

COMPACT FLAT MANIFOLDS  
WITH HOLONOMY GROUP  $\mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2$

J. P. ROSSETTI AND P. A. TIRAO

(Communicated by Christopher Croke)

ABSTRACT. In this paper we construct a family of compact flat manifolds, for all dimensions  $n \geq 3$ , with holonomy group isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Z}_2^2$  and first Betti number zero.

INTRODUCTION

In 1957 Calabi (see [Ca], [Wo], p.126) showed that the compact flat manifolds with zero first Betti number are the building blocks for all compact flat manifolds.

Hantzsche and Wendt (1935) constructed the only existing 3-dimensional compact flat manifold with first Betti number zero; this manifold has holonomy group  $\mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2$ . Cobb ([Co], 1975) constructed a family of manifolds with these properties, for all dimensions  $n \geq 3$ .

It has been suggested that Cobb's family might exhaust all compact flat manifolds with  $\beta_1 = 0$  and holonomy  $\mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2$  ([HS], p.184).

In this paper we will construct a family of compact flat manifolds  $\mathcal{M}_n$  with  $\beta_1 = 0$  and holonomy group  $\mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2$ , which includes Cobb's family as a (relatively small) subclass. More precisely, we shall prove (see Theorem 3.5) that if  $c_n$  denotes the cardinality of Cobb's family, and  $b_n$  that of  $\mathcal{M}_n$ , then  $c_n \sim C n^2$  and  $b_n \sim B n^5$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , with  $C = \frac{1}{2^2 3}$  and  $B = \frac{1}{2^7 3^2 5}$ . The first manifold not in Cobb's family appears in dimension 5.

The main tool in our construction is a result of Dotti-Miatello and Miatello ([DM]) where an explicit general procedure for the construction of compact flat manifolds with holonomy  $\mathbf{Z}_2^k$  is given.

We notice that the case of holonomy group  $\mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2$  is the simplest to be considered, since it is well known (see [HS]) that no flat manifold with a cyclic holonomy group can have zero first Betti number.

1. PRELIMINARIES

If  $M$  is a compact flat Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$  then  $M \simeq \Gamma \backslash \mathbf{R}^n$  where  $\Gamma$ , the fundamental group of  $M$ , is a torsion-free discrete cocompact subgroup of  $I(\mathbf{R}^n) = O(n) \times \mathbf{R}^n$ . These groups are usually called Bieberbach groups.

---

Received by the editors November 29, 1994.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 53C20; Secondary 20H15.

*Key words and phrases*. Bieberbach groups. Flat manifolds.

Supported in part by FaMAF and CONICOR.

By Bieberbach’s first theorem, for such a  $\Gamma$ , there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Lambda \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1$$

where  $\Lambda$  is the only maximal free abelian normal subgroup of  $\Gamma$  and  $G$  is a finite subgroup of  $O(n)$ . The group  $G$  is called the *point group* of  $\Gamma$  and is isomorphic to the holonomy group of the manifold  $M$ . Furthermore, Bieberbach also proved that, if  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'$  are two isomorphic discrete cocompact subgroups of  $I(\mathbf{R}^n)$  then there exist  $A \in \text{Gl}(n, \mathbf{R})$  and  $v \in \mathbf{R}^n$  such that  $AL_v\Gamma L_{-v}A^{-1} = \Gamma'$ . This implies that if  $M$  and  $M'$  are compact flat Riemannian manifolds with isomorphic fundamental groups, then  $M$  is diffeomorphic to  $M'$ .

On the other hand, the exact sequence above induces an action of  $G$  on  $\Lambda$ , which defines an  $n$ -dimensional integral representation of  $G$ . If  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'$  are isomorphic, where the isomorphism is given by  $AL_v$ , then  $A : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda'$ , the point groups  $G$  and  $G'$  are isomorphic, and the holonomy representations  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  are related by

$$\rho'_{AgA^{-1}} = A \rho_g A^{-1}$$

for any  $g$  in  $G$ . In this case we will say that  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  are  $A$ -equivalent.

We state a particular case of a proposition proved in [DM] which gives a procedure to construct Bieberbach groups, of arbitrary dimensions, with point group isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Z}_2^2$ . Moreover, this proposition characterizes all such groups.

