

## PRIMITIVE ELEMENTS OF GALOIS EXTENSIONS OF FINITE FIELDS

ISAO KIKUMASA AND TAKASI NAGAHARA

(Communicated by Louis J. Ratliff, Jr.)

*Dedicated to Professor Nobuo Nobusawa on his sixtieth birthday*

**ABSTRACT.** As is well known,  $N_q(n) = (1/n) \sum_{d|n} \mu(d)q^{n/d}$  coincides with the number of monic irreducible polynomials of  $\text{GF}(q)[X]$  of degree  $n$ . In this note we discuss the curve  $nN_X(n)$  and the solutions of equations  $nN_X(n) = b$  ( $b \geq n$ ). As a corollary of these results, we present a necessary and sufficient arithmetical condition for  $R/K$  to have a primitive element.

### 0. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper,  $K$  means a finite field, and all ring extensions of  $K$  are assumed to be commutative and have an identity that is contained in  $K$ . Moreover, all Galois extensions mean that in the sense of [1]. A Galois extension  $R/K$  is called simple if  $R$  is  $K$ -algebra isomorphic to a factor ring  $K[X]/(h)$  for some polynomial  $h$  in  $K[X]$ , that is,  $R/K$  has a primitive element.

In [4, 6, 7] and etc., the authors made some studies on primitive elements of Galois extensions from several angles. On the other hand, the simplicity of separable extensions was recently discussed by J. -D. Thérond [14] in some directions. But, conditions studied in [14] are necessary and sufficient conditions so that "all" separable extensions of a semilocal ring have primitive elements. Hence, these conditions are not always applicable to discuss whether a given Galois extension is simple or not.

The purpose of this note is to study the solutions of a certain equation, which is concerned with finite fields and, using these results, to present arithmetical conditions for the simplicity of Galois extensions over  $K$ .

In §1 we consider a polynomial of degree  $m$ :

$$N_X(m) = (1/m) \sum_{d|m} \mu(d)X^{m/d},$$

where  $\mu$  is the Moebius function on the set of natural numbers. As in [9], for a finite field  $\text{GF}(q)$  with  $q$  a power of a prime number,  $N_q(m)$  is the number of monic irreducible polynomials of  $\text{GF}(q)[X]$  of degree  $m$ . The aim

---

Received by the editors May 30, 1990 and, in revised form, December 12, 1990.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 13B05; Secondary 13B25, 12E12.

of this section is to pursue the curve of  $m \cdot N_X(m)$  and to study the solutions of equations  $m \cdot N_X(m) = b$  ( $b \geq m$ ) on the interval  $[1, \infty)$ .

In §2 we present a necessary and sufficient condition for the simplicity of Galois extensions of  $K$ . In this discussion, the solutions of the equations in the above play an important role.

In what follows, given a  $K$ -algebra  $R$  and a set  $S$ , we use the following conventions:  $[R: K]$  denotes the rank of  $K$ -module  $R$ ,  $l(R)$  the length of composition series of  $R$ -module  $R$ , and  $|S|$  the cardinal number of  $S$ . Further, by  $\mathbf{N}$  and  $\mathbf{R}$ , we denote the set of positive integers and the set of real numbers respectively.

1. AN ALGEBRAIC EQUATION CONCERNED WITH  $N_q(a)$

Let

$$a = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n},$$

where  $n_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$  are distinct prime numbers. Then, we set

$$\begin{aligned}
 (*) \quad f(X) &= \sum_{1 \leq e_1 < e_2 < \cdots < e_i \leq n, 0 \leq i \leq n} (-1)^{n-i} X^{p_{e_1} p_{e_2} \cdots p_{e_i}} \\
 g(X) &= X^{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n} - f(X),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $p_{e_1} p_{e_2} \cdots p_{e_i} = 1$  if  $i = 0$ . One will easily see that the number of terms in  $f(X)$  is  $\sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} = 2^n$ .

