD(R, H)$$

where $\Phi(f) = I_f$, with

$$I_f = \{x \in A: \sum_{(a)} (a_{(1)} \rightarrow x)a_{(0)} = f(a)x, \text{ for all } a \in A\}.$$

Proof. We leave it as an exercise to the reader to modify the proof of the exactness of the classical Rosenberg-Zelinsky sequence, as presented for example in [12, IV.1.2]. Let us remark that instead of using the classical Morita equivalence, one has to use the H -dimodule version of the Morita equivalences as it is discussed by Beattie in [2, 3.6].

1.3. **Corollary (Generalized Skolem–Noether Theorem).** *If $\text{Pic}(R) = 1$, then every $f \in H\text{-Aut}(A)$ in H -inner.*

Proof. Since $[I_f] = 1$ in $\text{Pic}(R)$, $I_f = Rx$ for some invertible $x \in A$. Let $[I_f] = ([R], h, h^*)$ in $PD(R, H) \cong \text{Pic}(R) \times G(H) \times G(H^*)$ (cf. Proposition 1.3). Then $k \rightarrow x = h^*(k)x$ for all $k \in H$, and the result follows from the preceding theorem.

2. HOPF ALGEBRA ACTIONS ON H -AZUMAYA ALGEBRAS

2.1. **The Hopf algebra $\text{Hom}_R(H, K)$.** Let H, K be faithfully projective Hopf algebras, and suppose that H is cocommutative. \underline{H}^* will be H^* , viewed as a commutative R -algebra (that is, we forget the coalgebra structure of H^*).

Let $\mathcal{H} = \text{Hom}_R(H, K)$. An H^* -Hopf algebra structure may be defined on \mathcal{H} as follows: the multiplication is given by the convolution, and the unit map $\eta_{\mathcal{H}}: \underline{H}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is given by $\eta_{\mathcal{H}}(h^*) = \eta_K \circ h^*$. The diagonal map

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes_{\underline{H}^*} \mathcal{H} \cong \text{Hom}_R(H, K \otimes K)$$

is defined by

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}(\mu) = \Delta_K \circ \mu$$

for all $\mu \in \mathcal{H}$. The counit $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{H}}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \underline{H}^*$ is given by $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{H}}(\mu) = \varepsilon_K \circ \mu$, and the antipode $S_{\mathcal{H}}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by $S_{\mathcal{H}}(\mu) = S_K \circ \mu$.

2.2. **Lemma.** *We have an isomorphism of \underline{H}^* -Hopf algebras $\alpha: \underline{H}^* \otimes K \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ given by*

$$\alpha(h^* \otimes k)(h) = h^*(h)k,$$

for all $h^* \in \underline{H}^*$, $k \in K$, and $h \in H$.

Proof. Using the hom-tensor relations, we obtain that $\underline{H}^* \otimes K = \text{Hom}_R(H, R) \otimes K \cong \text{Hom}_R(H, K) = \mathcal{H}$ as R -modules, and the isomorphism is given by α . It is straightforward to check that α preserves the structure maps.

2.3. **Lemma.** $G(\mathcal{H}) = \{\mu \in \mathcal{H} : \mu \text{ is a coalgebra homomorphism}\}$.

Proof. μ is grouplike if and only if $\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}(\mu) = \mu \otimes \mu$ and $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{H}}(\mu) = \varepsilon_H$, the unit in \underline{H}^* . The latter condition is equivalent to $\varepsilon_K \circ \mu = \varepsilon_H$. We have seen above that

$$(\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}(\mu))(h) = \Delta_K(\mu(h)) = \sum_{\mu(h)} \mu(h)_{(0)} \otimes \mu(h)_{(1)}$$

$\mu \rightarrow \mu \otimes \mu$ may be viewed as a map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \text{Hom}_R(H, K) \cong \underline{H}^* \otimes K \rightarrow (\underline{H}^* \otimes K) \otimes_{\underline{H}^*} (\underline{H}^* \otimes K) \\ &\cong \underline{H}^* \otimes K \otimes K \cong \text{Hom}_R(H, K \otimes K). \end{aligned}$$

One may easily establish that $\mu \otimes \mu$ is given by $(\mu \otimes \mu)(h) = \sum_{\mu(h)} \mu(h)_{(0)} \otimes \mu(h)_{(1)}$, for all $h \in H$ and the result follows.

