

## WEAKLY CONVERGENT SEQUENCE COEFFICIENT OF PRODUCT SPACE

GUANG-LU ZHANG

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

**ABSTRACT.** W. L. Bynum introduced the weakly convergent sequence coefficient  $WCS(X)$  of the Banach space  $X$  as  $WCS(X) = \sup\{M : \text{for each weakly convergent sequence } \{x_n\} \text{ in } X, \text{ there is some } y \in \overline{\text{co}}(\{x_n\}) \text{ such that } M \cdot \limsup \|x_n - y\| \leq A(\{x_n\})\}$ . We consider the weakly convergent sequence coefficient of the  $l_p$ -product space  $Z = (\prod_{i=1}^n X_i)_{l_p}$  of the finite non-Schur space  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  and show that  $WCS(Z) = \min\{WCS(X_i) : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ .

### 0. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $\{x_n\}$  a sequence of  $X$ . For  $x \in X$ , set  $r(x, \{x_n\}) = \limsup_n \|x_n - x\|$ .  $A(\{x_n\}) = \lim_n \sup\{\|x_i - x_j\| : i, j \geq n\}$  and  $r(\{x_n\}) = \inf\{r(x, \{x_n\}) : x \in \overline{\text{co}}(\{x_n\})\}$  are called *the asymptotic diameter of  $\{x_n\}$*  and *the Chebyshev radius of  $\{x_n\}$  relative to  $\overline{\text{co}}(\{x_n\})$* , respectively, where  $\overline{\text{co}}(\{x_n\})$  denotes the closed convex hull of  $\{x_n\}$ . If  $A(\{x_n\}) = \lim_n \inf\{\|x_i - x_j\| : i, j \geq n, i \neq j\}$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is called *the asymptotic equidistant sequence*.

In order to study the normal structure of Banach spaces, Bynum [1] introduced *the weakly convergent sequence coefficient*  $WCS(X)$  for a reflexive Banach space  $X$  as follows:

$$(1) \quad WCS(X) = \sup \left\{ M : \text{for each weakly convergent sequence } \{x_n\}, \right. \\ \left. \text{there is some } y \in \overline{\text{co}}(\{x_n\}) \text{ such that} \right. \\ \left. M \cdot \limsup_n \|x_n - y\| \leq A(\{x_n\}) \right\}.$$

It is easy to prove that (see [2])

$$(2) \quad WCS(X) = \inf\{A(\{x_n\})/r(\{x_n\}) : \{x_n\} \text{ a weakly but not} \\ \text{strongly convergent sequence in } X\}.$$

But, because of the indefiniteness of  $y$  in expression (1) and the existence of  $r(\{x_n\})$  in the denominator of expression (2), it is inconvenient to apply and

Received by the editors January 2, 1990.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46B20.

*Key words and phrases*. Asymptotic equidistant sequence, weakly convergent sequence coefficient.

calculate  $WCS(X)$ . We will improve expressions (1) and (2) on  $WCS(X)$  and use new expressions of  $WCS(X)$  to discuss the weakly convergent sequence coefficient of the  $l_p$ -product space of finite non-Schur Banach spaces.

In §1 we introduce the notion of the asymptotic equidistant sequence and show some results on it since we will use it to improve the expressions of  $WCS(X)$ . In §2 we give four new expressions of  $WCS(X)$ , which are better than (1) and (2). Finally, in §3, we give a result on the weakly convergent sequence coefficient of the  $l_p$ -product space of finite non-Schur Banach spaces.

We always denote the set of natural numbers by  $\mathcal{N}$  and we say that  $x_n \xrightarrow{w} x$  to denote  $\{x_n\}$  weakly converges to  $x$ .

### 1. ASYMPTOTIC EQUIDISTANT SEQUENCE

In this section we always consider  $X$  to be infinite-dimensional Banach space. For a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$ , we express  $\lim_n \inf\{\|x_i - x_j\| : i, j \geq n, i \neq j\}$  by  $A_1(\{x_n\})$ .

