

DEGENERATION OF PSEUDO-LAPLACE OPERATORS FOR HYPERBOLIC RIEMANN SURFACES

LIZHEN JI

(Communicated by Dennis A. Hejhal)

ABSTRACT. For finite volume, noncompact Riemann surfaces with their canonical hyperbolic metrics, there is a notion of pseudo-Laplace operators which include all embedded eigenvalues ($> \frac{1}{4}$) of the Laplacian as a part of their eigenvalues. Similarly, we define pseudo-Laplace operators for compact hyperbolic Riemann surfaces with short geodesics. Then, for any degenerating family of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces S_l ($l \geq 0$), we show that normalized pseudo-eigenfunctions and pseudoeigenvalues of S_l converge to normalized pseudo-eigenfunctions and pseudoeigenvalues of S_0 as $l \rightarrow 0$. In particular, normalized embedded eigenfunctions and their embedded eigenvalues of S_0 can be approximated by normalized pseudo-eigenfunctions and pseudoeigenvalues of S_l and $l \rightarrow 0$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let S be a noncompact, complete hyperbolic surface of finite area. Then the Laplacian of S has continuous spectrum $[\frac{1}{4} + \infty)$ and discrete spectrum which may be embedded in the continuous part (see [12]). To study Weyl's asymptotic formula for the discrete spectrum, Lax and Phillips [9, Theorem 8.6] introduced an operator Δ_a , which is called the pseudo-Laplacian by Colin de Verdière [4]. In [4] the pseudo-Laplacian was used to show, among other things, that, under generic compactly supported conformal perturbation of hyperbolic metrics, all the embedded eigenvalues ($\geq \frac{1}{4}$) are destroyed. Pseudo-Laplacians are also useful in studying embedded eigenvalues for hyperbolic deformations. Using the pseudo-Laplacian, Deshoullers, Iwaniec, Phillips, and Sarnak [5, 11], showed that, by deforming certain arithmetic surfaces in a generic direction, infinitely many embedded eigenvalues are destroyed. Based on this, Phillips and Sarnak [10] conjectured that a generic hyperbolic surface has only finitely many embedded eigenvalues, contrary to a conjecture of Selberg [12].

Inspired by [4], we define the pseudo-Laplacian for hyperbolic surfaces with short geodesics. We believe that spectral degeneration of surfaces will help us understand spectra of noncompact surfaces, and pseudo-Laplacians are an important tool for studying degeneration. Actually, a preliminary version of this

Received by the editors June 30, 1992 and, in revised form, August 15, 1992.
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 58G25, 58G18; Secondary 51M10.
Partially supported by NSF grant DMS 8907710.

paper is used by Wolpert in [18], where he recently verified the above conjecture of Phillips and Sarnak for a special family of hyperbolic surfaces.

Now we give a detailed introduction to this paper. Let S_l be a degenerating family of compact hyperbolic surfaces, i.e., there are several simple closed geodesics $\gamma_1(l), \dots, \gamma_m(l)$ on S_l whose lengths go to zero as $l \rightarrow 0$. Following [4], we define a pseudo-Laplacian $\Delta_a(l)$ for S_l . The operator $\Delta_a(l)$ acts on a subspace $\overline{H}(S_l) \subset L^2(S_l)$, which is defined by the vanishing of the zeroth Fourier coefficient in the pinching collars around the geodesics $\gamma_1(l), \dots, \gamma_m(l)$ on S_l (see §2 for details).

Definition 1.1. Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of $\Delta_a(l)$ are called *pseudoeigenvalues* and *pseudoeigenfunctions*, respectively. Let $\{\lambda_i(l)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ denote the pseudoeigenvalues and $\{\psi_i(l)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ denote their corresponding orthonormal pseudoeigenfunctions.

For any $\lambda > 0$, define a kernel function on $S_l \times S_l$,

$$K_\lambda(z, w; l) = \sum_{\lambda_i(l) \leq \lambda} \psi_i(l)(z)\psi_i(l)(w).$$

Further, to compare functions on S_0 and S_l , we would like to use the harmonic map of infinite energy from S_0 to S_l constructed by Wolf [13]. In order to preserve the domains of the pseudo-Laplacians, i.e., to preserve the longitudes and meridians in the pinching collars and the cusps, we use the modified harmonic map by Wolpert [18, Chapter 2], which is denoted by $\pi_l: S_0 \rightarrow S_l$. Intuitively speaking, the (modified) harmonic map opens up each node of S_0 into a simple closed geodesic of S_l and is a homeomorphism from S_0 to $S_l \setminus \{\gamma_1(l), \dots, \gamma_m(l)\}$ such that the pull-back of the S_l -hyperbolic metric converges in C^k -norm on compact subsets to the S_0 -hyperbolic metric for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, we have the following

Theorem 1.2. (1) For any $i \geq 1$, $\lim_{l \rightarrow 0} \lambda_i(l) = \lambda_i(0)$.

(2) For any sequence $l_j \rightarrow 0$, let $\{\psi_i(l_j)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be any choice of a complete system of orthonormal pseudoeigenfunctions on S_{l_j} . Then there is a subsequence $\{l'_j\} \subset \{l_j\}$, such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{l'_j}^* \psi_i(l'_j)$ exists for all i . Let $\psi_i(0) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{l'_j}^* \psi_i(l'_j)$. The limit functions $\{\psi_i(0)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ form a complete system of orthonormal pseudoeigenfunctions on S_0 .

