

## UNDECIDABILITY OF PARAMETRIC SOLUTIONS OF POLYNOMIAL EQUATIONS

K. H. KIM AND F. W. ROUSH

(Communicated by Andreas R. Blass)

**ABSTRACT.** We prove that, for any field  $\mathbf{F}$  of characteristic 0 satisfying a hypothesis related to not being algebraically closed, the problem of finding nonconstant parametric solutions in  $\mathbf{F}(t)$  to a polynomial system with coefficients in  $\mathbf{F}$  is algorithmically unsolvable.

Solutions of Diophantine equations over rings and fields are often expressible in terms of polynomials or rational functions. That is, given an equation system over a ring  $\mathcal{R}$  we find nonconstant elements of  $\mathcal{R}[t]$  that satisfy the equation. Note that this is not obviously equivalent to the Diophantine problem in  $\mathcal{R}[t]$  since, in the latter case, we can have coefficients in  $\mathcal{R}[t]$ . Two reasons for interest in the parametric problem are that it relates to the case of Diophantine equations over  $\mathbf{Q}$  since many systems over  $\mathbf{Q}$  have parametric solutions and that it corresponds to nonconstant maps of affine varieties.

After the Matijasevitch-Davis-Putnam-Robinson proof that the Diophantine problem is unsolvable over  $\mathbf{Z}$ , Denef and coworkers extended this result to various rings of algebraic integers and to  $\mathcal{R}[t]$  for  $\mathcal{R}$  of characteristic zero (but did not treat the parametric problem) [D1]. In [D2] he dealt with  $\mathcal{R}[t]$  for  $\mathcal{R}$  of positive characteristic. See [C, BDL] for related work on these questions. Recently, Pheidas, in unpublished work using methods of [P], proved Diophantine undecidability of  $\mathcal{R}[t]$  for  $\mathcal{R}$ , a finite field, and we dealt with  $\mathbf{C}(t_1, t_2)$ , see [KR]. Pheidas also more recently proved unsolvability of a parametric problem for general rings  $\mathcal{R}[t]$  but his problem is not the same as ours, in that he proves undecidability of nonconstancy in a chosen variable and thus does not establish undecidability of nonconstant maps of affine varieties. Neither his proof nor ours extends to settle our parametric problem for  $\mathbf{C}[t]$ , and we feel this probably lies deeper within algebraic geometry.

**Definition.** The *polynomial parametric problem* for the given ring  $\mathcal{R}$  is given a finite system of polynomial equations over  $\mathcal{R}$

$$p_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$$

---

Received by the editors March 5, 1990 and, in revised form, September 27, 1991.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11U05, 12L05; Secondary 13L05.

*Key words and phrases.* Diophantine problem in polynomial equation, parametric solution.

This work was partly supported by NSF-DMS 8820801, 9024813.

to find elements  $x_i$  in  $\mathcal{R}[t]$ , not all in  $\mathcal{R}$ , satisfying these equations.

**HYPOTHESIS.** Over the given field  $\mathcal{R}$  of characteristic 0, for  
 (H) any finite set  $S$  of elements there is a polynomial  $\rho$  such that  $\rho$  never assumes a value in  $S$ .

All the nonalgebraically closed fields of characteristic 0 which we have been able to construct have this property, but we do not know whether every nonalgebraically closed field has it.

**Theorem 1.** *The polynomial parametric problem is unsolvable over any field  $\mathcal{R}$  of characteristic 0 that satisfies (H).*

*Proof.* We use an extension of the method of Denef [D1]. We take a Pell equation in which a variable  $u$  is a parameter and describe a subset of its solutions in terms of units in a ring, given  $u$  is nonconstant. Then we characterize the integers in terms of the coefficients of  $u$  in solutions of these equations. Finally, we add equations guaranteeing that  $u$  cannot be constant unless every variable is constant. It is the last step that requires the field not be algebraically closed in our proof.

