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Abstract. It is shown that for two classes of integrals the results of Gaussian quadrature can be extended straightforwardly to the bivariate case. For these classes Gaussian formulae of an arbitrary degree are derived.

1. Introduction

Let $\Pi_n^d$ be the set of polynomials of total degree $n$ in $d$ variables and $\Pi^d$ the set of all polynomials in $d$ variables. For a nonnegative function $W$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ a minimal cubature formula of degree $m$ is a linear functional

$$\mathcal{S}_m(f) = \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k f(x_k), \quad \lambda_k > 0, \quad x_k \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $N$—the number of the involved nodes $x_k$—is minimal, such that

$$\int f(x)W(x)\,dx = \mathcal{S}_m(f), \quad \forall f \in \Pi_m^d.$$

It is known that $N \geq \dim \Pi_{[m/2]}^d$ in general. Formulae for which the equality holds are of the highest precision, just like the classical Gaussian quadrature formulae, and we shall term them Gaussian cubatures. For $d = 1$ the results of Gaussian quadrature are well known (cf. [4]). If $\{p_k\}$ are the orthonormal polynomials with respect to the weight function, then the $N = \dim \Pi_{n-1}^1 = n$ roots of

$$p_n + \rho p_{n-1}, \quad \rho \in \mathbb{R},$$

are the nodes of a minimal quadrature rule of degree $2n - 2$. Moreover, for $\rho = 0$ a uniquely determined formula of degree $2n - 1$ will be obtained. A straightforward extension of these results for higher dimensions is not possible in general. Möller [11, 12] proved for centrally symmetric weight functions, i.e., $\int x^k - jy^j W(x, y)\,dx\,dy = 0$ for odd $k$, $0 \leq j \leq k$, that $N = \dim \Pi_{n-1}^2$. 
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nodes do not suffice to obtain degree $2n - 1$. The same behaviour was found
for Jacobi weight functions on the simplex [1, 2].

For $d = 2$ the existence of minimal formulae of degree $2n - 1$ with dim $\Pi_{n-1}^2$
nodes has been characterized by Mysovskikh [15]. The nodes of such a for-
mula, if it exists, are the common zeros of bivariate orthogonal polynomials.
Mysovskikh and Černicina [13] constructed a special weight function and an
associated formula for Radon’s case, i.e., degree 5. The existence of linear func-
tionals admitting formulae of the discussed type was studied by Kuz’menkov
[10]. Since then one was sure that such functionals would be exotic and Gaus-
sian cubatures would be rare.

The main purpose of this paper is to present two classes of integrals for which
Mysovskikh’s characterization holds and to extend the one-dimensional results
directly to the bivariate case. The integrals discussed allow an explicit computa-
tion of minimal formulae of an arbitrary even or odd degree of exactness. Since
only a few results on this topic are known, our examples might be of general
interest.

Let $w(x)$ be a nonnegative function on $\mathbb{R}$. Let $\{p_n\}$ be orthonormal poly-
nomials with respect to $w$, and let $x_k,n$ be the zeros of $p_n + \rho p_{n-1}$, where $\rho$
is an arbitrary but fixed real number. The roots are ordered by $x_1,n < \cdots < x_{2n},n$.

**Theorem 1.** Let $w$ be a nonnegative function on an interval $I$. Let $u = x + y$ and $v = xy$, and define $W(u, v) = w(x)w(y)$. Then we have the following
Gaussian cubatures of degree $2n - 2$:

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u, v)W(u, v)(u^2 - 4v)^{-1/2} \, du \, dv
$$

(1.3) 

$$
= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j,k} f(x_k,n + x_j,n, x_k,n x_j,n)
$$

and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u, v)W(u, v)(u^2 - 4v)^{1/2} \, du \, dv
$$

(1.4) 

$$
= \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \lambda_{k,j} f(x_k,n+1 + x_j,n+1, x_k,n+1 x_j,n+1),
$$

where the integral is over the region $R = \{(u, v)|(x, y) \in I \times I \text{ and } x < y\}$. If $\rho = 0$, then a uniquely determined formula of degree $2n - 1$ will be obtained.