2.4. **Lemma.** $\mathcal{H}^\clubsuit = \text{Hom}_R(H, K)^\clubsuit \cong \text{Hom}_R(H, K^*)$, where \clubsuit means the dual with respect to the grounding \underline{H}^* .

Proof. The result follows quite easily:

$$\mathcal{H}^\clubsuit = \text{Hom}_R(H, K)^\clubsuit \cong (\underline{H}^* \otimes K)^\clubsuit \cong \underline{H}^* \otimes K^* \cong \text{Hom}_R(H, K^*).$$

For later use, we give an explicit description of the action of \mathcal{H}^\clubsuit on \mathcal{H} . The action of $\underline{H}^* \otimes K^*$ on $\underline{H}^* \otimes K$ is given by

$$\langle h^* \otimes k^*, g^* \otimes k \rangle = k^*(k)h^* * g^*,$$

for all $h^*, g^* \in H^*$, $k \in K$, $k^* \in K^*$. Translating this into an action of \mathcal{H}^\clubsuit on \mathcal{H} , we obtain, for $\mu^* \in \mathcal{H}^\clubsuit$, $\mu \in \mathcal{H}$: $\langle \mu^*, \mu \rangle \in \underline{H}^*$ is defined by

$$\langle \mu^*, \mu \rangle(h) = \sum_{(h)} \langle \mu^*(h_{(1)}), \mu(h_{(2)}) \rangle$$

for all $h \in H$.

Now, suppose that A is a K -module algebra; then $\mathcal{A} = \text{Hom}_R(H, A)$ is an \mathcal{H} -module algebra. The algebra structure on \mathcal{A} is given by the convolution, and the \mathcal{H} -action is given by

$$(\mu \rightarrow u)(h) = \sum_{(h)} \mu(h_{(1)}) \rightarrow u(h_{(2)})$$

for all $h \in H$, $\mu \in \mathcal{H}$, $u \in \mathcal{A}$.

The proof of the following lemma is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.2.

2.5. **Lemma.** $\underline{H}^* \otimes A \cong \mathcal{A}$ as \mathcal{H} -module algebras.

Next, suppose that $H = K$, and define $F, G: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by

$$F(f)(h) = \sum_{(h)} (h_{(1)} \rightarrow f(h_{(2)})) \quad \text{and} \quad G(f)(h) = \sum_{(h)} (S(h_{(1)}) \rightarrow f(h_{(2)})),$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $h \in H$.

2.6. **Lemma.** $F, G \in \mathcal{H}\text{-Aut}(\mathcal{A})$, and F and G are each other's algebra automorphism inverses.

Proof. First, let us show that F preserves the convolution. For all $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ and $h \in H$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} F(f * g)(h) &= \sum_{(h)} h_{(1)} \rightarrow (f(h_{(2)})g(h_{(3)})) \\ &= \sum_{(h)} (h_{(1)} \rightarrow f(h_{(2)}))(h_{(3)} \rightarrow g(h_{(4)})) \\ &= (F(f) * F(g))(h) \end{aligned}$$

If $h^* \in \underline{H}^*$, then we have for all $h \in H$:

$$\begin{aligned} F(h^*)(h) &= \sum_{(h)} h_{(1)} \rightarrow h^*(h_{(2)}) \\ &= \sum_{(h)} \varepsilon(h_{(1)})h^*(h_{(2)}) \\ &= h^*(h), \end{aligned}$$

so $F(h^*) = h^*$.

Finally, it is straightforward to show that $G \circ F = F \circ G = \text{Id}$.

We have an embedding $i: A \rightarrow \underline{H}^* \otimes A \cong \mathcal{A}$, given by $i(a)(h) = \varepsilon(h)a$ for all $a \in A$, $h \in H$. Similarly, we have an embedding $i: H \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$.

