

LIFTING VECTOR-VALUED MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS IN INFINITE DIMENSIONS

NGUYEN VAN KHUE AND NGUYEN THU NGA

(Communicated by Clifford J. Earle, Jr.)

ABSTRACT. It is shown that the lifting problem for Fréchet-valued meromorphic functions on open subsets of a (DFN)-space has a solution.

Lifting holomorphic functions in infinite dimensions has been investigated by some authors. The problem for vector-valued meromorphic functions on complex manifolds was studied in [6]. The aim of this paper is to prove that the lifting problem has a solution for Fréchet-valued meromorphic functions on open subsets of a (DFN)-space.

1. PRELIMINARIES

We shall use the standard notation from the theory of locally convex spaces as presented in the books of Pietsch [7] and Schaefer [8]. All locally convex spaces are assumed to be complex vector spaces and Hausdorff.

For a locally convex space E , we denote by $\mathcal{U}(E)$ the set consisting of all balanced convex neighbourhoods of zero in E . Let $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$ and p_U denote the Minkowski functional on E associated to U . Then E_U denotes the completion of the canonical normed space $E/\text{Ker } p_U$. The canonical map from E into E_U is written by π_U .

2. HOLOMORPHIC AND MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

Let E and F be locally convex spaces and $D \subseteq E$ be open. A map $f: D \rightarrow F$ is called holomorphic if f is continuous and $f|D \cap V$ is holomorphic for every finite dimensional subspace V of E .

Now a holomorphic function $f: D_0 \rightarrow F$, where D_0 is a dense open subset of D , is said to be meromorphic on D if for every $z \in D$ there exists a neighbourhood U of z in D and holomorphic functions

$$g: U \rightarrow F, \quad \sigma: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

such that

$$f|U \cap D_0 = g/\sigma|U \cap D_0 \quad \text{with } \sigma \neq 0.$$

Received by the editors March 4, 1991 and, in revised form, October 13, 1992.
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46G20.

Theorem 1. *Let S be a continuous linear map of a Fréchet space E onto a Fréchet space F and let D be an open subset of a (DFN)-space P . Then for every F -valued meromorphic f on D there exists an E -valued meromorphic function g on D such that $Sg = f$.*

To prove the theorem we need the following.

Lemma 2. *Every holomorphic function on an open subset of a (DFN)-space with values in a Fréchet space F can be factorized through a compact map from a Banach space into F .*

Proof. Consider an open set D in a (DFN)-space P and a holomorphic function f on D with values in F .

(i) Let $z \in D$. Since D is σ -compact [5], it can be exhausted by an increasing sequence of compact sets $\{K_n\}$, with $z \in K_1$. Let $\{V_n\}$ be a decreasing neighbourhood basis of zero in F . For each $n \geq 1$ there exists $U_n \in \mathcal{U}(P)$ and $d_n > 0$ such that

$$K_n + U_n \subseteq D \quad \text{and} \quad f(K_n + U_n) \subset d_n V_n.$$

Set

$$U = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} (K_n + U_n).$$

Since

$$K_n \cap U = \bigcap_{1 \leq k \leq n} (K_k + U_k) \cap K_n$$

and since $\bigcap_{1 \leq k \leq n} (K_k + U_k)$ is a neighbourhood of z in P , it follows that $K_n \cap U$ is a neighbourhood of z in K_n for every $n \geq 1$. On the other hand, since D is a k -space [5], U is a neighbourhood of z in D . From the inclusion

$$f(U) \subseteq f(K_n + U_n) \subseteq d_n V_n \quad \text{for every } n \geq 1$$

we obtain the boundedness of $f(U)$.

Consider the Taylor expansion of f at z :

$$f(z+h) = \sum_{n \geq 0} P_n f(z)(h),$$

where

$$P_n f(z)(h) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\lambda|=2} f(z+\lambda h) / \lambda^{n+1} d\lambda$$

for $h \in V$, $V \in \mathcal{U}(P)$, $z + 2V \subseteq U$. Set

$$B = \overline{\text{conv}} \bigcup_{n \geq 0} P_n f(z)(V).$$

Then B is a balanced convex closed set in F and f induces a holomorphic function on $\pi_V(z+V)$ with values in the canonical Banach space $F(B)$ spanned by B .