Our proof, in the following section, is based on the bivariate orthogonal
polynomials introduced by Koornwinder in [7]. For a given $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ let $u = x + y$ and $v = xy$ and define

$$
P_k^{n,(-1/2)}(u, v) = \begin{cases} 
p_n(x)p_k(y) + p_n(y)p_k(x) & \text{if } k < n, \\
\sqrt{2}p_n(x)p_n(y) & \text{if } k = n;
\end{cases}
$$

(1.5) 

$$
P_k^{n,(1/2)}(u, v) = \frac{p_{n+1}(x)p_k(y) - p_{n+1}(y)p_k(x)}{x - y}.
$$

(1.6)

Then $P_k^{n,(-1/2)}$ are polynomials of total degree $n$. Koornwinder [7, p. 468]
showed that $\{P_k^{n,(1/2)}\}$ are bivariate orthogonal systems with respect to the
weight function \((u^2 - 4v)^{\pm 1/2} W(u, v)\). For \(I = [-1, 1]\) the region \(R\) on the 
\((u, v)\) plane is given in Figure 1.

In [8] Koornwinder defined and investigated an important class of bivariate 
orthogonal polynomials \(\{P_k^n(\gamma)\}\) that are orthogonal with respect to 
the weight function \((u^2 - 4v)^\gamma W(u, v)\), where \(W(u, v) = w^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) w^{(\alpha, \beta)}(y)\) and 
\(w^{(\alpha, \beta)} = (1 - x)\alpha (1 + x)\beta\) is the Jacobi weight (see [9, 20] for further 
analysis; the polynomials are denoted \(P_{k,n}^{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\) in [8]). The cases \(\gamma = \pm \frac{1}{2}\) 
correspond to our \(P_k^n(\pm 1/2)\) with \(w\) being a Jacobi weight. It is natural to ask 
whether the weight function \((u^2 - 4v)^\gamma W(u, v)\) leads to Gaussian cubatures 
for \(\gamma \neq \pm \frac{1}{2}\). In [20, (10.7)-(10.10), p. 518] (see also [9, (3.21), (3.22), p. 465]) 
\(P_k^n(\gamma)\) \((w(\pm 1/2, \pm 1/2))\) are given explicitly in terms of Gegenbauer polynomials. 
Using these formulae, we can prove that in these four cases the answer is negative, 
at least for the odd degree cubature. That is, for \(w(\pm 1/2, \pm 1/2)\) the weight 
function \((u^2 - 4v)^\gamma W(u, v)\) leads to Gaussian cubature of degree \(2n - 1\) only 
if \(\gamma = \pm \frac{1}{2}\).

2. Proof

For a given weight function \(W\) on \(\mathbb{R}^2\) let \(\{P_k^n\}_{k=0}^\infty\) be a sequence of 
orthonormal polynomials corresponding to \(W\), where the superscript \(n\) means 
that \(P_k^n\) is of total degree \(n\). Using the vector notation

\[
\mathbb{P}_n(x) = [P_0^n(x), P_1^n(x), \ldots, P_n^n(x)]^T, \quad x = (x, y),
\]

the orthonormal property of \(\{P_k^n\}\) is described by

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbb{P}_n(x) \mathbb{P}_m^T(x) W(x) \, dx \, dy = \delta_{m,n} E_{n+1},
\]

where \(E_n\) denotes the \(n \times n\) identity matrix. Throughout this paper, the notation \(A: i \times j\) means that \(A\) is a matrix of size \(i \times j\). For convenience, we 
sometimes call \(\mathbb{P}_n\) orthonormal polynomials. Using this vector notation, many 
properties of the univariate orthogonal polynomials have been extended to the 
multivariate setting (cf. [5, 6, 21–25]). In particular, a system of orthonormal 
polynomials satisfies a three-term relation

\[
x_i \mathbb{P}_n = A_{n,i} \mathbb{P}_{n+1} + B_{n,i} \mathbb{P}_n + A_{n-1}^T \mathbb{P}_{n-1}, \quad i = 1, 2,
\]
where \( A_{n,i} : (n+1) \times (n+2) \) and \( B_{n,i} : (n+1) \times (n+1) \) are matrices satisfying

\[
A_{n,1} A_{n+1,1} = A_{n,1} A_{n+1,1},
\]

\[
A_{n,1} B_{n+1,2} + B_{n,1} A_{n,2} = B_{n,1} A_{n,1} + A_{n,2} B_{n+1,1},
\]

and

\[
A^T_{n-1,1} A_{n-1,2} + B_{n,1} B_{n,2} + A_{n,1} A^T_{n,2}
= A^T_{n-1,2} A_{n-1,1} + B_{n,2} B_{n,1} + A_{n,2} A^T_{n,1}.
\]

In addition, if a sequence of polynomials satisfies (2.2)-(2.5) for some matrices \( A_{n,i} \) and \( B_{n,i} \) and \( \text{rank}(A^T_{n,1} | A^T_{n,2})^T = n + 2 \), then it is orthonormal with respect to a square positive linear functional (Favard's theorem [21, 22]). The common zeros of the components in \( P_n \) will be called zeros of \( P_n \).

