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Abstract. A system of multivariate orthogonal polynomials satisfies a matrix equation which plays the role of a three-term relation of the orthogonal polynomial of one variable. However, unlike the case of one variable, there does not exist a second solution of this matrix equation that is linearly independent to the orthogonal polynomials. In particular, there is no analogy of the associated polynomials in several variables.

1. Introduction

Let \( \Pi^d_n \) be the set of polynomials of total degree \( n \) in \( d \) variables, and \( \Pi^d \) be the set of all polynomials in \( d \) variables. Let \( r_n = r^d_n \) denote \( r_n = \text{dim} \Pi^d_n - \text{dim} \Pi^d_{n-1} \). For \( x_1 \in \mathbb{R} \) we consider the multiparameter finite difference equations

\[
(1.1) \quad x_i Y_k = A_{k,i} Y_{k+1} + B_{k,i} Y_k + A^T_{k-1,i} Y_{k-1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d, \; k \geq 1,
\]

and the initial values

\[
(1.2) \quad Y_0 = a, \quad Y_1 = b, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}, \; b \in \mathbb{R}^d,
\]

where \( A_{k,i} \) and \( B_{k,i} \) are matrices of size \( r_k^d \times r_{k+1}^d \) and \( r_k^d \times r_k^d \), respectively, and \( Y_k \in \mathbb{R}^d \). We assume that the matrices \( A_{k,i} \) satisfy a rank condition,

\[
(1.3) \quad \text{rank} \; A_{k,i} = r_{k+1}, \quad A_k = (A^T_{k,1}, \ldots, A^T_{k,d})^T.
\]

This system of equations appears very naturally in the study of multivariate orthogonal polynomials. Let \( \mathcal{L} \) be a linear functional defined on \( \Pi^d \). Assume \( \mathcal{L}(1) = 1 \) and \( \mathcal{L} \) is square positive, i.e., \( \mathcal{L}(p^2) > 0 \) for \( p \in \Pi^d \). Using the Gram-Schmidt orthogonal process, we have a system of orthonormal polynomials with respect to \( \mathcal{L} \), denoted by \( \{ P^k \}_{|\alpha|=k}^{\infty} \), where \( \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d \) and \( P^k \in \Pi^d_k \).

Introducing a vector notation

\[
P_k(x) = [P^k_{\alpha_1}(x), P^k_{\alpha_2}(x), \ldots, P^k_{\alpha_h}(x)]^T,
\]
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where the elements are arranged according to the lexicographical order, we can express the orthonormal property of \( \{P^k\} \) by \( \int \mathbb{P}_k^T \mathbb{W} = \delta_{m,k} I \), where \( I \) is the identity matrix of size \( r_k \times r_k \). For our convenience, we call \( \{P^k\}_{k=0}^\infty \) a sequence of orthonormal polynomials. It is easily seen that \( \{P^k\} \) satisfies equation (1.1) and the initial values

\[
P_0 = 1, \quad P_1 = A_0^{-1}(x - B_0),
\]

where \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)^T \), \( B_0 = (B_{0,1}, \ldots, B_{0,d})^T \). Note that \( A_0 \) is a square matrix; the rank condition (1.3) implies that it is invertible. For \( d = 1 \) equation (1.1) is the classical three-term relation

\[
a_k y_{k+1} + b_k y_k + a_{k-1} y_{k-1} = x y_k, \quad k \geq 1,
\]

where \( a_k > 0 \). Every system of orthonormal polynomials in one variable satisfies a difference equation (1.4) and the initial conditions

\[
y_0 = 1, \quad y_1 = a_0^{-1}(x - b_0).
\]

It is well known that (1.4) has another solution which corresponds to the initial conditions

\[
y_0 = 0, \quad y_1 = a_0^{-1}.
\]

This solution, denoted by \( \{q_k\} \), is customarily called the solution of associated polynomials, or polynomials of the second kind. Together, these two solutions of (1.4) share many interesting properties, and \( q_n \) plays a very important role in areas such as problems of moment, the spectral theory of the Jacobi matrix, Padé approximations, and continuous fractions (cf. \([1, 2, 5]\)).

Inspired by the success and importance of the associated polynomials, we naturally look for their generalization in several variables, and expect that other linearly independent solutions of (1.1) should exist and play the role. However, it turns out surprisingly that (1.2) has no other solutions apart from the system of orthogonal polynomials. This is the main result of this paper, which we formulate as follows.

