

ON THE JOINT SPECTRAL RADIUS. II

MUNEO CHŌ, TADASI HURUYA, AND VOLKER WROBEL

(Communicated by Paul S. Muhly)

ABSTRACT. In this paper we show that if $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ is a commuting n -tuple of operators on a Hilbert space such that $\sigma(\mathbf{T}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma(T_i)$, then the algebraic joint spectral radius is equal to the geometric one.

In [1] Bunce introduced the algebraic joint spectral radius formula $r_a(\mathbf{T})$ for a commuting n -tuple $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ of operators on a Hilbert space. He conjectured the equality

$$(*) \quad r_a(\mathbf{T}) = \sup\{|z| : z \in \sigma_\pi(\mathbf{T})\},$$

where the joint approximate point spectrum $\sigma_\pi(\mathbf{T})$ of \mathbf{T} is defined as $\sigma_\pi(\mathbf{T}) = \{z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \text{there exists a sequence } \{x_k\} \text{ of unit vectors such that } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(T_i - z_i)x_k\| \rightarrow 0 \ (i = 1, \dots, n)\}$. In [2] we proved that equality (*) holds for commuting n -tuples of operators on finite-dimensional spaces. In this paper we show that equality (*) also holds for some classes of commuting n -tuples of operators on Hilbert spaces.

Let $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ be a commuting n -tuple of operators on a complex Hilbert space. We denote the Taylor joint spectrum of \mathbf{T} by $\sigma(\mathbf{T})$. The joint operator norm $\|\mathbf{T}\|$ and the geometric spectral radius $r_g(\mathbf{T})$ of $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ are given by

$$\|\mathbf{T}\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|T_i x\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\}$$

and

$$r_g(\mathbf{T}) = \sup\{|z| : z \in \sigma(\mathbf{T})\},$$

respectively. Also define $r_\pi(\mathbf{T}) = \sup\{|z| : z \in \sigma_\pi(\mathbf{T})\}$. Let $F(k, n)$ be the set of all functions from the set $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ to the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For $f \in F(k, n)$, let

$$\mathbf{T}_f = T_{f(1)} \cdot T_{f(2)} \cdots T_{f(k)}.$$

The algebraic joint spectral radius $r_a(\mathbf{T})$ of \mathbf{T} is given by

$$r_a(\mathbf{T}) = \inf_k \left\{ \left\| \sum_{f \in F(k, n)} \mathbf{T}_f^* \cdot \mathbf{T}_f \right\|^{1/(2k)} \right\}.$$

Received by the editors December 18, 1990 and, in revised form, March 27, 1991.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A10.

Key words and phrases. Joint spectrum, joint spectral radius.

For $n = 1$, $r_a(\mathbf{T})$ is the usual spectral radius formula of T_1 . For an operator T , we denote the usual spectral radius of T by $r(T)$. By [1, Lemma 5]

$$r_a(\mathbf{T}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{f \in F(k, n)} \mathbf{T}_f^* \cdot \mathbf{T}_f \right\|^{1/(2k)},$$

and by [1, Proposition 7] $r_\pi(\mathbf{T}) \leq r_a(\mathbf{T})$. Letting $k = 1$, we have $r_a(\mathbf{T}) \leq \|\mathbf{T}\|$. By [4, Theorem 1] or [5, Corollary 1.4]

$$\{z \in \sigma(\mathbf{T}) : |z| = r_g(\mathbf{T})\} \subset \sigma_\pi(\mathbf{T}).$$

Since $\sigma_\pi(\mathbf{T}) \subset \sigma(\mathbf{T})$, this implies that $r_\pi(\mathbf{T}) = r_g(\mathbf{T})$. Hence we have

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ be a commuting n -tuple of operators. Then $r_g(\mathbf{T}) \leq r_a(\mathbf{T}) \leq \|\mathbf{T}\|$.*

When $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ is a doubly commuting n -tuple of hyponormal operators, it holds that $r_g(\mathbf{T}) = \|\mathbf{T}\|$ (see [3, Theorem 3.4]). Hence equality (*) holds for doubly commuting n -tuples of hyponormal operators.

Theorem 2. *Let $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ be a commuting n -tuple of operators. Then $r_a(\mathbf{T}) \leq (r_a(\mathbf{S})^2 + r(T_n)^2)^{1/2}$, where $\mathbf{S} = (T_1, \dots, T_{n-1})$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{T}(k) = \sum_{f \in F(k, n)} \mathbf{T}_f^* \cdot \mathbf{T}_f$ and $\mathbf{S}(k) = \sum_{f \in F(k, n-1)} \mathbf{S}_f^* \cdot \mathbf{S}_f$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|\mathbf{T}_n^k\| < A^{k/2}$ and $\|\mathbf{S}(k)\| < B^k$ for $k \geq p$, where $A = (r(T_n) + \varepsilon)^2$ and $B = (r_a(\mathbf{S}) + \varepsilon)^2$.