Take as Pell equation

$$(1) \quad y^2 - (u^4 - 1)x^2 = 1$$

over  $\mathcal{R}[t]$ . We first prove that if  $u$  is nonconstant, and if

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} x = a_0 + a_1u^2 + a_2u^4, \\ y = a_{00} + a_{10}u^2 + a_{20}u^4, \end{cases}$$

where  $a_0, a_1, a_{10}, a_{00}$  are constant and  $a_2, a_{20} \in \mathcal{R}[t]$ , then

$$y + (\sqrt{u^4 - 1})x = \pm(u^2 - \sqrt{u^4 - 1})^n$$

for some  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ . We can specify that a variable  $a_i \in \mathcal{R}[t]$  is constant by

$$(3) \quad b_{i1}b_{i2} = 1, \quad b_{i3}b_{i4} = 1, \quad a_i = b_{i1} + b_{i3},$$

i.e., it is a sum of two units in  $\mathcal{R}$ .

If  $u$  is nonconstant then  $(u^4 - 1)$  is not square, as otherwise we have a parametric solution of  $y^2 = x^4 - 1$  and that gives a nonconstant rational map from a rational curve to a curve of genus 1. Such maps cannot exist.

Therefore,  $\mathbf{F}_1 = \mathcal{R}(t, \sqrt{u^4 - 1})$  is a quadratic function field. Therefore, its group of units modulo  $\mathcal{R}^*$  has rank at most 1 by consideration of valuations as follows. Let  $v$  be the valuation in additive notation of  $\mathcal{R}[t]$  that gives the asymptotic degree of a rational function. It has two extensions  $v_1, v_2$  to  $\mathbf{F}_1$ , according to whether we choose positive or negative signs for the square root.

For any units  $u_0$ ,

$$v_1(u_0) + v_2(u_0) = v(u_0u_0^*) = 0,$$

and all other valuations, which arise from prime ideals, are trivial on  $u_0$ . Suppose that  $v_1$  is trivial on some unit

$$u_0 = f_1 + (\sqrt{u^4 - 1})g_1$$

where  $f_1$  and  $g_1$  are polynomials. Then

$$v_1(2f_1) = v_1(u_0 + u_0^*) \leq \max\{v_1(u_0), v_1(u_0^*)\} = 0.$$

Therefore,  $f_1$  is constant. Moreover

$$v_1(2g_1\sqrt{u^4-1}) = v_1(u_0 - u_0^*) \leq \max\{v_1(u_0), v_1(u_0^*)\} = 0.$$

Therefore,  $g_1 = 0$ . Therefore,  $v_1$  detects all units modulo constants, and the group of units modulo constants has rank at most 1.

It has rank exactly 1 by consideration of powers of

$$\Omega = u^2 - \sqrt{u^4 - 1}.$$

Since  $\Omega$  generates a full rank subgroup, any unit  $v$  of norm 1 is a rational power of  $\pm\Omega$ .

If  $v^r = \pm\Omega^s$  and  $(r, s) = 1$ , then for some  $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$  minimizing  $a+sb/r > 0$ , the element  $\theta = \Omega^a v^b$  will be a common root of  $\pm\Omega$  and  $\pm v$ . If  $v$  satisfies (1) and (2) so will  $\theta$ .

It remains to consider the possible roots of  $\pm\Omega$ . Suppose  $\pm\Omega$  is an  $n$  power of

$$\Omega_1 = a + b\sqrt{u^4 - 1}.$$

First consider  $n$  odd. Expanding  $\Omega_1^n = \Omega$  as

$$\begin{aligned} a^n + \dots + nab^{n-1}(u^4 - 1)^k + (nba^{n-1} + \dots + b^n(u^4 - 1)^k)\sqrt{u^4 - 1} \\ = u^2 - \sqrt{u^4 - 1}, \quad k = \frac{n-1}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

we find  $b$  divides 1 and  $a$  divides  $u^2$ . Since  $(a, b) = 1$ , if  $p^s$  is the highest power of a prime  $p$  in  $a$ ,  $s > 0$ , then it is also the highest power of  $p$  in

$$a^n + \dots + nab^{n-1}(u^4 - 1)^k = u^2.$$

Therefore  $(u^2/a, a) = 1$  and  $a$  is a constant times a perfect square dividing  $u^2$ .