**Theorem 1.** If the multiparameter difference equation (1.1) has solution \( P = \{P^k\}_{k=0}^\infty \) for the particular initial value

\[
Y_0^* = 1, \quad Y_1^* = A_0^{-1}(x - B_0),
\]

then all other solutions of (1.1) and (1.2) are multiples of \( P \) with the possible exception of the first component. More precisely, if \( Y = \{Y_k\}_{k=0}^\infty \) is a solution of (1.1) and (1.2), then \( Y_k = hP_k \) for all \( k \geq 1 \), where \( h \) is a function independent of \( k \).

This result reflects an essential difference between orthogonal polynomials of one variable and several variables. It shows that there is no analogy of the associated polynomials in several variables. There is another way of formulating this problem. The solution of (1.1) can be viewed as joint eigenvectors of a family of linear operators defined on \( l^2 \). These operators are defined as block Jacobi matrices, i.e., block tridiagonal matrices, with \( B_{k,i} \) on the main
diagonal and $A_{k,i}$ on the subdiagonals. The structure of (1.1) allows us to use
the operator theory for a commuting family of selfadjoint operators to study the
joint spectrum of the block Jacobi matrices (see [7, 8, 9]). From this point of
view, Theorem 1 reflects the fact that the joint spectrum is much more stringent.

2. Proof

For properties of multivariate orthogonal polynomials we refer to [3, 4, 6-9]
and the references therein. Here we need Favard's theorem.

Theorem 2. Let $\{P_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, $P_0 = 1$, be a sequence in $\Pi^d$. Then the following
statements are equivalent:

1. There exists a linear functional which is square positive on $\Pi^d$ and makes
$\{P_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ an orthonormal basis in $\Pi^d$.

2. For $k \geq 0$, $1 \leq i \leq d$, there exist matrices $A_{k,i} : r_k \times r_{k+1}$ and
$B_{k,i} : r_k \times r_k$, such that the $P_k$'s satisfy (1.1), (1.5), and the rank
condition (1.3).

This theorem is proved in its present form in [6, 7]; it improves the earlier
version of Kowalski [4] by using the rank condition. From this theorem, we
have that the solution $P$ in Theorem 1 is orthogonal with respect to a square
linear functional $\mathcal{L}$. Since the three-term relation allows us to compute the
matrices $\mathcal{L}(x; P_k P_m^T)$, $m = k - 1, k, k + 1$, in two different ways, we have that
the coefficient matrices of (1.1) satisfy

\begin{align*}
(2.1) & \quad A_{k,i} A_{k+1,j} = A_{k,j} A_{k+1,i}, \\
(2.2) & \quad A_{k,i} B_{k+1,j} + B_{k,i} A_{k,j} = B_{k,j} A_{k,i} + A_{k,j} B_{k+1,i}, \\
(2.3) & \quad A_{k-1,i} A_{k-1,j} + B_{k,i} B_{k,j} + A_{k,i} A_{k,j}^T = A_{k-1,j} A_{k-1,i} + B_{k,j} B_{k,i} + A_{k,j} A_{k,i}^T,
\end{align*}

for $1 \leq i, j \leq d$. We call these equations commuting ones, because they are
the conditions that make the block Jacobi matrices formally commuting. From
the rank condition (1.3) the generalized inverse of $A_k$ exists, we denote it by
$D_k^T = (D_{k,1} \cdots D_{k,d})$. Then

\begin{equation}
(2.4) \quad D_k^T A_k = \sum_{i=1}^{d} D_{k,i}^T A_{k,i} = I.
\end{equation}

Using the generalized inverse, we see that every solution of (1.1) satisfies

\begin{equation}
(2.5) \quad Y_{k+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} D_{k,i}^T x_i Y_k - E_k Y_k - F_k Y_{k-1},
\end{equation}

where

\begin{align*}
(2.6) & \quad E_k = \sum_{i=1}^{d} D_{k,i}^T B_{k,i}, \quad F_k = \sum_{i=1}^{d} D_{k,i}^T A_{k-1,i}.
\end{align*}
We now prove Theorem 1. The assumption and Favard's theorem imply that $P = \{P_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ forms a sequence of orthonormal polynomials. Therefore, the coefficient matrices of (1.1) satisfy (2.1)--(2.3).

