

## THE WEYL TRANSFORM AND $L^p$ FUNCTIONS ON PHASE SPACE

BARRY SIMON

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

**ABSTRACT.** This is primarily a negative paper showing that a bound of the form  $\|W(f)\|_{\text{operator norm}} \leq c\|f\|_p$  fails for the Weyl transform if  $p > 2$ .  $L^p$  properties of Wigner distribution functions are discussed as well as Cwikel's theorem.

Trace ideal properties of operators of the form  $f(x)g(-i\nabla)$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  have been an important element in the study of Schrödinger operators (both scattering theory and, via the Birman-Schwinger principle, bound state problems) and Yukawa quantum field theories (see [4, Chapter 4]). The main results here are

**Theorem 1.** *If  $f, g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $2 \leq p < \infty$ , then  $f(x)g(-i\nabla) \in \mathcal{S}_p$  and  $\|f(x)g(-i\nabla)\|_p \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_p$ .*

**Theorem 2** (Cwikel). *If  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $g \in L^p_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $2 < p < \infty$ , then  $f(x)g(-i\nabla) \in \mathcal{S}_p^w$  and  $\|f(x)g(-i\nabla)\|_{p,w} \leq c_p \|f\|_p \|g\|_{p,w}$ .*

It is natural to try to extend this to some nonproduct functions. Define for  $F \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$

$$W(F) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int \widehat{F}(k, y) e^{i(kX+yP)} dk dy,$$

the Weyl quantization, and its asymmetrical form

$$A(F) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int \widehat{F}(k, y) e^{ikX} e^{iyP} dk dy.$$

Then  $A(f(x)g(p)) = f(x)g(-i\nabla)$ , so one might expect that Theorem A extends to a result of the form

$$(1) \quad \|A(F)\|_p \leq c\|F\|_p \quad \text{or} \quad \|W(F)\|_p \leq c\|F\|_p.$$

At first sight this might seem incompatible with the fact that  $f, g \in L^p_w$  does not imply that  $f(x)g(-i\nabla)$  is even compact (consider  $f(x) = g(x) = |x|^{-n/p}$ ); but in fact, it is consistent, for  $f \in L^p_w$  and  $g \in L^p_w$  does not imply that  $f(x)g(y)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  is in weak  $L^p$ , e.g.,  $f(x) = g(x) = |x|^{-n/p}$  where  $|\{(x, y) | f(x)g(y) \geq 1\}| = \infty$ . But  $f \in L^p$  and  $g \in L^p_w$  imply that  $f(x)g(y) \in L^p_w(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  by a simple argument. Indeed, for  $f$  fixed,  $f(x)g(y) \in L^p_w(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$

Received by the editors February 21, 1991 and, in revised form, April 19, 1991.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42C99, 46L99.

Research partially funded under NSF grant number DMS-8801918.

for all  $g \in L^p_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if and only if  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Thus if (1) holds, a simple consequence would be Cwikel's theorem via a standard application of weak interpolation theorems.

On the other hand, since it is natural to view the map  $F \mapsto A(F)$  as an operator-valued Fourier transform [2], one would not expect Theorem A to extend to a result of the form (1). This is confirmed in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $p \geq 2$ . Then (1) holds if and only if  $p = 2$ .*

That (1) holds if  $p = 2$  follows formally from

$$\text{Tr}(e^{i(kX+yP)}) = (2\pi)^{n/2} \delta(k)\delta(y) = \text{Tr}(e^{ikX} e^{iyP}),$$

which yields

$$\text{Tr}(W^*(F)W(F)) = \text{Tr}(A^*(F)A(F)) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int |F(x, k)|^2 dx dk.$$

A proof of this well-known fact [1, 3] follows from the Plancherel theorem and the explicit form of the integral kernels for  $W$  and  $A$ :

$$\begin{aligned} W(F)(x, z) &= (2\pi)^{-n} \int \widehat{F}(k, z-x) e^{ikx} e^{ik(z-x)/2} dk, \\ A(F)(x, z) &= (2\pi)^{-n} \int \widehat{F}(k, z-x) e^{ikx} dk. \end{aligned}$$

So we turn to proving that (1) fails for  $p > 2$ . Indeed, we will even prove that

$$\|A(F)\|_\infty \leq c\|F\|_p, \quad \|W(F)\|_\infty \leq c\|F\|_p$$

both fail where  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  is the operator norm.