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  in  $O(n)$  be such that  $\langle B_1, B_2 \rangle \simeq \mathbf{Z}_2^2$ . Let  $\Lambda$  be a lattice in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  stable by  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  and let  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  be chosen so that*

- (i)  $(B_1 - I)b_2 - (B_2 - I)b_1 \in \Lambda$ ;
- (ii)  $(B_i + I)b_i \in \Lambda - (B_i + I)\Lambda$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ;
- (iii)  $(B_i B_j + I)(B_i b_j + b_i) \in \Lambda - (B_i B_j + I)\Lambda$ ,  $i \neq j$ .

*Then  $\Gamma = \langle B_1 L_{b_1}, B_2 L_{b_2}, \Lambda \rangle$  is a Bieberbach group with translation lattice  $\Lambda$  and  $M = \Gamma \backslash \mathbf{R}^n$  has holonomy group  $\mathbf{Z}_2^2$ .*

*Conversely, if  $\Gamma$  is a Bieberbach group with point group isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Z}_2^2$  and translation lattice  $\Lambda$ , then  $\Gamma \simeq \langle B_1 L_{b_1}, B_2 L_{b_2}, \Lambda \rangle$  with  $\langle B_1, B_2 \rangle \simeq \mathbf{Z}_2^2$  where, furthermore,  $B_1, B_2, b_1$  and  $b_2$  satisfy conditions (i)–(iii).*

We will make use of this proposition in sections 2 and 3.

## 2. COBB’S FAMILY

We begin this section with an application of Proposition 1.1, constructing a family of Bieberbach groups with point group  $\mathbf{Z}_2^2$  and trivial center. This family will be isomorphic to the one constructed by Cobb in [Co] and will be used in section 3.

**Example 2.1.** Let  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  be the  $m \times m$  diagonal matrices defined by

$$(B_1)_{ii} = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq m - m_1, \\ 1, & \text{if } m - m_1 < i \leq m, \end{cases} \quad (B_2)_{ii} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq m_2, \\ -1, & \text{if } m_2 < i \leq m, \end{cases}$$

where  $m_1 + m_2 < m$ . In addition, we choose  $b_1 = \frac{e_m}{2}$ ,  $b_2 = \frac{e_1 + e_{m-m_1}}{2}$  in  $\mathbf{R}^m$  and we let  $\Lambda$  be the canonical lattice. It is not difficult to check that the conditions in Proposition 1.1 are satisfied. By varying  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  in such a way that  $1 \leq m_1 \leq m_2$  and  $m_1 + 2m_2 \leq m$ , one obtains a family of Bieberbach groups, one for each pair  $(m_1, m_2)$ , which we shall denote by  $\Gamma_{(m_1, m_2)}$ .

Since  $Z(\Gamma) = \Lambda^G$ , where  $G = \langle B_1, B_2 \rangle$ , the condition  $m_1 + m_2 < m$  ensures that the constructed Bieberbach groups have a trivial center. Recall that for  $M = \Gamma \backslash \mathbf{R}^n$  we have  $\beta_1(M) = \text{rank } Z(\Gamma)$ .

We now prove that a different choice of  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  does not affect the isomorphism class of  $\Gamma_{(m_1, m_2)}$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are as in Example 2.1,  $\tilde{b}_1$  and  $\tilde{b}_2$  are such that the conditions of Proposition 1.1 are satisfied and  $\Gamma' = \langle B_1 L_{\tilde{b}_1}, B_2 L_{\tilde{b}_2}, \Lambda \rangle$ , then  $\Gamma' \simeq \Gamma_{(m_1, m_2)}$ .*

*Proof.* We consider  $\Gamma = \langle \alpha, \beta, \Lambda \rangle$  and  $\tilde{\Gamma} = \langle \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \Lambda \rangle$ , where  $\alpha = B_1 L_{b_1}$ ,  $\beta = B_2 L_{b_2}$ ,  $\tilde{\alpha} = B_1 L_{\tilde{b}_1}$ ,  $\tilde{\beta} = B_2 L_{\tilde{b}_2}$  and  $\Lambda$  is the canonical lattice. It follows from Proposition 1.1 that the most general form for  $\tilde{b}_1$  and  $\tilde{b}_2$  is