(3) For any $\lambda > 0$ and $\lambda \notin \text{Spec}(\Delta_a(0))$, $\lim_{l \rightarrow 0} K_\lambda(\pi_l(z), \pi_l(w); l) = K_\lambda(z, w; 0)$, where the convergence is uniform over compact subsets of $S_0 \times S_0$.

Now for a noncompact surface S_0 , embedded eigenvalues of the Laplacian are included among the pseudoeigenvalues (see Theorem 2.1). Then immediately, we have

Corollary 1.3. Let $\lambda(0) \geq \frac{1}{4}$ be an eigenvalue of S_0 with multiplicity n . Then, for any sequence $l_j \rightarrow 0$, there is a subsequence $\{l'_j\} \subset \{l_j\}$ and normalized pseudoeigenfunctions $\psi_{i_1}(l'_j), \dots, \psi_{i_n}(l'_j)$ on $S_{l'_j}$, with pseudoeigenvalues $\lambda_{i_1}(l'_j), \dots, \lambda_{i_n}(l'_j)$ such that, for $1 \leq k \leq n$, $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{i_k}(l'_j) = \lambda(0)$ and $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{l'_j}^*(\psi_{i_k}(l'_j))$ exists. Further, the limit functions form an orthonormal basis of the λ_0 eigenspace of S_0 .

In fact, we conjecture that this corollary holds for the eigenfunctions (of $\Delta(l)$) themselves. Pseudoeigenfunctions $\{\psi_i(l)\}$ of S_l satisfy $\Delta(l)\psi_i(l) - \lambda_i(l)\psi_i(l) =$

0, on $S_l \setminus \partial(\cup C_l(a))$, where $\cup C_l(a)$ is the union of all pinching collars embedded in S_l and $\Delta(l)$ is the Beltrami-Laplace operator (see §2 for details). For those pseudoeigenfunctions converging to embedded eigenfunctions, their zeroth Fourier coefficients in the pinching collars converge to zero as $l \rightarrow 0$, so cutting off the zeroth Fourier coefficient inside the pinching collars should not have much influence on these functions. It is conceivable that we can perturbate these pseudoeigenfunctions and recover some information about eigenfunctions of S_l , which approximate the embedded eigenfunctions of S_0 as $l \rightarrow 0$.

There are other motivations for studying degeneration of the pseudo-Laplacians. When we take the whole $(\Delta(l), L^2(S_l))$ space into consideration, the eigenvalues of S_l cluster at every point of $[\frac{1}{4}, \infty)$ as $l \rightarrow 0$. During the degeneration, the dominant role is played by the part converging to the continuous spectrum of S_0 . But this phenomenon is *independent* of the global geometry of S_l and, instead, only depends on the lengths of the pinching geodesics $\{\gamma_1(l), \dots, \gamma_m(l)\}$ (see [14, 7 and 8]). It is expected that the pseudo-Laplacian $\Delta_a(l)$ can carry global information about S_l during degeneration. Furthermore, from [8] and [16], we can distinguish between eigenfunctions which converge to generalized eigenfunctions of S_0 (they are given by Eisenstein series) and other eigenfunctions which converge to embedded eigenfunctions of S_0 . An open problem is to characterize the subspace of $L^2(S_l)$ which converges to the subspace of $L^2(S_0)$ spanned by all the embedded eigenfunctions of S_0 as $l \rightarrow 0$.

The organization of the rest of this paper is as follows. In §2 we define pseudo-Laplacians on S_l and characterize them in terms of Fourier expansions. In §3 we prove Theorem 1.2. The key is to show that the limit pseudoeigenfunctions are linearly independent. Unlike the case for eigenfunctions of eigenvalues $< \frac{1}{4}$, there is no easy way to prove the linear independence. Instead we prove a stronger statement that they are orthonormal. In order to do this, we need to show that no mass of pseudoeigenfunctions of S_l is lost deep inside the pinching collars during degeneration. The family $(\Delta_a(l), \overline{H}_a(S_l))$ ($l \geq 0$) is like a regular one, since we have removed the part of $L^2(S_l)$ which causes the clustering of eigenvalues of S_l as $l \rightarrow 0$ (in [18], Wolpert shows that Kato's asymptotic perturbation theory applies to this family). To make this intuitive argument rigorous, we need the detailed study of the spectral degeneration of the collars around the pinching geodesics as we have worked out in [8, Theorem 1.5].

2. DEFINITIONS OF PSEUDO-LAPLACIANS

In this section, we will define pseudo-Laplacians and recall their basic properties. We start with the subspace of $L^2(S_0)$ where the pseudo-Laplacian acts. For S_0 a noncompact hyperbolic surface of finite area and $a \geq 0$, let $C_0(a)$ be a horocyclic neighbourhood of a puncture of S_0 with boundary length e^{-a} and $\cup C_0(a)$ be the union of all such neighbourhoods in S_0 . For any function f on S_0 , let $f_0(r, \theta) = f_0(r)$ be the zeroth Fourier coefficient of f restricted to a horocyclic neighbourhood $C_0(a)$, where (r, θ) are the Fermi coordinates on $C_0(a)$. For $a > 1$, define a subspace of $L^2(S_0)$,

$$H_a(0) = \left\{ f \in W^{2,1}(S_0) \mid f_0(r, \theta) = 0 \text{ for each } (r, \theta) \in \cup C_0(a) \right\},$$

where $W^{2,1}(S_0)$ is the Sobolev space of S_0 ,

$$W^{2,1}(S_0) = \left\{ f \in L^2(S_0) \mid \int |\nabla f|^2 + f^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

