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ABSTRACT. We improve the known results on eigenvalue distributions of weakly singular integral operators having (power) order of the singularity equal to half of the dimension of the underlying domain. Moreover we show that our results are the best possible.

INTRODUCTION

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$. For $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ or $\alpha = 0$ and $\gamma < -1$, let us consider the weakly singular kernel

$$K(x, y) = \frac{L(x, y)(1 + |\log ||x - y|||)^{\gamma}}{||x - y||^{N(1-\alpha)}}, \quad x, y \in \Omega,$$

where $L \in L_\infty(\Omega^2)$ and $|| \cdot ||$ stands for the Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{R}^N$. We denote by $T_K = T_{L, \alpha, \gamma}$ the integral operator defined by $K$, that is,

$$T_Kf(x) = T_{L, \alpha, \gamma}f(x) = \int_\Omega K(x, y)f(y)\,dy.$$

This operator class contains the integral operators originating as inverses of many differential operators.

The operator $T_K$ is compact in the Hilbert space $L_2(\Omega)$. Thus we can arrange the eigenvalues of $T_K$ in a sequence $(\lambda_n(T_K))$, where each eigenvalue is repeated as many times as it multiplicity indicates and they are in nonincreasing order of modulus,

$$|\lambda_1(T_K)| \geq |\lambda_2(T_K)| \geq \cdots \geq 0.$$

If $T_K$ has less than $n$ eigenvalues, then we put

$$\lambda_n(T_K) = \lambda_{n+1}(T_K) = \cdots = 0.$$
The compactness of $T_K$ implies that $(\lambda_n(T_K))$ converges to zero. The order of decay of the eigenvalues is a problem that has attracted the attention of a number of authors. Let us mention, for example, the papers by Kostometov [8], König, Retherford, and Tomczak-Jaegermann [7], König [5], Carl and Kühn [1], and Cobos and Kühn [2, 3]. It turned out that the eigenvalues $(\lambda_n(T_{L,\alpha,\gamma}))$ decay as $O(M_{\alpha,\gamma}(n))$ where

$$M_{\alpha,\gamma}(n) = \begin{cases} 
(\log n)^{\gamma+1} & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \gamma < -1, \\
n^{-\alpha}(\log n)^{\gamma} & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 1/2, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}, \\
n^{-1/2}(\log n)^{\gamma+1/2} & \text{if } \alpha = 1/2, \gamma > -1/2, \\
n^{-1/2}(\log \log n)^{1/2} & \text{if } \alpha = 1/2, \gamma = -1/2, 
\end{cases}$$

and

$$(\lambda_n(T_{L,\alpha,\gamma})) \in l_2 \text{ if } 1/2 < \alpha < 1, \gamma \in \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \alpha = 1/2, \gamma < -1/2.$$ 

Except for the case $\alpha = 1/2$ and $\gamma > -1/2$, all these estimates are the best possible. By this we mean that there exist weakly singular integral kernels $K = K_{L,\alpha,\gamma}$ of the type (*) such that

$$|\lambda_n(T_{L,\alpha,\gamma})| \approx M_{\alpha,\gamma}(n)$$

(that is, for such a kernel one also has $M_{\alpha,\gamma}(n) = O(|\lambda_n(T_{L,\alpha,\gamma})|)$). See [3, Theorem 5].

But if $\alpha = 1/2$ and $\gamma \geq -1/2$, the optimality of the estimate

$$|\lambda_n(T_{L,1/2,\gamma})| = O(M_{1/2,\gamma}(n)), \quad \gamma \geq -1/2,$$

is only known in a weaker sense. By means of random techniques one can show that there is a sequence of positive integers $(j(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ tending to $\infty$ with $n$ such that

$$\sup_{\|L\|_{\infty} \leq 1} |\lambda_{j(n)}(T_{L,1/2,\gamma})| \approx M_{1/2,\gamma}(j(n)).$$

See [5, 6, 3].

Hence there is still some room for improving (**).