**Definition 1.** A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  is said to be an *asymptotic equidistant sequence* if  $A_1(\{x_n\}) = A(\{x_n\})$ .

It is clear that if  $\{x_n\}$  is either strongly convergent or equidistant (i.e., for  $i \neq j$ ,  $\|x_i - x_j\| = \text{constant}$ ), then it is asymptotic equidistant.

In the following, we prove that we can choose an asymptotic equidistant subsequence from a bounded sequence.

**Proposition 1.** Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a bounded sequence in  $X$  and

$$d = \sup\{d' : \text{there exists a subsequence } \{x_{n_i}\} \text{ of } \{x_n\} \\ \text{such that } \|x_{n_i} - x_{n_j}\| \geq d' \text{ when } i \neq j\}.$$

Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a subsequence  $\{y_k\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $d - \varepsilon < \|y_i - y_j\| < d + \varepsilon$  when  $i \neq j$ .

*Proof.* For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by definition of  $d$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\|x_{n_i} - x_{n_j}\| > d - \varepsilon$  when  $i \neq j$ . For any subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}(m)\}_{k=1}^\infty$  of  $\{x_{n_k}\}$ , if all distances from its first term  $x_{n_1}(m)$  to the other terms, except those that are finite, are not smaller than  $d + \varepsilon$ , then the  $x_{n_1}(m)$  is called a *deleted point*.

Consider the sequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$ . If  $x_{n_1}$  is a deleted point, then delete  $x_{n_1}$  and all of the finite points whose distances to  $x_{n_1}$  are smaller than  $d + \varepsilon$ . Let the rest be  $\{x_{n_k}(1)\}$  according to the original order. If  $x_{n_1}(1)$  is a deleted point also, then delete  $x_{n_1}(1)$  and the associated finite points and denote the rest by  $\{x_{n_k}(2)\}$  according to the original order. If  $x_{n_1}(2)$  is a deleted point, delete  $x_{n_1}(2)$  and the associated finite points continuously, etc. But this process cannot proceed indefinitely, or else we get a sequence  $x_{n_1}, x_{n_1}(1), x_{n_1}(2), \dots$  of deleted points, any two points of which have distance  $\geq d + \varepsilon$ . This is a contradiction to the definition of  $d$ . So the sequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  must have a point which is not deleted. Note it by  $x_{n_1}(1)$  and let  $\{x_{n_k}(1)\}$  be a subsequence of  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  such that  $\|x_{n_i}(1) - x_{n_i}(1)\| < d + \varepsilon$  for every  $i > 1$ .

Continue to consider the sequence  $x_{n_2}(1), x_{n_3}(1), \dots$ . As mentioned above, we also can get a point  $x_{n_2}(2)$  from this sequence, which is not deleted, and a subsequence  $x_{n_2}(2), x_{n_3}(2), \dots$  of this sequence such that  $\|x_{n_2}(2) - x_{n_i}(2)\| < d + \varepsilon$  for every  $i > 2$ . Continue this process for the sequence  $x_{n_3}(2), x_{n_4}(2), \dots$ ,

