

ANY BEHAVIOUR OF THE MITCHELL ORDERING OF NORMAL MEASURES IS POSSIBLE

JIRÍ WITZANY

(Communicated by Andreas R. Blass)

ABSTRACT. Let U_0, U_1 be two normal measures on κ . We say that U_0 is in the Mitchell ordering less than U_1 , $U_0 \triangleleft U_1$, if $U_0 \in \text{Ult}(V, U_1)$. The relation is well-known to be transitive and well-founded. It has been an open problem to find a model where \triangleleft embeds the four-element poset $\begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \parallel \\ \circ \end{array}$. We find a generic extension where all well-founded posets are embeddable. Hence there is no structural restriction on the Mitchell ordering. Moreover we show that it is possible to have two \triangleleft -incomparable measures that extend in a generic extension into two \triangleleft -comparable measures.

We address the question of possible behaviours of the Mitchell ordering of normal measures.

In the well-known Mitchell's model $L[\vec{U}]$ the ordering of measures on a cardinal κ is linear [Mi83]. S. Baldwin constructed a model where \triangleleft is a pre-well-ordering [Ba85] (a well-founded poset is *pre-well-ordered* iff $\forall p, q \in P : p \triangleleft_P q$ iff $o_P(p) < o_P(q)$ where $o_P(p)$ is the rank of p in P). Recently J. Cummings [Cu93] described the Mitchell ordering in a particular generic extension where it embeds any well-founded poset that does not embed the four-element poset:



We say that a well-founded poset P embeds into the Mitchell ordering of normal measures on κ if there are different measures $\{U_p; p \in P\}$ on κ so that $U_p \triangleleft U_q$ iff $p \triangleleft_P q$. I show that there is a generic extension where any well-founded poset (with certain cardinality restrictions) embeds into \triangleleft . It proves that there is no structural restriction on the Mitchell ordering. However it still remains open to construct a model where all measures on κ ordered by the Mitchell ordering are isomorphic to a given poset, e.g. there are only four measures ordered according to the figure above. That would certainly need to go into inner models, possibly

Received by the editors February 11, 1994 and, in revised form, July 18, 1994; some results of this paper were presented at the annual meeting of the Association for Symbolic Logic at the University of Florida, March 5–8, 1994.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 03E35, 03E55.

Key words and phrases. Stationary sets, reflection, measurable cardinals, repeat points.

first starting with a generic extension. S. Baldwin in [Ba85] notes that his method hopelessly fails especially for posets that embed the four-element poset.

We say that a function $f : \kappa \rightarrow V_\kappa$ is a *Laver's function on κ* if

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{P}(\kappa^+) \exists U \text{ a measure on } \kappa : (j_U f)(\kappa) = x$$

where $j_U : V \rightarrow M_U = \text{Ult}(V, U)$ is the canonical ultrapower embedding. It is proved in [W94a] that there is a Laver's function on κ if $V = L[\vec{U}]$ and $\mathfrak{o}^M(\kappa) = \kappa^{++}$. It follows from the fact that the measures on κ cover $\mathcal{P}(\kappa^+)$ in the following sense

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{P}(\kappa^+) \exists \alpha < \kappa^{++} : x \in \text{Ult}(V, U_\alpha^\kappa).$$

Theorem 1. *Assume that $V = L[\vec{U}]$, $\mathfrak{o}^M(\kappa) = \kappa^{++}$. Then there is a generic extension $V[G]$ preserving cardinalities, cofinalities, and GCH such that any well-founded poset in $V[G]$ of cardinality $\leq \kappa^+$ embeds into $\langle \kappa^{V[G]} \rangle$. Moreover there is still a Laver's function on κ .*

Let me first state a few well-known facts (see e.g. [Cu93] or [W94a]).

Fact 1. *Let $U \triangleleft W$ be two measures on κ , $j_U : V \rightarrow M_U$, $j_W : V \rightarrow M_W$, and $j_U^{M_W} : M_W \rightarrow M'_U = \text{Ult}(M_W, U)$. Then $j_U^{M_W} = j_U \upharpoonright M_W$ and $V_{j_U(\kappa)+1} \cap M'_U = V_{j_U(\kappa)+1} \cap M_U$.*

Fact 2. *Let M, N be two inner models of ZFC, $M \subseteq N$, $N \cap {}^\kappa M \subseteq M$. Let $P \in M$ be a forcing notion such that $N \models$ “ P is κ^+ -c.c. or P is κ -closed”, and let G be P -generic over N . Then $N[G] \cap {}^\kappa M[G] \subseteq M[G]$.*

