

## CHARACTERIZATIONS OF THE GELFAND-SHILOV SPACES VIA FOURIER TRANSFORMS

JAEYOUNG CHUNG, SOON-YEONG CHUNG, AND DOHAN KIM

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

ABSTRACT. We give symmetric characterizations, with respect to the Fourier transformation, of the Gelfand-Shilov spaces of (generalized) type  $S$  and type  $W$ . These results explain more clearly the invariance of these spaces under the Fourier transformations.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to give new characterizations of the Gelfand-Shilov spaces of (generalized) type  $S$  and type  $W$  by means of the Fourier transformation. Gelfand and Shilov introduced the above spaces in [6] to study the uniqueness of the Cauchy problems of partial differential equations. In [1] the Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}$  is characterized by the estimates

$$(1.1) \quad \sup_x |x^\alpha \varphi(x)| < \infty, \quad \sup_x |\partial^\beta \varphi(x)| < \infty,$$

or by the estimates

$$(1.2) \quad \sup_x |x^\alpha \varphi(x)| < \infty, \quad \sup_\xi |\xi^\beta \hat{\varphi}(\xi)| < \infty,$$

where the Fourier transform  $\hat{\varphi}$  is defined by  $\hat{\varphi}(\xi) = \int e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \varphi(x) dx$ .

In addition, the Sato space  $\mathcal{F}$  of test functions for the Fourier hyperfunctions is characterized by the estimates

$$(1.3) \quad \sup_x |\varphi(x)| \exp k|x| < \infty, \quad \sup_\xi |\hat{\varphi}(\xi)| \exp h|\xi| < \infty$$

for some  $h, k > 0$  in [2].

Generalizing the above results in a similar manner we give more symmetric characterizations of the Gelfand-Shilov spaces in terms of the Fourier transformations as follows.

I. For the space  $S_r^s$  the following statements are equivalent.

- (1)  $\varphi \in S_r^s$ ;
- (2)  $\sup_x |\varphi(x)| \exp k|x|^{1/r} < \infty, \quad \sup_\xi |\hat{\varphi}(\xi)| \exp h|\xi|^{1/s} < \infty$  for some  $h, k > 0$ .

---

Received by the editors November 3, 1994 and, in revised form, January 26, 1995.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46F12, 46F15.

*Key words and phrases*. Gelfand-Shilov spaces, Fourier transform, associated function.

Partially supported by Korea Research Foundation and GARC.

II. For the space  $S_{M_p}^{N_p}$  the following statements are equivalent.

- (1)  $\varphi \in S_{M_p}^{N_p}$ ;
- (2)  $\sup_x |\varphi(x)| \exp M(ax) < \infty$ ,  $\sup_\xi |\hat{\varphi}(\xi)| \exp N(b\xi) < \infty$  for some  $a, b > 0$ , where  $M(x)$  and  $N(\xi)$  are associated functions of  $M_p$  and  $N_p$ , respectively (see (2.1) for the definition).

III. For the space  $W_M^\Omega$  the following statements are equivalent.

- (1)  $\varphi \in W_M^\Omega$ ;
- (2)  $\sup_x |\varphi(x)| \exp M(a|x|) < \infty$ ,  $\sup_\xi |\hat{\varphi}(\xi)| \exp \Omega^*(b|\xi|) < \infty$  for some  $a, b > 0$ , where  $\Omega^*$  is the Young conjugate of  $\Omega$  (see Definition 3.3).

## 2. CHARACTERIZATION OF GELFAND–SHILOV SPACES OF (GENERALIZED) TYPE S

In this section we characterize the Gelfand–Shilov spaces of type  $S$  and generalized type  $S$  in a more symmetric way by means of the Fourier transformation, which are generalizations of (1.2) and (1.3).