$$\tilde{b}_1 = (x_1, \dots, x_{m_2}, x_{m_2+1}, \dots, x_{m-m_1}, \frac{1}{2}\delta_{m-m_1+1}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}\delta_m)$$

$$\tilde{b}_2 = (\frac{1}{2}\delta_1, \dots, \frac{1}{2}\delta_{m_2}, \frac{1}{2}\delta_{m_2+1} + x_{m_2+1}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}\delta_{m-m_1} + x_{m-m_1}, x_{m-m_1+1}, \dots, x_m)$$

with  $\delta_i = 0$  or  $1 \forall i$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{m_2} \delta_i \geq 1$ ,  $\sum_{i=m_2+1}^{m-m_1} \delta_i \geq 1$ ,  $\sum_{i=m-m_1+1}^m \delta_i \geq 1$ . It is clear that we can choose  $x_i \in [0, 1) \forall i$ .

We must find  $A \in \text{Gl}(m, \mathbf{Z})$  and  $v \in \mathbf{R}^m$  such that  $AL_v \Gamma L_{-v} A^{-1} = \tilde{\Gamma}$ . If

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & & \\ & A_2 & \\ & & A_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $A_1, A_2$  and  $A_3$  are square matrices of dimensions  $m_2, m - m_1 - m_2$  and  $m_1$  respectively, then clearly  $A$  commutes with  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ . Hence the result will follow if we can solve the following equations:

$$A(B_1 - I)v + Ab_1 \equiv \tilde{b}_1 \pmod{\Lambda},$$

$$A(B_2 - I)v + Ab_2 \equiv \tilde{b}_2 \pmod{\Lambda}.$$

We divide this system of equations into three parts, each one corresponding to the matrices  $A_i$ . We denote  $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$ ,  $b_1 = ((b_1)_1, (b_1)_2, (b_1)_3)$  and similarly for  $\tilde{b}_1, b_2$  and  $\tilde{b}_2$ .

We choose  $a_{i1} = \delta_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m_2$ . Recall that there is at least one  $\delta_i \neq 0$ , so we may assume  $\delta_j \neq 0$ . Then we complete  $A_1$  as follows:

$$A_1 = \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} \delta_1 & & & 1 & & \\ \vdots & & & & \ddots & \\ \delta_{j-1} & & & & & 1 \\ \hline 1 & & & & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & & & & \\ \delta_{m_2} & & & 1 & & \end{array} \right),$$

where the blank spaces are zeros. Notice that  $A_1 \in \text{Gl}(m_2, \mathbf{Z})$ . Now we calculate  $v_1$  by  $-2A_1v_1 = (\tilde{b}_1)_1$ .

In an analogous way we obtain  $A_3$  and  $v_3$ .

For the second set of equations we choose  $a_{i,(m-m_1)} = \delta_i$ , for  $i = m_2 + 1, \dots, m - m_1$ ; assuming  $\delta_l = 1$  we complete  $A_2$  as follows:

$$A_2 = \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} & & & 1 & & \delta_{m_2+1} \\ & & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & & & 1 \\ \hline 1 & & & & & \delta_{l+1} \\ & \ddots & & & & \vdots \\ & & 1 & & & \delta_{m-m_1} \end{array} \right),$$

where the blank spaces are zeros and thus  $A_2 \in \text{Gl}(m - m_1 - m_2, \mathbf{Z})$ . Then  $v_2$  is the solution to  $-2A_2v_2 = (\tilde{b}_1)_2$ . □

We now show that the above family coincides, up to isomorphism, with the one given by Cobb. For this, let  $X, Y, r_i, s_i, t_i, u_i$  be as in [Co], with  $0 \leq i \leq m - 1$ . We have that  $\mathcal{V} = \{u_i\}$  generates a lattice  $\Lambda$  of dimension  $m$ . We note that the vectors  $u_i$  are orthogonal to each other and all of them have the same length. One verifies that  $X$  and  $Y$  act on the basis  $\mathcal{V}$  by

$$X(r_i) = r_i, X(s_i) = -s_i, X(t_i) = -t_i; \quad Y(r_i) = -r_i, Y(s_i) = s_i, Y(t_i) = -t_i.$$