etc. When this process is continued infinitely, we can finally get a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}(k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  of  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  such that  $\|x_{n_i}(i) - x_{n_j}(j)\| < d + \varepsilon$  when  $i \neq j$ . The proof of the proposition is finished by letting  $y_k = x_{n_k}(k)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a bounded sequence in  $X$ . Then there exists an asymptotic equidistant subsequence  $\{y_k\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $A_1(\{x_n\}) \leq A(\{y_k\}) \leq A(\{x_n\})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $d_1 = \sup\{d' : \text{there exists a subsequence } \{x_{n_i}\} \text{ of } \{x_n\} \text{ such that } \|x_{n_i} - x_{n_j}\| \geq d' \text{ for } i \neq j\}$ . Then  $A_1(\{x_n\}) \leq d_1 \leq A(\{x_n\})$ . By Proposition 1, there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_i}(1)\}$  of  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  such that  $d_1 - 1 < \|x_i(1) - x_j(1)\| < d_1 + 1$  for  $i \neq j$ . Let  $d_2 = \sup\{d' : \text{there exists a subsequence } \{x_{n_i}(1)\}$  of  $\{x_{n_i}(1)\}$  such that  $\|x_{n_i}(1) - x_{n_j}(1)\| \geq d'$  for  $i \neq j\}$ . Then  $A_1(\{x_n\}) \leq d_2 \leq d_1$  and, by Proposition 1 also, there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_i}(2)\}$  of  $\{x_{n_i}(1)\}$  such that  $d_2 - \frac{1}{2} < \|x_i(2) - x_j(2)\| < d_2 + \frac{1}{2}$  for  $i \neq j$ . Generally, if  $d_m = \sup\{d' : \text{there exists a subsequence } \{x_{n_i}(m-1)\}$  of  $\{x_{n_i}(m-1)\}$  such that  $\|x_{n_i}(m-1) - x_{n_j}(m-1)\| \geq d'$  for  $i \neq j\}$ , then  $A_1(\{x_n\}) \leq d_m \leq d_{m-1}$  and, by Proposition 1, there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_i}(m)\}$  of  $\{x_{n_i}(m-1)\}$  such that  $d_m - 1/m < \|x_i(m) - x_j(m)\| < d_m + 1/m$  for  $i \neq j$ . Thus we can obtain a real sequence  $\{d_m\}$  satisfying

$$(3) \quad A_1(\{x_n\}) \leq \dots \leq d_m \leq d_{m-1} \leq \dots \leq d_1 \leq A(\{x_n\}),$$

and a series of sequences  $\{x_{n_i}(1)\}, \{x_{n_i}(2)\}, \dots, \{x_{n_i}(k)\}, \dots$ , where each sequence is a subsequence of the last one, satisfying

$$(4) \quad d_m - 1/m < \|x_i(m) - x_j(m)\| < d_m + 1/m$$

for each  $m \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $i \neq j$ . Let  $y_k = x_{n_i}(k)$ . Then  $\{y_k\}$  satisfies the requirement of the proposition. Indeed, let  $d = \lim_m d_m$ ; it is sufficient to prove that  $A_1(\{y_k\}) = A(\{y_k\}) = d$ . For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by (4), there is  $N \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $d - \varepsilon < d_k - 1/k < d_k + 1/k < d + \varepsilon$  when  $k > N$ . Hence, when  $i, j > N$  and  $i \neq j$ ,  $d - \varepsilon < \|y_i - y_j\| < d + \varepsilon$ , which shows that  $A_1(\{y_k\}) > d - \varepsilon$  and  $A(\{y_k\}) < d + \varepsilon$ . By the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon$ ,  $A_1(\{y_k\}) = A(\{y_k\}) = d$  holds.  $\square$

Recall the famous Riesz Lemma: for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $S(X)$ , the unit sphere of  $X$ , such that  $\|x_i - x_j\| > 1 - \varepsilon$  when  $i \neq j$ . Hence, by Proposition 2, there exist asymptotic equidistant sequences  $\{x_n\}$  in  $S(X)$  such that  $A(\{x_n\}) > 1 - \varepsilon$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . In addition, reviewing the parameter  $\bar{J}(X)^{[3,4]}$  of  $X$ ,

$$\bar{J}(X) = \sup\{r > 0 : S(X) \text{ contains an infinite } r\text{-separated set}\},$$

we furthermore have

**Proposition 3.** *For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an asymptotic equidistant sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $S(X)$  such that  $\bar{J}(X) - \varepsilon \leq A(\{x_n\}) \leq \bar{J}(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Obvious.  $\square$

Considering a weakly convergent sequence, we have the following result.