Compare with (2). If  $a_{00} \neq 0$  then  $\gcd(a, u^2) = 1$  so  $a$  is constant since it divides  $u^2$ . If  $a_{00} = 0$ , then  $a|u^2$  and  $u^2|a$ , so  $a$  is a constant times  $u^2$ . But from (1) this gives only solutions  $1, -1, \Omega, -\Omega$ , and conjugates.

Next consider  $n = 2$ . Then  $2ab = \pm 1$  so  $a$  and  $b$  are constants. The norm equation implies  $b = 0$ . But then  $\Omega_1^2 = \pm u$  cannot happen.

We have shown that any solution  $(x, y)$  of (1) and (2) is of the form

$$y + (\sqrt{u^4 - 1})x = \pm\Omega^n.$$

The property that  $n$  is odd is characterized among those by

$$(4) \quad a_{00} = 0.$$

By binomial expansion, the  $y$  term for  $\Omega^n$  is congruent modulo  $u^4$  to  $n(u^2)(-1)^\tau$ ,  $\tau = (n-1)/2$ . Therefore,  $a_{10}$  ranges over the odd integers, so we may specify that  $n$  is an integer by

$$(5) \quad (n - a_{10})(n - a_{10} - 1) = 0.$$

Conversely, given any integer  $n$  and  $u$ , we may solve (1)-(5) using

$$y + (\sqrt{u^4 - 1})x^2 = \pm\Omega^m, \quad m = 2[n/2] \pm 1.$$

Given a Diophantine equation

$$(6) \quad \mathbf{F}(n_1, \dots, n_k) = 0$$

over  $\mathbf{Z}$ , we take variables  $x_i$  and  $y_i$  and  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  satisfying  $k$  copies of the above equations for respective  $n$ . These copies of the equations have disjoint sets of variables except that the same  $u$  is used in all equations and the  $n$ 's of the different copies are the same as the variables in (6). For brevity, we still refer to the copies as equations (1)–(5). Then we have shown the system (1)–(6) has a solution where  $u$  is nonconstant over  $\mathcal{R}[t]$  if and only if  $\mathbf{F}$  has a solution over  $\mathbf{Z}$ .

Now we study the case when  $u$  is constant. We will add one more equation that will still be solvable if (1)–(6) are for nonconstant  $u$  and that also ensures that if  $u$  is constant then so is every other variable. By the assumption (H), we may assume

$$(7) \quad u = f(v)$$

where  $v$  is a nonconstant polynomial that never assumes the values  $0, 1, -1, i, -i$ . Now suppose  $u$  is constant, so by (7) it is not  $0, 1, -1, i, -i$ . By (7)  $v$  is constant. By (1), factoring  $y^2 - (u^4 - 1)x^2$  over an extension of the coefficient field, we find that  $x$  and  $y$  are constant. By (2)  $a_2, a_{20}$  are constant. Equation (3) can only be solved with all variables constant. By (4), (5) the  $n_i$  are constant also.

Hence if (6) has a solution over  $\mathbf{Z}$  then (1)–(7) have a parametric solution over  $\mathbf{Z}$ ; then  $u$  must be constant and we have shown that all variables are constant.  $\square$

**Corollary.** *Over a field satisfying hypothesis (H) it is undecidable whether there exists a nonconstant morphism from the affine line to a given other affine algebraic variety.*

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to express sincere thanks to an unknown referee and the communicator for very constructive comments on the original and the revisions of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [BDL] J. Becker, J. Denef, and L. Lipshitz, *Further remarks on the elementary theory of formal power series rings*, Model Theory of Algebra and Arithmetic (Proc. Karpacz, Poland 1979), Lectures Notes in Math., vol. 834, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980.
- [C] G. L. Cherlin, *Definability in power series rings of nonzero characteristic*, Models and Sets, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1103, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984, pp. 102–112.
- [D1] J. Denef, *The Diophantine problem for polynomial rings and fields of rational functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **242** (1978), 391–399.
- [D2] —, *The Diophantine problem for polynomial rings of positive characteristic*, Logic Colloq., vol. 82, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1979.
- [Ha] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [KR] K. H. Kim and F. W. Roush, *Diophantine undecidability of  $\mathbf{C}(t_1, t_2)$* , J. Algebra (to appear).
- [P] T. Pheidas, *An undecidability result for power series rings of positive characteristic. II*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **100** (1987), 526–530.