After reordering, if necessary, we may assume that

$$\mathcal{V} = \{r_0, \dots, r_{m_2-1}, s_0, \dots, s_{m-m_2-m_1-1}, t_0, \dots, t_{m_1-1}\}$$

and thus  $X = B_2, Y = B_1B_2$  and  $XY = B_1$ , where  $B_1, B_2$  are as in Example 2.1. We also set  $b_1 = \frac{s_0-t_0}{2}$  and  $b_2 = \frac{r_0+t_0}{2}$ . If we let  $m_3 = m - m_1 - m_2$ , the conditions on  $(m_1, m_2)$  in Example 2.1 are equivalent to those used by Cobb, namely  $1 \leq m_1 \leq m_2 \leq m_3$  and  $m_1 + m_2 + m_3 = m$ . By the lemma above, it follows that for each such choice of  $(m_1, m_2)$ , the group defined by Cobb is isomorphic to  $\Gamma_{(m_1, m_2)}$ . Furthermore, it is proved in [Co] that if  $(m_1, m_2) \neq (m'_1, m'_2)$  then  $\Gamma_{(m_1, m_2)} \not\cong \Gamma_{(m'_1, m'_2)}$ , by observing that the associated integral representations of the respective point groups are not  $A$ -equivalent for any  $A \in \text{Gl}(n, \mathbf{R})$ .

We shall denote the family in Example 2.1 (i.e. Cobb's family) by

$$\mathcal{C}_m = \{\Gamma_{(m_1, m_2)} : 1 \leq m_1 \leq m_2, m_1 + 2m_2 \leq m\}.$$

*Remark 1.* Notice that by choosing  $b_1 = \frac{e_2 - e_3}{2}$  and  $b_2 = \frac{e_1 + e_3}{2}$ , in Example 2.1, one obtains Cobb's family without making use of Lemma 2.2. Our election was made in order to simplify the construction in the following section.

*Remark 2.* As a consequence of Lemma 2.2 and the converse assertion in Proposition 1.1, it follows that any Bieberbach group with trivial center, canonical translation lattice  $\Lambda$  and point group  $G \simeq \mathbf{Z}_2^2$  acting diagonally on  $\Lambda$ , is isomorphic to  $\Gamma_{(m_1, m_2)}$ , for some  $(m_1, m_2)$ , i.e. it lies in Cobb's class.

3. A NEW FAMILY

If  $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $\{\pm I, \pm J\}$  defines an integral representation of  $\mathbf{Z}_2^2$ . For  $n \geq 3$ , we will consider the  $n \times n$  matrices

$$B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & & & \\ & A_1^1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & A_1^k \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} D_2 & & & \\ & A_2^1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & A_2^k \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are the diagonal matrices used in Example 2.1 and  $A_i^j$  are  $2 \times 2$  matrices, each one equal to one of  $J$ ,  $-J$  or  $-I$ , and such that the blocks  $A_1^j$  and  $A_2^j$  are different, for each  $j = 1, \dots, k$ .

If  $m$  is the dimension of  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ , then  $n = m + 2k$ . Now, we take  $b_1 = \frac{e_m}{2}$  and  $b_2 = \frac{e_1 + e_{m-m_1}}{2}$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . If  $\alpha = B_1 L_{b_1}$ ,  $\beta = B_2 L_{b_2}$  and  $\Lambda$  is the canonical lattice, then it is easy to see, by using Proposition 1.1, that  $\Gamma = \langle \alpha, \beta, \Lambda \rangle$  is a Bieberbach group with point group  $\mathbf{Z}_2^2$ . Moreover, as in Example 2.1, the corresponding manifold has first Betti number zero, because of the condition imposed on the  $j$ -th blocks of  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}$  be the collection of all Bieberbach groups constructed in this manner, with  $n, k$  fixed, and let  $\mathcal{B}_n$  be the union of all  $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}$  with  $0 \leq k \leq [(n-3)/2]$ . Notice that  $\mathcal{B}_{n,0} = \mathcal{C}_n$ , Cobb's family.

We now determine  $H_1(M, \mathbf{Z}) \simeq \frac{\Gamma}{[\Gamma, \Gamma]}$ , where  $M = \Gamma \backslash \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{B}_{n,k}$ .