We first introduce the *Gelfand–Shilov spaces of generalized type S* and *type S*. Let  $M_p, p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , be a sequence of positive numbers. We impose the following conditions on  $M_p$ :

- (M.1) (logarithmic convexity)  $M_p^2 \leq M_{p-1}M_{p+1}$ ,  $p = 1, 2, \dots$ ;
- (M.2) (stability under differential operators) there are constants  $A$  and  $H$  such that  $M_{p+q} \leq AH^{p+q}M_pM_q$ ,  $p, q = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $M_p$  and  $N_p, p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , be sequences of positive numbers. Then the Gelfand–Shilov spaces  $S_{M_p}, S^{N_p}$  and  $S_{M_p}^{N_p}$  consist of all infinitely differentiable functions  $\varphi(x)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying the following estimates, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_x |x^\alpha \partial^\beta \varphi(x)| &\leq C_\beta A^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}, \\ \sup_x |x^\alpha \partial^\beta \varphi(x)| &\leq C_\alpha B^{|\beta|} N_{|\beta|}, \\ \sup_x |x^\alpha \partial^\beta \varphi(x)| &\leq CA^{|\alpha|} B^{|\beta|} M_{|\alpha|} N_{|\beta|} \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constants  $A$  and  $B$  for all multi-indices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

*Remark.* In particular, if  $M_p = p!^r$  and  $N_p = p!^s$ , then we denote the spaces  $S_{M_p}, S^{N_p}$  and  $S_{M_p}^{N_p}$  by  $S_r, S^s$  and  $S_r^s$ , respectively, and call these spaces the *Gelfand–Shilov spaces of type S*.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $M_p$  and  $N_p$  be sequences of positive numbers satisfying (M.1). Then we write  $M_p \subset N_p$  ( $M_p \prec N_p$ , respectively) if there are constants  $L, C > 0$  (for any  $L > 0$  there is a constant  $C > 0$ , respectively) such that  $M_p \leq CL^p N_p, p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

Also,  $M_p$  and  $N_p$  are said to be equivalent if  $M_p \subset N_p$  and  $M_p \supset N_p$  hold.

**Theorem 2.3.** *If  $M_p$  and  $N_p$  satisfy (M.1) and (M.2) and  $M_p N_p \supset p!$ , then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\varphi \in S_{M_p}^{N_p}$ .
- (ii) *There exist positive constants  $A, B$  and  $C$  such that*

$$\sup_x |x^\alpha \varphi(x)| \leq CA^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}, \quad \sup_x |\partial^\beta \varphi(x)| \leq CB^{|\beta|} N_{|\beta|}$$

*for all multi-indices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .*

(iii) *There exist positive constants  $A, B$  and  $C$  such that*

$$\sup_x |x^\alpha \varphi(x)| \leq CA^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}, \quad \sup_\xi |\xi^\beta \hat{\varphi}(\xi)| \leq CB^{|\beta|} N_{|\beta|}$$

for all multi-indices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

*Proof.* The implications (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) and (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) follow immediately from the equality  $\widehat{S_{M_p}^{N_p}} = S_{N_p}^{M_p}$ . We now prove the implication (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). We can use the  $L^2$ -norm instead of the supremum norm. Using integration by parts, the Leibniz formula and the Schwarz inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^\alpha \partial^\beta \varphi(x)\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [x^{2\alpha} \partial^\beta \varphi(x)] \partial^\beta \varphi(x) \, dx \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{\gamma \leq 2\alpha \\ \gamma \leq \beta}} \binom{\beta}{\gamma} \binom{2\alpha}{\gamma} \gamma! \|\partial^{2\beta-\gamma} \varphi(x)\|_{L^2} \|x^{2\alpha-\gamma} \varphi(x)\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C^2 \sum_\gamma \binom{\beta}{\gamma} \binom{2\alpha}{\gamma} \gamma! A^{2(|\alpha|+|\beta|-|\gamma|)} M_{|2\alpha-\gamma|} N_{|2\beta-\gamma|}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the conditions (M.1), (M.2) and  $M_p N_p \supset p!$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^\alpha \partial^\beta \varphi(x)\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C^2 A^{2(|\alpha|+|\beta|)} M_{|2\alpha|} N_{|2\beta|} \sum_\gamma \binom{\beta}{\gamma} \binom{2\alpha}{\gamma} \gamma! / (M_{|\gamma|} N_{|\gamma|}) \\ &\leq C^2 (2AH)^{2(|\alpha|+|\beta|)} M_{|\alpha|}^2 N_{|\beta|}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\varphi(x)$  belongs to  $S_{M_p}^{N_p}$ . Therefore, it remains to prove (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). The inequality  $|\xi^\beta \hat{\varphi}(\xi)| \leq CB^{|\beta|} N_{|\beta|}$  means that

