

## THE TATE CONJECTURE FOR $t$ -MOTIVES

YUICHIRO TAGUCHI

(Communicated by Eric Friedlander)

**ABSTRACT.** A version of the Tate conjecture is proved for  $\varphi$ -modules of “ $t$ -motive type”.

In this note, we formulate a version of the Tate conjecture for  $\varphi$ -modules, and give a proof of it in a special but essential case. Similar results have been obtained independently by Tamagawa [3] in a more general setting.

Let  $K$  be an algebraic function field in one variable over a finite field, whose field of constants is  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $\pi$  a place of  $K$ , and  $K_\pi$  the completion of  $K$  at  $\pi$ . Let  $k$  be any field containing  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . We set  $K_k := k \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} K$ , and denote by  $K_{k,\pi}$  the completion of  $K_k$  with respect to the  $\pi$ -adic topology (these may not be fields). Let  $\sigma$  be the endomorphism ( $q$ -th power Frobenius of  $k$ )  $\otimes$  ( $\text{id}_K$ ) of  $K_k$ , and also its natural extension to  $K_{k,\pi}$ . By a  $\varphi$ -module  $(M, \varphi)$  (or simply  $M$ ) over  $K_k$  (resp. over  $K_{k,\pi}$ ), we mean a free  $K_k$ -module (resp.  $K_{k,\pi}$ -module)  $M$  of finite rank equipped with a  $\sigma$ -semi-linear map  $\varphi : M \rightarrow M$ . Morphisms of  $\varphi$ -modules are defined naturally. Tensor products  $M \otimes N$  (with diagonal  $\varphi$ -action) exist. Internal homs  $\text{Hom}(M, N)$  (with  $\varphi : “f \mapsto \varphi_N \circ f \circ \varphi_M^{-1}”$ ) may or may not exist. For a  $\varphi$ -module  $M$ , let  $M^\varphi$  denote the fixed part of  $M$  by  $\varphi$ . This is a  $K$ -subspace (resp.  $K_\pi$ -subspace) of  $M$ . If the internal hom  $H = \text{Hom}(M, N)$  exists, then  $H^\varphi$  is the space  $\text{Hom}_\varphi(M, N)$  of  $\varphi$ -module homomorphisms of  $M$  to  $N$ . Now the Tate conjecture in our context is

**Conjecture.** Let  $M$  be a  $\varphi$ -module over  $K_k$ . If  $k$  is of finite type over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , then the natural map of  $K_\pi$ -vector spaces

$$K_\pi \otimes_K M^\varphi \rightarrow (K_{k,\pi} \otimes_{K_k} M)^\varphi$$

is an isomorphism.

In general, this is not true (cf. [3]).

Equivalently, by fixing a  $K_k$ -basis of  $M$ , the conjecture can be stated also as follows: Let  $A$  be a matrix in  $M_r(K_k)$ . Consider the linear Frobenius equation

$$(*) \quad AX^\sigma = X,$$

---

Received by the editors April 28, 1994.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11G09.

Partially supported by JSPS Postdoctoral Fellowships for Research Abroad.

where the indeterminate  $X$  is considered in  $K_{k,\pi}^{\oplus r}$ , on which  $\sigma$  acts component-wise. Let  $V$  (resp.  $V_\pi$ ) be the space of solutions of (\*) in  $K_k^{\oplus r}$  (resp.  $K_{k,\pi}^{\oplus r}$ ). Then the natural map of  $K_\pi$ -vector spaces  $K_\pi \otimes_K V \rightarrow V_\pi$  is an isomorphism.

These problems can (and should) be considered also with  $K_k$  replaced by certain localizations of it.

The injectivity is easy to see, so the essence is in the surjectivity.

A reduction can be made: suppose  $K'$  is a subfield of  $K$  which contains  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and over which  $K$  is finite. Let  $\pi'$  be the restriction of  $\pi$  to  $K'$ . A  $\varphi$ -module  $M$  over  $K_k$  can be regarded as a  $\varphi$ -module over  $K'_k$ . If the conjecture is true for  $(K', \pi', M)$ , then it is also true for  $(K, \pi, M)$  (use the identification  $K'_{\pi'} \otimes_{K'} K = \prod_{\pi|_{\pi'}} K_\pi$ , etc.). So we may and do assume  $K = \mathbb{F}_q(t)$  and identify  $\pi$  with a monic irreducible element of  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ . Replacing  $K$  again by the subfield  $\mathbb{F}_q(\pi)$ , we may assume  $\pi = t$  (so  $K_k$  is the polynomial ring  $k[t]$  to which the inverses of all monic polynomials in  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$  have been adjoined, and  $K_{k,\pi} = k((t))$ ).

Now we prove the conjecture assuming that  $k$  is a function field in one variable over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  (this is not essential) and that  $M$  comes from  $t$ -motives of characteristic different from  $\pi$ , by which we mean the following (cf. [1]): there exist a non-zero element  $\theta$  of  $k$  and positive integers  $d$  and  $d'$  such that the map  $\varphi$  is represented with respect to some  $K_k$ -basis of  $M$  by a matrix  $A$  of the form

$$A = (t - \theta)^d B^{-1},$$

where  $B$  is a matrix in  $M_r(k[t])$  with  $\det B$  of the form  $u(t - \theta)^{d'}$ ,  $u \in k^\times$  (so we allow any  $A \in GL_r(k[t, \frac{1}{t-\theta}])$  in (\*), which may not be in  $M_r(K_k)$ ).

