

## AN EXTENSION OF THE VITALI-HAHN-SAKS THEOREM

ONÉSIMO HERNÁNDEZ-LERMA AND JEAN B. LASSERRE

(Communicated by Christopher D. Sogge)

ABSTRACT. The Vitali-Hahn-Saks theorem on the absolute continuity of the setwise limit of a sequence of bounded measures is extended to allow unbounded measures and convergence of integrals of continuous functions vanishing at infinity.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A useful version of the Vitali-Hahn-Saks theorem gives conditions for a measure  $\lambda$  which is the setwise limit of a sequence of absolutely continuous (a.c.) measures  $\{\lambda_n\}$  to be a.c. This result allows the underlying measure space  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  to be arbitrary (cf. [2], p. 155), but it requires that  $\{\lambda_n\}$  and  $\lambda$ , as well as the dominant measure, say  $\mu$ , are all finite.

In this paper, we show that the same conclusion ( $\lambda \ll \mu$ ) holds without requiring either *setwise* convergence or *finiteness* of the measures involved, but we impose in particular suitable topological conditions on  $X$ .

Moreover, we give conditions for the Radon-Nikodym derivative  $d\lambda/d\mu$  to be in  $L_p(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .

In addition to being interesting in themselves, these results are also useful to study the existence of solutions to the Poisson Equation for a Markov kernel [3].

### 2. MAIN RESULTS

Let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite complete measure space, where  $X$  is a locally compact separable metric space, and  $\mathcal{B}$  is the completion (with respect to  $\mu$ ) of the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel subsets of  $X$ . Let  $C_0(X)$  be the space of real-valued continuous functions on  $X$  vanishing at infinity. (Concerning the convergence in (2.1), see Remark 2.3(b) at the end of this section.)

---

Received by the editors January 24, 1995.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 28A33; Secondary 28C15.

*Key words and phrases*. Measures, setwise convergence, absolute continuity.

The research of the first author was partially supported by a visiting professorship at Paul Sabatier University, Toulouse, France, and by CONACYT Grant 1332-E9206.

This research was partially supported by the CNRS (France)- CONACYT (México) Scientific Cooperation Program.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\{\lambda_n\}$  and  $\lambda$  be  $\sigma$ -finite (nonnegative) measures on  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  such that, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$(2.1) \quad \int_X v d\lambda_n \rightarrow \int_X v d\lambda \quad \forall v \in C_0(X).$$

*If, in addition, every  $\lambda_n$  is a.c. with respect to  $\mu$ , then so is  $\lambda$ .*

Suppose that the measures  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda_n$  in Theorem 2.1 are finite. Then, by the Radon-Nikodym theorem, there are nonnegative measurable functions  $u_n$  and  $u$  such that

$$\lambda_n(B) = \int_B u_n d\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda(B) = \int_B u d\mu \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{B}.$$

The next theorem gives conditions for  $u$  to be in  $L_p := L_p(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *Fix  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . In addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1, suppose that  $u_n \in L_p \forall n$  and that, for some constant  $M$ ,  $\liminf_n \|u_n\|_p \leq M$ . Then*

$$(2.2) \quad u \text{ is in } L_p.$$

*Remark 2.3.* (a) If the  $\lambda_n$  are all finite, then in Theorem 2.1, instead of (2.1), we only need to assume that  $\lim_n \int_X v d\lambda_n$  exists and is finite for all  $v \in C_0(X)$ . Then, from [2], Theorem VIII.8, it follows that the sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$  converges to a measure  $\lambda$ , as in (2.1).

(b) Endowed with the sup-norm,  $C_0(X)$  is a Banach space and its dual is the space  $M(X)$  of finite signed measures on  $X$  with total variation norm [2],[5]. Thus, when the  $\lambda_n$  are finite measures, (2.1) can be stated equivalently as:  $\lambda_n$  converges to  $\lambda$  in the weak\* topology  $\sigma(M(X), C_0(X))$ . If  $\lambda_n$  and  $\lambda$  are probability measures and (2.1) holds, it is sometimes said that  $\lambda_n$  converges vaguely to  $\lambda$ . If  $C_0(X)$  is replaced by the (larger) space  $C(X)$  of all continuous and bounded functions on  $X$ , it is said that  $\lambda_n$  converges weakly to  $\lambda$  (see e.g. [1]). It follows from Lemma 3.1(b) and the Portmanteau Theorem ([1], Theorem 2.1) that, in this case, vague convergence implies weak convergence (the converse being obviously true).