**Theorem 2.** For a given weight function \( W \) a Gaussian cubature of degree \( 2n-2 \) exists if and only if there is a matrix \( \Gamma : n + 1 \times n \) such that \( P_n + \Gamma P_{n-1} \) has \( \dim \Pi^2_{n-1} \) zeros. These zeros are the notes of the cubature. Moreover, \( P_n + \Gamma P_{n-1} \) has \( \dim \Pi^2_{n-1} \) zeros if and only if

\[
A_{n-1,2} \Gamma A^T_{n-2,1} = A_{n-1,1} \Gamma A^T_{n-2,2},
\]

and

\[
[A_{n-1,2} B_{n,1} - A_{n-1,1} B_{n,2} + A_{n-1,2} \Gamma A_{n-1,1} - A_{n-1,1} \Gamma A_{n-1,2}] \Gamma
= A_{n-1,2} A^T_{n-1,1} - A_{n-1,1} A^T_{n-1,2} + A_{n-1,2} \Gamma B_{n-1,1} - A_{n-1,1} \Gamma B_{n-1,2}.
\]

If \( \Gamma = 0 \) satisfies (2.6) and (2.7), then the degree of exactness is \( 2n - 1 \).

This is the characterization of [17–19, 26] in terms of orthonormal polynomials. If \( \Gamma = 0 \), then the theorem is reduced to Mysovskikh's characterization [15], i.e.,

\[
A_{n-1,2} A^T_{n-1,1} = A_{n-1,1} A^T_{n-1,2}.
\]

The formulation of this in [15] is in terms of a monic orthogonal basis; a simple proof in the present form can be found in [24].

In the following we shall derive the three-term relation satisfied by \( \{ P^n_k(\pm 1/2) \} \), in vector notation, \( P^n_{(\pm 1/2)} \), and study conditions (2.6) and (2.7).

We denote the coefficient matrices in the three-term relation of \( P^n_{(\pm 1/2)} \) by \( A^n_{(\pm 1/2)} \) and \( B^n_{(\pm 1/2)} \). Let the weight function \( w \) satisfy \( \int w(x) \, dx = 1 \). Then the corresponding orthonormal polynomials \( \{ p_n \} \) satisfy a three-term relation given by (cf. [3])

\[
x p_n = a_n p_{n+1} + b_n p_n + a_{n-1} p_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 0,
\]

where \( p_0 = 1 \) and \( p_{-1} = 0 \).

We consider \( P^n_k(\pm 1/2) \) first and shall omit the superscript \( -1/2 \) in the following computation. From (1.5) and \( u = x + y \) we have for \( k < n \)

\[
u^k(u, v) = (x + y)(p_n(x)p_k(y) + p_n(y)p_k(x))
= a_n(p_{n+1}(x)p_k(y) + p_{n+1}(y)p_k(x)) + b_n(p_n(x)p_k(y) + p_n(y)p_k(x))
+ a_{n-1}(p_{n-1}(x)p_k(y) + p_{n-1}(y)p_k(x)) + a_k(p_{k+1}(x)p_n(y)
+ p_{k+1}(y)p_n(x)) + b_k(p_k(x)p_n(y) + p_k(y)p_n(x))
+ a_{k-1}(p_{k-1}(x)p_n(y) + p_{k-1}(y)p_n(x)).
\]
Therefore, we have for $0 \leq k \leq n - 2$

\[ u^n_k = a_n p^{n+1}_k + b_n p^n_k + a_{n-1} p^n_{k+1} + a_k p^n_{k+1} + b_k p^n_k + a_{k-1} p^n_{k-1}, \]

for $k = n - 1$

\[ u^n_{n-1} = a_n p^{n+1}_{n-1} + b_n p^n_{n-1} + \sqrt{2} a_{n-1} p^n_{n-1} + \sqrt{2} a_{n-1} p^n_{n-1} + b_{n-1} p^n_{n-1} + a_{n-2} p^n_{n-2}, \]

and for $k = n$

\[ u^n_n = \sqrt{2} (x + y) p_n(x) p_n(y) = \sqrt{2} a_n p^{n+1}_n + 2 b_n p^n_n + \sqrt{2} a_{n-1} p^n_{n-1}. \]

It follows from these formulas that

\[
A_{n, 1}^{(-1/2)} = a_n \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
P_{n, 1}^{(-1/2)} = \begin{bmatrix} b_0 & a_0 & \cdots & 0 \\ a_0 & b_1 & a_1 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n-2} & b_{n-1} & \sqrt{2} a_{n-1} & 0 \\ \end{bmatrix} + b_n E_{n+1}. \]