Since  $[\alpha, L_{e_i}] = L_{-2e_i}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m - m_1$  and  $[\beta, L_{e_i}] = L_{-2e_i}$  for  $i = m_2 + 1, \dots, m$ , then  $L_{2e_i} \in [\Gamma, \Gamma]$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

We observe that for each  $j = 1, \dots, k$ ,

$$[\alpha, L_{e_{m+2j-1}}] = \begin{cases} L_{e_{m+2j} - e_{m+2j-1}}, & \text{if } A_1^j = J, \\ L_{-e_{m+2j} - e_{m+2j-1}}, & \text{if } A_1^j = -J, \\ L_{-2e_{m+2j-1}}, & \text{if } A_1^j = -I, \end{cases}$$

$$[\alpha, L_{e_{m+2j}}] = \begin{cases} L_{e_{m+2j-1} - e_{m+2j}}, & \text{if } A_1^j = J, \\ L_{-e_{m+2j-1} - e_{m+2j}}, & \text{if } A_1^j = -J, \\ L_{-2e_{m+2j}}, & \text{if } A_1^j = -I, \end{cases}$$

and similarly with  $\beta$  instead of  $\alpha$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [\alpha, L_{e_{m+2j-1}}], [\beta, L_{e_{m+2j-1}}] \rangle &= \langle [\alpha, L_{e_{m+2j}}], [\beta, L_{e_{m+2j}}] \rangle \\ &= \langle L_{e_{m+2j} - e_{m+2j-1}}, L_{-e_{m+2j} - e_{m+2j-1}} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,  $\alpha^2 = L_{e_m}$ ,  $\beta^2 = L_{e_1}$  and  $[\alpha, \beta] = L_{-e_1 + e_{m-m_1} + e_m}$ . Putting together all this information we obtain

$$H_1(M, \mathbf{Z}) \simeq \mathbf{Z}_4^2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2^{m-3+k}.$$

As a consequence of this calculation and Bieberbach's theorem we have:

**Proposition 3.1.** *If  $\Gamma_i$  are  $n$ -dimensional Bieberbach groups in  $\mathcal{B}_{n,k_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , with  $k_1 \neq k_2$ , then  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are not isomorphic.*

We now introduce another invariant to distinguish groups in  $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}$ , with fixed  $n$  and  $k$ .

Let  $B \in O(n)$ , preserving the canonical lattice  $\Lambda$ . Let  $\Lambda^+$  be a sublattice of maximal rank  $t^+$  of  $\Lambda$ , such that  $B$  acts on  $\Lambda^+$  as the identity and  $\Lambda^+$  admits a  $B$ -invariant complement  $\Delta^+$ . Similarly we define  $t^-$ ,  $\Lambda^-$  and  $\Delta^-$  for minus identity instead of identity.

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $B' = ABA^{-1}$  with  $A \in \text{Gl}(n, \mathbf{Z})$  and  $t'^{\pm}$  are defined as  $t^{\pm}$  with  $B'$  in place of  $B$ , then  $(t'^+, t'^-) = (t^+, t^-)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\Lambda = \Lambda^+ \oplus \Delta^+$  is a  $B$ -invariant decomposition, then  $B = B|_{\Lambda^+} \oplus B|_{\Delta^+}$ . So  $B' \circ A|_{\Lambda^+} = A|_{\Lambda^+}$  and therefore  $B'$  acts as the identity on  $A(\Lambda^+)$ . But  $A(\Delta^+)$  is also  $B'$ -invariant, and  $\Lambda' = \Lambda = A(\Lambda^+) \oplus A(\Delta^+)$  implies that  $t^+ \leq t'^+$ . By exchanging the roles of  $t^+$  and  $t'^+$  we get that  $t'^+ \leq t^+$ , so  $t'^+ = t^+$ . The same argument proves the assertion for  $t^-$  and  $t'^-$ .  $\square$

For each  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{B}_{n,k}$ ,  $\Gamma = \langle B_1L_{b_1}, B_2L_{b_2}, \Lambda \rangle$ , set  $B_3 = B_1B_2$  and for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$  let  $\Lambda_i^+$  be a sublattice of maximal rank  $t_i^+$  of  $\Lambda$ , the canonical lattice, with  $B_i$  acting on  $\Lambda_i^+$  as the identity and such that  $\Lambda_i^+$  admits a  $B_i$ -invariant complement  $\Delta_i^+$ . We define  $\Lambda_i^-$  and  $\Delta_i^-$  similarly.

