

THE CONJUGATION OPERATOR ON $A_q(G)$

SANJIV KUMAR GUPTA, SHOBHA MADAN, AND U. B. TEWARI

(Communicated by J. Marshall Ash)

ABSTRACT. Let G be a compact abelian group and Γ its dual. For $1 \leq q < \infty$, the space $A_q(G)$ is defined as

$$A_q(G) = \{f \mid f \in L^1(G), \hat{f} \in l_q(\Gamma)\}$$

with the norm $\|f\|_{A_q} = \|f\|_{L^1} + \|\hat{f}\|_{l_q}$. We prove: Let G be a compact, connected abelian group and P any fixed order on Γ . If $q > 2$ and ϕ is a Young's function, then the conjugation operator H does not extend to a bounded operator from $A_q(G)$ to the Orlicz space $L^\phi(G)$.

Let G be a compact abelian group and Γ its dual. For $1 \leq q < \infty$, the space $A_q(G)$ is defined as

$$A_q(G) = \{f \mid f \in L^1(G), \hat{f} \in l_q(\Gamma)\}$$

with the norm $\|f\|_{A_q} = \|f\|_{L^1} + \|\hat{f}\|_{l_q}$. Then $A_q(G)$ is a commutative semisimple Banach algebra with maximal ideal space Γ , in which the set of trigonometric polynomials \mathcal{T} is dense [4].

If G is, in addition, a connected group, then its dual can be ordered; i.e., there exists a semigroup $P \subseteq \Gamma$ such that $P \cap -P = \{0\}$, $P \cup -P = \Gamma$ [5], and we say that $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is positive if $\gamma \in P$. If $f = \sum_{\gamma \in F} \hat{f}(\gamma)\gamma$ is a trigonometric polynomial, the conjugation operator is defined as

$$Hf = \sum_{\gamma \in F} -i \operatorname{sgn}(\gamma) \hat{f}(\gamma)\gamma$$

where $\operatorname{sgn}(\gamma) = +1$ if $\gamma \in P$, -1 if $\gamma \in -P$, and 0 if $\gamma = 0$.

If $1 \leq q \leq 2$, then $A_q(G) \subseteq L^2(G)$, and it is easy to see that H extends to a bounded operator on $A_q(G)$. The corresponding result for $q > 2$ is not known. Clearly H extends to a bounded operator on A_q if and only if H extends to a bounded operator from $A_q(G)$ to $L^1(G)$.

In this paper we use Rudin-Shapiro polynomials (see [1] or [2]) to study the conjugation operator from $A_q(G)$ to Orlicz spaces $L^\phi(G)$. We recall the definition of Orlicz spaces below.

Received by the editors May 27, 1992 and, in revised form, August 20, 1992.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42A50, 43A17.

Key words and phrases. Conjugation operator, Rudin-Shapiro polynomials.

We say that ϕ is a Young's function if ϕ is an increasing, continuous, convex function on \mathbb{R}^+ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\phi(t)}{t} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi(t)}{t} = \infty.$$

The Orlicz space $L^\phi(G)$ is the class of complex-valued measurable functions on G such that for some $\lambda > 0$

$$\int_G \phi(|\lambda f(x)|) dx < \infty.$$

Then

$$\|f\|_{L^\phi} = \inf_{\lambda > 0} \frac{1}{\lambda} \{N_\phi(\lambda f) + 1\}$$

defines a Banach space norm on $L^\phi(G)$ [3]. The conditions on ϕ imply that $L^\phi(G) \subseteq L^1(G)$.

Theorem. *Let G be a compact, connected abelian group and P any fixed order on Γ . If $q > 2$ and ϕ is a Young's function, then the conjugation operator H does not extend to a bounded operator from $A_q(G)$ to $L^\phi(G)$.*

We use Rudin-Shapiro polynomials (see [1]) to prove this theorem. These are described below.

Let $u \in \mathcal{S}$ be such that $E_0 = \text{supp}(\hat{u}) \subseteq P$. Let $f_0 = g_0 = u$. For $n \geq 0$, let $\gamma_n \notin (E_n - E_n)$, $\gamma_n \geq 0$, and define

$$f_{n+1} = f_n + \gamma_n g_n, \quad g_{n+1} = f_n - \gamma_n g_n.$$

Then $\text{supp}(\hat{f}_{n+1}) = \text{supp}(\hat{g}_{n+1}) = E_{n+1} = E_n \cup (\gamma_n + E_n) \subseteq P$. For $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we have

$$\hat{f}_{n+1}(\gamma) = \hat{f}_n(\gamma) + \hat{g}_n(\gamma - \gamma_n).$$

Since $(\gamma_n + E_n) \cap E_n = \emptyset$,

$$\|\hat{f}_{n+1}\|_{l_r}^r = \|\hat{f}_n\|_{l_r}^r + \|\hat{g}_n\|_{l_r}^r,$$

similarly,

$$\|\hat{g}_{n+1}\|_{l_r}^r = \|\hat{f}_n\|_{l_r}^r + \|\hat{g}_n\|_{l_r}^r.$$

Therefore, by induction, we get

$$\|\hat{f}_{n+1}\|_{l_r}^r = 2^n (\|\hat{f}_0\|_{l_r}^r + \|\hat{g}_0\|_{l_r}^r) = 2^{n+1} \|\hat{u}\|_{l_r}^r.$$