Similarly, we have from (1.5), (2.9), and $v = xy$ that

\[ v^n_k(u, v) = (xy)(p_n(x)p_k(y) + p_n(y)p_k(x)) = (a_n p^n_{n+1}(x) + b_n p^n_{n+1}(x) + a_{n-1} p^n_{n-1}(x)) \]

\[ \times (b_k p^n_{k+1}(y) + a_k p^n_{k+1}(y) + a_{k-1} p^n_{k-1}(y)) \]

\[ + (a_n p^n_{n+1}(y) + b_n p^n_{n+1}(y) + a_{n-1} p^n_{n-1}(y)) \]

\[ \times (a_k p^n_{k+1}(x) + b_k p^n_{k+1}(x) + a_{k-1} p^n_{k-1}(x)). \]

Therefore, for $0 \leq k \leq n - 2$ we have

\[ v^n_k = a_n (a_k p^n_{k+1} + b_k p^n_{k+1} + a_{k-1} p^n_{k-1}) \]

\[ + b_n (a_k p^n_{k+1} + b_k p^n_{k+1} + a_{k-1} p^n_{k-1}) \]

\[ + a_{n-1} (a_k p^n_{k+1} + b_k p^n_{k-1} + a_{k-1} p^n_{k-1}), \]

where for $k = n - 2$ the coefficient of $p^n_{n-1}$ is multiplied by $\sqrt{2}$, for $k = n - 1$

\[ v^n_{n-1} = a_n (a_{n-1} p^n_{n+1} + b_{n-1} p^n_{n+1} + a_{n-2} p^n_{n-2}) \]

\[ + b_n (\sqrt{2} a_{n-1} p^n_{n+1} + b_{n-1} p^n_{n+1} + a_{n-2} p^n_{n-2}) \]

\[ + a_{n-1}^2 p^n_{n-1} + a_{n-1} (\sqrt{2} b_{n-1} p^n_{n+1} + a_{n-2} p^n_{n-2}), \]

and for $k = n$

\[ v^n_n = \sqrt{2} xy p_n(x) p_n(y) \]

\[ = a_n (a_n p^n_{n+1} + \sqrt{2} b_n p^n_{n+1} + \sqrt{2} a_{n-1} p^n_{n+1}) \]

\[ + b_n (b_n p^n_n + \sqrt{2} a_{n-1} p^n_{n+1}) + a_{n-1} a_{n-1} p^n_{n-1}. \]
It then follows that

\[
A_{n,2}^{(-1/2)} = a_n \begin{bmatrix}
 b_0 & a_0 & 0 \\
 a_0 & b_1 & a_1 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
 \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\
 0 & \sqrt{2a_{n-1}} & \sqrt{2b_{n-1}} & a_{n-1} & 0 \\
 \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
B_{n,2}^{(-1/2)} = b_n \begin{bmatrix}
 b_0 & a_0 & 0 \\
 a_0 & b_1 & a_1 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
 \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\
 0 & \sqrt{2a_{n-1}} & \sqrt{2b_{n-1}} & a_{n-1} & 0 \\
 \end{bmatrix} + a_{n-1}^{2} \begin{bmatrix}
 0 & 1 \\
 0 & 0 \\
 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

The three-term relation for \( P_{n}^{(1/2)} \) can be obtained similarly. The coefficient matrices are given as

\[
A_{n,1}^{(1/2)} = a_{n+1} \begin{bmatrix}
 1 & 0 & 0 \\
 \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \\
 0 & 1 & 0 \\
 \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
B_{n,1}^{(1/2)} = b_{n+1}E_{n+1}.
\]

\[
A_{n,2}^{(1/2)} = a_{n+1} \begin{bmatrix}
 b_0 & a_0 & 0 \\
 a_0 & b_1 & a_1 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
 \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\
 0 & \sqrt{2a_{n-1}} & \sqrt{2b_{n-1}} & a_{n-1} & 0 \\
 \end{bmatrix} - a_{n-1}^{2} \begin{bmatrix}
 0 & 1 \\
 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

From these matrices it follows easily that \( A_{n,i}^{(\pm1/2)} \) satisfy equation (2.8). Taking this into account and using equations (2.3)–(2.5), condition (2.7) can be rewritten as

\[
[B_{n-1,1}A_{n-1,2} - B_{n-1,2}A_{n-1,1}]\Gamma - A_{n-1,2}\Gamma B_{n-1,1} + A_{n-1,1}\Gamma B_{n-1,2} = [A_{n-1,1}\Gamma A_{n-1,2} - A_{n-1,2}\Gamma A_{n-1,1}]\Gamma.
\]