$$|\hat{\varphi}(\xi)| \leq C \exp[-N(|\xi|/B)],$$

where  $N(\rho)$  is the associated function of  $N_p$  defined by

$$(2.1) \quad N(\rho) = \sup_p \log \frac{\rho^p}{N_p}.$$

Therefore, by the conditions (M.1) and (M.2) of  $N_p$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial^\beta \varphi(x)| &\leq (2\pi)^{-n} \int |e^{ix \cdot \xi} \xi^\beta \hat{\varphi}(\xi)| \, d\xi \\ &\leq C_1 \int |\xi|^{|\beta|} \exp[-N(|\xi|/B)] \, d\xi \\ &\leq C_1 \sup_\xi [|\xi|^{2|\beta|} \exp(-N(|\xi|/B))]^{1/2} \int \exp[-N(|\xi|/B)/2] \, d\xi \\ &\leq C_2 B^{|\beta|} N_{2|\beta|}^{1/2} \leq C_2 B_1^{|\beta|} N_{|\beta|}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

Using the associated function as in (2.1) we can characterize  $S_{M_p}^{N_p}$  as follows.

**Corollary 2.4.** *If  $M_p$  and  $N_p$  satisfy (M.1) and (M.2) and  $M_p N_p \supset p!$ , then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\varphi \in S_{M_p}^{N_p}$ .
- (ii) *There exist positive constants  $a$  and  $b$  such that*

$$\sup_x |\varphi(x)| \exp M(ax) < \infty, \quad \sup_\xi |\hat{\varphi}(\xi)| \exp N(b\xi) < \infty.$$

In particular, putting  $M_p = p!^r$  and  $N_p = p!^s$  we can give simple characterizations for the Gelfand–Shilov spaces of type S as corollaries.

**Corollary 2.5.** *If  $r + s \geq 1$ , then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\varphi \in S_r^s$ .
- (ii) *There exist positive constants  $h$  and  $k$  such that*

$$\sup_x |\varphi(x)| \exp k|x|^{1/r} < \infty, \quad \sup_\xi |\hat{\varphi}(\xi)| \exp h|\xi|^{1/s} < \infty.$$

*Remark.* We can easily prove the similar results on the characterization of  $S_{M_p}$  and  $S^{N_p}$ .

### 3. CHARACTERIZATION OF GELFAND–SHILOV SPACES OF TYPE $W$

In this section we characterize the Gelfand–Shilov spaces of type  $W$  in a more symmetric way by means of the Fourier transformation.

Let  $M(x)$  and  $\Omega(y)$  be differentiable functions on  $[0, \infty)$  satisfying the condition (K):  $M(0) = \Omega(0) = M'(0) = \Omega'(0) = 0$  and their derivatives are continuous, increasing and tending to infinity.

We now define the *Gelfand–Shilov spaces of type  $W$*  as in [6].

**Definition 3.1.** (i) The space  $W_M$  consists of all infinitely differentiable functions  $\varphi(x)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying the estimate  $|\partial^\beta \varphi(x)| \leq C_\beta \exp(-M(a|x|))$  for some  $a > 0$ .

(ii) The space  $W^\Omega$  consists of all entire analytic functions  $\varphi(\zeta)$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  satisfying the estimate  $|\zeta^\alpha \varphi(\zeta)| \leq C_\alpha \exp \Omega(b|\eta|)$  for some  $b > 0$ , where  $\zeta = \xi + i\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n + i\mathbb{R}^n$ .

(iii) The space  $W_M^\Omega$  consists of all entire analytic functions  $\varphi(\zeta)$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  satisfying the estimate  $|\varphi(\xi + i\eta)| \leq C \exp(-M(a|\xi|) + \Omega(b|\eta|))$  for some  $a, b > 0$ .

In order to relate the sequences  $M_p$  and the functions  $M(x)$  we need the following definitions.