Now, we consider the equation

$$f(x) = aN_q(a).$$

Then, as is shown in §2,  $\xi := q^{a/(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n)}$  is a solution of this equation, that is,  $f(\xi) = aN_q(a)$ . Moreover, for  $K = \text{GF}(q)$ , a  $G$ -Galois extension  $R/K$  with  $a = |G|/l(R)$  is simple if and only if  $|G| \leq aN_q(a) = f(\xi)$ .

In this section, we study the solutions of the algebraic equation

$$f(x) = b \quad (a \leq b \in \mathbf{N}).$$

First we prove the following theorem, which plays an important role in our study.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $f(X)$  and  $g(X)$  be as in (\*). Then*

- (1)  $f(1) = 0$  and  $g(1) = 1$ .
- (2)  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  are strictly increasing on the interval  $[1, \infty)$ .

*Proof.* It is obvious that

$$f(1) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{n-i} \binom{n}{i} = (1 - 1)^n = 0$$

and so  $g(1) = 1 - f(1) = 1$ . Hence we prove (2).

For the base  $e$  of the natural logarithm, we set

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_0(t) &= e^t \quad (t > 0) \\
 h_1(t) &= h_0(p_1 t) - h_0(t) \\
 &\dots\dots\dots \\
 h_{i+1}(t) &= h_i(p_{i+1} t) - h_i(t) \\
 &\dots\dots\dots \\
 h_n(t) &= h_{n-1}(p_n t) - h_{n-1}(t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, it is easily seen that

$$(b) \quad h_n(t) = h_0(p_1 \cdots p_n t) - \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} h_i(p_{i+2} \cdots p_n t) - h_{n-1}(t),$$

$$(c) \quad h_n(t) = \sum_{1 \leq e_1 < e_2 < \dots < e_i \leq n, 0 \leq i \leq n} (-1)^{n-i} (e^t)^{p_{e_1} \cdots p_{e_i}},$$

where  $p_{e_1} \cdots p_{e_i} = 1$  when  $i = 0$ .

Let  $\Omega_0$  be the set of all strictly increasing functions  $h(z)$  with  $h(z) > 0$  on the interval  $]0, \infty)$  and, similarly,  $\Omega_1$  on the interval  $]1, \infty)$ . Clearly,  $h_0^{(m)}(t) = e^t \in \Omega_0$  for  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Assume that  $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$  and  $h_i^{(m)}(t) \in \Omega_0$  for  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Then for any  $t > 0$  and each  $m \geq 0$ , we have  $h_i^{(m)}(p_{i+1} t) > h_i^{(m)}(t)$  and so

$$h_{i+1}^{(m)}(t) = p_{i+1}^m h_i^{(m)}(p_{i+1} t) - h_i^{(m)}(t) > 0.$$

This means that  $h_{i+1}^{(m)}(t) \in \Omega_0$  for  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Hence, we get

$$(d) \quad h_i^{(m)}(t) \in \Omega_0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } m \geq 0.$$

In particular,  $h_n(t) \in \Omega_0$ . We note here that the function  $t = \log_e x$  belongs to  $\Omega_1$ . Since  $f(X) = h_n(\log_e X)$  by (c), we obtain  $f(x) \in \Omega_1$ . Moreover, by (d),

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} h_i(p_{i+2} \cdots p_n t) + h_{n-1}(t) \in \Omega_0.$$

This implies that  $g(x) \in \Omega_1$  by (b). Combining these with the fact that  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  are continuous on  $(-\infty, +\infty)$ , we have assertion (2).

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $g(X)$  be as in (\*). Then*

$$x \leq g(x) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n x^{(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n)/p_i} \quad \text{for } x \geq 1.$$

*In particular, if  $n = 1$  then  $x = g(x)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $n = 1$ . Then obviously  $x = g(x)$  and so we assume that  $n \geq 2$ .