The following triple of pairs will be important in the sequel. We shall denote

$$t_{\Gamma} = ((t_1^+, t_1^-), (t_2^+, t_2^-), (t_3^+, t_3^-)).$$

**Corollary 3.3.** *If  $\Gamma, \Gamma' \in \mathcal{B}_n$  and  $\Gamma \simeq \Gamma'$ , then  $t_{\Gamma} = t_{\Gamma'}$ , up to permutation.*

*Proof.* Recall that there exist  $A \in \text{Gl}(n, \mathbf{Z})$  and  $v \in \mathbf{R}^n$  such that  $AL_v\Gamma L_{-v}A^{-1} = \Gamma'$ , hence  $A\langle B_1, B_2 \rangle A^{-1} = \langle B'_1, B'_2 \rangle$ . Thus Lemma 3.2 clearly implies the corollary.  $\square$

Let  $k_i = |\{j : A_i^j = -I\}|$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , and  $k_3 = |\{j : A_1^j A_2^j = -I\}|$ . Notice that  $k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = k$ .

Let  $\Lambda_i^+ = \langle e_j : (B_i)_{jj} = 1, 1 \leq j \leq m \rangle$ , for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , and  $\Lambda_i^- = \langle e_j : (B_i)_{jj} = -1, 1 \leq j \leq n \rangle$ . It is not difficult to see that  $\Lambda_i^+$  (resp.  $\Lambda_i^-$ ) is, in fact, a sublattice of  $\Lambda$  of maximal rank  $m_i$  (resp.  $m - m_i + 2k_i$ ), with  $B_i$  acting as the identity (resp. minus identity) and admitting a  $B_i$ -invariant complement. Indeed, this fact can be proved by using ideas in [Re] by considering the groups  $\frac{\text{Ker}(B_i \pm I)}{\text{Im}(B_i \mp I)}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , which are invariant, up to isomorphism, under equivalence. Thus we have

$$t_{\Gamma} = ((m_1, m - m_1 + 2k_1), (m_2, m - m_2 + 2k_2), (m_3, m - m_3 + 2k_3)).$$

The next lemma will be central in the proof of the main result.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $\Gamma, \Gamma' \in \mathcal{B}_n$ . Then  $\Gamma \simeq \Gamma'$  if and only if  $t_{\Gamma} = t_{\Gamma'}$ , up to permutation.*

*Proof.* The necessary condition is Corollary 3.3. Conversely, if  $t_{\Gamma} = t_{\Gamma'}$ , up to permutation, then there exists  $\sigma \in S_3$  such that  $m_i = m'_{\sigma(i)}$  and  $k_i = k'_{\sigma(i)}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ . By a permutation of the first  $m$  elements of the basis of  $\Lambda'$  (the canonical lattice), we can modify, if necessary, the diagonal parts,  $D'_i$ , of  $B'_i$  so that  $D_i = D'_{\sigma(i)}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ . In the same way, we can make a permutation of the last  $2k$  elements of the basis of  $\Lambda'$  so that  $A_i^j = -I$  if and only if  $A'^j_{\sigma(i)} = -I$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . Since this change of basis of  $\Lambda'$  is made by conjugation by a unimodular matrix, we may assume that  $D_i = D'_i$  and  $A_i^j = -I$  if and only if  $A'^j_i = -I$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq 3$ .

The proof of Lemma 2.2 shows that there exist a matrix  $A \in \text{Gl}(m, \mathbf{Z})$  and a vector  $v \in \mathbf{R}^m$  such that conjugation by  $AL_v$  takes  $D_iL_{b_i}$  into  $D'_iL_{b'_i}$ .