Fact 3 (Easton's lemma). *Let a notion of forcing P be κ -c.c., and let Q be $< \kappa$ -closed. Then*

- (i) $Q \Vdash$ “ \check{P} is $\check{\kappa}$ -c.c.”,
- (ii) $P \Vdash$ “ \check{Q} is $< \check{\kappa}$ -distributive”,
- (iii) *Generics over V for P and Q are mutually generic.*

Let $\text{Add}(\alpha, \kappa)$ denote the Cohen forcing adding α Cohen subsets of κ : a condition $p \in \text{Add}(\alpha, \kappa)$ is a function $p : \text{dom}(p) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ such that $\text{dom}(p) \subseteq \alpha \times \kappa$, $|\text{dom}(p)| < \kappa$, $p < q$ iff $p \supseteq q$. If κ is a regular cardinal, then $\text{Add}(\alpha, \kappa)$ is κ^+ -c.c. and $< \kappa$ -closed. An $\text{Add}(\alpha, \kappa)$ -generic filter G is best represented by a subset of $\alpha \times \kappa$. If $A \subseteq \alpha$, then $G \cap (A \times \kappa)$ naturally gives an $\text{Add}(\text{o.t.}(A), \kappa)$ -generic filter. Any bijection $f : \alpha \times \kappa \rightarrow \beta \times \kappa$ gives an isomorphism of $\text{Add}(\alpha, \kappa)$ and $\text{Add}(\beta, \kappa)$; if $G \subseteq \alpha \times \kappa$ is $\text{Add}(\alpha, \kappa)$ -generic, then $f[G]$ is $\text{Add}(\beta, \kappa)$ -generic.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let P_κ be an Easton product of $\langle \text{Add}(1, \lambda^+); \lambda \in \text{Reg}(\kappa) \rangle$; we use the trivial forcing if $\lambda < \kappa$ is not a regular cardinal. Since P_κ is a direct limit, it can be considered to be a subset of V_κ . For regular λ factor P_κ as $P_\lambda \times P_{\lambda, \kappa}$; then P_λ is λ^+ -c.c. and $P_{\lambda, \kappa}$ is λ -closed. Consequently by Easton's Lemma

$$P_\lambda \Vdash \text{“}\check{P}_{\lambda, \kappa} \text{ is } \check{\lambda}\text{-distributive”}.$$

It follows that P_κ preserves cardinalities, cofinalities, and GCH. As a matter of fact P_κ is the well-known Kunen-Paris forcing [KuP71]. Let G be P_κ -generic over V .

Assume $P \in V[G]$ is a given well-founded poset of cardinality $\leq \kappa^+$. I claim that P can be embedded into $\langle \kappa^{V[G]} \rangle$.

Claim 1. *Let $U_0 \in V$ be a measure on κ , $j_0 : V \rightarrow \text{Ult}(V, U_0) = M_0$. Then j_0 can be lifted to an elementary embedding $j_0^* : V[G] \rightarrow M_0[G \times \tilde{H}_0]$, $j_0^*(G) = G \times \tilde{H}_0$, uniquely determined by $\tilde{H}_0 \in V[G]$.*

Proof. Factor $j_0(P_\kappa)$ as $P_\kappa \times R$ where $R = (j_0 P_\kappa)_{\kappa, j_0 \kappa}$. Since P_κ is a direct limit, it is enough to find an R -generic filter $\tilde{H}_0 \in V$ over M_0 . By Easton's Lemma \tilde{H}_0 is then R -generic over $M_0[G]$. The number of R -antichains in M_0 is $j_0(\kappa^+)$, hence the number of these antichains computed in V is only κ^+ . Moreover R is κ -closed in M_0 , thus in V , enabling us to build up an $\tilde{H}_0 \in V$. \square

The embedding j_0^* defines a measure $U_0^* \in V[G]$ extending U_0 ; it is easy to see that j_0^* is the ultraproduct embedding given by $U_0^* : M_0 = \{(j_0 g)(\kappa); g \in {}^\kappa V \cap V\}$, and it is enough to prove that $M_0[G \times \tilde{H}_0] = \{(j_0^* g)(\kappa); g \in {}^\kappa V[G] \cap V[G]\}$. Let $x = i_{G \times \tilde{H}_0}(\dot{x}) \in M_0[G \times \tilde{H}_0]$, find $g \in {}^\kappa V \cap V$ such that $(j_0 g)(\kappa) = \dot{x}$, and define $g^*(\alpha) = i_G(g(\alpha))$ if $g(\alpha)$ as a P_κ -name, then $g^* \in {}^\kappa V[G] \cap V[G]$ and $(j_0^* g^*)(\kappa) = x$.

