

THE ALGEBRA OF ALMOST PERIODIC FUNCTIONS HAS INFINITE TOPOLOGICAL STABLE RANK

FERNANDO DANIEL SUÁREZ

(Communicated by Dale Alspach)

ABSTRACT. We show that if A is the uniform algebra of almost periodic functions, then the set $U_n(A) = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n : \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} Aa_j = A\}$ cannot be dense in A^n for any positive integer n .

By a Banach algebra we mean a commutative complex Banach algebra with unit. Let B be a Banach algebra and n be a positive integer. The set of unimodulars of B is $U_n(B) = \{(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in B^n : \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} Bb_j = B\}$, and the topological stable rank of B ($\text{tsr } B$) is the minimum positive integer n such that $U_n(B)$ is dense in B^n . We write $\text{tsr } B = \infty$ if such n does not exist. Since its introduction by Rieffel [5] this concept has been very successful in studying the topological K -theory and some spectral properties of Banach algebras.

For a Banach algebra B , denote by B^* its dual space provided with the weak* topology. The maximal ideal space of B is the compact Hausdorff space

$$X(B) = \{\varphi \in B^* : \varphi \text{ is multiplicative, } \varphi \neq 0\}.$$

We denote by $C(X(B))$ the uniform algebra of continuous complex-valued functions on $X(B)$. The Gelfand transform $\hat{\cdot} : B \rightarrow C(X(B))$, defined by $\hat{b}(\varphi) = \varphi(b)$, is a Banach algebras morphism. So, for every positive integer n and every $b \in B^n$ the Gelfand transform induces a continuous function $\hat{b} : X(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$. It is an easy exercise to prove that $b \in U_n(B)$ if and only if $0 \notin \hat{b}(X(B))$.

The algebra A of almost periodic functions is the uniform algebra on \mathbb{R} generated by the functions

$$(1) \quad g(t) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} c_k e^{i\lambda_k t} \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}),$$

where n is a positive integer, $c_k \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$. See [1, pp. 16 and 164] for general background about this algebra. The space $X(A)$ is the so-called Bohr compactification of \mathbb{R} , and it is well known that \mathbb{R} is dense in $X(A)$ [1]. So, a necessary and sufficient condition for $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$ to be unimodular is that $|a_1(t)|^2 + \dots + |a_n(t)|^2 \geq \delta > 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. As said in the abstract, the purpose of this paper is to show that $\text{tsr } A = \infty$. This problem was posed by I. Spitkovsky

Received by the editors July 12, 1994 and, in revised form, September 22, 1994.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46J10.

Key words and phrases. Almost periodic functions, unimodulars, topological stable rank.

The author is a Fellow of the John Simon Guggenheim Memorial Foundation.

in a lecture where D. Sarason was present; I am grateful to him for communicating the problem to me.

We begin by establishing some conventions. If X is a compact Hausdorff space and Y is a metric space, then $C(X, Y)$ denotes the space of continuous functions from X into Y with the supremum metric. We simply write $C(X)^n$ when $Y = \mathbb{C}^n$. By a polynomial in z_1, \dots, z_n we mean a finite complex linear combination of $z_1^{p_1} \cdots z_n^{p_n}$, where $p_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ (the integer group). A \mathbb{C}^n -valued polynomial means a function with values in \mathbb{C}^n where each coordinate is a polynomial. It is clear that every function g as in (1) can be written as a polynomial $f(e^{i\lambda_1 t}, \dots, e^{i\lambda_m t})$, where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Z} . Therefore Kronecker's theorem [2, Theorem 443] implies that the set $\{(e^{i\lambda_1 t}, \dots, e^{i\lambda_m t}) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is dense in the m -dimensional torus \mathbb{T}^m , and we can identify g with a polynomial on the set \mathbb{T}^m . It is well known that the set of polynomials on \mathbb{T}^m is dense in the algebra $C(\mathbb{T}^m)$. For s a positive integer we define $\nu_s : \mathbb{T}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^m$ by $\nu_s(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m) = (\omega_1^s, \dots, \omega_m^s)$. In the sequel $\| \cdot \|$ denotes the euclidean norm in \mathbb{C}^n .

Lemma 1. *Let f be a \mathbb{C}^n -valued polynomial on $e^{i\lambda_1 t}, \dots, e^{i\lambda_m t}$, where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in \mathbb{R}$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Z} . Then $f \in \overline{U_n(A)}$ if and only if there is a sequence of positive integers $\{s_j\}$ such that*

$$(2) \quad \text{dist}(f \circ \nu_{s_j}, U_n(C(\mathbb{T}^m))) \rightarrow 0$$

when $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. If (2) holds, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an integer $s > 0$ and a polynomial $F : \mathbb{T}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_*^n = \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ so that

$$\sup_{\omega \in \mathbb{T}^m} \|F(\omega) - f \circ \nu_s(\omega)\| < \varepsilon.$$