(ii) By (i) we can find a countable open cover of D , $\{\tilde{U}_i = z_i + U_i\}$, $U_i \in \mathcal{U}(P)$, a sequence of balanced convex bounded closed sets in F , $\{B_i\}$, and a sequence of holomorphic functions $f_i: \pi_{U_i}(\tilde{U}_i) \rightarrow F(B_i)$ such that

$$f_i \pi_{U_i} = f|_{\tilde{U}_i} \quad \text{for every } i \geq 1.$$

Take two sequences $\{\lambda_i\} \downarrow 0$ and $\{\mu_i\} \uparrow \infty$ such that

$$B = \overline{\text{conv}} \bigcup_{i \geq 1} \lambda_i B_i \text{ is bounded in } F$$

and

$$U = \bigcap_{i \geq 1} \mu_i U_i \in \mathcal{Z}(P).$$

Such sequences exist by [4] for $\{\lambda_i\}$ and [5] for $\{\mu_i\}$. Since the canonical map from $F(\lambda_i B_i)$ into $F(B)$ is continuous for every $i \geq 1$, and $\{\pi_U(\tilde{U}_i)\}$ is an open cover of $\pi_U(D)$ in $P/\text{Ker } p_U$, it follows that the sequence $\{f_i\}$ defines an $F(B)$ -valued holomorphic function g on $\pi_U(D)$ with $g\pi_U = f$.

(iii) Let \hat{g} be a holomorphic extension of g to a neighbourhood \hat{D}_U of $\pi_U(D)$ in P_U . Take $V \in \mathcal{Z}(P)$, $V \subseteq U$ such that the canonical map $\pi_{V,U}: P_V \rightarrow P_U$ is compact. Cover $\hat{D}_V = \pi_{V,U}^{-1}(\hat{D}_U)$ by a sequence of bounded open sets in P_V , $\{W_i\}$, such that $\pi_{V,U}(W_i)$ is relatively compact in \hat{D}_U for every $i \geq 1$. Then $A_i = g\pi_{V,U}(W_i)$ for every $i \geq 1$ is relatively compact in $F(B)$. Take again a sequence $\{\alpha_i\} \downarrow 0$ such that

$$A = \overline{\text{conv}} \bigcup_{i \geq 1} \alpha_i A_i$$

is compact in $F(B)$. It is easy to see that $\hat{g}\pi_{V,U}: \hat{D}_V \rightarrow F(A)$ is holomorphic. Hence f can be factorized through the compact map $F(A) \rightarrow F$. The lemma is thus proved.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Cover D by a sequence of open subsets $\{\tilde{U}_i\}$ of D such that $f|_{\tilde{U}_i}$ can be written in the form h_i/σ_i , where h_i and σ_i are holomorphic functions on \tilde{U}_i with values in F and \mathbb{C} , respectively. By Lemma 2, for each $i \geq 1$ we can find $U_i \in \mathcal{Z}(P)$ and B_i , a balanced convex compact set in F such that h_i and σ_i are factorized through $\pi_{U_i}: \tilde{U}_i \rightarrow \pi_{U_i}(\tilde{U}_i)$ and $F(B_i) \rightarrow F$. Take two sequences $\{\lambda_i\} \downarrow 0$ and $\{\mu_i\} \uparrow \infty$ such that

$$B = \overline{\text{conv}} \bigcup_{i \geq 1} \lambda_i B_i \text{ is compact in } F$$

and

$$U = \bigcap_{i \geq 1} \mu_i U_i \in \mathcal{Z}(P).$$

This implies that the two sequences $\{h_i\}$ and $\{\sigma_i\}$ define a meromorphic function g on a neighbourhood \hat{D}_U of $\pi_U(D)$ in P_U with $f = g\pi_U$. By [1] there exists a balanced convex compact set A in E such that $S(A) = B$.

Cover \hat{D}_U by a sequence of open sets $\{W_j\}$ in \hat{D}_U such that for each $j \geq 1$ there exist bounded holomorphic functions g_j and σ_j on W_j with values in $F(B)$ and \mathbb{C} , respectively, with $g|_{W_j} = g_j/\sigma_j$, $\sigma_j \neq 0$. Let $V \in \mathcal{Z}(P)$, $V \subseteq U$ such that $T = \pi_{V,U}$ is nuclear. Thus T can be written in the form

$$T(x) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_k(x) e_k$$

with $a = \sum_{k \geq 1} \|\lambda_k\| \|e_k\| < \infty$. Fix an index j . For each $x \in T^{-1}(W_j)$, set $2r_{j,x} = p_U(Tx, \partial W_j) > 0$. Consider the Taylor expansion of g_j at $T(x)$:

$$g_j(Tx + z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} P_n g_j(Tx)(z)$$

for $\|z\| < 2r_{j,x}$, $z \in P_U$, where

$$P_n g_j(Tx)(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\lambda|=r_{j,x}} g_j(Tx + \lambda z) / \lambda^{n+1} d\lambda$$