We consider only one-step extensions of this type. Notice that the forcing R defined above is a product of forcings that always starts with $\text{Add}(1, \kappa^+)^V$. Thus we can always factor \tilde{H}_0 as $H_0^\kappa \times H_0$ where H_0^κ is $\text{Add}(1, \kappa^+)$ -generic over $M_0[G]$. Now we need to find some sufficient and necessary conditions for \triangleleft on those extensions.

Claim 2. *Let U_0^*, U_1^* be extensions of U_0, U_1 given by $H_0^\kappa \times H_0$ and $H_1^\kappa \times H_1$. If $U_0^* \triangleleft U_1^*$, then $U_1 \neq U_0, U_1 \not\triangleleft U_0$, and $H_0^\kappa \times H_0 \in M_1[G \times H_1^\kappa]$. On the other hand if $U_0 \triangleleft U_1$ and $H_0^\kappa \times H_0 \in M_1[G \times H_1^\kappa]$, then $U_0^* \triangleleft U_1^*$.*

Proof. Assume $U_0 \triangleleft U_1$ and $H_0^\kappa \times H_0 \in M_1[G \times H_1^\kappa]$. Extend $j_0 \upharpoonright M_1 = j_0' : M_1 \rightarrow M_0^1 = \text{Ult}(M_1, U_0)$ to $\tilde{j}_0 : M_1[G] \rightarrow M_0^1[G \times H_0^\kappa \times H_0]$ in $M_1[G \times H_1^\kappa]$. Then $\tilde{j}_0 = j_0^* \upharpoonright M_1[G]$ defines the measure U_0^* in $M_1[G \times H_1^\kappa]$ because subsets of κ are the same in $V[G]$ and $M_1[G]$.

Assume that $U_0^* \triangleleft U_1^*$; then $j_0(\kappa) < j_1(\kappa)$, and so U_1 cannot be less than U_0 in the Mitchell ordering. $U_0^* \in M_1[G \times H_1^\kappa]$ since the rest of the forcing $(j_0 P_\kappa)_{\kappa+1, j_0 \kappa}$ is sufficiently closed. Consequently $j_0^*(G) = G \times H_0^\kappa \times H_0 \in M_1[G \times H_1^\kappa]$. \square

Let $P = (\Theta, <_P)$, $\Theta < \kappa^{++}$, so that the ordering of ordinals extends $<_P$. Using the κ -c.c. of P_κ find a P_κ -name $\dot{P} \subseteq \Theta \times \Theta \times P_\kappa$ for P of cardinality $\leq \kappa^+$. Since the measures on κ cover $\mathcal{P}(\kappa^+)$, there is a $\beta < \kappa^{++}$ so that $\dot{P} \in \text{Ult}(V, U_\beta^\kappa)$ and $\Theta < \kappa^{++ + \text{Ult}(V, U_\beta^\kappa)}$. Fix a sequence of measures $U_0 \triangleleft U_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft U_\alpha \triangleleft \dots$ ($\alpha < o(P)$) starting with $U_0 = U_\beta^\kappa$. Denote $j_\alpha : V \rightarrow \text{Ult}(V, U_\alpha) = M_\alpha$; then $P \in M_\alpha[G]$ and $\Theta < \kappa^{++ + M_\alpha}$ for all $\alpha < o(P)$ by the choice of U_0 and Fact 1. I am going to find $U_p^* \in V[G]$ (for $p \in P$) extending $U_{o_P(p)}$ so that $U_p^* \triangleleft U_q^*$ iff $p <_P q$.