Also observe that $F(i(a))(h) = \sum_{(h)} h_{(1)} \rightarrow \varepsilon(h_{(2)})a = h \rightarrow a$ for all $h \in H$, $a \in A$.

As an application, let us give an elementary proof of the following (cf. [5, 15] for a different approach):

2.7. Proposition. *Let H be a cocommutative faithfully projective Hopf algebra, and suppose that $\text{Pic}(\underline{H}^*) = 1$. Then H acts innerly on any H -module Azumaya algebra A .*

Proof. Take $H = K$ in the above arguments. $\underline{H}^* \otimes A = \mathcal{A}$ is an \underline{H}^* -module Azumaya algebra, and therefore, the automorphism F defined above is inner, by the (classical!) Skolem–Noether Theorem. So there exists $u \in \text{Hom}_R(H, A)$, with convolution inverse v , say, such that $F(f) = u * f * v$, or $F(f)(h) = \sum_{(h)} u(h_{(1)})f(h_{(2)})v(h_{(3)})$ for all $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $h \in H$. Applying this formula to $f = i(a)$, we obtain:

$$h \rightarrow a = F(i(a))(h) = \sum_{(h)} u(h_{(1)})\varepsilon(h_{(2)})av(h_{(3)}) = \sum_{(h)} u(h_{(1)})av(h_{(2)}),$$

so H acts innerly on A .

The argument used in the proof of Proposition 2.7 may be generalized in order to study the action of a Hopf algebra H on an H -Azumaya algebra. From now on, suppose that $H = K$ is a commutative, cocommutative faithfully projective Hopf algebra. We already know that $\mathcal{A} = \text{Hom}_R(H, A)$ is an \mathcal{H} -module algebra. Now \mathcal{A} has the structure of an \mathcal{H} -dimodule algebra if we define $\chi_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}^*} \mathcal{H}$ by $\chi_{\mathcal{A}}(u) = \chi_A \circ u$. The isomorphism discussed in Lemma 2.5 is then an isomorphism of \mathcal{H} -dimodule algebras, and \mathcal{A} is an \mathcal{H} -Azumaya algebra.

2.8. Theorem. *Let A be an H -Azumaya algebra. If $\text{Pic}(H^*) = 1$, then H acts H -innerly on A , that is, there exists a convolution invertible $u \in \text{Hom}_R(H, A)$, with convolution inverse v , and $\mu^* \in \text{Hom}_{\text{bialg}}(H, H^*)$ such that for all $h \in H$, $a \in A$:*

$$h \rightarrow a = \sum_{(h), (a)} \mu^*(h_{(1)})(a_{(1)})u(h_{(2)})a_{(0)}v(h_{(3)}).$$

Proof. With notations as above, \mathcal{A} is an \mathcal{H} -Azumaya algebra. We apply Proposition 1.2 to the automorphism F . Then $I_F \cong \underline{H}^*(\mu, \mu^*)$ with $\mu \in G(\mathcal{H})$, $\mu^* \in G(\mathcal{H}^*)$. Let I_F be generated by $u \in \mathcal{A} = \text{Hom}_R(H, A)$. Then u is convolution invertible, and, for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{H}$, $\lambda \rightarrow u = \langle \mu^*, \lambda \rangle * u$ (cf. Proposition 1.1). For all $f \in \mathcal{A}$ we have that

$$F(f) * u = \sum_{(f)} (f_{(1)} \rightarrow u) * f_{(0)},$$

and therefore,

$$F(f) = \sum_{(f)} (f_{(1)} \rightarrow u) * f_{(0)} * v.$$

Let $f = i(a)$ with $a \in A$. Then for all $h \in H$,

$$\chi_{\mathcal{A}}(i(a))(h) = \chi_A(\varepsilon(h)(a)) = \sum_{(a)} \varepsilon(h)a_{(0)} \otimes a_{(1)},$$

so

$$\chi_{\mathcal{A}}(i(a)) = \sum_{(a)} i(a_{(0)}) \otimes i(a_{(1)}) \in \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\underline{H}^*} \mathcal{H}.$$