This will follow from the simple duality argument. If we define for  $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^A(\psi)(x, p) &= (2\pi)^{-2n} \int e^{-ixk} e^{-ipy} \langle \psi | e^{ikX} e^{iyP} | \psi \rangle dk dy, \\ \rho^W(\psi)(x, p) &= (2\pi)^{-2n} \int e^{-ixk} e^{-ipy} \langle \psi | e^{i(kX+yP)} | \psi \rangle dx dy \end{aligned}$$

(with  $\langle \psi | B | \psi \rangle = (\psi, B\psi)$ ); then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi | A(F) | \psi \rangle &= \int F(x, p) \rho^A(\psi)(x, p) dx dp, \\ \langle \psi | W(F) | \psi \rangle &= \int F(x, p) \rho^W(\psi)(x, p) dx dp. \end{aligned}$$

From this we conclude:

**Proposition.** *If  $\|A(F)\|_\infty \leq c\|F\|_p$  (resp.,  $\|W(F)\|_\infty \leq c\|F\|_p$ ), then for  $p' = p/p-1$  we have that  $\|\rho^A(\psi)\|_{p'} \leq c$  (resp.,  $\|\rho^W(\psi)\|_{p'} \leq c$ ) for all  $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .*

By straightforward calculation,

$$(2) \quad \rho^A(\psi)(x, p) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} e^{ipx} \psi^*(x) \hat{\psi}(p),$$

$$(3) \quad \rho^W(\psi)(x, p) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{ipy} \psi^*(x - \frac{1}{2}y) \psi(x + \frac{1}{2}y) dy.$$

From (2) we see that  $\rho^A(\psi) \in L^q$  if and only if both  $\psi$  and  $\hat{\psi}$  lie in  $L^q$ . Since  $\psi$  is arbitrary in  $L^2$ , there are  $\psi$  with  $\rho^A(\psi) \in L^q$  if and only if  $q = 2$ ; so by the proposition,  $\|A(f)\|_\infty \leq c\|f\|_p$  only if  $p = 2$ .

The Weyl case is a little more subtle. Note first that if  $\psi$  is supported in  $\{|x| \leq 1\}$ , then by (3),  $\rho^W(\psi)(x, p) \neq 0$  only if there is a  $y$  with  $|x \pm \frac{1}{2}y| \leq 1$ ; so  $|x| \leq \frac{1}{2}|(x + \frac{1}{2}y) + (x - \frac{1}{2}y)| \leq 1$ , i.e.,  $\rho^W(\psi)(x, p) = 0$  if  $|x| \geq 1$ . Suppose that  $\int |\rho^W|^q dx dp < \infty$ . Then it follows, since the characteristic function of the unit ball is in  $L^{q/q-1}$ , that we have for any  $\theta(x, p)$

$$(4) \quad \int \rho^W(x, p)e^{i\theta(x, p)} dx \in L^q(dp).$$

We will find  $\psi$  and  $\theta$  in (4) false if  $1 \leq q < 2$ . Pick  $\theta(x, p) = 2px$ . Then by (3)

$$\begin{aligned} \int e^{i\theta(x, p)} \rho^W(x, p) dx &= (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{2ip(x-y/2)} \psi^*(x - \frac{1}{2}y) \psi(x + \frac{1}{2}y) dy dx \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{2ipu} \psi(u) \psi^*(z) dy dz \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \overline{\hat{\psi}(0)} \hat{\psi}(2p). \end{aligned}$$

Now take

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} |x|^{-\alpha}, & |x| < 1, \\ 0, & |x| \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

with  $2\alpha < n$ . Then,  $\psi(0) \neq 0$  and  $\hat{\psi}(p) \sim |p|^{-(n-\alpha)}$  for  $p$  large; so  $\rho^W \notin L^q$  if  $q(n - \alpha) < n$ . Since  $\alpha$  can be arbitrarily closer to  $n/2$ ,  $q$  can be chosen anywhere in  $[1, 2)$ . This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

Along the way, we proved the following of independent interest:

**Theorem 2.** *In general,  $\rho^A(\psi)$  may not lie in any  $L^p$ ,  $p \neq 2$ . In general,  $\rho^W(\psi)$  may not lie in  $L^p$ ,  $1 \leq p < 2$ .*

It is easy to show  $\rho^W \in L^\infty$  so in  $L^p$ ,  $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Since  $\rho^W$  is a “density”,  $\int |\rho^W| dx dp = \infty$  is notable!

### REFERENCES

1. A. Grossman, G. Loupiau, and E. M. Stein, *An algebra of pseudodifferential operators and quantum mechanics in phase space*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **18** (1968), 343–368.
2. A. Klein and B. Russo, *Sharp inequalities for Weyl operators and Heisenberg groups*, Math. Ann. **235** (1978), 175–194.
3. J. Pool, *Mathematical aspects of the Weyl correspondence*, J. Math. Phys. **7** (1966), 66–76.
4. B. Simon, *Trace ideals and their applications*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1979.

DIVISION OF PHYSICS, MATHEMATICS AND ASTRONOMY, CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 253-37, PASADENA, CALIFORNIA 91125

E-mail address: bsimon@caltech.edu