**Definition 3.2.** If  $M(\rho)$  is an increasing convex function in  $\log \rho$  and increases more rapidly than  $\log \rho^p$  for any  $p$  as  $\rho$  tends to infinity, we define its *defining sequence* by

$$M_p = \sup_{\rho > 0} \rho^p / \exp M(\rho), \quad p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $M : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a convex and increasing function with  $M(0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x/M(x) = 0$ . Then we define its *Young conjugate*  $M^*$  by  $M^*(\rho) = \sup_x (x\rho - M(x))$ .

To prove the main theorem on the characterizations of the Gelfand–Shilov spaces of type  $W$  we need the following relations between the defining sequences and the associated functions as in [3, 5, 9].

**Proposition 3.4** ([9]). *If  $M_p$  satisfies (M.1), then  $M_p$  is the defining sequence of the associated function of itself.*

**Proposition 3.5** ([5]). *Let  $M : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function satisfying the condition (K). Then  $M(x)$  is equivalent to the associated function of the defining sequence of itself.*

Here,  $M(x)$  and  $N(x)$  are said to be *equivalent* if there exist constants  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $M(Ax) \leq N(x) \leq M(Bx)$ .

**Proposition 3.6** ([9]). *Let  $m_p = M_p/M_{p-1}$ ,  $p = 1, 2, \dots$ , and let  $m(\lambda)$  be the number of  $m_p$  such that  $m_p \leq \lambda$ . Then we have  $M(\rho) = \int_0^\rho m(\lambda)/\lambda d\lambda$ .*

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $M(\rho)$  be a function satisfying the condition (K). Then the defining sequence  $M_p^*$  of the Young conjugate  $M^*(\rho)$  of  $M(\rho)$  is equivalent to  $p!/M_p$ , where  $M_p$  is the defining sequence of  $M(\rho)$ . In fact,  $M_p^* = (p/e)^p/M_p$ . Consequently,  $M_p$  satisfies the following conditions:*

- (M.1)' (strong logarithmic convexity)  $m_p = M_p/M_{p-1}$  is increasing and tends to infinity as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- (M.1)\* (duality)  $p!/M_p$  satisfies (M.1)'.

Conversely, if  $M_p$  satisfies (M.1)' and (M.1)\*, then the associated function  $M^\#(\rho)$  of  $p!/M_p$  and the Young conjugate  $M^*(\rho)$  of the associated function  $M(\rho)$  of  $M_p$  are equivalent.

*Proof.* We may assume that  $M'(\rho)$  is strictly increasing. Then it is easy to see that  $M^*(\rho) = \int_0^\rho M'^{-1}(t) dt$  where  $M'^{-1}$  is the inverse function of  $M'$ . Let  $g(t) = t^p / \exp M^*(t)$ . To find the maximum of  $g(t)$  for  $t > 0$ , taking logarithm, differentiating and equating the result to zero, we obtain

$$(3.1) \quad p/t = M'^{-1}(t).$$

Let  $t_0$  be the root of the equation (3.1). Then there exists  $\rho_0 > 0$  such that  $M'(\rho_0) = t_0$ . Putting  $t = M'(\rho_0)$  in (3.1) we have  $\rho_0 M'(\rho_0) = p$ . Thus we have

$$M^*(M'(\rho_0)) = \sup_x (xM'(\rho_0) - M(x)) = \rho_0 M'(\rho_0) - M(\rho_0)$$

since the function  $h(x) = xM'(\rho_0) - M(x)$  takes its maximum at  $x = \rho_0$ . Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_p^* &= \sup_{t>0} \frac{t^p}{\exp M^*(t)} = \frac{t_0^p}{\exp M^*(t_0)} = \frac{[M'(\rho_0)]^p}{M^*(M'(\rho_0))} \\ &= \frac{[M'(\rho_0)]^p}{\exp[\rho_0 M'(\rho_0) - M(\rho_0)]} = \frac{[M'(\rho_0)]^p}{\exp(p - M(\rho_0))} \\ &= \left(\frac{p}{e}\right)^p \frac{\exp M(\rho_0)}{\rho_0^p} = \left(\frac{p}{e}\right)^p \frac{1}{M_p}. \end{aligned}$$

For the converse, by Stirling's formula it is easy to see that  $p!$  and  $(p/e)^p$  are equivalent. So we have for any  $t, \rho > 0$

$$M(t) + M^\#(\rho) = \sup_p \log \frac{t^p}{M_p} + \sup_p \log \frac{\rho^p M_p}{p!} \geq \sup_p \log \frac{(t\rho)^p}{p!} \geq At\rho$$

for some  $A > 0$ , where  $M^\#(\rho)$  is the associated functions of  $p!/M_p$ .