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $x_n \xrightarrow{w} z$  and  $\limsup_n \|x_n - z\| = a$ . Then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  has an asymptotic equidistant subsequence  $\{y_k\}$  such that  $A(\{y_k\}) \geq a$ .*

*Proof.* Through choice of subsequence, we can assume that  $\lim \|x_n - z\| = a$ . Then it follows that  $A(\{y_k\}) \geq a$  for every asymptotic equidistant subsequence

$\{y_k\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$ . Otherwise, if  $A(\{y_k\}) < a$  for some subsequence  $\{y_k\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$ , then, for sufficiently large  $n \in \mathcal{N}$ , the diameter of  $\overline{\text{co}}(\{y_k\}_n^\infty)$  is smaller than  $a$ . This contradicts the fact  $z \in \overline{\text{co}}(\{y_k\}_n^\infty)$ .  $\square$

*Remark 1.* For a non-Schur space  $X$  (i.e., weak and strong convergence of a sequence in  $X$  do not coincide), there exist sequences in  $S(X)$  which weakly converge to zero. By the proof of Proposition 4, for a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $S(X)$  with  $x_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$ , any of its asymptotic equidistant subsequences  $\{y_k\}$  satisfies  $A(\{y_k\}) \geq 1$ .

2. IMPROVEMENTS OF EXPRESSIONS OF THE  $\text{WCS}(X)$

In order to study the weakly convergent sequence coefficient of product space we first give some improvements of expressions (1) and (2) on  $\text{WCS}(X)$ . It is assumed below that all Banach spaces are non-Schur spaces, and the area of spaces we discuss is extended from reflexive to this type of spaces. For a non-Schur space  $X$ ,  $\text{WCS}(X)$  is defined by (1) or (2) (both are equivalent) also.

**Theorem 1.**

$$(5) \quad \text{WCS}(X) = \sup \left\{ M : x_n \xrightarrow{w} u \Rightarrow M \cdot \limsup_n \|x_n - u\| \leq A(\{x_n\}) \right\}.$$

*Proof.* For convenience, let

$$W(X) = \sup \left\{ M : x_n \xrightarrow{w} u \Rightarrow M \cdot \limsup_n \|x_n - u\| \leq A(\{x_n\}) \right\}.$$

Since  $x_n \xrightarrow{w} u$  implies  $u \in \overline{\text{co}}(\{x_n\})$ , it is easy to see that  $W(X) \leq \text{WCS}(X)$ . Now let  $x_n \xrightarrow{w} u$ . Due to separableness of  $\overline{\text{co}}(\{x_n\})$ , we can choose a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  by the diagonal method such that  $\lim_k \|x_{n_k} - u\| = \limsup_n \|x_n - u\|$  and there exist  $\lim_k \|x_{n_k} - y\|$  for all  $y \in \overline{\text{co}}(\{x_n\})$ . For each  $k \geq 1$ , consider the sequence  $\{x_{n_j} : j \geq k\}$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by definition of  $\text{WCS}(X)$ , there exists  $y_k \in \overline{\text{co}}(\{x_j : j \geq k\})$  such that

$$(\text{WCS}(X) - \varepsilon) \cdot \limsup_j \|x_{n_j} - y_k\| \leq A(\{x_{n_j} : j \geq k\}) \leq A(\{x_{n_j}\}).$$

Notice that  $y_k \xrightarrow{w} u$  and by weakly lower semicontinuity of the functional  $\limsup_j \|x_{n_j} - y\|$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{WCS}(X) - \varepsilon) \cdot \limsup_n \|x_n - u\| &= (\text{WCS}(X) - \varepsilon) \cdot \lim_j \|x_{n_j} - u\| \\ &\leq (\text{WCS}(X) - \varepsilon) \cdot \limsup_k \lim_j \|x_{n_j} - y_k\| \\ &\leq A(\{x_{n_j}\}) \leq A(\{x_n\}). \end{aligned}$$

This means that  $\text{WCS}(X) - \varepsilon \leq W(X)$ . By the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon$  and the discussion above, we have shown that  $\text{WCS}(X) = W(X)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.**