Similarly, for S_l with $l > 0$, let $\bigcup C_l(a)$ be the union of all the pinching collars on S_l with boundary length e^{-a} . The zeroth Fourier coefficient of any function f on $\bigcup C_l(a)$ is also denoted by $f_0(r, \theta)$, where (r, θ) are the Fermi coordinates on the pinching collars. Then, for $a > 1$, define a subspace of $L^2(S_l)$,

$$H_a(l) = \{f \in W^{2,1}(S_l) \mid f_0(r, \theta) = 0 \text{ for } (r, \theta) \in \bigcup C_l(a)\},$$

where $W^{2,1}(S_l)$ is the Sobolev space associated to S_l as above.

Now we define the pseudo-Laplacians. The space $H_a(0)$ is a closed subspace of $W^{2,1}(S_0)$. The symmetric form $D_0[f, g] = \int_{S_0} \nabla_0 f \nabla_0 g$ restricts to $H_a(0)$ and induces by Friedrich's procedure a selfadjoint operator $\Delta_a(0)$ on $\overline{H_a(0)} \subset L^2(S_0)$, which is called the pseudo-Laplacian of S_0 . (See [4, Theorems 1, 2, and 5; 9, pp. 206–208; 15].)

Theorem 2.1 (Colin de Verdière et al. [4, Theorems 1, 2, and 5]). (1) *The domain of $\Delta_a(0)$ consists of those $f \in H_a(0)$ such that $\Delta(0)f - \alpha\delta_a(r) \in L^2(S_0)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, and then $\Delta_a(0)f$ is defined by $\Delta_a(0)f = \Delta(0)f - \alpha\delta_a(r)$, where $\delta_a(r)$ is the Dirac measure at a , $\Delta(0)f$ is in the sense of distribution, and $\Delta(0)$ is the Laplacian of S_0 .*

(2) *The operator $\Delta_a(0)$ is selfadjoint with compact resolvents.*

(3) *The spectrum of $\Delta_a(0)$ is discrete and is the union with appropriate multiplicity of the following two sequences:*

- (a) $\{\lambda_i\}$, where λ_i is an eigenvalue of $\Delta(0)$ on S_0 with an associated cuspidal eigenfunction φ_i and the associated pseudoeigenfunction of $\Delta_a(0)$ is also φ_i ;
- (b) $\{\mu_j(a)\}$, coming from Eisenstein series, roughly a discrete approximation to the continuous spectrum $[\frac{1}{4}, \infty)$ of S_0 .

By the same procedure, we get a selfadjoint operator $\Delta_a(l)$ on $\overline{H_a(l)} \in L^2(S_l)$ for S_l with $l > 0$, and call it the pseudo-Laplacian of S_l . The operator has the following properties (see [9, §8 pp. 206–208] for proofs).

Proposition 2.2. (1) *The domain of $\Delta_a(l)$ and its action are analogs of $\Delta_a(0)$ in Theorem 2.1.*

(2) *The operator $\Delta_a(l)$ is selfadjoint with compact resolvents.*

Proposition 2.3. *For $l \geq 0$, let $\psi(l)$ be any eigenfunction of $\Delta_a(l)$ with eigenvalue $\lambda(l)$. Then it can be characterized as follows:*

- (1) *For $z \in S_1 \setminus \partial(\bigcup C_l(a))$, $(\Delta_a(l) - \lambda(l))\psi(l)(z) = 0$.*
- (2) *Let $\psi_0(l)$ be the zeroth Fourier coefficient of $\psi(l)$ in the collars. Then $\psi_0(l)(r) = 0$ for $(r, \theta) \in \bigcup C_l(a)$, i.e., inside the collars, but $\psi_0(l)$ is continuous and possibly not differentiable across the boundary $\partial(\bigcup C_l(a)) \subset S_1$.*
- (3) *The function $\psi(l) - \psi_0(l)$ on $\bigcup C_l(0)$ is smooth across $\partial(\bigcup C_l(a)) \subset S_1$.*

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Before proving Theorem 1.2, we establish the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *For any $i \geq 1$, any sequence $l_j \rightarrow 0$, and any normalized pseudoeigenfunction $\psi_i(l_j)$ of $\Delta_a(l_j)$ with pseudoeigenvalue $\lambda_i(l_j)$, assume that $\overline{\lim}_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_i(l_j) < +\infty$. Then there is a subsequence $\{l'_j\} \subset \{l_j\}$ such that:*

- (1) *The limit $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_i(l'_j)$ exists, and the limit is denoted by $\lambda_i^\wedge(0)$.*
- (2) *The functions $\pi_{l'_j}^*(\psi_i(l'_j))$ converge uniformly over compact subsets of S_0 to some function $\psi_i^\wedge(0)$ on S_0 , which satisfies $(\Delta(0) - \lambda_i^\wedge(0))\psi_i^\wedge(0)(z) = 0$ for $z \in S_0 \setminus \partial(\cup C_0(a))$. The function $\psi_i^\wedge(0)$ is an eigenfunction of $\Delta_a(0)$ with eigenvalue $\lambda_i^\wedge(0)$.*

Remark. Actually, $\psi_i^\wedge(0)$ has L^2 -norm 1 (see Step 2 in the proof of Theorem 1.2).