Thus we have

$$(3.2) \quad M^\#(\rho) \geq At\rho - M(t).$$

Taking the supremum for  $t$  in the right-hand side of (3.2), we have  $M^\#(\rho) \geq M^*(A\rho)$ . Since  $M_p$  satisfies (M.1)' and (M.1)\*, we may assume that the sequences  $m_p = M_p/M_{p-1}$  and  $p/m_p$  are strictly increasing.

We denote by  $m(\lambda)$  the number of  $m_p$  such that  $m_p \leq \lambda$ . Then we have by Proposition 3.6

$$M^*(\rho) = \sup_x \int_0^x (\rho - m(\lambda)/\lambda) d\lambda.$$

Putting  $\rho = p/m_p$  and  $x = m_p$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M^*(p/m_p) &\geq \int_0^{m_p} (p/m_p - m(\lambda)/\lambda) d\lambda \\ &= p - \int_0^{m_p} m(\lambda)/\lambda d\lambda \\ &= p - \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \int_{m_j}^{m_{j+1}} j/\lambda d\lambda \\ &= p + \log \frac{m_1 \cdots m_{p-1}}{m_p^{p-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, let  $m^\#(\lambda)$  be the number of  $p/m_p$  such that  $p/m_p \leq \lambda$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} M^\#(p/m_p) &= \int_0^{p/m_p} m^\#(\lambda)/\lambda d\lambda \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \int_{j/m_j}^{(j+1)/m_{j+1}} j/\lambda d\lambda \\ &= \log \frac{p^p m_1 \cdots m_{p-1}}{p! \cdot m_p^{p-1}} \\ &\leq p + \log \frac{m_1 \cdots m_{p-1}}{m_p^{p-1}} \\ &\leq M^*(p/m_p). \end{aligned}$$

Now, for any  $\rho > 0$  such that  $p/m_p < \rho < (p+1)/m_{p+1}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} M^*(\rho) &\geq M^*(p/m_p) \geq M^\#(p/m_p) \\ &\geq M^\# \left( \frac{1}{2}(p+1)/m_{p+1} \right) \geq M^\# \left( \frac{1}{2}\rho \right), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

We are now in a position to state and prove the main theorems on the characterizations of the Gelfand–Shilov spaces of type  $W$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** *If there is a constant  $L$  such that  $M(x) \leq \Omega(Lx)$ , then the space  $W_M^\Omega$  is characterized by the following estimates*

$$(3.3) \quad |\varphi(x)| \leq C \exp(-M(a|x|)), \quad |\hat{\varphi}(\xi)| \leq C \exp(-\Omega^*(b|\xi|)).$$

*Proof.* If  $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfies (3.3), then  $\varphi(x)$  satisfies

$$\sup_x |x^\alpha \varphi(x)| \leq CA^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}, \quad \sup_\xi |\xi^\beta \hat{\varphi}(\xi)| \leq CB^{|\beta|} N_{|\beta|}$$

for some  $A, B > 0$ , where  $M_p$  and  $N_p$  are the defining sequences of  $M(x)$  and  $\Omega^*(y)$ , respectively. Then the sequences  $M_p$  and  $N_p$  satisfy (M.1)' and (M.1)\* by Lemma 3.7 and the condition  $M(x) \leq \Omega(Lx)$  implies  $M_p N_p \supset p!$ . Therefore  $\varphi(x)$  belongs to  $S_{M_p}^{N_p}$  by Theorem 2.3, since (M.1)' and (M.1)\* are stronger than (M.1) and (M.2), respectively. We now prove that  $S_{M_p}^{N_p} \subset W_M^\Omega$ . Let  $\varphi \in S_{M_p}^{N_p}$ . Then for every  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad |\xi^\alpha \partial^\beta \varphi(\xi)| \leq CA^{|\alpha|} B^{|\beta|} M_{|\alpha|} N_{|\beta|}$$