for $\|z\| \leq 1$, $z \in P_U$. We have

$$\|P_n g_j(Tx)\| \leq M_j / (r_{j,x})^n \quad \text{with } M_j = \sup\{\|g_j(z)\| : z \in W_j\}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n \geq 1} (\delta_{j,x})^n \|\lambda_{k_1}\| \|e_{k_1}\| \cdots \|\lambda_{k_n}\| \|e_{k_n}\| \\ & \quad \times \|P_n g_j(Tx)(e_{k_1}/\|e_{k_1}\|, \dots, e_{k_n}/\|e_{k_n}\|)\| \\ & \leq M_j \sum_{n \geq 0} (1/n!) (\delta_{j,x} n / r_{j,x})^n \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} \|\lambda_k\| \|e_k\| \right)^n \\ & = M_j \sum_{n \geq 0} (1/n!) (\delta_{j,x} n a / r_{j,x})^n < \infty \quad \text{with } \delta_{j,x} = r_{j,x} / 2ae. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $g_j T|x + \delta_{j,x} B_V$, where B_V is the unit ball in P_V , can be written in the form

$$g_j T(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}} \lambda_\alpha (z - x) a_\alpha^{j,x}$$

for $z \in P_V$, $\|z - x\| < \delta_{j,x}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B} &= \{\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^{\mathbb{N}} : \alpha_j \neq 0 \text{ for only finitely many } j \in \mathbb{N}\}, \\ \lambda_\alpha &= \lambda_{\alpha_1} \cdots \lambda_{\alpha_n}, \quad a_\alpha^{j,x} = P_n g_j(Tx)(e_{\alpha_1}, \dots, e_{\alpha_n}), \\ \alpha &= (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, 0, \dots), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} (\delta_{j,x})^n \|\lambda_\alpha\| \|a_\alpha^{j,x}\| < \infty.$$

Thus there exist $x_{j,k} \in T^{-1}(W_j)$, $\delta_{j,k} > 0$, $j, k = 1, 2, \dots$, such that $\{x_{j,k} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{j,k} B_V\}$ is an open cover of $T^{-1}(W_j)$ and

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} (\delta_{j,k})^n \|\lambda_\alpha\| \|a_\alpha^{j,k}\| < \infty, \quad a_\alpha^{j,k} = a_\alpha^{j,x_{j,k}}$$

with $(g_j T)(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}} \lambda_\alpha (x - x_{j,k}) a_\alpha^{j,k}$ for $x \in x_{j,k} + \delta_{j,k} B_V$.

For each $n \geq 1$, set $A_n = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{B} : \max \alpha_j \leq n\}$ and

$$S_n^{j,k}(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in A_n} \lambda_\alpha (x - x_{j,k}) a_\alpha^{j,k}$$

for $x \in x_{j,k} + \delta_{j,k} B_V$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Take $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sum_{i>m} \|\lambda_i\| \|e_i\| < \varepsilon.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}} \lambda_\alpha (x - x_{j,k}) a^{j,k} - S_m^{j,k}(x) \right\| \\ & \leq \sum_{\max \alpha_j > m, n \geq 0} (\delta_{j,k})^n \|\lambda_{\alpha_1}\| \cdots \|\lambda_{\alpha_n}\| \|a_\alpha^{j,k}\| \\ & \leq (M_j \varepsilon / a) \sum_{n \geq 0} (\delta_{j,k} n / r_{j,x_{j,k}})^n (1/n!) \left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \|\lambda_i\| \|e_i\| \right)^n \\ & = (M_j \varepsilon / a) \sum_{n \geq 0} 1/n! (n/2a)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $S_n^{j,k} \rightarrow g_j T$ uniformly on $x_{j,k} + \delta_{j,k} B_V$ for $j, k \geq 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Applying the method of Bishop [2] to the sequence $\{S_n^{j,k}\}$, $j, k \geq 1$, we can find a sequence of disjoint 1-dimensional projections P_q^n in $F(B)$ such that

$$S_n^{j,k} = \sum_{q \geq 1} P_q^n S_n^{j,k} = \sum_{q \geq 1} h_q^{n,j,k} v_q^n$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|v_q^n\| = 1, \quad \|P_q^n\| \leq 2^{\log_2^2 4q}, \quad P_q^n(v_q^n) = v_q^n \\ & \sup_{n \geq 1} \sum_{q \geq 1} \|h_q^{n,j,k}\|_{x_{j,k} + \delta_{j,k} B_V} < \infty \quad \text{for } j, k \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\delta}_{j,k} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{j,k}$ and $\|h_q^{n,j,k}\|_{x_{j,k} + \tilde{\delta}_{j,k} B_V}$ denotes the sup-norm of $h_q^{n,j,k}$ on $x_{j,k} + \tilde{\delta}_{j,k} B_V$.