Proof. To begin with, we have $\Delta(l_j)\psi_i(l_j) - \lambda_i(l_j)\psi_i(l_j) = 0$ on $S_{l_j} \setminus \partial(\cup C_{l_j}(a))$ and $\|\psi_i(l_j)\|_{L^2(S_{l_j})} = 1$. Since the zeroth Fourier term of $\psi_i(l_j)$ vanishes along $\partial(C_{l_j}(a))$, using integration by parts, we get

$$\int_{S_{l_j}} |\nabla \psi_i(l_j)|^2 = \lambda_i(l_j) \int_{S_{l_j}} |\psi_i(l_j)|^2 = \lambda_i(l_j).$$

Then, by regularity theory [6, Theorems 8.8 and 8.9] and the convergence of the modified harmonic maps, for any compact subset $K \subset S_0 \setminus \partial(\cup C_0(a))$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a constant $C = C(K, k)$ such that

$$\|\pi_{l_j}^* \psi_i(l_j)\|_{W^{k,2}(K)} \leq C.$$

Take an exhaustion of $S_0 \setminus \partial(\cup C_0(a))$ by compact subsets. Then, by Sobolev's embedding theorem [1, Theorem 5.4] and a diagonal argument, there is a subsequence $\{l'_j\} \subset \{l_j\}$ such that:

- (1) The limit $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_i(l'_j)$ exists, and the limit is denoted by $\lambda_i^\wedge(0)$.
- (2) The function $\pi_{l'_j}^*(\psi_i(l'_j))$ converges uniformly over compact subsets of $S_0 \setminus \partial(\cup C_0(a))$ to some function $\psi_i^\wedge(0)$.

We have to prove the uniform convergence across the boundary $\partial(\cup C_0(a))$. In each pinching collar $C_l(0)$ of S_l , for any function f on $C_l(0)$, let

$$f(r, \theta) = \alpha_0(r) + \sum_{n \neq 0} \alpha_n(r) e^{2\pi n i \theta}$$

be the Fourier expansion of f with respect to θ . Then $f_0(r, \theta) = \alpha_0(r)$ is the zeroth Fourier term of f . Define $f_1(r, \theta) = \sum_{n \neq 0} \alpha_n(r) e^{2\pi n i \theta}$ to be the remaining summation. Note that we only decompose $f = f_0 + f_1$ on the collar $C_l(0)$. We now study the convergence of $\psi_{i,1}(l_j)$ (the nonzero Fourier term of $\psi_i(l_j)$) and $\psi_{i,0}(l_j)$ (the zero Fourier term) inside the collar $C_l(0)$.

I. The nonzero Fourier terms. Note that $(\Delta(l_j) - \lambda_i(l_j))\psi_{i,1}(l_j) = 0$ on $C_l(0)$, $\int_{C_l(0)} |\psi_{i,1}(l_j)|^2 \leq 1$, and

$$\int_{C_l(0)} |\nabla \psi_{i,1}(l_j)|^2 \leq \int_{S_l} |\nabla \psi_i(l_j)|^2 = \lambda_i(l).$$

Then by the same argument as above, there is a subsequence of $\{l'_j\}$, still denoted by $\{l'_j\}$, such that $\pi_{l'_j}^*(\psi_{i,1}(l'_j))$ converges uniformly over compact subsets of $\bigcup C_0(0)$ to $\psi_{i,1}^\wedge(0)$. (The limit function agrees with the nonzero Fourier terms of the limit obtained above!) In particular, the convergence of $\pi_{l'_j}^*(\psi_{i,1}(l'_j))$ to $\psi_{i,1}^\wedge(0)$ is uniform across the boundary $\partial(\bigcup C_0(a))$.

II. The zero Fourier term. Since $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{l'_j}^*(\psi_i(l'_j)) = \psi_i^\wedge(0)$ uniformly over $\bigcup C_0(\frac{1}{2}a) \setminus C_0(\frac{1}{4}a)$ and $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{l'_j}^*(\psi_{i,1}(l'_j)) = \psi_{i,1}^\wedge(0)$ uniformly over $\bigcup C_0(\frac{1}{2}a) \setminus C_0(\frac{1}{4}a)$, it follows that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{l'_j}^*(\psi_{i,0}(l'_j)) = \psi_{i,0}^\wedge(0)$ uniformly over $\bigcup C_0(\frac{1}{2}a) \setminus C_0(\frac{1}{4}a)$. Notice that $\psi_{i,0}(l)$ satisfies the ordinary differential equation, $(\Delta(l; 0) - \lambda_i(l))\psi_{i,0}(l) = 0$, where $\Delta(l; 0)$ is the restriction of Δ_l to the subspace of rotationally invariant functions on $C_l(0) \subset S_l$, and thus it is a second-order ordinary differential operator in r . Since $\Delta(l; 0)$ approaches $\Delta(0; 0)$ as ordinary differential operators, it follows from the stability of the initial value problem for ordinary differential equations that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{l'_j}^*(\psi_{i,0}(l'_j)) = \psi_{i,0}^\wedge(0)$ uniformly over $\bigcup C_0(0) \setminus C_0(2a)$, in particular, uniformly across the boundary $\partial(\bigcup C_0(a))$.