Claim 3. *There is $\tilde{H} \in V[G]$ simultaneously $\text{Add}(1, \kappa^+)$ -generic over all $M_\alpha[G]$ for $\alpha < o(P)$.*

Proof. The number of $\text{Add}(1, \kappa^+)$ -antichains in $M_\alpha[G]$ computed in $V[G]$ is κ^+ for a fixed α , hence still κ^+ for all $\alpha < o(P) < \kappa^{++}$ together. $\text{Add}(1, \kappa^+)$ is κ -closed; thus the generic \tilde{H} can be constructed in $V[G]$. \square

Let $\pi : 1 \times \kappa^+ \rightarrow \Theta \times \kappa^+$ be a bijection in M_0 . Then $\pi[\tilde{H}]$ is $\text{Add}(\Theta, \kappa^+)$ -generic over all $M_\alpha[G]$. For $q \in P$ let

$$H_q^\kappa = \pi_q^{-1}[\pi[\tilde{H}] \cap (\{p; p \leq_P q\} \times \kappa^+)]$$

where $\pi_q : 1 \times \kappa^+ \rightarrow \{p; p \leq_P q\} \times \kappa^+$ is a bijection in $M_0[G]$ (and so in all $M_\alpha[G]$). H_q^κ is obviously $\text{Add}(1, \kappa^+)$ -generic over all $M_\alpha[G]$. Moreover for $p \in P$ let g_p denote the p -th $\text{Add}(1, \kappa^+)$ -generic of $\pi[\tilde{H}]$,

$$g_p = \{\alpha < \kappa; (p, \alpha) \in \pi[\tilde{H}]\}.$$

If $p \leq_P q$, then obviously $g_p \in M_\alpha[G \times H_q^\kappa]$ and, on the other hand, if $p \not\leq_P q$, then g_p is $\text{Add}(1, \kappa^+)$ -generic over $M_\alpha[G \times H_q^\kappa]$, and so $g_p \notin M_\alpha[G \times H_q^\kappa]$. To complete the definition of U_q^* we need to find an appropriate $(j_\alpha P_\kappa)_{\kappa+1, j_\alpha \kappa}$ -generic filter over M_α , where $\alpha = o_P(q)$. Consider $j'_\alpha : M_{\alpha+1} \rightarrow \text{Ult}(M_{\alpha+1}, U_\alpha) = M'_\alpha$, and find an $H_q \in M_{\alpha+1}$ which is $(j_\alpha P_\kappa)_{\kappa+1, j_\alpha \kappa}$ -generic over M'_α as in Claim 1. By Fact 1 $V_{j_\alpha(\kappa)+1}^{M_\alpha} = V_{j_\alpha(\kappa)+1}^{M'_\alpha}$, thus H_q is generic over M_α as well. $G \times H_q^\kappa \times H_q$ is $j_\alpha P_\kappa$ -generic over M_α , defining an extension of j_α

$$j_\alpha^* : V[G] \rightarrow M_\alpha[G \times H_q^\kappa \times H_q].$$

The embedding j_α^* defines a measure $U_q^* \in V[G]$ extending U_α ; U_q^* is actually an element of $M_{\alpha+1}[G \times H_q^\kappa]$.

Claim 4. $U_p^* \triangleleft U_q^*$ iff $p <_P q$.

Proof. Let $U_p^* \triangleleft U_q^*$, then by Claim 2 $\alpha = o_P(p)$ must be strictly less than $\beta = o_P(q)$, and $H_p^\kappa \times H_p \in M_\beta[G \times H_q^\kappa]$. Since g_p can be decoded from H_p^κ using $\pi_p \in M_\beta[G]$, it is in $M_\beta[G \times H_q^\kappa]$, which is possible only if $p \leq_P q$, i.e. $p <_P q$.

Let $p <_P q$; then $\alpha = o_P(p) < o_P(q) = \beta$. According to Claim 2 all we need to prove is that $H_p^\kappa \times H_p \in M_\beta[G \times H_q^\kappa]$. The mappings π_p, π_q are both in $M_\beta[G]$. Consequently we can compute

$$H_p^\kappa = \pi_p^{-1}[\pi_q[H_q^\kappa] \cap (\{p'; p' \leq_P p\} \times \kappa^+)]$$

in $M_\beta[G \times H_q^\kappa]$. By the construction H_p is in $M_{\alpha+1}$, and so in M_β by Fact 1. \square

Let us prove that there is a Laver's function on κ in $V[G]$.