(6)

$$\text{WCS}(X) = \inf \left\{ \frac{A(\{x_n\})}{r(u, \{x_n\})} : \{x_n\} \text{ weakly but not strongly converges to } u \right\}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose

$$A(X) = \inf \left\{ \frac{A(\{x_n\})}{r(u, \{x_n\})} : \{x_n\} \text{ weakly but not strongly converges to } u \right\}$$

and  $x_n \xrightarrow{w} u$  but  $\{x_n\}$  does not strongly converge. For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by Theorem 1,  $(\text{WCS}(X) - \varepsilon) \cdot \limsup_n \|x_n - u\| \leq A(\{x_n\})$ , i.e.,

$$\text{WCS}(X) - \varepsilon \leq \frac{A(\{x_n\})}{r(u, \{x_n\})}.$$

Hence  $\text{WCS}(X) - \varepsilon \leq A(X)$  and by the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon$  it follows that  $\text{WCS}(X) \leq A(X)$ . On the other hand, if  $x_n \xrightarrow{w} u$ , then  $A(X) \cdot \limsup_n \|x_n - u\| \leq A(\{x_n\})$ , which implies that  $A(X) \leq \text{WCS}(X)$ . Thus  $\text{WCS}(X) = A(X)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.**

$$(7) \quad \text{WCS}(X) = \inf\{A(\{x_n\}) : \{x_n\} \subset S(X) \text{ and } x_n \xrightarrow{w} 0\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $W_0(X) = \inf\{A(\{x_n\}) : \{x_n\} \subset S(X) \text{ and } x_n \xrightarrow{w} 0\}$ . By Theorem 2, it is clear that  $\text{WCS}(X) \leq W_0(X)$ . Conversely, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  such that  $x_n \xrightarrow{w} u$ ,  $\{x_n\}$  does not strongly converge, and  $A(\{x_n\})/r(u, \{x_n\}) < \text{WCS}(X) + \varepsilon$ . Let  $y_n = x_n - u$ . Then  $y_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$ . Choose a subsequence  $\{y_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{y_n\}$  such that  $\lim_k \|y_{n_k}\| = \limsup_n \|y_n\| > 0$  and each  $y_{n_k} \neq 0$ ; then  $z_{n_k} = y_{n_k}/\|y_{n_k}\| \in S(X)$  and  $z_{n_k} \xrightarrow{w} 0$ . Hence

$$W_0(X) \leq A(\{z_{n_k}\}) = \frac{A(\{y_{n_k}\})}{\lim_k \|y_{n_k}\|} \leq \frac{A(\{x_n\})}{r(u, \{x_n\})} < \text{WCS}(X) + \varepsilon.$$

By the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon$ , it follows that  $W_0(X) \leq \text{WCS}(X)$  and so  $\text{WCS}(X) = W_0(X)$ .  $\square$

From the results of Proposition 4 and Theorem 3 it follows immediately that

**Theorem 4.**

$$(8) \quad \text{WCS}(X) = \inf\{A(\{x_n\}) : \{x_n\} \text{ an asymptotic equidistant sequence in } S(X) \text{ and } x_n \xrightarrow{w} 0\}.$$

It is obvious that it is better to use and calculate expressions (5)–(8) on  $\text{WCS}(X)$  rather than expressions (1) and (2).

### 3. ON PRODUCT SPACES

The  $l_p$ -product space  $Z = (\prod_{i=1}^n X_i)_{l_p}$  of Banach spaces  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is, by definition, the set of all  $z = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , where  $x_i \in X_i$  for each  $i \leq n$ , either with the norm  $\|z\| = (\sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p)^{1/p}$  when  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , or with the norm  $\|z\| = \max\{\|x_i\| : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  when  $p = \infty$ . Now we use expression (8) to discuss  $\text{WCS}(Z)$ .

**Theorem 5.** Let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $Z = (\prod_{i=1}^n X_i)_{l_p}$ , and all  $X_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) be non-Schur spaces. Then  $\text{WCS}(Z) = \min\{\text{WCS}(X_i) : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we assume  $n = 2$ ,  $X_1 = X$ , and  $X_2 = Y$ . In view of  $Z$  having subspaces isometric and isomorphic to  $X$  and  $Y$ , it is clear that  $\text{WCS}(Z) \leq \min\{\text{WCS}(X), \text{WCS}(Y)\}$ . On the other hand, suppose  $\{z_n\} \subset S(Z)$ ,  $z_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$ , and  $\{z_n\}$  is an asymptotic equidistant sequence. Note