By the above discussions, it is clear that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{l'_j}^*(\psi_i(l'_j)) = \psi_i^\wedge(0)$ uniformly over compact subsets of S_0 . The limit function $\psi_i^\wedge(0)$ satisfies $(\Delta(0) - \lambda_i^\wedge(0))\psi_i^\wedge(0) = 0$ on $S_0 \setminus \partial(\bigcup C_0(a))$ and $\|\psi_i^\wedge(0)\|_{L^2(S_0)} \leq 1$.

Now we show that $\psi_i^\wedge(0)$ satisfies the vanishing condition in each cusp of S_0 . Since $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{l'_j}^*(\psi_{i,0}(l'_j)) = \psi_{i,0}^\wedge(0)$, then, for $(r, \theta) \in \bigcup C_0(a)$,

$$\psi_{i,0}^\wedge(r) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{l'_j}^* \psi_{i,0}(l'_j) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} 0 = 0.$$

Furthermore, since $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{l'_j}^* \psi_{i,1}(l'_j) = \psi_{i,1}^\wedge(0)$ and $\psi_{i,1}$ is smooth across $\partial(\bigcup C_l(a)) \subset S_l$, it is clear that $\psi_i^\wedge(0) - \psi_{i,0}^\wedge(0) = \psi_{i,1}^\wedge(0)$ is smooth across $\partial(\bigcup C_0(a)) \subset S_0$. Therefore, by Proposition 2.3, the limit function $\psi_i^\wedge(0)$ is a pseudoeigenfunction of $\Delta_a(0)$ with pseudoeigenvalue $\lambda_i^\wedge(0)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The proof is divided into three steps:

1. Show that for $i \geq 1$, $\overline{\lim}_{l \rightarrow 0} \lambda_i(l) \leq \lambda_i(0)$.
2. Show that for $i \geq 1$, $\underline{\lim}_{l \rightarrow 0} \lambda_i(l) \geq \lambda_i(0)$ and, for $1 \leq k \leq i$, $\langle \psi_i^\wedge(0), \psi_k^\wedge(0) \rangle = \delta_{ik}$.
3. Show that for $\lambda > 0$ and $\lambda \notin \text{Spec}(\Delta_a(0))$, $\lim_{l \rightarrow 0} K_\lambda(\pi_l(z), \pi_l(w); l) = K_\lambda(z, w; 0)$.

Step 1. For any $i \geq 1$ and $0 < \delta < a$, let $f_1(0), \dots, f_i(0)$ be the first i orthonormal pseudoeigenfunctions of $\Delta_{a-\delta}(0)$ with pseudoeigenvalues $\lambda_1(0, \delta), \dots, \lambda_i(0, \delta)$ on S_0 . For any $\rho > a$, let η_ρ be a cut-off function on S_0 , $\eta_\rho \equiv 1$ on $S_0 \setminus \bigcup C_0(\rho)$, $\eta_\rho \equiv 0$ on $\bigcup C_0(\rho + 1)$, and $|\nabla_0 \eta| \leq 2$. Note that $f_1(0), \dots, f_i(0)$ have exponential decays along the cusps. We can assume $\eta_\rho f_1(0), \dots, \eta_\rho f_i(0)$ are linearly independent for $\rho \gg 1$. Define for $1 \leq k \leq i$, $f_k(l) = (\pi^{-1})_{l'}^*(\eta_\rho f_k(0))$. Then $f_1(l), \dots, f_k(l)$ are linearly inde-

pendent and lie in the domain of $\Delta_a(l)$ when l is small enough. Let

$$\varepsilon(\rho) = \int_{\bigcup C_0(\rho) \setminus C_0(\rho+1)} \sum_1^i |\nabla f_k(l)|^2 + |f_k(l)|^2.$$

Then $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon(\rho) = 0$. For any constants a_k with $\sum_1^i a_k^2 = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_l} \left| \nabla \sum_1^i a_k f_k(l) \right|^2 &\leq (1 + \delta(l))(\lambda_i(0, \delta) + \varepsilon(\rho)), \\ \int_{S_l} \left| \sum_1^i a_k f_k(l) \right|^2 &= (1 + \delta'(l))(1 - \varepsilon'(\rho)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon(\rho), \varepsilon'(\rho) \rightarrow 0$ as $\rho \rightarrow \infty$, and $\delta(l), \delta'(l) \rightarrow 0$ when $l \rightarrow 0$, and ρ is fixed. Then by the Mini-Max principle (see [2, Chapter 1]), we have that

$$\overline{\lim}_{l \rightarrow 0} \lambda_i(l) \leq (\lambda_i(0, \delta) + \varepsilon(\rho)) \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon'(\rho)}.$$

Let $\rho \rightarrow \infty$. We get that, for $i \geq 1$, $\overline{\lim}_{l \rightarrow 0} \lambda_i(l) \leq \lambda_i(0, \delta)$. Since the pseudoeigenvalues of $\Delta_a(0)$ depend continuously on a , let $\delta \rightarrow 0$. We get that, for $i \geq 1$, $\overline{\lim}_{l \rightarrow 0} \lambda_i(l) \leq \lambda_i(0)$.