Let  $h_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq n$ ) be as in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Then, as is easily seen, we have

$$0 \leq h_i(t) \leq h_0(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_i t) \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

Hence, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 \leq h_i(p_{i+2} \cdots p_n t) &\leq h_0(p_1 \cdots p_i p_{i+2} \cdots p_n t) \\
 &= (e^t)^{(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n)/p_{i+1}} \quad (0 \leq i \leq n - 2),
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$0 \leq h_{n-1}(t) \leq (e^t)^{(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n)/p_n}.$$

Thus, by (b), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} g(e^t) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} h_i(p_{i+2} \cdots p_n t) + h_{n-1}(t) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} (e^t)^{(p_1 \cdots p_n)/p_{i+1}} + (e^t)^{(p_1 \cdots p_n)/p_n} = \sum_{i=1}^n (e^t)^{(p_1 \cdots p_n)/p_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, by (b) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(e^t) &= h_0(p_2 \cdots p_n t) + \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} h_i(p_{i+2} \cdots p_n t) + h_{n-1}(t) \right) \\ &= h_0(p_2 \cdots p_n t) + C = (e^t)^{p_2 \cdots p_n} + C, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C \geq 0$ . Then  $g(e^t) - e^t = ((e^t)^{p_2 \cdots p_n} - e^t) + C \geq 0$  for  $t \geq 0$ . Setting  $x = e^t$  ( $x \geq 1$ ), we obtain our assertion.

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $f(X)$  and  $g(X)$  be given as (\*).*

- (1) *If  $x \geq 2$  then  $f(x) \geq g(x)$ .*
- (2) *If  $0 \leq x \leq 1$  then  $|f(x)| < 2^n$ .*
- (3) *For  $b \in \mathbf{R}$  with  $b \geq 2^n$ , the equation  $f(x) = b$  has a solution in  $]1, \infty)$ , which is unique in  $]0, \infty)$ .*

*Proof.* (1) If  $n = 1$  then  $f(x) - g(x) = x^{p_1} - 2x = x(x^{p_1-1} - 2) \geq 0$  for  $x \geq 2$ .

Let  $n \geq 2$  and  $\alpha = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_n$ . Then  $\alpha \geq 2p_2 p_3 \cdots p_n \geq p_2 p_3 \cdots p_n + 2$ . Hence the degree of the leading term of  $g(x)$  is not greater than  $\alpha - 2$ . Since all the terms in  $g(x)$  have distinct degrees, we have

$$g(x) \leq x^{\alpha-2} + x^{\alpha-3} + \cdots + x + 1$$

and so,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) - g(x) &= x^\alpha - 2g(x) \\ &\geq x^\alpha - 2(x^{\alpha-2} + x^{\alpha-3} + \cdots + x + 1) \\ &\geq x^\alpha - x(x^{\alpha-2} + x^{\alpha-3} + \cdots + x + 1) \\ &> x^\alpha - (x^\alpha - 1)/(x - 1) \\ &= (x^\alpha(x - 2) + 1)/(x - 1) > 0 \quad (x \geq 2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain  $f(x) \geq g(x)$  for  $x \geq 2$ .

(2) Obviously  $f(0) = 0$  and, by Theorem 1.1(1),  $f(1) = 0$ . Hence we can assume that  $0 < x < 1$ . Then the absolute value of each term in  $f(x)$  is less than 1 and the number of terms in  $f(x)$  is  $2^n$ . Thus we have  $|f(x)| < 2^n$ .

(3) This is a direct consequence of (2), Theorem 1.1(2) and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = \infty$ .

The following theorem is one of our main results in this note.

**Theorem 1.4.** Let  $f(X)$  and  $g(X)$  be defined by (\*), and let  $b \in \mathbf{N}$  with  $b \geq p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n$ . Then, the equation

$$(**) \quad f(x) = b \quad (x > 0)$$

has a unique solution. Furthermore, for the solution  $x_0$  of the equation (\*\*), the following inequality holds:

$$1 < x_0 \leq g(x_0) \leq b.$$

*Proof.* Since  $b \geq p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n \geq 2^n$ , the equation  $f(x) = b$  ( $x > 0$ ) has a unique solution  $x_0$  with  $x_0 > 1$  by Corollary 1.3. Further, it follows immediately from Corollary 1.2 that  $x_0 \leq g(x_0)$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a real number with  $1/b < \alpha \leq 1$ . Then, since the equation  $g(x) = \alpha b$  ( $x > 1$ ) has a unique solution by Theorem 1.1, we write this by  $x_1$ . Moreover, let  $x_2$  be the root of the equation  $x^{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n} = (\alpha + 1)b = g(x_1) + b$ . Suppose that  $g(x_2) \leq \alpha b$ . Then, by Theorem 1.1(2), we have  $x_2 \leq x_1$  and so

$$f(x_0) = b = x_2^{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n} - g(x_1) \leq x_1^{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n} - g(x_1) = f(x_1).$$

In virtue of Theorem 1.1 again, we get  $x_0 \leq x_1$  and whence  $g(x_0) \leq g(x_1) = \alpha b \leq b$ . Hence, to prove the theorem, all we must do is to show that the inequality  $g(x_2) \leq \alpha b$  holds for some  $\alpha$  in  $]1/b, 1]$ . In case  $b \geq 2n^2$ , take 1 as  $\alpha$ . Then it follows from Corollary 1.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} g(x_2) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n x_2^{(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n)/p_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n ((\alpha + 1)b)^{1/p_i} \\ &\leq n((\alpha + 1)b)^{1/2} = \sqrt{2n^2 b} \leq \sqrt{b \cdot b} = b = \alpha b, \quad \text{for } \alpha = 1. \end{aligned}$$

If  $b < 2n^2$  then  $(n, p_1 p_2, b) = (2, 6, 6)$  or  $(2, 6, 7)$  because  $b \geq p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n \geq 2 \cdot 3 \cdots n(n+1)$ . Let  $n = 2$  and  $p_1 p_2 = b = 6$ . Then, putting  $\alpha = 2/3$ ,  $x_2^6 = 10$  and so

$$\begin{aligned} g(x_2) &= x_2^3 + x_2^2 - x_2 = \sqrt{10} + \sqrt[3]{10} - \sqrt[6]{10} \\ &< 3.2 + 2.2 - 1.4 = 4 = \alpha b. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, in case that  $n = 2$ ,  $p_1 p_2 = 6$ , and  $b = 7$ , we put  $\alpha = 6/7$ . Then

$$g(x_2) = \sqrt{13} + \sqrt[3]{13} - \sqrt[6]{13} < 4 + 3 - 1 = \alpha b.$$

This completes the proof.

*Remark 1.5.* In the notation of Theorem 1.4, we denote the solution  $x_0$  of the equation (\*\*) by  $x_0(a, b)$ . Moreover, we set

$$\varepsilon(a, b) = g(x_0(a, b))/b.$$

Then

- (1)  $0 < \varepsilon(a, b) \leq 1$ .
- (2) If  $b = p_1^{\beta_1} p_2^{\beta_2} \cdots p_n^{\beta_n}$  where  $\beta_i \in \mathbf{N}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) then

$$x_0(a, b) = x_0(b, b) \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon(a, b) = \varepsilon(b, b).$$

In addition, we put  $\varepsilon(1, b) = 0$ .

**Example 1.6.** Let  $a = 2 \cdot 3$  and  $b = 2^3 \cdot 3^2$ . Then the equation (\*\*) in Theorem 1.4 is

$$x^6 - x^3 - x^2 + x - 72 = 0 \quad (x > 0).$$

As is easily seen, the solution  $x_0(6, 72)$  of this equation satisfies

$$2.0902 < x_0(6, 72) < 2.0903.$$

Moreover, from this inequality, we obtain

$$0.158 < \varepsilon(6, 72) < 0.159.$$

## 2. PRIMITIVE ELEMENTS OF GALOIS EXTENSIONS OF FINITE FIELDS

Throughout this section, let  $q$  be a power of a prime number. We begin this section with the following lemma, which is fundamental.