We now set

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} A & & & \\ & C_1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & C_k \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $C_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  if  $A_1^j = -A_1^j$  or  $A_2^j = -A_2^j$ , and  $C_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  otherwise, for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . With this choice one has that  $CB_iL_{b_i}C^{-1} = B'_iL_{b'_i}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ , hence  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'$  are isomorphic, as asserted. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.4.  $\square$

The purpose of the next theorem is to estimate  $b_n$ , the number of isomorphism classes of groups in  $\mathcal{B}_n$ , and to compare it with  $c_n$ , the cardinal of Cobb's family  $\mathcal{C}_n$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** *For each dimension  $n \geq 3$ ,*

$$b_n = \left| \left\{ ((m_1, m - m_1 + 2k_1); (m_2, m - m_2 + 2k_2); (m_3, m - m_3 + 2k_3)), \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{up to permutation : } 1 \leq m_1 \leq m_2 \leq m_3; \\ m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + 2(k_1 + k_2 + k_3) = n; \ m_i, k_i \in \mathbf{N}_0 \end{array} \right\} \right|.$$

Furthermore,  $b_n \sim B n^5$  and  $c_n \sim C n^2$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , with  $B = \frac{1}{27 \cdot 3^{25}}$  and  $C = \frac{1}{2^{23}}$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.4, it is clear that for each fixed dimension  $n \geq 3$ , there are  $b_n$  isomorphism classes of Bieberbach groups in  $\mathcal{B}_n$ .

Since  $c_m = \left| \{(m_1, m_2, m_3) : 1 \leq m_1 \leq m_2 \leq m_3 \text{ and } m_1 + m_2 + m_3 = m\} \right|$  then  $c_m = p_3(m)$ , i.e. the number of partitions of  $m$  with exactly three parts. It is known that

$$\frac{(m-1)(m-2)}{2^2 3} \leq p_3(m) \leq \frac{(m+2)(m+1)}{2^2 3}$$

(see [An], p.56). Hence, it follows that  $c_m \sim \frac{1}{2^2 3} m^2$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

We show next that  $b_n \sim B n^5$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{B}_n$ . Then  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{B}_{n,k}$  for some  $0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor$ . If we denote by

$$\mathcal{S}_{n,k} = \left\{ ((m_1, m - m_1 + 2k_1); (m_2, m - m_2 + 2k_2); (m_3, m - m_3 + 2k_3)), \right. \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{up to permutation : } 1 \leq m_1 \leq m_2 \leq m_3; \ m_1 + m_2 + m_3 = m; \\ k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = k; \ m + 2k = n, \ m_i, k_i \in \mathbf{N}_0 \end{array} \right\},$$

then the number of isomorphism classes of groups in  $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}$  is  $|\mathcal{S}_{n,k}|$  and  $b_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor} |\mathcal{S}_{n,k}|$ .

To obtain a lower bound of  $|\mathcal{S}_{n,k}|$ , we will restrict to the elements in  $\mathcal{S}_{n,k}$  satisfying  $m_1 < m_2 < m_3$ .

Given  $(m_1, m_2, m_3)$ ,  $m_1 < m_2 < m_3$ , then any two different ordered triples  $(k_1, k_2, k_3)$  with  $k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = k$  produce different elements in  $\mathcal{S}_{n,k}$ . Set  $q_3(m) = \left| \{(m_1, m_2, m_3) : m_1 < m_2 < m_3 \text{ and } m_1 + m_2 + m_3 = m, \ m_i \in \mathbf{N}\} \right|$ . There are  $\frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$  ordered triples  $(k_1, k_2, k_3)$  and  $q_3(m)$  triples  $(m_1, m_2, m_3)$ . Thus one has, at least,  $\frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2} q_3(m)$  different elements in  $\mathcal{S}_{n,k}$ . Since  $q_3(m) \geq \frac{(m-4)(m-5)}{2^2 3}$  (see

[An], p.56) then

$$\begin{aligned}
 b_n &\geq \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor} \frac{(m-4)(m-5)}{2^2 3} \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2^3 3} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor} (n-2k-4)(n-2k-5)(k+1)(k+2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Expanding the terms of the sum, evaluating  $\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor} k^p$  for  $p = 1, 2, 3, 4$  and replacing  $\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor$  by  $\frac{(n-4)}{2}$ , it is easy to see that  $b_n$  is greater than a polynomial in  $n$  of the fifth degree, whose leading coefficient is  $\frac{1}{2^7 3^2 5}$ .