Then for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\|F(e^{i\frac{\lambda_1}{s}t}, \dots, e^{i\frac{\lambda_m}{s}t}) - f(e^{i\lambda_1 t}, \dots, e^{i\lambda_m t})\| < \varepsilon.$$

Since ε is arbitrary, $f \in \overline{U_n(A)}$. On the other hand, if $f \in \overline{U_n(A)}$, then for $\varepsilon > 0$ there are $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and a \mathbb{C}_*^n -valued polynomial F on $e^{i\lambda_1 t}, \dots, e^{i\lambda_m t}, e^{i\mu_1 t}, \dots, e^{i\mu_k t}$ such that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|F(e^{i\lambda_1 t}, \dots, e^{i\lambda_m t}, e^{i\mu_1 t}, \dots, e^{i\mu_k t}) - f(e^{i\lambda_1 t}, \dots, e^{i\lambda_m t})\| < \varepsilon.$$

We can reduce the number of variables in the writing of F by the following process. If for every linear combination

$$(3) \quad p_1 \lambda_1 + \cdots + p_m \lambda_m + q_1 \mu_1 + \cdots + q_k \mu_k = 0$$

with $p_j, q_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have that $q_k = 0$, then we keep μ_k and we repeat the process with $\lambda_1, \dots, \mu_{k-1}$. If there is a combination (3) with $q_k \neq 0$, then we eliminate μ_k and repeat the process with $\lambda_1/|q_k|, \dots, \mu_{k-1}/|q_k|$. After finite steps we obtain a positive integer s and $\lambda_1/s, \dots, \lambda_m/s, \mu'_1, \dots, \mu'_l \in \mathbb{R}$ (with $l \leq k$) linearly independent over \mathbb{Z} , so that F can be written as a \mathbb{C}_*^n -valued polynomial in the correspondent exponentials. Consequently,

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|F(e^{i\lambda_1 t}, \dots, e^{i\lambda_m t}, e^{is\mu'_1 t}, \dots, e^{is\mu'_l t}) - f(e^{i\lambda_1 st}, \dots, e^{i\lambda_m st})\| < \varepsilon.$$

Define $\tilde{F} : \mathbb{T}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_*^n$ by $\tilde{F}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m) = F(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m, 1, \dots, 1)$. Then $\tilde{F} \in U_n(C(\mathbb{T}^m))$ and $\sup_{\mathbb{T}^m} \|\tilde{F} - f \circ \nu_s\| < \varepsilon$, as claimed.

Our next lemma requires a classical result of topology due to Borsuk [4, Theorem III.3]. Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and $Z \subset X$ closed. If $f, g \in C(Z, \mathbb{C}_*^n)$ are homotopic and there is an extension $G \in C(X, \mathbb{C}_*^n)$ of g , then there is also an extension $F \in C(X, \mathbb{C}_*^n)$ of f . For $f \in C(X)^n$ put

$$E(f) = \inf\{\delta \geq 0 \mid f|_{(\|f\|=\delta)} \text{ admits an extension } F: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_*^n\}.$$

Suppose that $\delta > E(f)$. Then by definition of $E(f)$ there are $\delta_0 < \delta$ and an extension $F_0: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_*^n$ of $f|_{(\|f\|=\delta_0)}$. Henceforth, the function $F(x)$ defined as $F_0(x)$ when $\|f(x)\| \leq \delta_0$ and $f(x)$ when $\|f(x)\| > \delta_0$ is an extension of $f|_{(\|f\|=\delta)}$ from X into \mathbb{C}_*^n .

Lemma 2. $E(f) \leq \text{dist}(f, U_n(C(X))) \leq 2E(f)$.

Proof. Let $\delta > E(f)$ and let $F: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_*^n$ be an extension of $f|_{(\|f\|=\delta)}$. Define

$$\mathcal{F}(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } \|f(x)\| \geq \delta, \\ \delta \frac{F(x)}{\|F(x)\|} & \text{if } \|f(x)\| \leq \delta. \end{cases}$$

Therefore $\mathcal{F} \in U_n(C(X))$ and its distance to f is

$$\sup_{\|f(x)\| \leq \delta} \|\delta F(x)/\|F(x)\| - f(x)\| \leq 2\delta.$$

For the other inequality put $d = \text{dist}(f, U_n(C(X)))$. If $\delta > d$, then there is $G \in C(X, \mathbb{C}_*^n)$ such that $\sup_X \|f - G\| < \delta$. Hence, $f + t(G - f)|_{(\|f\|=\delta)}$ ($0 \leq t \leq 1$) is a homotopy in $C((\|f\| = \delta), \mathbb{C}_*^n)$ between the restrictions of f and G to $(\|f\| = \delta)$. Consequently Borsuk's theorem assures that there is an extension $F \in C(X, \mathbb{C}_*^n)$ of $f|_{(\|f\|=\delta)}$. That is, $E(f) \leq \delta$. Since $\delta > d$ is arbitrary, the lemma follows.