**Lemma 2.1** [7, Theorem 1.6]. *Let  $R$  be a Galois extension of rank  $b$  of  $\text{GF}(q)$ . Then the extension  $R/\text{GF}(q)$  is simple if and only if  $l(R) \leq N_q(b/l(R))$ .*

Combining this lemma with the results in §1, we have the following theorem, which is a generalization of [5, Proposition 1].

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $R$  be a  $G$ -Galois extension of  $\text{GF}(p^s)$ ,  $b = |G|$ , and  $a = b/l(R)$ . Then the extension  $R/\text{GF}(p^s)$  is simple if and only if*

$$l(R) \leq bs/(\log_p b + \log_p(1 + \varepsilon(a, b))),$$

where  $\varepsilon(a, b)$  is the constant given in §1, which depends only on  $b$  and the prime divisors of  $a$ . In particular, when any prime divisor of  $b$  divides  $a$ , the extension  $R/\text{GF}(p^s)$  is simple if and only if

$$l(R) \leq bs/(\log_p b + \log_p(1 + \varepsilon(b, b))).$$

*Proof.* Put  $q = p^s$ . In case  $l(R) = b$ , it follows from Lemma 2.1 and the fact  $N_q(1) = q$  that  $R/K$  is simple if and only if  $l(R) \leq q$ , which is equivalent to that  $l(R) \leq bs/(\log_p b + \log_p(1 + \varepsilon(a, b)))$ . Hence we assume that  $l(R) \neq b$ . Let  $a = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n}$  where  $n, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbf{N}$ , and  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$  are distinct prime numbers. Moreover, let  $f(X)$  be given as (\*). Then,

$$\begin{aligned} aN_q(a) &= \sum_{d|a} \mu(d)q^{a/d} \\ &= q^a - q^{a/p_1} - q^{a/p_2} - \cdots + q^{a(p_1 p_2)} + q^{a/(p_1 p_3)} + \cdots \\ &\quad + \cdots + (-1)^i q^{a(p_{e_1} p_{e_2} \cdots p_{e_i})} + \cdots + (-1)^n q^{a/(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n)} \\ &= f(q^{a/(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n)}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $1 \leq e_1 < e_2 < \cdots < e_i \leq n$ . We have already noted that  $f(x)$  is strictly increasing on  $x > 1$  by Theorem 1.1 and  $x_0(a, b) > 1$  by Theorem 1.4. Hence the inequality  $f(x_0(a, b)) \leq f(q^{a/(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n)})$  is equivalent to  $x_0(a, b) \leq q^{a/(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n)}$ . Since  $l(R) \leq N_q(a)$  if and only if  $b \leq aN_q(a)$ , it follows from

Lemma 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
 R/K \text{ is simple} &\iff b \leq f(q^{a/(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n)}) \\
 &\iff f(x_0(a, b)) \leq f(q^{a/(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n)}) \\
 &\iff x_0(a, b) \leq q^{a/(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n)} \\
 &\iff \log_q(x_0(a, b)^{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n}) \leq a \\
 &\iff \log_q(b + g(x_0(a, b))) \leq a \\
 &\iff b / \log_q(b + g(x_0(a, b))) \geq b/a = l(R).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $q = p^s$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 b / \log_q(b + g(x_0(a, b))) &= b / (\log_p(b + g(x_0(a, b))) / \log_p q) \\
 &= bs / (\log_p b + \log_p(1 + g(x_0(a, b))/b)) \\
 &= bs / (\log_p b + \log_p(1 + \varepsilon(a, b))).
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with the previous equivalence relation, we obtain the first part of our assertion. The second assertion follows from Remark 1.5(2).