Now $i(a) \rightarrow u = \langle \mu^*, i(a) \rangle * u$, and for all $h \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mu^*, i(a) \rangle(h) &= \sum_{(h)} \mu^*(h_{(1)})(i(a)(h_{(2)})) \\ &= \sum_{(h)} \mu^*(h_{(1)})(\varepsilon(h_{(2)})a) \\ &= \mu^*(h)(a). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$F(i(a)) = \sum_{(a)} \mu^*(\cdot)(a_{(1)}) * u * i(a_{(0)}) * v,$$

and for all $h \in H$,

$$F(i(a))(h) = h \rightarrow a = \sum_{(a), (h)} \mu^*(h_{(1)})(a_{(1)})u(h_{(2)})a_{(0)}v(h_{(3)}).$$

It follows from Lemma 2.3 that μ^* is a coalgebra homomorphism, so the only thing that remains to be shown is that μ^* is an algebra homomorphism.

Let ρ be the composition of the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \rho: \text{Hom}_R(H \otimes H, H) &- \text{Aut}(\text{Hom}_R(H \otimes H, A)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\Phi} PD((\underline{H \otimes H})^*, \text{Hom}_R(H \otimes H, H)) \\ &\rightarrow G(\text{Hom}_R(H \otimes H, H^*)). \end{aligned}$$

Consider the following automorphisms F_0, F_1, F_2 of $\text{Hom}_R(H \otimes H, A)$:

$$\begin{aligned} F_0(f)(h \otimes k) &= \sum_{(k)} k_{(1)} \rightarrow f(h \otimes k_{(2)}), \\ F_1(f)(h \otimes k) &= \sum_{(h), (k)} h_{(1)}k_{(1)} \rightarrow f(h_{(2)} \otimes k_{(2)}), \\ F_2(f)(h \otimes k) &= \sum_{(h)} h_{(1)} \rightarrow f(h_{(2)} \otimes k). \end{aligned}$$

If $u \in I_F$, then $\varepsilon \otimes u \in I_{F_0}$, $u \circ m_H \in I_{F_1}$, $u \otimes \varepsilon \in I_{F_2}$, and therefore,

$$\rho(F_0) = \varepsilon \otimes \mu^*; \quad \rho(F_1) = \mu^* \circ m_H; \quad \rho(F_2) = \mu^* \otimes \varepsilon.$$

Now $F_2F_1^{-1}F_0$ is the identity, so

$$\rho(F_2)\rho(F_1)^{-1}\rho(F_0) = \varepsilon.$$

It follows that for all $h, k \in H$,

$$(\mu^* \circ m_H)(h \otimes k) = ((\varepsilon \otimes \mu^*) * (\mu^* \otimes \varepsilon))(h \otimes k),$$

and

$$\mu^*(hk) = \mu^*(h) * \mu^*(k)$$

2.9. Examples.

(2.9.1) Let G be a finite abelian group, and let $H = RG$. Then

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{bialg}}(H, H^*) \cong \text{Hom}(G(H), G(H^*)) = \text{Hom}(G, G^*),$$

and for an RG -Azumaya algebra A ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hom}_R(H, A) &\cong \mathrm{Map}(G, A) \\ \mathbb{G}_m(\mathrm{Hom}_R(H, A)) &\cong \mathrm{Map}(G, \mathbb{G}_m(A)).\end{aligned}$$

It is also clear that the convolution on $\mathrm{Hom}_R(H, A)$ corresponds to pointwise multiplication on $\mathrm{Map}(G, A)$. Suppose that $\mathrm{Pic}(R) = 1$; then $\mathrm{Pic}((RG)^*) = \mathrm{Pic}(GR)$ is the direct sum of $|G|$ copies of $\mathrm{Pic}(R)$, so $\mathrm{Pic}((GR)^*)$ is trivial. Theorem 2.8 implies that there exists $\mu^* \in \mathrm{Hom}(G, G^*)$ and for all $\sigma \in G$, $u_\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_m(A)$ such that for all homogeneous $a \in A$: $\sigma \cdot a = \mu^*(\sigma)(\alpha)u_\sigma a u_\sigma^{-1}$, where α is the grade of a ; this result has been exploited by the author and M. Beattie in [8].