First find a sequence of bijections $\pi_\lambda : P_\lambda \rightarrow \lambda$ ($\lambda \leq \kappa$ inaccessible) coherent in the following sense:

$$(1) \quad \forall \lambda \leq \kappa \forall \alpha < o^\mathcal{U}(\lambda) : j_{U_\alpha}(\langle \pi_{\lambda'}; \lambda' < \lambda \rangle)(\lambda) = \pi_\lambda.$$

Assume $\pi_{\lambda'}$ has been defined for $\lambda' < \lambda$. If $o^\mathcal{U}(\lambda) = 0$ pick any bijection $\pi_\lambda : P_\lambda \rightarrow \lambda$. If $o^\mathcal{U}(\lambda) > 0$, then π_λ can be defined as $j_{U_\alpha}(\langle \pi_{\lambda'}; \lambda' < \lambda \rangle)(\lambda)$ since $j_{U_\alpha}(\langle P_{\lambda'}; \lambda' < \lambda \rangle)(\lambda) = P_\lambda$. Condition (1) is then satisfied because \vec{U} forms a coherent sequence of measures. Notice that if σ_λ is the bijection $\lambda^+ \times \lambda \rightarrow \lambda^+$ given by the maximolexicographical ordering of $\lambda^+ \times \lambda$, then also

$$j_{U_\alpha}(\langle \sigma_{\lambda'}; \lambda' < \lambda \rangle)(\lambda) = \sigma_\lambda \quad \text{for any } \alpha < o^\mathcal{U}(\lambda).$$

A P_λ -name $\dot{x} \subseteq \lambda^+ \times P_\lambda$ for a subset of λ^+ can be uniquely coded using π_λ and σ_λ as a subset of λ^+ . If $f(\lambda)$ codes in this way a P_λ -name \dot{x} for a subset of λ^+ define $f^*(\lambda) = i_{G \upharpoonright P_\lambda}(\dot{x})$. It is easy to verify that this defines a Laver's function on κ in $V[G]$. Theorem 1 is proved. \square

We can still ask what well-founded κ^{++} -like posets can be embedded into \triangleleft . For example, can we embed the poset consisting of a chain of length κ^{++} and one incomparable element? The answer is positive; however, in this case we have to destroy the covering of $\mathcal{P}(\kappa^+)$.

Theorem 2. *Assume that $V = L[\vec{U}]$, $d^M(\kappa) = \kappa^{++}$. Then there is a generic extension $V[G]$ preserving cardinalities, cofinalities, and GCH such that all well-founded κ^{++} -like posets in V and all well-founded posets of cardinality $\leq \kappa^+$ in $V[G]$ are embeddable into $\triangleleft_{\kappa}^{V[G]}$.*

Proof. Let $G = H \times \tilde{G}$ be $\text{Add}(\kappa^{++}, \kappa^+) \times P_{\kappa}$ -generic over V where P_{κ} is the Kunen-Paris forcing. Cardinalities, cofinalities, and GCH are obviously preserved in $V[G]$.

If $U_0 \in V$ is a measure on κ , $j_0 : V \rightarrow \text{Ult}(V, U_0) = M_0$, and $j_0^* : V[\tilde{G}] \rightarrow M_0[\tilde{G} \times H_0^{\kappa} \times H_0]$ an extension of j_0 defined in $V[\tilde{G} \times H]$, then $U_0^* = \{X \in V[\tilde{G}]; X \subseteq \kappa \text{ and } \kappa \in j_0^*(X)\}$ is a measure in $V[\tilde{G} \times H]$ because H does not add any new subsets of κ . Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 1 if U_0^*, U_1^* are two such extensions of U_0, U_1 given by $H_0^{\kappa} \times H_0, H_1^{\kappa} \times H_1$, then $U_0^* \triangleleft U_1^*$ implies $U_1 \neq U_0, U_1 \not\triangleleft U_0, H_0^{\kappa} \times H_0 \in M_1[G \times H_1^{\kappa}]$. On the other hand $U_0 \triangleleft U_1, H_0^{\kappa} \times H_0 \in M_1[G \times H_1^{\kappa}]$ implies $U_1^* \triangleleft U_0^*$.

Let $P \in V$ be a κ^{++} -like poset.

Claim. *P can be enumerated as $\langle p_{\alpha}; \alpha < \kappa^{++} \rangle$ so that $p_{\alpha} <_P p_{\beta}$ implies $\alpha < \beta$. Consequently there is a sequence of measures $U_0 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft U_{\alpha} \triangleleft \dots$ ($\alpha < \kappa^{++}$) on κ so that*

$$\forall \alpha < \kappa^{++} : M_{\alpha} \models \text{“}|\alpha| \leq \kappa^+ \text{” and } \{\gamma; p_{\gamma} \leq_P p_{\alpha}\} \in M_{\alpha},$$

where $j_{\alpha} : V \rightarrow M_{\alpha} = \text{Ult}(V, U_{\alpha})$.