Setting

\[
\Gamma^{(-1/2)} = \rho \begin{bmatrix}
 1 & 0 \\
 \vdots & \ddots \\
 0 & 1 \\
 \end{bmatrix},
\]
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and \( \Gamma^{(1/2)} = \rho A^{(1/2)\top} \), \( \rho \in \mathbb{R} \), it is not too hard to check that the \( \Gamma^{(\pm 1/2)} \) satisfy the corresponding equation (2.6) and solve the corresponding matrix equation.

Thus, the existence of \( \text{dim} \Pi_{n-1}^2 \) common zeros of \( P_n^{(\pm 1/2)} + \Gamma^{(\pm 1/2)} P_{n-1}^{(\pm 1/2)} \) follows from Theorem 2. Moreover, from (1.5) we have

\[
P_n^{(1/2)}(u, v) + \rho P_{n-1}^{(1/2)}(u, v) = \left[ P_n(x) + \rho P_{n-1}(x) \right] p_k(y) + \left[ P_n(y) + \rho P_{n-1}(y) \right] p_k(x), \quad k < n,
\]

and

\[
P_n^{(1/2)}(u, v) - \rho^2 P_{n-1}^{(1/2)}(u, v) = \sqrt{2} \left[ P_n(x) + \rho P_{n-1}(x) \right] p_n(y) - \sqrt{2} \rho P_{n-1}(x) [p_n(y) + \rho P_{n-1}(y)].
\]

Hence these polynomials vanish for \( (x, y) = (x_k, n, x_j, n) \). Since the transformation \( u = x + y \) and \( v = xy \) is symmetric in \( x \) and \( y \), the distinct common zeros of \( P_n^{(1/2)} + \Gamma^{(1/2)} P_{n-1}^{(1/2)} \) are given by \( (x_k, n + x_j, n, x_k, n x_j, n) \) for \( j \leq k \), which are the nodes in (1.3). Similarly, from (1.6) we obtain

\[
P_k^{(1/2)}(u, v) + \rho P_k^{(-1/2)}(u, v) - \rho^2 P_{k-1}^{(-1/2)}(u, v) = \frac{1}{x - y} \left[ P_{n+1}(x) + \rho P_n(x) \right] p_k(y) - \left[ P_{n+1}(y) + \rho P_n(y) \right] p_k(x), \quad k < n.
\]

The distinct common zeros of \( P_n^{(1/2)} + \Gamma^{(1/2)} P_{n-1}^{(1/2)} \) are given by

\[
(x_k, n+1 + x_j, n+1, x_k, n+1 x_j, n+1), \quad j < k,
\]

which are nodes in (1.4).

We remark that in both cases all nodes are inside the domain of integration for \( \rho \) in an open interval containing 0. The end points of this interval can be determined explicitly using the one-dimensional theory. Furthermore, the degree of exactness is \( 2n - 2 \), except if \( \rho = 0 \), then we obtain degree \( 2n - 1 \).

The coefficients \( \lambda_{k,j} \) of the formulae obtained are positive since the number of nodes attains the lower bound \( \text{dim} \Pi_{n-1}^2 \). Furthermore, it is known that the coefficients \( \lambda_k \) in (1.1) are given by the values of the inverse of the reproducing kernel function at \( x_k \) (cf. [14]). So we get for (1.3)

\[
\lambda_{k,j} = 1/ \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \left[ P_j^{(-1/2)}(x_k, n + x_j, n, x_k, n x_j, n) \right]^2.
\]

For other expressions of \( \lambda_k \) see [23].

Remark. Theorem 1 can be verified just by counting the number of real common zeros of \( P_n^{(\pm 1/2)} + \Gamma^{(\pm 1/2)} P_{n-1}^{(\pm 1/2)} \) and taking into account the upper bound for the common real zeros of a fundamental system of polynomials (see [15]). However, this gives no further insight. We hope that the matrices in the three-term relation and matrix equations (2.6) and (2.7) could be suggestive for more general cases, too. Theoretically, one can start with matrices \( \{A_n, i\} \) and \( \{B_n, i\} \) that satisfy (2.3)–(2.5), (2.8), and a rank condition and use them as coefficient matrices to generate orthogonal polynomials that lead to Gaussian cubatures; every Gaussian cubature could be derived in this way according to Favard's
theorem [25]. The matrices given here are the only explicit examples known today.
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