Suppose a sequence of vectors $\{Y_k\}$ satisfies (1.1) and initial values (1.2). From (1.1) we have

$$A_{k,i}Y_{k+1} = x_iY_k - B_{k,i}Y_k - A_{k-1,i}^TY_{k-1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d.$$  

Multiplying the $i$th equation by $A_{k-1,j}$ and $j$th equation by $A_{k-1,i}$, we obtain from (2.1) that

(2.7)

$$A_{k-1,i}(x_jY_k - B_{k,j}Y_k - A_{k-1,j}^TY_{k-1}) = A_{k-1,j}(x_iY_k - B_{k,i}Y_k - A_{k-1,i}^TY_{k-1}),$$  

for $1 \leq i, j \leq d$, and $k \geq 1$. In particular, the case $k = 1$ means that $Y_0$ and $Y_1$ have to satisfy

(2.8)

$$A_{0,i}(x_jY_1 - B_{0,j}Y_1 - A_{0,j}^TY_0) = A_{0,j}(x_iY_1 - B_{0,i}Y_1 - A_{0,i}^TY_0),$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq d$. Since $A_{0,i}A_{i,j}^T$ and $B_{0,i}$ are numbers, and by (2.2) for $k = 1$

$$A_{0,i}B_{1,j} - A_{0,i}B_{0,j} - A_{0,j}B_{0,i},$$

we can rewrite (2.8) as

$$A_{0,i}(x_jY_1 - B_{0,j}Y_1 - A_{0,j}^TY_0) = A_{0,j}(x_iY_1 - B_{0,i}Y_1 - A_{0,i}^TY_0).$$

However, $x_i$ and $x_j$ are independent variables. We see that $Y_1$ must be a function of $x$; moreover, it has to satisfy

(2.9)

$$A_{0,i}Y_1 = (x_i - b_i)h(x),$$

where $h$ is a function of $x$. Substituting (2.9) into (2.8), we obtain that $b_i = B_{0,i}$. The case $h(x) = 1$ corresponds to the orthogonal polynomial solution $P$. Since $D_0^T = A_0^{-1}$, we have from (1.5)

(2.10)

$$Y_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{d} D_{0,i}(x_i + B_{0,i})h(x) = A_0^{-1}(x + B_0)h(x) = h(x)P_1.$$

Therefore, from (2.5),

(2.11)

$$Y_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{d} D_{1,i}(x_iI - B_{1,i})h(x)P_1 - F_1Y_0$$

$$= h(x)P_2 + F_1(h(x) - Y_0).$$

Since $P$ is a solution of the equation (1.1) with the initial condition (1.5), we have from (2.7) that

$$A_{1,i}(x_jP_2 - B_{2,j}P_2 - A_{1,j}^TP_1) = A_{1,j}(x_iP_2 - B_{2,i}P_2 - A_{1,i}^TP_1).$$

Using this equation and the formulas we derived for $Y_1$ and $Y_2$, we obtain from (2.7) with $k = 2$ that

$$A_{1,i}(x_jI - B_{2,j})F_1(h(x) - Y_0) = A_{1,j}(x_iI - B_{2,i})F_1(h(x) - Y_0).$$
If \( Y_0 = h(x) \), then we have from (2.5) and (2.10) that \( Y_k = h(x)P_k \) for all \( k \geq 0 \), which is the conclusion of the theorem. We now assume that \( h(x) \neq Y_0 \). Thus, \( h(x) - Y_0 \) is a nonzero number, and we have from the previous formula

\[
A_{1, i}(x_j I - B_{2, j})F_1 = A_{1, j}(x_j I - B_{2, i})F_1.
\]

However, \( x_i \) and \( x_j \) are independent variables. We conclude that for this equality to hold it is necessary that \( A_{1, i} F_1 = 0 \), which implies \( F_1 = 0 \) by (2.4). We then obtain from (2.11) that \( Y_2 = h(x)P_2 \). Thus, by (2.5) and (2.10) we have \( Y_k = h(x)P_k \) for all \( k \geq 1 \), which concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

We note that if \( F_1 \) is not zero, then we must have \( Y_0 = h(x) \) as well. Although \( F_1 \neq 0 \) can be verified in many cases, for example, bivariate orthogonal polynomials on the product region, it seems to be likely that \( F_1 = 0 \) may hold for some particular cases.
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