In this paper we show that in fact

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} |\lambda_j(T_{L,1/2,\gamma})|^2 = \begin{cases} 
O(\log n) & \text{if } \gamma = -1/2 \\
O((\log n)^{2\gamma+1}) & \text{if } \gamma > -1/2 
\end{cases}$$

holds. This clearly improves (**). Since

$$|\lambda_n(T_{L,1/2,\gamma})| \leq n^{-1/2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\lambda_j(T_{L,1/2,\gamma})|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$ 

Moreover, we give examples of weakly singular integral operators of the type $\alpha = 1/2, \gamma > -1/2$, having precisely this order of decay of the eigenvalues. Therefore, the estimate (***) is the best possible.
Estimates for eigenvalues

We shall use the approach developed in [3]. In particular, we shall need the singular numbers \( s_n(T_K) \) of \( T_K \), which are defined as the eigenvalues of \( (T_K^* T_K)^{1/2} \):

\[
s_n(T_K) = \lambda_n((T_K^* T_K)^{1/2}), \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots.
\]

We shall also use the fact that the integral operator associated with a square integrable kernel \( K \) is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator and the following holds:

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s_j(T_K)^2 = \int_\Omega \int_\Omega |K(x, y)|^2 \, dx \, dy
\]

(see for example, the books by Gohberg and Krein [4] or by König [6]).

We first estimate the singular numbers of integral operators defined by kernels \( K: \Omega^2 \to \mathbb{C} \) of the form

\[
(\Delta) \quad K(x, y) = L(x, y) f(||x - y||^N), \quad x, y \in \Omega,
\]

where \( L \in L_\infty(\Omega^2) \) and \( f \in L_1([0, \infty)) \) is a nonnegative function such that for every positive real number \( a \) the function \( f \) belongs to \( L_2([a, \infty)) \).

Lemma 1. Let \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N \) be a bounded domain and let \( K \) be a kernel of the form (\( \Delta \)). Then, for every \( a > 0 \) and each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), we have

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n} s_j(T_K)^2 \leq c ||L||_{\infty}^2 \left[ n \left( \int_0^a f(t) \, dt \right)^2 + \int_a^{\infty} f(t)^2 \, dt \right],
\]

where the constant \( c \) only depends on \( \Omega \).

Proof. Split the kernel \( K \) as \( K_1 + K_2 \) where

\[
K_1(x, y) = \begin{cases} K(x, y) & \text{if } ||x - y||^N \leq a, \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere}, \end{cases}
\]

and

\[
K_2(x, y) = K(x, y) - K_1(x, y).
\]

One can easily check by Schur’s lemma, that the norm of \( T_{K_1} \) satisfies

\[
||T_{K_1}|| \leq \sigma_N ||L||_{\infty} \int_0^a f(t) \, dt,
\]

where \( \sigma_N \) is the volume of the \( N \)-dimensional Euclidean unit ball.

The other integral operator is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator because \( K_2 \) is square integrable. Thus

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s_j(T_{K_2})^2 = \int_\Omega \int_\Omega |K_2(x, y)|^2 \, dx \, dy
\]

\[
\leq ||L||_{\infty}^2 \int \int_{||x - y||^N > a} f(||x - y||^N)^2 \, dx \, dy
\]

\[
\leq \text{vol}(\Omega) \sigma_N ||L||_{\infty}^2 \int_a^{\infty} f(t)^2 \, dt.
\]
Consequently, using that
\[ s_j(T_K) \leq \|T_{K_1}\| + s_j(T_{K_2}), \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, \]
we obtain
\[ \sum_{j=1}^{n} s_j(T_K)^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\|T_{K_1}\| + s_j(T_{K_2}))^2 \]
\[ \leq 2\|L\|_{\infty}^2 (\sigma_N^2 + \sigma_N \text{vol}(\Omega)) \left[ n \left( \int_{0}^{a} f(t) \, dt \right)^2 + \int_{a}^{\infty} f(t)^2 \, dt \right]. \quad \square \]