Fix these ε and p . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}(k) &= \mathbf{S}(k) + {}_k C_1 \cdot T_n^* \cdot \mathbf{S}(k-1) \cdot T_n \\ &\quad + {}_k C_2 \cdot T_n^{*2} \cdot \mathbf{S}(k-2) \cdot T_n^2 + \dots + {}_k C_k \cdot T_n^{*k} \cdot T_n^k, \end{aligned}$$

we have, for $k > 2p$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{T}(k)\| &\leq \|\mathbf{S}(k)\| + {}_k C_1 \cdot \|T_n\|^2 \cdot \|\mathbf{S}(k-1)\| \\ &\quad + \dots + {}_k C_{p-1} \cdot \|T_n\|^{2(p-1)} \cdot \|\mathbf{S}(k-p+1)\| \\ &\quad + {}_k C_p \cdot \|T_n^p\|^2 \cdot \|\mathbf{S}(k-p)\| + \dots + {}_k C_{k-p} \cdot \|T_n^{k-p}\|^2 \cdot \|\mathbf{S}(p)\| \\ &\quad + {}_k C_{k-p+1} \cdot \|T_n^{k-p+1}\|^2 \cdot \|\mathbf{S}(p-1)\| + \dots + \|T_n^k\|^2 \\ &\leq {}_k C_{p-1} \cdot M_1 \cdot B^k + (A+B)^k + {}_k C_{p-1} \cdot M_2 \cdot A^{k-p+1} \\ &\leq M \cdot {}_k C_{p-1} \cdot (A+B)^k, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= 1 + \frac{a}{B} + \left(\frac{a}{B}\right)^2 + \dots + \left(\frac{a}{B}\right)^{p-1} \quad (a = \|T_n\|^2), \\ M_2 &= \|\mathbf{S}(p-1)\| + A \cdot \|\mathbf{S}(p-2)\| + \dots + A^{p-2} \|\mathbf{S}(1)\| + A^{p-1}, \\ M &= M_1 + 1 + M_2 A^{1-p}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{T}(k)\|^{1/(2k)} &\leq M^{1/(2k)} \cdot ({}_k C_{p-1})^{1/(2k)} \cdot (A+B)^{1/2} \\ &\rightarrow (A+B)^{1/2} \quad (k \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Since ε is arbitrary, it follows that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{T}(k)\|^{1/(2k)} \leq (r_a(\mathbf{S})^2 + r(T_n)^2)^{1/2}. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

Immediately we have the following corollaries.

Corollary 1. Let $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ be a commuting n -tuple of operators. If there exists $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \sigma(\mathbf{T})$ such that $|z_i| = r(T_i)$ for every i ($i = 1, \dots, n$), then equality (*) holds for \mathbf{T} and

$$r_g(\mathbf{T}) = r_a(\mathbf{T}) = (r(T_1)^2 + \dots + r(T_n)^2)^{1/2}.$$

In particular, if $\sigma(\mathbf{T}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma(T_i)$, then the above equality holds.

Corollary 2. Let $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ be a commuting n -tuple of operators. If $\sigma(T_i) = \{0\}$ for every i ($i = 1, \dots, n$), then equality (*) holds for \mathbf{T} and $r_g(\mathbf{T}) = r_a(\mathbf{T}) = 0$.

Corollary 3. Let $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ be a commuting n -tuple of operators. If $\sigma(T_i) = \{0\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, then equality (*) holds for \mathbf{T} and $r_g(\mathbf{T}) = r_a(\mathbf{T}) = r(T_n)$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The first author expresses his gratitude to Universität Kiel. This work was started while the first author was in Kiel during the period June 6 to August 5, 1990.

REFERENCES

1. J. W. Bunce, *Models for n -tuples of noncommuting operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **57** (1984), 21–30.
2. M. Chō and T. Huruya, *On the joint spectral radius*, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A **91** (1991), 39–44.
3. M. Chō and M. Takaguchi, *Some classes of commuting n -tuples of operators*, Studia Math. **80** (1984), 245–259.
4. M. Chō and W. Żelazko, *On geometric spectral radius of commuting n -tuples of operators*, preprint.
5. V. Wrobel, *Joint spectra and joint numerical ranges for pairwise commuting operators in Banach spaces*, Glasgow Math. J. **30** (1988), 145–153.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JOETSU UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, JOETSU, NIIGATA 943, JAPAN

FACULTY OF EDUCATION, NIIGATA UNIVERSITY, NIIGATA 950-21, JAPAN

MATHEMATISCHES SEMINAR, UNIVERSITÄT KIEL, 2300 KIEL 1, GERMANY