$z_n = (x_n, y_n)$ , where  $x_n \in X$  and  $y_n \in Y$ . Then  $x_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$  and  $y_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$ . Let  $\{n_k\}$  be a subsequence of the natural number sequence such that both  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  and  $\{y_{n_k}\}$  are asymptotic equidistant, and  $\lim_k \|x_{n_k}\| = a$  and  $\lim_k \|y_{n_k}\| = b$  both exist. For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , notice that  $\|x_{n_k}\|^p + \|y_{n_k}\|^p = 1$  implies  $a^p + b^p = 1$ . It is easy to prove

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\substack{i, j \rightarrow \infty \\ i \neq j}} \|z_{n_i} - z_{n_j}\| &= \lim_{\substack{i, j \rightarrow \infty \\ i \neq j}} (\|x_{n_i} - x_{n_j}\|^p + \|y_{n_i} - y_{n_j}\|^p)^{1/p} \\ &\geq \{a^p [\text{WCS}(X)]^p + b^p [\text{WCS}(Y)]^p\}^{1/p} \\ &\geq \min\{\text{WCS}(X), \text{WCS}(Y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $p = \infty$ , notice that  $\max\{\|x_{n_k}\|, \|y_{n_k}\|\} = 1$  implies  $\max\{a, b\} = 1$ . It is easy to prove also

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\substack{i, j \rightarrow \infty \\ i \neq j}} \|z_{n_i} - z_{n_j}\| &= \lim_{\substack{i, j \rightarrow \infty \\ i \neq j}} \max\{\|x_{n_i} - x_{n_j}\|, \|y_{n_i} - y_{n_j}\|\} \\ &\geq \max\{a \text{WCS}(X), b \text{WCS}(Y)\} \\ &\geq \min\{\text{WCS}(X), \text{WCS}(Y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\text{WCS}(Z) \geq \min\{\text{WCS}(X), \text{WCS}(Y)\}$  for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , and the proof is complete.  $\square$

Recalling that Maluta's coefficient  $D(X)$  of a Banach space  $X$  is defined by [5]

$$D(X) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\limsup d(x_{n+1}, \text{co}(\{x_j\}_1^n))}{\text{diam}(\{x_n\})} : \{x_n\} \text{ a bounded nonconstant sequence in } X \right\}$$

and  $\text{WCS}(X) = 1/D(X)$  for reflexive space  $X$  [6], we obtain immediately

**Corollary 1.** Let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $Z = (\prod_{i=1}^n X_i)_{l_p}$ , and all  $X_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) be reflexive Banach spaces. Then

$$D(Z) = \max\{D(X_i) : 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

**Remark 2.** When Landes discussed permanence properties of normal structures under a finite product of Banach spaces, he showed that [7] if both  $X$  and  $Y$  are reflexive spaces and  $\text{WCS}(X), \text{WCS}(Y) > 1$ , then  $Z = (X \times Y)_{l_1}$  has the normal structure. Applying our Theorem 5, in fact, we can obtain  $\text{WCS}(Z) > 1$ , which implies that  $Z$  has the normal structure.

## REFERENCES

1. W. L. Bynum, *Normal structure coefficient for Banach spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **86** (1980), 427-436.
2. T. C. Lim, *On the normal structure coefficient and the bounded sequence coefficient*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **88** (1983), 262-264.
3. V. I. Istrătescu, *On a measure of noncompactness*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R. S. Roumanie **16** (1972), 195-197.
4. C. A. Kottmann, *Subsets of the unit ball that are separated by more than one*, Studia Math. **53** (1975), 15-27.

5. E. Maluta, *Uniformly normal structure and related coefficients*, Pacific J. Math. **111** (1984), 357–369.
6. Hong-Kun Xu, *On the Maluta's problem of sequence constants in Banach spaces*, Bull. Sci. China **34** (1989), 725–726. (Chinese)
7. T. Landes, *Permanence properties of normal structure*, Pacific J. Math. **110** (1984), 125–143.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF PETROLEUM, DONGYING CITY,  
SHANDONG, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA