

## A NOTE ON MORITA EQUIVALENCE OF TWISTED $C^*$ -DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS

S. KALISZEWSKI

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

**ABSTRACT.** We present an elementary proof that every twisted  $C^*$ -dynamical system is Morita equivalent to an ordinary system. As a corollary we prove the equivalence  $C_0(G/H, A) \times_{\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{u}} G \sim A \times_{\alpha, u} H$  for Busby-Smith twisted dynamical systems, generalizing an important result of Green.

It is essentially the content of a recent theorem of Echterhoff [5, Theorem 1] that every twisted dynamical system is Morita equivalent to an ordinary system. By avoiding Green's imprimitivity theorem [6, Corollary 5] and appealing directly to the stabilization trick [8, Theorem 3.4] of Packer and Raeburn, we provide an elementary proof of this fact, at the same time generalizing it (in the separable case) to Busby-Smith twisted systems. Thus our main theorem provides a way of lifting much of the theory for ordinary and Green-twisted systems to the more general systems. As an example of its utility, we prove an analog of Green's important equivalence  $C^*(G, C_0(G/H, A); \tilde{\tau}) \sim C^*(H, A, \tau)$  [6] for Busby-Smith twisted systems. This, in turn, will form the basis for a process of inducing representations, an imprimitivity theorem, and ultimately a version of the Mackey-Green machine for these twisted systems.

### 1. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this note  $G$  will be a second-countable locally compact group;  $A$  and  $B$  will always be separable  $C^*$ -algebras. The multiplier algebra of  $A$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{M}(A)$  and its unitary group by  $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{M}(A)$ . If  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$  are (strongly) Morita equivalent via an equivalence bimodule  $X$  (see [10, 11]), we will write  $A \sim_X B$  or simply  $A \sim B$ .

A *twisted action* of a group  $G$  on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is a pair  $(\alpha, u)$  consisting of a strongly Borel map  $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$  and a strictly Borel map  $u: G \times G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}\mathcal{M}(A)$  such that  $\alpha_s \circ \alpha_t = \text{Ad } u(s, t) \circ \alpha_{st}$  and  $\alpha_r(u(s, t))u(r, st) = u(r, s)u(rs, t)$  for all  $r, s, t$  in  $G$ . We call the quadruple  $(A, G, \alpha, u)$  a (*Busby-Smith*) *twisted dynamical system*. (See [2; 8, Definition 2.1].) If the cocycle  $u$  is trivial (i.e., identically 1), then we say  $(A, G, \alpha, u)$  is an *ordinary*

---

Received by the editors June 28, 1993 and, in revised form, September 20, 1993.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46L55.

*Key words and phrases*.  $C^*$ -algebra, dynamical system, Morita equivalence.

The author was supported in part by the Australian Research Council.

©1995 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/95 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

dynamical system and write  $(A, G, \alpha)$  for short. Note that then  $\alpha$  is a Borel homomorphism into the Polish group  $\text{Aut}(A)$ , so is actually continuous [7, Proposition 5]. Thus the ordinary systems are the objects studied in [3] and [4], among others. For every twisted dynamical system there is a (unique) crossed product  $C^*$ -algebra  $A \times_{\alpha, u} G$  which is a universal object for covariant representations of  $(A, G, \alpha, u)$  in multiplier algebras [9].

Two twisted actions  $(\beta, v)$  and  $(\alpha, u)$  of  $G$  on  $A$  are exterior equivalent if there is a strictly Borel map  $w: G \rightarrow \mathcal{UM}(A)$  such that  $\alpha_s = \text{Ad } w_s \circ \beta_s$  and  $u(s, t) = w_s \beta_s(w_t)v(s, t)w_{st}^*$  for any  $s, t$  in  $G$  [8, Definition 3.1].

More generally, suppose  $(B, G, \beta, v)$  and  $(A, G, \alpha, u)$  are twisted systems and  $X$  is a  $B - A$  equivalence bimodule. Let  $\text{Aut}(X)$  denote the set of bicontinuous linear bijections  $\phi$  of  $X$  which satisfy the ternary homomorphism identity  $\phi(x \cdot \langle y, z \rangle_A) = \phi(x) \cdot \langle \phi(y), \phi(z) \rangle_A$ . (The analogous identity using  $B$ -valued inner products is equivalent.) Then following [1, Definition 2.1], we will say  $(B, G, \beta, v)$  and  $(A, G, \alpha, u)$  are Morita equivalent if there is a strongly Borel map  $\gamma: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X)$  such that for  $s, t$  in  $G$  and  $x, y$  in  $X$ :

- (1)  $\alpha_s(\langle x, y \rangle_A) = \langle \gamma_s(x), \gamma_s(y) \rangle_A$ .
- (2)  $\beta_s({}_B \langle x, y \rangle) = {}_B \langle \gamma_s(x), \langle x, y \rangle \rangle$ .
- (3)  $\gamma_s \circ \gamma_t(x) = v(s, t) \cdot \gamma_{st}(x) \cdot u(s, t)^*$ .