Now we are in a position to establish

**Theorem 2.** Let \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N \) be a bounded domain and let \( K : \Omega^2 \to \mathbb{C} \) be a kernel of the form (*) with \( \alpha = 1/2 \) and \( \gamma \geq -1/2 \). Then \( (\sum_{j=1}^{n} s_j(T_K)^2) \) and \( (\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j(T_K)^2) \) are of asymptotic order \( O(J_{\gamma}(n)) \), where
\[
J_{\gamma}(n) = \begin{cases} 
\log \log n & \text{if } \gamma = -1/2, \\
(\log n)^{2\gamma + 1} & \text{if } \gamma > -1/2.
\end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** According to Weyl's inequality, we have
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j(T_K)^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} s_j(T_K)^2, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots,
\]
hence it suffices to prove the estimate for the singular numbers. Put
\[
f(t) = \begin{cases} 
(1 + \frac{1}{N} |\log t|)^{\gamma} / t^{1/2} & \text{if } 0 < t < (\text{diam}(\Omega))^{N}, \\
0 & \text{elsewhere}.
\end{cases}
\]
It is not hard to check that
\[
\left( \int_{0}^{1/n} f(t) \, dt \right)^2 \simeq (\log n)^{2\gamma} / n
\]
and
\[
\int_{1/n}^{\infty} f(t)^2 \, dt \simeq \begin{cases} 
\log \log n & \text{if } \gamma = -1/2, \\
(\log n)^{2\gamma + 1} & \text{if } \gamma > -1/2.
\end{cases}
\]
Hence the desired estimate follows from Lemma 1. \( \square \)

We finish this note with an example of a weakly singular integral operator on \( \Omega = [0, 1] \) showing the previous estimates are the best possible.

**Theorem 3.** Let \( \gamma \geq -1/2 \) and let
\[
K(x, y) = \begin{cases} 
(1 - \log |x - y|)^{\gamma} / |x - y|^{1/2} & \text{if } |x - y| \leq 1/2, \\
0 & \text{if } |x - y| > 1/2,
\end{cases} \quad x, y \in [0, 1].
\]

Then the eigenvalues of the weakly singular integral operator \( T_K \) satisfy
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j(T_K)^2 \simeq J_{\gamma}(n).
\]
Proof. First note that the operator $T_K$ is selfadjoint because the kernel $K(x, y)$ is real valued and symmetric. Then it follows from the spectral representation theorem that

$$|\lambda_n(T_K)| = s_n(T_K), \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots.$$

Thus, we only need to show that

$$(\Delta \Delta) \quad J_\gamma(n) = O (\sum_{j=1}^{n} s_j(T_K)^2).$$

With this aim, put

$$f(t) = (1 - \log |t|)^\gamma / |t|^{1/2}, \quad |t| \leq 1/2,$$

write $\tilde{f}$ for its 1-periodic extension to $\mathbb{R}$, and denote by $C_f$ the integral operator on $L_2([0, 1])$ defined by the kernel

$$K(x, y) = \tilde{f}(x - y).$$

The operator $C_f$ is selfadjoint, as well. Hence

$$s_n(C_f) = |\lambda_n(C_f)|, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots.$$

One can easily verify that the sequence of the eigenvalues of $C_f$ is precisely the nonincreasing rearrangement $(\hat{f}(n)^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the Fourier coefficients $(\hat{f}(j))_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of $f$, and for them we know (see [3, Lemma 4]) that

$$\hat{f}(n)^* \geq c (\log n)^\gamma / n^{1/2}, \quad n = 2, 3, \ldots,$$

where the constant $c$ depends only on $\gamma$. Thus,

$$c^2 (\log n)^{2\gamma} / n \leq s_n(C_f)^2, \quad n = 2, 3, \ldots.$$

On the other hand, the operator $C_f - T_K$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator since its kernel is square integrable. Hence we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s_j(C_f - T_K)^2 < \infty.$$

Taking into account that singular numbers satisfy

$$s_{2j}(C_f) \leq s_j(C_f - T_K) + s_j(T_K), \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots,$$
we obtain

\[ J_n(n) \simeq \sum_{j=2}^{n} (\log j)^{2\gamma}/j \simeq \sum_{j=1}^{n} c^2 (\log 2j)^{2\gamma}/2j \]

\[ \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} s_{2j}(C_f)^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} [s_j(C_f - T_K) + s_j(T_K)]^2 \]

\[ \leq 2 \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{n} s_j(C_f - T_K)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} s_j(T_K)^2 \right] \]

\[ \leq 2 \left[ c_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} s_j(T_K)^2 \right]. \]

This gives \((\Delta\Delta)\) and completes the proof. □
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