(2.9.2) Let us give a more specific example: let $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ and $H = R[x]/(x^2 - \sqrt{2}x)$, with coalgebra structure defined by $\Delta x = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x - \sqrt{2}x \otimes x$, $\varepsilon x = 0$, and $S = \mathrm{Id}$. For a more detailed discussion of this Hopf algebra, we refer to [9, 11].

The map $f: H \rightarrow H^*$, defined by $f(1) = \varepsilon$ and $f(x) = y$, where $y(x) = -1$, is an isomorphism of Hopf algebras. Let A be equal to H as an R -algebra, and define an H -module and H -comodule structure on A by $\chi(h) = \Delta h$, for all $h \in H$, $x \rightarrow 1 = 0$, and $x \rightarrow x = \sqrt{2}x - 1$. Then A is an H -Azumaya algebra (cf. [7, Proposition 4.3]); a lengthy, but straightforward computation shows that the formula Theorem 2.8 holds if we take $u = v = \mathrm{Id}$ and $\mu^*: H \rightarrow H^*$ equal to the map f defined above.

REFERENCES

1. E. Abe, *Hopf algebras*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1977.
2. M. Beattie, *Brauer groups of H -module and H -dimodule algebras*, thesis, Queens Univ., Kingston, Ontario, 1976.
3. —, *Automorphisms of G -Azumaya algebras*, *Canad. J. Math.* **37** (1985), 1047–1058. Correction to *Automorphisms of G -Azumaya algebras*, preprint.
4. —, *Inner gradings and Galois extensions with normal basis*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **107** (1989), 881–886.
5. M. Beattie and K.-H. Ulbrich, *A Skolem-Noether theorem for Hopf algebra actions*, *Comm. Algebra* (to appear).
6. R. J. Blattner, M. Cohen, and S. Montgomery, *Crossed products and inner actions of Hopf Algebras*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **298** (1986), 671–711.
7. S. Caenepeel, *Computing the Brauer-Long group of a Hopf algebra I: the cohomological theory*, *Israel J. Algebra* (to appear).
8. S. Caenepeel and M. Beattie, *A cohomological approach to the Brauer-Long group and the groups of Galois extensions and strongly graded rings*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* (to appear).
9. L. N. Childs, *Representing classes in the Brauer group of quadratic number rings as smash products*, *Pacific J. Math.* **129** (1987).
10. M. Cohen, *Smash products, inner action and quotient rings*, *Pacific J. Math.* **125** (1986), 45–66.
11. S. Hurley, *Galois objects with normal bases for free Hopf algebras of prime degree*, *J. Algebra* **109** (1987), 292–318.

12. M. A. Knus and M. Ojanguren, *Théorie de la descente et algèbres d'Azumaya*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 389, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.
13. M. Koppinen, *A Skolem-Noether Theorem for Hopf algebra measurings*, preprint.
14. F. Long, *The Brauer group of dimodule algebras*, J. Algebra **30** (1974), 559–601.
15. A Masuoka, *Coalgebra actions on Azumaya algebras*, Tsukuba J. Math. (to appear).
16. S. Montgomery, *Inner actions of Hopf algebras*, Ring Theory, 1989, The Weizmann Science Press of Israel, Jerusalem, 1989, pp. 141–149.
17. J. Osterburg and D. Quinn, *A Skolem-Noether theorem for group graded rings*, J. Algebra **113** (1988), 483–490; Addendum to *A Skolem-Noether theorem for group graded rings*, J. Algebra **120** (1989), 414–415.
18. A. Rosenberg and D. Zelinsky, *Automorphisms of separable algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **11** (1961), 1107–1117.
19. M. E. Sweedler, *Cohomology of algebras over Hopf algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (1968), 205–239.
20. —, *Hopf algebras*, Benjamin, New York, 1969.

FACULTY OF APPLIED SCIENCES, FREE UNIVERSITY OF BRUSSELS, VUB, PLEINLAAN 2, B-1050 BRUSSELS, BELGIUM