We write  $(B, G, \beta, v) \sim_{X, \gamma} (A, G, \alpha, u)$  and call  $(X, \gamma)$  a system of imprimitivity implementing the equivalence.

### 2. THE MAIN THEOREM

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $(A, G, \alpha, u)$  be a twisted dynamical system, and let  $\mathcal{K}$  denote the compact operators on  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(G)$ . Then there is an ordinary action  $\beta$  of  $G$  on  $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$  and a map  $\delta: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A \otimes \mathcal{K})$  such that*

$$(A \otimes \mathcal{K}, G, \beta) \sim_{A \otimes \mathcal{K}, \delta} (A, G, \alpha, u).$$

*Proof.* We appeal to the Packer-Raeburn stabilization trick [8, Theorem 3.4]. Thus we have a Borel map  $w: G \rightarrow \mathcal{UM}(A \otimes \mathcal{K})$  which implements an exterior equivalence between an ordinary action  $(\beta, 1)$  of  $G$  on  $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$  and  $(\alpha \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{K}}, u \otimes 1)$ .

Let  $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$  have the canonical  $A \otimes \mathcal{K} - A$  equivalence bimodule structure; so  $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$  is the completion of the algebraic tensor product  $A \odot \mathcal{K}$  with respect to the norm induced by the  $A$ -valued inner product  $\langle a \otimes \xi, b \otimes \eta \rangle_A = \langle \eta, \xi \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} a^* b$ . For  $s$  in  $G$ , the rule  $a \otimes \xi \mapsto \alpha_s(a) \otimes \xi$  defines an automorphism of  $A \odot \mathcal{K}$  which satisfies condition (1) for this product, so is isometric with respect to the induced norm, and thus extends to a map  $\alpha_s \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{K}}$  of  $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$  into itself. Then for  $x$  in  $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ , the map  $s \mapsto \alpha_s \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{K}}(x)$  is Borel, using the fact that  $s \mapsto \alpha_s(a)$  is Borel for  $a$  in  $A$ , together with a routine density argument.

Now define  $\delta_s: A \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow A \otimes \mathcal{K}$  by

$$\delta_s(x) = w_s^* \cdot \alpha_s \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{K}}(x).$$

Then straightforward calculations on elementary tensors in  $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$  verify that each  $\delta_s$  satisfies the ternary homomorphism identity, and that the map  $s \mapsto \delta_s$  satisfies conditions (1)–(3) above. For example, for any  $s, t$  in  $G$  and  $a \otimes \xi$

in  $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_s \circ \delta_t(a \otimes \xi) &= w_s^* \cdot \alpha_s \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{K}}(w_t^* \cdot \alpha_t \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{K}}(a \otimes \xi)) \\ &= w_s^* \alpha_s \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{K}}(w_t^*)^* \cdot \alpha_s \circ \alpha_t(a) \otimes \xi \\ &= (w_s^* \alpha_s \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{K}}(w_t^*)^* u(s, t) \otimes 1) \cdot \alpha_{st} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{K}}(a \otimes \xi) \cdot u(s, t)^* \\ &= w_{st}^* \cdot \alpha_{st} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{K}}(a \otimes \xi) \cdot u(s, t)^* \\ &= \delta_{st}(a \otimes \xi) \cdot u(s, t)^*. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, condition (1) (or (2)) implies each  $\delta_s$  is isometric, and therefore bicontinuous since each  $\delta_s$  is invertible. Thus each  $\delta_s$  belongs to  $\text{Aut}(A \otimes \mathcal{K})$ .

It only remains to show that the map  $s \mapsto \delta_s$  is strongly Borel. To see this, fix  $x$  in  $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$  and let  $\{e_i\}$  be a countable approximate identity for  $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ . Then each of the maps  $s \mapsto w_s^* e_i$  and  $s \mapsto \alpha_s \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{K}}(x)$  are Borel, so that  $s \mapsto w_s^* e_i \cdot a_s \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{K}}(x)$  is Borel for each  $i$ . Since  $s \mapsto \delta_s(x)$  is the pointwise limit of these Borel maps, it too is Borel, and the theorem follows.  $\square$

We remark that the analogous theorem for separable Green-twisted systems can be derived from Theorem 2.1, proving in essence Echterhoff's [5, Theorem 1]. This just requires verifying that the process of converting Green-twisted systems into Busby-Smith systems described in [8, §5] preserves the respective notions of Morita equivalence. While not complicated, the proof is lengthy, so we will not include it here.

Now let  $H$  be a closed subgroup of  $G$ , and denote an element  $tH$  of the quotient space  $G/H$  by  $i$ . We define the diagonal twisted action  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{u})$  of  $G$  on  $C_0(G/H, A)$  as follows:

$$\tilde{\alpha}_s(f)(i) = \alpha_s(f(s^{-1}i)) \quad \text{and} \quad [\tilde{u}(s, t)f](i) = u(s, t)f(i).$$

Then we have the promised analog of Green's result [6, Corollary 5].