for some  $A, B > 0$ . Since  $N_p$  satisfies (M.1)\* or  $p!/N_p$  satisfies (M.1)', it is easy to see that  $N_p \prec p!$ . Hence the function  $\varphi(\xi)$  can be continued analytically into the complex domain as an entire analytic function. Applying the Taylor expansion and the inequality (3.4) we have

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} |\xi^\alpha \varphi(\xi + i\eta)| &\leq \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \frac{|\xi^\alpha \partial^\gamma \varphi(\xi)|}{\gamma!} |\eta|^{|\gamma|} \\ &\leq C \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} A^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|} N_{|\gamma|} |B\eta|^{|\gamma|} / \gamma! \\ &\leq 2^n CA^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|} \exp N^\#(2B|\eta|). \end{aligned}$$

Dividing  $|\xi|^{|\alpha|}$  in both sides of the inequality (3.5) and taking infimum for  $|\alpha|$  in the right-hand side of (3.5), we have

$$|\varphi(\xi + i\eta)| \leq 2^n C \exp [ - M(|\xi|/A) + N^\#(2B|\eta|) ].$$

Note that we may use  $|\xi|^{|\alpha|} \partial^\beta \varphi(\xi)$  instead of  $|\xi^\alpha \partial^\beta \varphi(\xi)|$  in (3.4). Also, Lemma 3.7 implies

$$N^\#(2B|\eta|) \leq N^*(B'|\eta|) \leq (\Omega^*)^*(B''|\eta|) = \Omega(B''|\eta|)$$

for some  $B', B'' > 0$ , where  $N^\#$  is the associated function of  $p!/M_p$  and  $N^*$  is the Young conjugate of the associated function of  $N_p$ . Thus, we have

$$|\varphi(\xi + i\eta)| \leq C_1 \exp [ - M(|\xi|/A) + \Omega(B''|\eta|) ],$$

which completes the proof.

*Remark.* We can prove the characterization theorem for  $W_M$  and  $W^\Omega$  similarly.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Chung, S. Y. Chung, and D. Kim, *Une caractérisation de l'espace de Schwartz*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **316** (1993), 23–25. MR **93m**:46040
- [2] ———, *A characterization for Fourier hyperfunctions*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **30** (1994), 203–208. MR **94m**:46066
- [3] ———, *Equivalence of the Gelfand-Shilov spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., (to appear).
- [4] S.-Y. Chung and D. Kim, *Representation of quasianalytic ultradistributions*, Ark. Mat. **31** (1993), 51–60. MR **94e**:46069
- [5] S. Y. Chung, D. Kim, and S. K. Kim, *Equivalence of the spaces of ultradifferentiable functions and its application to the Whitney extension theorem*, Rend. Mat. Appl. VII **12** (1992), 365–380. MR **93k**:46030
- [6] I. M. Gelfand and G. E. Shilov, *Generalized functions* II, III, Academic Press, New York and London, 1967. MR **55**:8786c
- [7] L. Hörmander, *A uniqueness theorem of Beurling for Fourier transform pairs*, Ark. Mat. **29** (1991), 237–240. MR **93b**:42016
- [8] A. I. Kashpirovsky, *Equality of the spaces  $S_\alpha^\beta$  and  $S_\alpha \cap S^\beta$* , Functional Anal. Appl. **14** (1978), 60.
- [9] H. Komatsu, *Ultradistributions I*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **20** (1973), 25–105. MR **47**:9277

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KUNSAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, KUNSAN 573–360, KOREA  
*E-mail address*: `jychung@knusun1.kunsan.ac.kr`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOGANG UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 121-742, KOREA  
*E-mail address*: `sychung@ccs.sogang.ac.kr`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 151–742, KOREA  
*E-mail address*: `dhkim@math.snu.ac.kr`