Proof. Define the enumeration by induction: Start with any well-ordering \prec of P of order type κ^{++} . Assume that $\langle p_{\alpha}; \alpha < \mu \rangle$ has been defined so that

$$\forall \alpha < \mu : \{q \in P; q <_P p_{\alpha}\} \subseteq \{p_{\gamma}; \gamma < \alpha\}.$$

Let p_{μ} be the \prec -first element of $P \setminus \{p_{\alpha}; \alpha < \mu\}$ that is minimal with respect to $<_P$. Then the sequence $\langle p_{\alpha}; \alpha < \kappa^{++} \rangle$ clearly exhausts all elements of P : Assume that $\{p_{\alpha}; \alpha < \kappa^{++}\} \subsetneq P$; let $p \in P \setminus \{p_{\alpha}; \alpha < \kappa^{++}\}$ be a $<_P$ -minimal element. Find $\mu < \kappa^{++}$ such that

$$\{q \in P; q <_P p\} \cup \{p_{\alpha}; \alpha < \kappa^{++} \& p_{\alpha} \prec p\} \subseteq \{p_{\alpha}; \alpha < \mu\}.$$

Then p is still $<_P$ -minimal in $P \setminus \{p_{\alpha}; \alpha < \mu\}$ and so $p_{\mu} \preceq p$ —a contradiction.

The second part of the claim follows easily from the fact that the measures on κ cover $\mathcal{P}(\kappa^+)$. □

Define $U_{p_{\alpha}}^* \in V[G]$ extending U_{α} as follows: Put

$$H_{\alpha}^{\kappa} = \pi_{\alpha}[H \cap (\{\gamma; p_{\gamma} \leq_P p_{\alpha}\} \times \kappa^+)]$$

where $\pi_{\alpha} : \{\gamma; p_{\gamma} \leq_P p_{\alpha}\} \times \kappa^+ \rightarrow 1 \times \kappa^+$ is a bijection in M_{α} . H_{α}^{κ} is $\text{Add}(1, \kappa^+)$ -generic over $M_{\alpha}[\tilde{G}]$ —the point is that H is now generic over all $M_{\alpha}[\tilde{G}]$ as $\alpha < \kappa^{++}$. Then find an $H_{\alpha} \in M_{\alpha+1}$ that is $(j_{\alpha} P_{\kappa})_{\kappa+1, j_{\alpha} \kappa}$ -generic over $\text{Ult}(M_{\alpha+1}, U_{\alpha})$. That defines a measure $U_{p_{\alpha}}^*$ extending U_{α} . Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 1 $U_{p_{\alpha}}^* \triangleleft U_{p_{\beta}}^*$ iff $p_{\alpha} <_P p_{\beta}$.

Finally let $P \in V[G]$ be a well-founded poset of cardinality κ^+ . Then by the κ^{++} -c.c. of $\text{Add}(\kappa^{++}, \kappa^+)$ there is $\vartheta < \kappa^{++}$ so that $P \in V[\tilde{G} \times (H \upharpoonright \vartheta)]$. Represent

P as $([\vartheta, \Theta], <_P)$, $\vartheta < \Theta < \kappa^{++}$, and find $U_0 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft U_\alpha \triangleleft \dots$ ($\alpha < o(P)$) so that $P \in M_0[\tilde{G} \times (H \upharpoonright \vartheta)]$ and $\vartheta < \kappa^{++M_0}$. Then define U_q^* extending the $U_{o_P(q)}$ setting

$$H_q^\kappa = \pi_q[H \cap ((\vartheta \cup \{p; p <_P q\}) \times \kappa^+)]$$

where $\pi_q : (\vartheta \cup \{p; p <_P q\}) \times \kappa^+ \rightarrow 1 \times \kappa^+$ is a bijection in $M_0[\tilde{G} \times (H \upharpoonright \vartheta)]$ such that $\pi_q \upharpoonright (\vartheta \times \kappa^+)$ is in M_0 . Find H_q as in the proof of Theorem 1. Then similarly as in the proof $H_p^\kappa \in M_\beta[\tilde{G} \times H_q^\kappa]$ iff $p <_P q$ iff $U_p^* \triangleleft U_q^*$. \square

Remark 1. J. Cummings in [Cu93] starts with $V = K[\vec{U}_{\max}]$ ($\mathcal{o}^\mu(\kappa) < \kappa^{++}$), then applies a forcing similar to the Kunen-Paris forcing, and classifies all measures in $V[G]$ using special properties of $K[\vec{U}_{\max}]$. More specifically the measures are divided into blocks of incomparable measures $M(\alpha, \beta)$ ($\alpha < \beta \leq \mathcal{o}^\mu(\kappa)$) of cardinality κ^+ or κ^{++} so that for $U \in M(\alpha, \beta)$, $W \in M(\gamma, \delta) : U \triangleleft W$ iff $\beta \leq \gamma$.