Step 2. We use induction on i in this case. For $i = 1$, choose a sequence $l_j \rightarrow 0$ such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_1(l_j) = \underline{\lim}_{l \rightarrow 0} \lambda_1(l)$. By Lemma 3.1, there is a subsequence $\{l'_j\} \subset \{l_j\}$ such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_1(l'_j) = \lambda_1^\wedge(0), \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{l'_j}^*(\psi_1(l'_j)) = \psi_1^\wedge(0).$$

We want to show that

$$\langle \psi_1^\wedge(0), \psi_1^\wedge(0) \rangle = 1.$$

In particular, the function $\psi_1^\wedge(0) \neq 0$, and $\lambda_1^\wedge(0)$ is a pseudoeigenvalue of $\Delta_a(0)$; in which case, $\lambda_1^\wedge(0) \geq \lambda_1(0)$ and, by the arbitrary choice of $\{l_j\}$, $\underline{\lim}_{l \rightarrow 0} \lambda_1(l) \geq \lambda_1(0)$. Then by Step 1 we get $\lim_{l \rightarrow 0} \lambda_1(l) = \lambda_1(0)$ and $\psi_1^\wedge(0)$ is a normalized pseudoeigenfunction of $\Delta_a(0)$ with pseudoeigenvalue $\lambda_1(0)$.

Now we prepare to show that $\langle \psi_1^\wedge(0), \psi_1^\wedge(0) \rangle = 1$. First we recall spectral degeneration of the pinching collars. Let $\{g_i(l)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be the complete system of orthonormal Dirichlet eigenfunctions with eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i^*(l)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of $\bigcup C_l(a)$ except the zeroth mode $(\Delta_l(0), L_0^2(\bigcup C_l(a)))$ (that is, except rotationally invariant eigenfunctions) (see [8]). Since each pinching collar is symmetric with respect to its core (pinching) geodesic, we can further assume that, for $l > 0$, $|g_i(l)|$ ($i \geq 1$) are symmetric with respect to its core pinching geodesic in each collar. Then we have

Theorem 3.2 [8, Theorem 1.5]. (1) For all $i \geq 1$, $\lim_{l \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{2i}^*(l) = \lim_{l \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{2i-1}^*(l) = \lambda_i^*(0)$; in particular, $\{\lambda_i^*(l)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ does not cluster at any finite point as $l \rightarrow 0$.

(2) For all $i \geq 1$,

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow 0} \pi_l^*(g_{2i-1}(l))^2 = \frac{1}{2} g_i(0)^2, \quad \lim_{l \rightarrow 0} \pi_l^*(g_{2i}(l))^2 = \frac{1}{2} g_i(0)^2$$

uniformly over compact subsets of $\bigcup C_0(a)$.

Note that the geometric limit of $C_l(a)$ as $l \rightarrow 0$ is a pair of cusps $C_0(a) \cup C_0(a)$. Since we normalize $g_i(0)$ to be of L^2 -norm 1 on each cusp $C_0(a)$, there is a factor $\frac{1}{2}$ appearing in the right-hand side of the above equations. Theorem 3.2 means intuitively that none of the $g_i(l)$ lose mass inside the collars as $l \rightarrow 0$. More precisely,

$$(1) \quad \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{l \rightarrow 0} \int_{\bigcup C_l(\rho)} |g_i(l)|^2 = 0.$$

Let ξ be a cut-off function on S_l , $\xi \equiv 1$ on $\bigcup C_l(a + 1)$, and $\xi \equiv 0$ on $S_l \setminus \bigcup C_l(a)$, $|\nabla \xi| \leq 2$. Consider the function $\xi \psi_1(l'_j)$ on $S_{l'_j}$. Let

$$(2) \quad \xi \psi_1(l'_j) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(l'_j) g_n(l'_j)$$

be the Fourier expansion in terms of $\{g_n(l'_j)\}$, where $a_n(l'_j) = \langle \xi \psi_1(l'_j), g_n(l'_j) \rangle$. Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2(l'_j) = \langle \xi \psi_1(l'_j), \xi \psi_1(l'_j) \rangle.$$

For $N > 1$, define

$$\delta(N) = \overline{\lim}_{l'_j \rightarrow 0} \sum_{n \geq N} a_n^2(l'_j).$$

Then we have the following

Claim. With the above notation, $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \delta(N) = 0$.

Proof. Suppose instead that $\delta(N) \geq c_0 > 0$ for $N \gg 1$. By Lemma 3.2, $\{\lambda_n^*(l)\}$ does not accumulate at any finite point; therefore,

$$(3) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_N^*(l) = \infty$$

uniformly for $0 \leq l \leq \alpha$, where α is a small fixed positive constant. Now we compute $\langle \Delta(l'_j)(\xi \psi_1(l'_j)), \xi \psi_1(l'_j) \rangle$. For any $N > 0$, by the Fourier expansion (equation (2)), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Delta(l'_j)(\xi \psi_1(l'_j)), \xi \psi_1(l'_j) \rangle &\geq \sum_{n \geq N} \lambda_n^*(l'_j) a_n^2(l'_j), \\ \overline{\lim}_{l'_j \rightarrow 0} \langle \Delta(l'_j)(\xi \psi_1(l'_j)), \xi \psi_1(l'_j) \rangle &\geq \overline{\lim}_{l'_j \rightarrow 0} \lambda_N^*(l'_j) \overline{\lim}_{l'_j \rightarrow 0} \sum_{n \geq N} a_n^2(l'_j) \geq \overline{\lim}_{l'_j \rightarrow 0} \lambda_N^*(l'_j) c_0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $N \rightarrow \infty$. From equation (3), we get

$$(4) \quad \overline{\lim}_{l'_j \rightarrow 0} \langle \Delta(l'_j)(\xi \psi_1(l'_j)), \xi \psi_1(l'_j) \rangle = +\infty.$$