Since $S(A) = B$, the map S induces a continuous linear map \tilde{S} from $E(A)$ onto $F(B)$. Thus the open mapping theorem gives a constant $C > 0$ such that for each (n, q) there exists $u_q^n \in E(A)$ for which $\tilde{S}(u_q^n) = v_q^n$ with $\|u_q^n\| \leq C \|v_q^n\|$ for $n, q \geq 1$.

Set

$$\tilde{S}_n^{j,k}(x) = \sum_{q \geq 1} h_q^{n,j,k} u_q^n$$

for $x \in x_{j,k} + \tilde{\delta}_{j,k} B_V$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{n \geq 1} \{\|\tilde{S}_n^{j,k}(x)\| : x \in x_{j,k} + \tilde{\delta}_{j,k} B_V\} \\ & \leq C \sup_{n \geq 1} \sum_{q \geq 1} \|h_q^{n,j,k}\|_{x_{j,k} + \tilde{\delta}_{j,k} B_V} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the sequence $\{\tilde{S}_n^{j,k}\}_{n \geq 1}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{O}(x_{j,k} + \tilde{\delta}_{j,k} B_V, E(A))$, the space of holomorphic functions on $x_{j,k} + \tilde{\delta}_{j,k} B_V$ with values in $E(A)$ equipped with

the compact-open topology. From the compactness of the canonical map $E(A) \rightarrow E$, we can assume that $\{\tilde{S}_n^{j,k}\}_{n \geq 1}$ converges to $\tilde{S}^{j,k}$ in $\mathcal{O}(x_{j,k} + \tilde{\delta}_{j,k}B_V, E)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $j, k \geq 1$. Moreover we assume also that the sequences $\{v_q^n\}$, $\{P_q^n\}$, and $\{h_q^{n,j,k}\}$ converge to v_q , P_q and $h_q^{j,k}$ in F , $\text{Hom}(F(B), F)$, the space of continuous linear maps from $F(B)$ into F , and $\mathcal{O}(x_{j,k} + \tilde{\delta}_{j,k}B_V)$, respectively, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $j, k, q \geq 1$. On the other hand, from the relations

$$P_s^n v_q^n = 0 \quad \text{if } s \neq q \quad \text{and} \quad P_s^n v_s^n = v_s^n$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_s^n \sigma_j g_i T &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_s^n \sigma_j S_n^{j,k} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{q \geq 1} P_s^n \sigma_j h_q^{n,i,k} v_q^n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_j h_s^{n,i,k} v_s^n = \sigma_j h_s^{i,k} v_s. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_s^n \sigma_i g_j T = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_s^n \sigma_i S_n^{j,l} = \sigma_i h_s^{j,l} v_s.$$

Hence

$$\sigma_j h_s^{i,k} = \sigma_i h_s^{j,l} \quad \text{for all } i, j, k, l \geq 1.$$

This yields

$$\sigma_i \tilde{S}^{j,k} = \sigma_j \tilde{S}^{i,l}$$

on $(x_{j,k} + \tilde{\delta}_{j,k}B_V) \cap (x_{i,l} + \tilde{\delta}_{i,l}B_V)$ for all $i, j, k, l \geq 1$.

Thus the system $\{\tilde{S}^{j,k}/\sigma_j\}$ defines an E -valued meromorphic function g on D such that $Sg = f$. The theorem is proved.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful to the referee for comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. R. G. Bartle and L. M. Graves, *Mappings between function spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1952), 400–413.
2. E. Bishop, *Analytic functions with values in a Fréchet space*, Pacific J. Math. **12** (1962), 1177–1192.
3. W. Gejler, *Extending and lifting continuous linear mappings in topological vector spaces*, Studia Math. **62** (1978), 295–303.
4. J. Horvath, *Topological vector spaces and distributions. I*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1966.
5. J. Mujica, *Domains of holomorphy in (DFC)-spaces*, Functional Analysis Holomorphy and Approximation Theory, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 843, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1981, pp. 500–533.
6. N. V. Khue, *On meromorphic functions with values in locally convex spaces*, Studia Math. **73** (1982), 201–211.
7. A. Pietsch, *Nuclear locally convex spaces*, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.
8. H. Schaefer, *Topological vector spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1971.