Starting with $V = K[\vec{U}_{\max}]$ we can use the same method to classify measures in $V[G]$ where G is P_κ -generic over V .

A finite normal iteration $j : V \rightarrow N$ of length $n+1$ is an iteration of ultraproducts by measures on $\kappa = \kappa_0 < \kappa_1 < \dots < \kappa_n$. Any finite normal iteration $j : V \rightarrow N$ that starts with a measure U gives κ^{++} extensions U^* in $V[G]$ of U such that $j_{U^*} \upharpoonright V = j$, $j_{U^*}(G) = G * H^\kappa * H$. All measures in $V[G]$ are produced in this way. We can give sufficient and necessary conditions for \triangleleft in $V[G]$: Let U_0^*, U_1^* extending U_0, U_1 be given by finite normal iterations $j_0 : V \rightarrow N_0$, $j_1 : V \rightarrow N_1$ and $H_0^\kappa * H_0, H_1^\kappa * H_1$. Then $U_0^* \triangleleft U_1^*$ iff $j_0 \upharpoonright \text{Ult}(V, U_1)$ is an internal iteration in $\text{Ult}(V, U_1)$ and

$$H_0^\kappa * H_0 \in \text{Ult}(V, U_1)[G * H_1^\kappa].$$

However, we can hardly describe the Mitchell ordering \triangleleft in $V[G]$ in a simpler manner.

That is illustrated by the following: Let U_0 be the minimal measure on κ in V , and let U_0^* be its one-step extension using $H_0^\kappa * H_0 \in V[G]$. We have seen that there may be measures above U_0^* even if $H_0^\kappa * H_0 \notin M_\alpha[G]$ for all $\alpha < o(\kappa)$ ($M_\alpha = \text{Ult}(V, U_\alpha^\kappa)$). However it is also possible that there are no measures above U_0^* . It follows from the following joint lemma with J. Zapletal.

Lemma. *There is $H_0^\kappa \in V[G]$ Add($1, \kappa^+$)-generic over $M_0[G]$ such that for any $\alpha < \mathcal{o}^\mu(\kappa)$, $\alpha > 0$, there is no $H_1^\kappa \in V[G]$ Add($1, \kappa^+$)-generic over $M_\alpha[G]$, $\alpha < o(\kappa)$, satisfying $H_0^\kappa \in M_\alpha[G][H_1^\kappa]$.*

Proof. Let $R \subseteq \kappa^+ \times \kappa^+$ be a well-ordering of order type γ where $\gamma > \kappa^{++M_\alpha}$ for all $\alpha < o(\kappa) < \kappa^{++}$. Notice that if H_1^κ is any Add($1, \kappa^+$)-generic over $M_\alpha[G]$, then $R \notin M_\alpha[G][H_1^\kappa]$; otherwise γ would be less than κ^{++M_α} . So it would be enough to code R into H_0^κ . Let $\langle a_\alpha; \alpha < \kappa^+ \rangle \in M_1[G]$ canonically enumerate $\kappa^+ \times \kappa^+$ and $\langle D_\alpha; \alpha < \kappa^+ \rangle \in M_1[G]$ enumerate all $M_0[G]$ -dense subsets of Add($1, \kappa^+$), each set D_α enumerated by ordinals $< \kappa^+$. Construct a descending sequence of conditions $\langle p_\alpha; \alpha < \kappa^+ \rangle \in V[G]$ as follows: Assume $\langle p_\delta; \delta < \alpha \rangle$ has been constructed, then find the first $q \in D_\alpha$ extending $\bigcup \{p_\delta; \delta < \alpha\}$, let $\eta = \sup\{\xi + 1; \xi \in \text{dom}(q)\}$, and put $p \upharpoonright \eta = q$ and

$$p(\eta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{iff } a_\alpha \in R, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