On the other hand, recall that

$$\Delta(l'_j) \psi_1(l'_j) + \lambda_1(l'_j) \psi_1(l'_j) = 0, \quad \|\psi_1(l'_j)\|_{L^2(S_{l'_j})} = 1,$$

and $\pi_{l'_j}^*(\psi_1(l'_j))$ converges smoothly over compact subsets of S_0 to $\psi_i^*(0)$. Then we get immediately that

$$\overline{\lim}_{l'_j \rightarrow 0} |\langle \Delta(l'_j)\xi\psi_1(l'_j), \xi\psi_1(l'_j) \rangle| < +\infty,$$

which contradicts equation (4)! Thus we have proved the claim.

Now we are ready to prove $\langle \psi_1^\wedge(0), \psi_1^\wedge(0) \rangle = 1$. By the claim above, for any $\delta > 0$, there exists $N_0 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{S_{l'_j}} \left| \xi\psi_1(l'_j) - \sum_{k=1}^{N_0} a_n(l'_j)g_n(l'_j) \right|^2 < \delta.$$

Using the above estimates and equation (2), we get

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\bigcup C_{l'_j}(\rho)} |\psi_1(l'_j)|^2 \leq \delta.$$

By the arbitrary choice of $\delta > 0$, it follows that

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\bigcup C_{l'_j}(\rho)} |\psi_1(l'_j)|^2 = 0.$$

Note that $\langle \psi_1(l'_j), \psi_1(l'_j) \rangle = 1$. Then it is clear that $\langle \psi_1^\wedge(0), \psi_1^\wedge(0) \rangle = 1$. We denote the limit function $\psi_1^\wedge(0)$ by $\psi_1(0)$, which is a normalized pseudoeigenfunction of L^2 -norm 1.

Now we do the induction step for Step 2 of Theorem 1.2; that is, assume that, for $1 \leq k \leq i - 1$,

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow 0} \lambda_k(l) = \lambda_k(0), \quad \lim_{l'_j \rightarrow 0} \pi_{l'_j}^*(\psi_k(l'_j)) = \psi_k(0)$$

uniformly over compact subsets of S_0 and $\{\psi_k(0)\}_1^{i-1}$ are orthonormal pseudoeigenfunctions of $\Delta_a(0)$ with pseudoeigenvalues $\{\lambda_k(0)\}_1^{i-1}$. For fixed $i > 1$, by Lemma 3.1, there is a subsequence of $\{l'_j\}$, still denoted by $\{l'_j\}$, such that

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow 0} \lambda_i(l) = \lambda_i^\wedge(0), \quad \lim_{l'_j \rightarrow 0} \pi_{l'_j}^*(\psi_i(l'_j)) = \psi_i^\wedge(0),$$

where the convergence is uniform over compact subsets of S_0 and $\psi_i^\wedge(0)$ is a pseudoeigenfunction of $\Delta_a(0)$ with pseudoeigenvalue $\lambda_i^\wedge(0)$. Next we show that

$$\langle \psi_k(0), \psi_i^\wedge(0) \rangle = 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq i - 1, \quad \langle \psi_i^\wedge(0), \psi_i^\wedge(0) \rangle = 1.$$

One immediate consequence of the above orthonormality is that $\psi_1(0), \dots, \psi_{i-1}(0), \psi_i^\wedge(0)$ are linearly independent; thus $\lambda_i^\wedge(0) \geq \lambda_i(0)$. Then by Step 1, we have $\lambda_i^\wedge(0) = \lambda_i(0)$, and therefore $\lim_{l \rightarrow 0} \lambda_i(l) = \lambda_i(0)$.

At first, we prove, for $1 \leq k \leq i - 1$, $\langle \psi_k(0), \psi_i^\wedge(0) \rangle = 0$. Since $\langle \psi_k(0), \psi_k(0) \rangle = 1$, it is clear that

$$1 - \lim_{l'_j \rightarrow 0} \int_{S_{l'_j} \setminus \bigcup C_{l'_j}(\rho)} |\psi_k(l'_j)|^2 = 1 - \int_{S_0 \setminus \bigcup C_0(\rho)} |\psi_k(0)|^2.$$

This implies that

$$(5) \quad \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{l'_j \rightarrow 0} \int_{\cup C_{l'_j}(\rho)} |\psi_k(l'_j)|^2 = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\cup C_0(\rho)} |\psi_k(0)|^2 = 0.$$