Theorem 3. *The topological stable rank of A is infinite.*

Proof. Let n be a positive integer. Since the polynomials on \mathbb{T}^{2n} are dense in $C(\mathbb{T}^{2n})$, Lemmas 1 and 2 imply that if there is an $f \in C(\mathbb{T}^{2n})^n$ such that $E(f \circ \nu_s) \geq 1$ for all positive integers s , then $\text{tsr } A \geq n$.

Let \mathbb{T}_s^{2n} be the $2n$ -times cartesian product of $\{e^{i\theta} \mid \theta \leq \pi/2s\}$. Then the map $\tilde{\nu}_s = \nu_s|_{\partial\mathbb{T}_s^{2n}}$ is a homeomorphism from $\partial\mathbb{T}_s^{2n}$ onto $\partial\mathbb{T}_1^{2n}$.

The set \mathbb{T}_1^{2n} is a product of $2n$ closed arc-intervals. Since each arc-interval is homeomorphic to $I = [0, 1]$, then \mathbb{T}_1^{2n} is homeomorphic to I^{2n} , and therefore to $B_n = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \|z\| \leq 1\}$. Let $\varphi: \mathbb{T}_1^{2n} \rightarrow B_n$ be an onto homeomorphism, and take any $f \in C(\mathbb{T}^{2n}, B_n)$ so that $f|_{\mathbb{T}_1^{2n}} = \varphi$. Clearly

$$f(\nu_s(\partial\mathbb{T}_s^{2n})) = f(\partial\mathbb{T}_1^{2n}) = \varphi(\partial\mathbb{T}_1^{2n}) = \partial B_n.$$

In other words, $\partial\mathbb{T}_s^{2n}$ is contained in $\{\omega \in \mathbb{T}^{2n} \mid (f \circ \nu_s)(\omega) = 1\}$. So, if $E(f \circ \nu_s) < 1$, then there exists some extension $F_s \in C(\mathbb{T}_s^{2n}, \mathbb{C}_*^n)$ of $f \circ \nu_s|_{\partial\mathbb{T}_s^{2n}} = \varphi \circ \tilde{\nu}_s$, and since \mathbb{T}_s^{2n} is a contractible space, this only happens if $\varphi \circ \tilde{\nu}_s$ is homotopic to some constant function in the space $C(\partial\mathbb{T}_s^{2n}, \partial B_n)$. Put $\varphi_{-1} = (\varphi|_{\partial\mathbb{T}_1^{2n}})^{-1}$ and consider the following string of mappings:

$$\partial B_n \xrightarrow{\varphi_{-1}} \partial\mathbb{T}_1^{2n} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\nu}_s^{-1}} \partial\mathbb{T}_s^{2n} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\nu}_s} \partial\mathbb{T}_1^{2n} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \partial B_n.$$

It is immediate that $\varphi \circ \tilde{\nu}_s \circ \tilde{\nu}_s^{-1} \circ \varphi_{-1} = \text{id}_{\partial B_n}$. Therefore, if $\varphi \circ \tilde{\nu}_s$ is null-homotopic in $C(\partial\mathbb{T}_s^{2n}, \partial B_n)$, then so is $\text{id}_{\partial B_n}$ in $C(\partial B_n, \partial B_n)$, which is clearly false. Thus $E(f \circ \nu_s) \geq 1$.

The Bass stable rank of a Banach algebra B ($\text{bsr } B$) is the minimum positive integer n with the following property. For every $(b_1, \dots, b_{n+1}) \in U_{n+1}(B)$ there are $c_1, \dots, c_n \in B$ such that $(b_1 + c_1 b_{n+1}, \dots, b_n + c_n b_{n+1}) \in U_n(B)$. We put $\text{bsr } B = \infty$ if there is no such n . This notion originates in algebraic K -theory and it is the direct antecessor of the topological stable rank.

It is interesting to notice that although the Bass stable rank is a purely algebraic invariant, it coincides with the topological stable rank in the special case of C^* -algebras (see [3]). Since the algebra A is a C^* -algebra, Theorem 3 also says that $\text{bsr } A = \infty$.

REFERENCES

1. T. W. Gamelin, *Uniform algebras*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1969. MR **53**:14137
2. G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1979. MR **81i**:10002
3. R. H. Herman and L. N. Vaserstein, *The stable range of C^* -algebras*, *Invent. Math.* **77** (1984), 553–555. MR **86a**:46074
4. J. Nagata, *Modern Dimension Theory*, revised and extended version, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1983. MR **84h**:54033
5. M. Rieffel, *Dimension and stable rank in the K -theory of C^* -algebra*, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **46** (1983), 301–333. MR **84g**:46085

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720
Current address: Instituto Argentino de Matemática, Vio monte 1636-1° Cpo.-1° Piso, 1055 Buenos Aires, Argentina