That gives an $\text{Add}(1, \kappa^+)$ -generic filter H_0^κ over $M_0[G]$ such that for $\alpha > 0$

$$H_0^\kappa \in M_\alpha[G][H_1^\kappa]$$

implies $R \in M_\alpha[G][H_1^\kappa]$. \square

Remark 2. It is not true in general that $U_0^* \triangleleft U_1^*$ implies $U_0 \triangleleft U_1$ as Claim 2 in the proof of Theorem 1 might suggest: There is a model M , two measures $U_0, U_1 \in M$ and their extensions U_0^*, U_1^* in $M[\tilde{G}]$, where \tilde{G} is P_κ -generic over M , so that

$$U_0 \not\triangleleft U_1 \text{ but } U_0^* \triangleleft U_1^*.$$

Proof. Start with two measures $U_0 \triangleleft U_1$ in V , and with the corresponding canonical embeddings $j_0 : V \rightarrow M_0$, $j_1 : V \rightarrow M_1$. Let $G \times \tilde{G}$ be $P_\kappa \times P_\kappa$ -generic over V . Then find $H_0^\kappa \times H_1^\kappa \times H_2^\kappa \in V$ a filter $\text{Add}(3, \kappa^+)$ -generic over M_1 , $H_1 \times \tilde{H}_1 \in V$ a filter $(j_1 P_\kappa)_{\kappa+1, j_1 \kappa} \times (j_1 P_\kappa)_{\kappa+1, j_1 \kappa}$ -generic over M_1 , and $H_0 \times \tilde{H}_0 \in M_1$ a filter $(j_0 P_\kappa)_{\kappa+1, j_0 \kappa} \times (j_0 P_\kappa)_{\kappa+1, j_0 \kappa}$ -generic over M_0 . Using Easton's Lemma $G, \tilde{G}, H_0^\kappa, H_1^\kappa, H_2^\kappa, H_1, \tilde{H}_1$ are mutually generic over M_1 and $G, \tilde{G}, H_0^\kappa, H_1^\kappa, H_2^\kappa, H_0, \tilde{H}_0$ are mutually generic over M_0 . First extend U_0, U_1 to U_0^*, U_1^* in $M = V[G]$ so that $j_1^*(G) = G \times H_1^\kappa \times H_1$ and $j_0^*(G) = G \times (H_0^\kappa \otimes H_1^\kappa) \times H_0$ where $H_0^\kappa \otimes H_1^\kappa$ denotes a coding of H_0^κ, H_1^κ into an $\text{Add}(1, \kappa^+)$ -generic. Obviously $U_0^* \not\triangleleft U_1^*$ since $H_0^\kappa \notin M_1[j_1^*(G)]$. Then extend U_0^*, U_1^* to U_0^{**}, U_1^{**} in $M[\tilde{G}] = V[G \times \tilde{G}]$ so that $j_1^{**}(\tilde{G}) = \tilde{G} \times (H_0^\kappa \otimes H_2^\kappa) \times \tilde{H}_1$ and $j_0^{**}(\tilde{G}) = \tilde{G} \times H_2^\kappa \times \tilde{H}_0$. Then $U_0^{**} \triangleleft U_1^{**}$ since $U_0^*, j_0^{**}(\tilde{G}) \in M_1[j_1^{**}(G \times \tilde{G})]$. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I want to express my gratitude to T. Jech and also to J. Zapletal for many valuable discussions and remarks on the subject.

REFERENCES

- [Ba85] S. Baldwin, *The \triangleleft -ordering on normal ultrafilters*, J. Symbolic Logic **51** (1985), 936–952. MR **87d**:03124
- [Cu93] J. Cummings, *Possible behaviors for the Mitchell ordering*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic (to appear).
- [KuP71] K. Kunen and J. B. Paris, *Boolean extensions and measurable cardinals*, Ann. of Math. Logic **2** (1971), 359–377. MR **43**:3114
- [Mi83] W.J. Mitchell, *Sets constructible from sequences of measures: revisited*, J. Symbolic Logic **48** (1983), 600–609. MR **85j**:03052
- [W94a] J. Witzany, *Possible behaviours of the reflection ordering of stationary sets*, J. Symbolic Logic (to appear).
- [W94b] J. Witzany, *Reflection of stationary sets and the Mitchell ordering of normal measures*, Ph.D. thesis, Pennsylvania State University, 1994.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PENNSYLVANIA 16802

Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024-1555

E-mail address: witzany@math.psu.edu

E-mail address: jwitzany@math.ucla.edu