Since, for $1 \leq k \leq i - 1$, $\langle \psi_k(l'_j), \psi_i(l'_j) \rangle = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{S_0} \psi_k(0) \psi_i^\wedge(0) \right| &= \left| \int_{S_0} \psi_k(0) \psi_i^\wedge(0) - \int_{S_{l'_j}} \psi_k(l'_j) \psi_i(l'_j) \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\cup C_0(\rho)} |\psi_k(0) \psi_i^\wedge(0)| + \int_{\pi_{l'_j}^{-1}(\cup C_0(\rho))} |\psi_k(l'_j) \psi_i(l'_j)| \\ &\quad + \int_{S_0 \setminus \cup C_0(\rho)} \left| \psi_k(0) \psi_i(0) - \pi_{l'_j}^*(\psi_k(l'_j) \psi_i(l'_j)) \frac{d\mu_{l'_j}}{d\mu_0} \right| \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\cup C_0(\rho)} \psi_k^2(0) \right)^{1/2} + \left(\int_{\pi_{l'_j}^{-1}(\cup C_0(\rho))} \psi_k^2(l'_j) \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \int_{S_0 \setminus \cup C_0(\rho)} \left| \psi_k(0) \psi_i(0) - \pi_{l'_j}^*(\psi_k(l'_j) \psi_i(l'_j)) \frac{d\mu_{l'_j}}{d\mu_0} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where $d\mu_l$ is the volume form of S_l . For each fixed ρ , the third term goes to zero as $j \rightarrow +\infty$. Then from equation (5), it follows that

$$\left| \int_{S_0} \psi_k(0) \psi_i^\wedge(0) \right| = 0.$$

This proves the orthogonality.

The proof that $\langle \psi_i^\wedge(0), \psi_i^\wedge(0) \rangle = 1$ is exactly the same as for the case $i = 1$ above. Therefore, $\psi_1(0), \dots, \psi_{i-1}(0), \psi_i^\wedge(0)$ are orthonormal pseudoeigenfunctions of $\Delta_a(0)$ with pseudoeigenvalues $\lambda_1(0), \dots, \lambda_i(0)$. Thus we can denote the limit function $\psi_i^\wedge(0)$ by $\psi_i(0)$ and finish the induction step on i . Finally, by a diagonal argument, we can choose a subsequence which satisfies the conditions in Theorem 1.2(2).

Step 3. By Step 2, for any sequence $l_j \rightarrow 0$, there exists a subsequence $\{l'_j\} \subset \{l_j\}$ such that, for all $k \geq 1$,

$$\lim_{l'_j \rightarrow 0} \pi_{l'_j}^*(\psi_k(l'_j)) = \psi_k(0), \quad \lim_{l'_j \rightarrow 0} \lambda_k(l) = \lambda_k(0).$$

Since $\lambda \notin \text{Spec}(\Delta_a(0))$, $\lambda_k(0) \leq \lambda$ if and only if $\lambda_k(l) \leq \lambda$ for all small enough l . Therefore,

$$\lim_{l'_j \rightarrow 0} K_\lambda(\pi_{l'_j}(z), \pi_{l'_j}(w); l) = K_\lambda(z, w; 0),$$

where the convergence is uniform over compact subsets of $S_0 \times S_0$. By the arbitrary choice of $\{l_j\}$, we get

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow 0} K_\lambda(\pi_l(z), \pi_l(w); l) = K_\lambda(z, w; 0),$$

where the convergence is uniform over compact subsets of $S_0 \times S_0$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author thanks Professor S. T. Yau for his encouragement and his interest in this paper and Professor M. Goresky for listening to the author's explanation of the paper and for his advice. The author also thanks Professor R. Wentworth for helpful conversations and Professor S. Stafford for careful proofreading. Finally, the author thanks an anonymous referee for his careful reading and kind suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. R. A. Adams, *Sobolev spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
2. I. Chavel, *Eigenvalues in Riemann geometry*, Academic Press, New York, 1984.
3. Y. Colin de Verdière, *Pseudo-Laplaciens*. I, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **32** (1982), 175–286.
4. —, *Pseudo-Laplaciens*. II, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **33** (1983), 87–113.
5. J. Deshouillers, H. Iwaniec, R. Phillips, and P. Sarnak, *Maass cusp forms*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **82** (1985), 3533–3534.
6. D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Grundlehren der Math., bd. 224, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
7. D. Hejhal, *Regular b -groups, Degenerating Riemann surfaces and spectral theory*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 88, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1990.
8. L. Ji, *Spectral degeneration of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces*, J. Differential Geom. **38** (1993), 263–314.
9. P. Lax and R. Phillips, *Scattering theory for automorphic functions*, Ann. of Math. Stud., no. 87, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1976.
10. R. Phillips and P. Sarnak, *On cusp forms for cofinite subgroups of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, R)$* , Invent. Math. **80** (1985), 339–364.
11. P. Sarnak, *On cusp forms, Selberg Trace Formula and Related Topics*, Contemp. Math., vol. 53, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986, pp. 393–407.
12. A. Selberg, *Harmonic analysis (Göttingen Lecture notes)*, Atle Selberg's Collected Papers, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1989, pp. 626–674.
13. M. Wolf, *Infinite energy harmonic maps and degeneration of hyperbolic surfaces*, J. Differential Geom. **29** (1991), 487–539.
14. S. Wolpert, *Asymptotics of the spectrum and the Selberg zeta function on the space of Riemann surfaces*, Comm. Math. Phys. **112** (1987), 283–315.
15. —, *The spectrum of a Riemann surface with a cusp*, Taniguchi Symposium Lecture, November 1989, preprint.
16. —, *Spectral limits for hyperbolic surfaces*. I, Invent. Math. **108** (1992), 67–89.
17. —, *Spectral limits for hyperbolic surfaces*. II, Invent. Math. **108** (1992), 91–129.
18. —, *Disappearance of cusp forms in special families*, Ann. of Math. (2) (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139

E-mail address: ji@math.mit.edu