The following is a corollary of the above theorem, and it is also a direct consequence of [14, Théorème de l'élément primitif].

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $R/K$  be a Galois extension. If  $[R: K] \leq |K|$  then  $R/K$  is simple.*

*Proof.* Let  $b = [R: K] \leq |K| = p^s$  and  $a = b/l(R)$ . Then  $s \geq 1$ . By Remark 1.5, there holds either

$$l(R) = b \leq bs / \log_p b = bs / (\log_p b + \log_p(1 + \varepsilon(a, b)))$$

or

$$l(R) \leq b/2 \leq bs / (s + 1) \leq bs / (\log_p b + \log_p(1 + \varepsilon(a, b))).$$

Whence  $R/K$  is simple in virtue of Theorem 2.2.

**Example 2.4.** By [4, 7], we see that there exists a  $G$ -Galois extension  $R/\text{GF}(q)$  satisfying  $q = 5$ ,  $|G| = 72$ , and  $l(R) = 12$ . Put  $b = |G|$  and  $a = b/l(R)$ . Then the equation (\*\*) in Theorem 1.4 coincides with that in Example 1.6. Using this fact, we know that the right-hand side in the inequality of Theorem 2.2 is more than 26.1 and less than 26.2. Hence  $R/\text{GF}(q)$  is simple by Theorem 2.2. On the other hand, there exists a  $G$ -Galois extension  $R/\text{GF}(q)$  such that  $q = 5$ ,  $|G| = 6^6$ , and  $l(R) = 6^5$ . Then, by a direct computation, we see that  $l(R) > bs / (\log_p b + \log_p(1 + \varepsilon(a, b)))$ . Hence, in this case,  $R/\text{GF}(q)$  is not simple.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to express their gratitude to Professor K. Motose for his helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. S. U. Chase, D. K. Harrison, and Alex Rosenberg, *Galois theory and Galois cohomology of commutative rings*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., no. 52, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1965, pp. 15–33.
2. F. Demeyer and E. Ingraham, *Separable algebras over commutative rings*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 181, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, and New York, 1971.

3. G. J. Janusz, *Separable algebras over commutative rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **122** (1966), 461–479.
4. I. Kikumasa, *On primitive elements of Galois extensions of commutative semi-local rings. II*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **31** (1989), 57–71.
5. I. Kikumasa and T. Nagahara, *On primitive elements of Galois extensions of finite commutative algebras*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **32** (1990), 13–24.
6. ———, *Primitive elements of cyclic extensions of commutative rings*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **29** (1987), 91–102.
7. I. Kikumasa, T. Nagahara, and K. Kishimoto, *On primitive elements of Galois extensions of commutative semi-local rings*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **31** (1989), 31–55.
8. K. Kishimoto, *Notes on biquadratic cyclic extensions of a commutative ring*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **28** (1986), 15–20.
9. R. Lidl and Niederreiter, *Finite fields*, Encyclopedia Math. Appl., vol. 20, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1983.
10. T. Nagahara, *On separable polynomials over a commutative ring. II*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **15** (1972), 189–197.
11. T. Nagahara and A. Nakajima, *On cyclic extensions of commutative rings*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **15** (1971), 81–90.
12. ———, *On separable polynomials over a commutative ring. IV*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **17** (1974), 49–58.
13. R. S. Pierce, *Associative algebras*, Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 88, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, and New York, 1982.
14. J. -D. Thérond, *Le théorème de l'élément primitif pour un anneau semi-local*, J. Algebra **105** (1987), 29–39.
15. O. Villamayor and D. Zelinsky, *Galois theory for rings with finitely many idempotents*, Nagoya Math. J. **27** (1966), 721–731.
16. P. Wolf, *Algebraische theorie der Galoisschen algebren*, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1956.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY, OKAYAMA 700, JAPAN