Hence

$$(1) \quad \|\hat{f}_n\|_{l_r} = 2^{n/r} \|\hat{u}\|_{l_r} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\hat{g}_n\|_{l_r} = 2^{n/r} \|\hat{u}\|_{l_r}.$$

Next, using elementary algebra and induction on n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{n+1}(x)|^2 + |g_{n+1}(x)|^2 &= 2(|f_n(x)|^2 + |g_n(x)|^2) \\ &= 2^{n+1} (|f_0(x)|^2 + |g_0(x)|^2) = 2^{n+2} |u(x)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(2) \quad |f_n(x)| \leq 2^{(n+1)/2} |u(x)| \quad \text{and} \quad |g_n(x)| \leq 2^{(n+1)/2} |u(x)|.$$

Further, we also have

$$(3) \quad |f_n(x)| + |g_n(x)| \geq (|f_n(x)|^2 + |g_n(x)|^2)^{1/2} = 2^{(n+1)/2} |u(x)|.$$

For a Young's function ϕ , define

$$h_n = \begin{cases} f_n & \text{if } \|f_n\|_{L^\phi} \geq \|g_n\|_{L^\phi}, \\ g_n & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is now easy to see that

$$(4) \quad \|\hat{h}_n\|_l = 2^{n/r} \|\hat{u}\|_l,$$

and

$$(5) \quad \|h_n\|_{L^\phi} \leq 2^{(n+1)/2} \|u\|_{L^\phi}.$$

Also,

$$\|h_n\|_{L^\phi} = \max(\|f_n\|_{L^\phi}, \|g_n\|_{L^\phi}) \geq \frac{1}{2}(\|f_n\|_{L^\phi} + \|g_n\|_{L^\phi}).$$

Hence, from (3)

$$(6) \quad \|h_n\|_{L^\phi} \geq 2^{(n-1)/2} \|u\|_{L^\phi}.$$

Note that inequalities (5) and (6) also hold for the L^1 -norm.

Proof of the theorem. Consider the projection operator defined on trigonometric polynomials as

$$S(f) = \sum_{\gamma \geq 0} \hat{f}(\gamma) \gamma, \quad f \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Then $Hf = -i(2S(f) - f - \hat{f}(0)) \forall f \in \mathcal{F}$. Clearly H extends to a bounded operator from A_q to L^ϕ if and only if S extends to a bounded operator from A_q to L^ϕ . Since $\phi(t)/t \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, there exists a sequence $(v_n) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ with $\text{supp}(\hat{v}_n) \subseteq P$ such that

$$\|v_n\|_{L^1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|v_n\|_{L^\phi} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now let m_n be an increasing sequence of integers such that $|\text{supp}(\hat{v}_n)| \leq m_n$, and let $u_n = v_n/2^{m_n/2}$. For each u_n , construct a sequence of Rudin-Shapiro polynomials $\{h_m^n\}_{m=1}^\infty$ as above with $\text{supp}\{h_m^n\} \subseteq P$. Now define $h_n = h_{m_n}^n$. Then $Sh_n = h_n$. From (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{h}_n\|_{l_q} &= 2^{m_n(1/q-1/2)} \|\hat{v}_n\|_{l_q} \leq 2^{m_n(1/q-1/2)} m_n^{1/q} \|\hat{v}_n\|_{l_\infty} \\ &\leq 2^{m_n(1/q-1/2)} m_n^{1/q} \|v_n\|_{L^1}. \end{aligned}$$

Also from (5) and (6) we have

$$\|h_n\|_{L^1} \leq 2^{1/2} \|v_n\|_{L^1} \quad \text{and} \quad \|h_n\|_{L^\phi} \geq (2)^{-1/2} \|v_n\|_{L^\phi}.$$

Hence $\|h_n\|_{A_q} \rightarrow 0$ and $\|h_n\|_{L^\phi} = \|Sh_n\|_{L^\phi} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This proves that S does not extend to a bounded operator from A_q to L^ϕ .

Corollary. If $q > 2$ and ϕ is any Young's function, then $A_q \not\subseteq L^\phi$.

Remark. In particular if $\phi(t) = t(\log^+ t)$, we see that there exists $f \in A_q$ such that $f \notin L(\log L)$. We do not know whether there exists such a function which, in addition, is nonnegative. The implication of this, for the circle group \mathbb{T} would be that $Hf \notin L^1(\mathbb{T})$ (using Theorem 2.10, Chapter VII of [6]).

REFERENCES

1. E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis*, Vol. II, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., Band 152, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1970.
2. Y. Katznelson, *Introduction to harmonic analysis*, Wiley, New York, 1968.
3. M. A. Krasnosel'skii and Ya. B. Rutickii, *Convex functions and Orlicz spaces*, Noordhoff, Groningen, 1961.
4. R. Larsen, *An introduction to the theory of multipliers*, Springer-Verlag, New York and Berlin, 1971.
5. W. Rudin, *Fourier analysis on groups*, Interscience, New York, 1962.
6. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, Vol. I, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York and London, 1977.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KANPUR, KANPUR,
208016, INDIA

E-mail address: sanjiv@hydra.maths.unsw.edu.au

E-mail address: madan@iitk.ernet.in

and

E-mail address: ubtewari@iitk.ernet.in