We will show that, if the equation (\*) has a solution  $\hat{x}$  in  $K_{k,\pi}^{\oplus r}$ , then it has a solution  $\underline{x}$  in  $K_k^{\oplus r}$  which is sufficiently close (in the  $t$ -adic =  $\pi$ -adic topology) to  $\hat{x}$  (so that, if  $(\hat{x}_i)$  is a basis for  $V_\pi$ , so is  $(\underline{x}_i)$  for  $V$ ). By assumption, we have

$$(**) \quad (t - \theta)^d \hat{x}^\sigma = B \hat{x}, \quad \theta \neq 0.$$

Write  $(t - \theta)^d = \sum_{i=0}^d \theta_i t^i$  (with  $\theta_i \in k$ );  $B = \sum_{i=0}^N B_i t^i$  (with  $B_i \in M_r(k)$ ); and  $\hat{x} = \sum_{i \geq 0} x_i t^i$  (with  $x_i \in k^{\oplus r}$ ). Then (\*\*) yields

$$(***) \quad \theta_0 x_i^\sigma + \dots + \theta_d x_{i-d}^\sigma = B_0 x_i + \dots + B_N x_{i-N}, \quad i \geq 0.$$

(Here negatively indexed terms are zero.) For any valuation  $v$  of  $k$ , let  $v(x_i)$  denote the minimum of the valuations of the entries of  $x_i$ , and  $v(B)$  the minimum of the valuations of the entries of  $B_i$  for all  $i \geq 0$ . If  $v(\theta) \leq 0$ , then

$$v(x_i) \geq \min\{v(x_{i-1}), \dots, v(x_{i-d}), \frac{v(x_i) + v(B)}{q}, \dots, \frac{v(x_{i-N}) + v(B)}{q}\},$$

so we see recursively that  $v(x_i) \geq v(B)/(q - 1)$  for all  $i \geq 0$ . If  $v(\theta) > 0$ , we replace  $X$  in (\*\*) by  $\theta^{-e} X$  (resp.  $B$  by  $\theta^{e(q-1)} B$ ) for some  $e$  to have  $v(\theta^{e(q-1)} B) \geq 0$ . Then Anderson's arguments<sup>1</sup> in §4 of [2] imply the

<sup>1</sup>His arguments there show in particular the following: let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the integer ring of the completion  $k_v$  of  $k$  at the place  $v$ . Let  $B$  be a matrix in  $M_r(\mathcal{O}[[t]])$  such that  $\det B = u(t - \theta)^{d'}$  with a non-zero  $u \in \mathcal{O}$ . Then any solution  $X$  to the equation  $(t - \theta)^d X^\sigma = BX$  in  $k_v[[t]]^{\oplus r}$  is

holomorphy of the new solution  $\theta^e \hat{x}$ , hence the old solution  $\hat{x}$  satisfies  $v(x_i) \geq -ev(\theta)$  for all  $i \geq 0$ . Thus the values  $v(x_i)$ ,  $i \geq 0$ , are bounded below for all valuations  $v$  of  $k$ , by constants which are non-negative for almost all  $v$ . By the bounded height theorem, there appear in fact only finitely many  $x_i$ 's in the sequence  $x_0, x_1, \dots$ . Accordingly, there appear only finitely many equations (\*\*\*) , and one can choose a "periodic" (except for finitely many terms) solution  $\underline{x} = \sum x'_i t^i$  in  $K_{k,\pi}^{\oplus r}$  to (\*\*\*) closely enough to the original  $\hat{x}$ . Periodicity implies that  $\underline{x}$  is rational with denominator in  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ , hence  $\underline{x} \in K_k^{\oplus r}$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I thank Greg Anderson for a careful reading of the manuscript and helpful comments. I also thank Akio Tamagawa for his encouragement, and the Institute for Advanced Study for its hospitality.

#### REFERENCES

1. G. Anderson, *t*-motives, *Duke Math. J.* **53** (1986), 457–502.
2. ———, *On Tate modules of formal t-modules*, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **2** (1993), 41–52.
3. A. Tamagawa, *The Tate conjecture for A-premotives*, preprint.

TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY, HACHIOJI, TOKYO 192-03, JAPAN  
*E-mail address:* taguchi@math.metro-u.ac.jp

---

automatically in  $\mathcal{O}[[t]]^{\oplus r}$ . (The proof is found in the last page of [2], except that we need to use his Lemma 7 for a more general  $\Phi = B$  as above, with the maximal ideal  $\mathcal{A}^{\text{sep}}$  in the statement replaced by the integer ring  $\mathcal{O}^{\text{sep}}$  of a separable closure of  $k_v$ . This can be proved easily by looking at each term of the  $t$ -adic expansion of the given equation, just as we did in (\*\*\*) .)