On the other hand,

$$b_n \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor} c_m \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2},$$

since for every triple  $(m_1, m_2, m_3)$  with  $1 \leq m_1 \leq m_2 \leq m_3$  and  $m_1 + m_2 + m_3 = m$  there are at most  $\frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$  different elements in  $\mathcal{S}_{n,k}$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 b_n &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor} \frac{(m+2)(m+1)}{2^2 3} \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2^3 3} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor} (n-2k+2)(n-2k+1)(k+1)(k+2).
 \end{aligned}$$

As before, one can show that the last expression is less than or equal to a polynomial of the fifth degree with the same leading coefficient,  $\frac{1}{2^7 3^2 5}$ . □

#### 4. REMARKS

With the help of a computer one can calculate  $b_n$  and  $c_n$ , and compare them for small values of  $n$ .

To do this it is convenient to distinguish three cases:

- i)  $m_1 < m_2 < m_3$ ,
- ii)  $m_1 = m_2 < m_3$  or  $m_1 < m_2 = m_3$ ,
- iii)  $m_1 = m_2 = m_3$ . This occurs if and only if  $3|n - 2k$ .

The first case was analyzed in the proof of the previous theorem. For each triple  $(m_1, m_2, m_3)$  of type ii) there are  $|\{(k_1, k_2, k_3) : k_1 < k_2, k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = k, k_i \in \mathbf{N}_0\}|$  different elements in  $\mathcal{S}_{n,k}$ . In iii), each unordered triple produces a different element of  $\mathcal{S}_{n,k}$ .

The results obtained, for some  $n$ 's, are shown in the following table.

$n$	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	20	30	40	50	100
$c_n$	1	1	2	3	4	5	7	8	33	75	133	208	833
$b_n$	1	1	3	5	10	15	27	38	823	5471	21571	63284	1873365

As seen above,  $n = 5$  is the smallest dimension in which a new manifold appears which is not in Cobb's class. Denote this manifold by  $M_{5,1}$ . Then  $M_{5,1}$  is a non-orientable compact flat manifold with fundamental group  $\Gamma = \langle \alpha, \beta, \Lambda \rangle$ , where  $\alpha = B_1 L_{b_1}$ ,  $\beta = B_2 L_{b_2}$  and  $\Lambda$  is the canonical lattice, with

$$B_1 = \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} -1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ \hline & & & 0 \ 1 \\ & & & 1 \ 0 \end{array} \right), \quad B_2 = \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \\ & & -1 & \\ \hline & & & 0 \ -1 \\ & & & -1 \ 0 \end{array} \right)$$

and  $b_1 = \frac{e_3}{2}$ ,  $b_2 = \frac{e_1 + e_2}{2}$ .

It can be proved, as in Lemma 2.2, that for these  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ , any possible choice of  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  satisfying the conditions of Proposition 2.1 gives rise to isomorphic groups, thus to diffeomorphic manifolds.

Also, for  $M_{5,1}$ , one computes  $H_1(M_{5,1}, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}_4^2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2$ , hence  $\beta_1 = 0$ , and by [Hi] one can show that  $\beta_2 = 2$ ,  $\beta_3 = 4$ ,  $\beta_4 = 1$  and  $\beta_5 = 0$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank Professors R. Miatello and I. Dotti Miatello for suggesting this problem and for many comments which improved the first version of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [An] Andrews G., *The Theory of Partitions (Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications 2)*, Addison-Wesley, 1976. MR **58**:27738
- [Ca] Calabi E., *Closed, locally euclidean, 4-dimensional manifolds*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **63** (1957), 135 (abstract).
- [Ch] Charlap L., *Bieberbach Groups and Flat Manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986. MR **88j**:57042
- [Co] Cobb P., *Manifolds with holonomy group  $\mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2$  and first Betti number zero*, J. Differential Geometry **10** (1975), 221–224. MR **51**:11343
- [DM] Dotti Miatello I. and Miatello R. J., *Isospectral compact flat manifolds*, Duke Mathematical Journal **68** (1992), 489–498. MR **94b**:53066
- [Hi] Hiller H., *Cohomology of Bieberbach groups*, Mathematika **32** (1985), 55–59. MR **87h**:53061
- [HS] Hiller H. and Sah C., *Holonomy of flat manifolds with  $\beta_1 = 0$* , Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) **37** (1986), 177–187. MR **88f**:53073
- [Re] Reiner I., *Integral representations of cyclic groups of prime order*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1957), 142–146. MR **18**:717a
- [Wo] Wolf J., *Spaces of constant curvature*, McGraw-Hill, 1967. MR **36**:829

FAMAF, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE CÓRDOBA, ARGENTINA