

NOTE ON THE BRADLEY AND RAMANUJAN SUMMATION

CHU WENCHANG

(Communicated by J. Marshall Ash)

ABSTRACT. The hypergeometric series of Bradley and Ramanujan is evaluated by means of the binomial convolutions of Hagen and Rothe, which presents, alternatively, a short proof of the recent result of Bradley about Ramanujan's enigmatic claim.

For complex numbers α, β, γ and integer δ , define the sum of Ramanujan type by

$$(1) \quad S_\delta(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; z) = \gamma \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_k}{k!} \frac{\Gamma(\beta + zk) \Gamma(k + \gamma + zk)}{\Gamma(\delta + k + \alpha + \beta + zk) \Gamma(1 + \gamma + zk)}.$$

It reduces, under parameter replacements $\delta \rightarrow 0, \beta \rightarrow 1 + \beta$ and $\gamma \rightarrow m$, to the sum of Bradley [2], who has recently presented a most plausible interpretation for Ramanujan's enigmatic claim, which may be restated in terms of S -sum as "the difference between $\Gamma(1 + \beta - m)/\Gamma(1 + \alpha + \beta - m)$ and $S_0(\alpha, 1 + \beta, m; z) \dots$ " (cf. Bradley [2]).

Theorem. *With the S -function defined as above, we have the following evaluations:*

A: Bradley [2, 1994]. For $\operatorname{Re}(\delta + \beta - \gamma) > 0$,

$$(2a) \quad S_\delta(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; 0) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta) \Gamma(\delta + \beta - \gamma)}{\Gamma(\delta + \beta) \Gamma(\delta + \alpha + \beta - \gamma)}.$$

B: For $\operatorname{Re}(1 - \alpha - \beta + \gamma) > 0$,

$$(2b) \quad S_\delta(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; -1) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta) \Gamma(1 - \beta) \Gamma(1 - \alpha - \beta + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\delta + \alpha + \beta) \Gamma(1 - \alpha - \beta) \Gamma(1 - \beta + \gamma)}.$$

C: Bradley [2, 1994]. When α is a non-positive integer,

$$(2c) \quad S_0(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; z) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta - \gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta - \gamma)}.$$

D: When α is a non-positive integer,

$$(2d) \quad S_1(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; z) = \frac{\alpha z - \beta + \gamma}{\alpha z - \beta} \frac{\Gamma(\beta - \gamma)}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha + \beta - \gamma)}.$$

Received by the editors January 3, 1995 and, in revised form, May 23, 1995.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 33A30; Secondary 05A19.

Key words and phrases. Binomial convolution, Hypergeometric series, The Gauss summation theorem.

The author was partially supported by IAMI (CNR, Milano), 1994.

Proof. For $z = 0$ and -1 , we can rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} S_\delta(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; 0) &= \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\delta + \alpha + \beta)} \times {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha, \gamma \\ \delta + \alpha + \beta \end{matrix} \right], \\ S_\delta(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; -1) &= \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\delta + \alpha + \beta)} \times {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha, -\gamma \\ 1 - \beta \end{matrix} \right], \end{aligned}$$

which yield (2a) and (2b), respectively, in view of the Gauss theorem [1] (see also [3])

$$(3) \quad {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a, & b \\ & c \end{matrix} \right] = \frac{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)}{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(c-a-b) > 0.$$

When $\alpha = -n$, a non-positive integer, the S -function defined in (1) may be reformulated as

$$\begin{aligned} S_\delta(-n, \beta, \gamma; z) &= \gamma \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{\delta+n} \binom{n}{k} \frac{(\gamma + zk)_k}{\gamma + zk} (1 - \beta - zk)_{n-k-\delta} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\gamma}{\gamma + zk} \binom{-\gamma - zk}{k} \frac{n!}{(\beta + zk)_\delta} \binom{\delta - 1 + \beta + zk}{n - k}, \end{aligned}$$

which reduce, respectively for $\delta = 0$ and 1 , to

$$(4a) \quad S_0(-n, \beta, \gamma; z) = n! \binom{\beta - \gamma - 1}{n},$$

$$(4b) \quad S_1(-n, \beta, \gamma; z) = \frac{n!}{\beta + zn} \frac{\beta - \gamma + zn}{\beta - \gamma} \binom{\beta - \gamma}{n},$$

by means of the Hagen-Rothe [5] (see also [3, 4]) formulae

$$(5a) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{a}{a + bk} \binom{a + bk}{k} \binom{c - bk}{n - k} = \binom{a + c}{n},$$

$$(5b) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{a}{a + bk} \binom{a + bk}{k} \frac{c - bn}{c - bk} \binom{c - bk}{n - k} = \frac{a + c - bn}{a + c} \binom{a + c}{n}.$$

It is obvious that (2c) and (2d) are respectively the reformulations of (4a) and (4b). \square

REFERENCES

1. W. N. Bailey, *Generalized Hypergeometric Series*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1935.
2. D. Bradley, *On a claim of Ramanujan about certain hypergeometric series*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 121:4 (1994), 1145-1149. MR **94j**:33003
3. W. Ch. Chu, *Inversion techniques and combinatorial identities*, Boll. UMI (7) 7-B (1993), 737-760. MR **95e**:33006
4. W. Ch. Chu & L. C. Hsu, *Some new applications of Gould-Hsu inversions*, J. Combin. Informat. & System Science 14:1 (1990), 1-4. MR **92c**:05018
5. H. W. Gould, *Some generalizations of Vandermonde's convolution*, Amer. Math. Month. 63:1 (1956), 84-91. MR **17**:702g

ISTITUTO DI MATEMATICA, "GUIDO CASTELNUOVO", UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI ROMA "LA SAPIENZA", ROMA, ITALIA

E-mail address: WENCHANG@mat.uniroma1.it