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $(A, G, \alpha, u)$  be a twisted dynamical system, and let  $H$  and  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{u})$  be as above. Then*

$$C_0(G/H, A) \times_{\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{u}} G \sim A \times_{\alpha, u} H.$$

*Proof.* Let  $(A \otimes \mathcal{K}, G, \beta)$  be the ordinary system which by Theorem 2.1 is Morita equivalent to  $(A, G, \alpha, u)$ . Then it is straightforward to check that  $C_0(G/H, A \otimes \mathcal{K})$  is a  $C_0(G/H, A \otimes \mathcal{K}) - C_0(G/H, A)$  equivalence bimodule when equipped with pointwise actions and inner products. Moreover, calculations similar to those in the proof of Theorem 2.1 verify that the diagonal action  $\tilde{\delta}$  of  $G$  on  $C_0(G/H, A \otimes \mathcal{K})$  defined by  $\tilde{\delta}_s(x)(i) = \delta_s(x(s^{-1}i))$  yields

$$(C_0(G/H, A \otimes \mathcal{K}), G, \tilde{\beta}) \sim_{C_0(G/H, A \otimes \mathcal{K}), \tilde{\delta}} (C_0(G/H, A), G, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{u}).$$

Because Morita equivalent twisted systems have Morita equivalent crossed products [1, Theorem 2.3], we have  $C_0(G/H, A) \times_{\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{u}} G \sim C_0(G/H, A \otimes \mathcal{K}) \times_{\tilde{\beta}} G$ .

Next, notice that restricting the twisted actions  $(\alpha, u)$  and  $(\beta, 1)$  to  $H$  yields Morita equivalent systems  $(A, H, \alpha, u)$  and  $(A \otimes \mathcal{K}, H, \beta)$ . Again using [1, Theorem 2.3], we have  $A \otimes \mathcal{K} \times_{\beta} H \sim A \times_{\alpha, u} H$ . But Green's [6, Corollary 5] applied to  $(A \otimes \mathcal{K}, G, \beta)$  gives us  $C_0(G/H, A \otimes \mathcal{K}) \times_{\tilde{\beta}} G \sim A \otimes \mathcal{K} \times_{\beta} H$ ; the corollary now follows by the transitivity of Morita equivalence.  $\square$

The development of a theory of induced representations for Busby-Smith twisted systems—in particular, for the Mackey-Green machine—will require

not only the abstract Morita equivalence of Corollary 2.2 but a concrete equivalence bimodule which implements it. To be sure, the use of transitivity in the above proof implicitly gives such a bimodule; namely, the balanced tensor product of the three bimodules involved. This three-fold tensor product bimodule turns out to be extremely unpleasant to work with. We would prefer a bimodule completion of  $B_c(G, A)$ , the bounded Borel functions with compact support, which would be analogous to Green's  $C_c(G, A)$ . Such a bimodule does exist; however, technical difficulties arise in proving this which are beyond the scope of this note. The general process of inducing covariant representations of Busby-Smith twisted dynamical systems, as well as the particular problem of providing a workable equivalence bimodule for Corollary 2.2 are addressed in work currently in preparation.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is grateful to Iain Raeburn for many helpful conversations and for his hospitality while visiting the University of Newcastle.

#### REFERENCES

1. Huu Hung Bui, *Morita equivalence of twisted crossed products*, preprint, 1993.
2. Robert C. Busby and Harvey A. Smith, *Representations of twisted group algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **149** (1970), 503–537.
3. F. Combes, *Crossed products and Morita equivalence*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **49** (1984), 289–306.
4. R. Curto, P. Muhly, and D. Williams, *Cross products of strongly Morita equivalent  $C^*$ -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **90** (1984), 528–530.
5. Siegfried Echterhoff, *Morita equivalent twisted actions and a new version of the Packer-Raeburn stabilization trick*, preprint, 1992.
6. Philip Green, *The local structure of twisted covariance algebras*, Acta Math. **140** (1978), 191–250.
7. C. C. Moore, *Group extensions and cohomology for locally compact groups*. III, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **221** (1976), 1–33.
8. Judith Packer and Iain Raeburn, *Twisted crossed products of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **106** (1989), 293–311.
9. ———, *Twisted crossed products of  $C^*$ -algebras*. II, Math. Ann. **287** (1990), 595–612.
10. Marc A. Rieffel, *Induced representations of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Adv. Math. **13** (1974), 176–257.
11. ———, *Morita equivalence for operator algebras*, Operator Algebras and Applications (Richard V. Kadison, ed.), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1982, pp. 285–298.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, DARTMOUTH COLLEGE, HANOVER, NEW HAMPSHIRE 03755-3551

*Current address:* Department of Mathematics, University of Newcastle, Callaghan, New South Wales 2308, Australia

*E-mail address:* [kaz@frey.newcastle.edu.au](mailto:kaz@frey.newcastle.edu.au)