(c) To verify (2.1) it suffices to consider nonnegative  $v \in C_0(X)$ . Indeed, since any  $v \in C_0(X)$  can be written as  $v = v^+ + v^-$  with  $v^+(x) := \max[0, v(x)]$  and  $v^-(x) := \min[0, v(x)]$ , it suffices to observe that both  $v^+$  and  $v^-$  are in  $C_0(X)$ . Hence, convergence in (2.1) for all  $0 \leq v \in C_0$  implies convergence for all  $v \in C_0(X)$ .

### 3. PROOFS

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is based on the following lemma, which is well known in the case of finite measures (and bounded function  $h$ ); see e.g. [2], Theorem VIII.10.

**Lemma 3.1.** *In the context of Theorem 2.1:*

(a) *If  $h$  is a nonnegative and l.s.c. (lower semicontinuous) function on  $X$ , then*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X h d\lambda_n \geq \int_X h d\lambda;$$

(b)  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n(B) \geq \lambda(B)$  *for any open set  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ .*

*Proof.* (a) As  $h$  is nonnegative and l.s.c., there exists an increasing sequence of nonnegative continuous bounded functions  $v_k$  on  $X$  such that  $v_k(x) \uparrow h(x) \forall x \in X$ . Similarly, as each  $v_k$  is a nonnegative continuous bounded function and  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -compact ([4], p. 203, Theorem 21), for every  $k$  there is an increasing sequence  $\{v_{kl}, l = 1, 2, \dots\}$  of nonnegative functions  $v_{kl}$  in  $C_0(X)$  with  $v_{kl}(x) \uparrow v_k(x)$  for all  $x \in X$  as  $l \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_n \int h d\lambda_n &\geq \liminf_n \int v_k d\lambda_n \quad \forall k \\ &\geq \liminf_n \int v_{kl} d\lambda_n \quad \forall k, l \\ &= \int v_{kl} d\lambda \quad (\text{by (2.1)}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, letting  $l \rightarrow \infty$ , and then  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , the Monotone Convergence Theorem yields (a).

(b) If  $B \subset X$  is open, its characteristic (or indicator) function is l.s.c. and of course nonnegative. Hence, (b) follows from (a).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* For every measurable set  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  there is an open set  $G_\epsilon$  that contains  $B$  and  $\mu(G_\epsilon - B) < \epsilon$  (see e.g. [2], p. 41). In particular, if  $B$  is a  $\mu$ -null set, i.e.,  $\mu(B) = 0$  (and hence  $\lambda_n(B) = 0 \forall n$ ), we have  $\mu(G_\epsilon) = \mu(G_\epsilon - B) < \epsilon$ . Moreover, by part (b) in Lemma 3.1

$$\liminf_n \lambda_n(G_\epsilon) \geq \lambda(G_\epsilon) \geq \lambda(B).$$

Therefore, letting  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$  we obtain  $\lambda(B) = 0$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.2.* Consider first the case  $p = 1$ . From  $\liminf_n \|u_n\|_1 \leq M$  and Lemma 3.1(b) (with  $B := X$ ), it immediately follows that  $\lambda(X) < \infty$  so that  $u \in L_1$ .

Consider now the case  $p > 1$  and let  $q$  be the exponent conjugate to  $p$ . Then the condition (2.2) holds if  $uv$  is in  $L_1$  for every  $v \in L_q$  (see e.g. [5], p. 133). In turn, for this to be true it suffices to show that (as  $u \geq 0$ ) there is a constant  $M$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad \int uv d\mu \leq M \|v\|_q \quad \forall v \in L_q^+.$$

Moreover, since (for  $1 \leq q < \infty$ ) the class of continuous functions in  $L_q$  is dense in  $L_q$  (see e.g. [2], p. 92) it suffices to prove (3.1) for all continuous functions  $v$  in  $L_q^+$ . (Indeed, suppose the latter holds, and let  $v_m \in L_q^+$  be a sequence of continuous functions converging in the  $L_q$ -norm to  $v \in L_q^+$ . Let  $v_{m_i}$  be a subsequence converging to  $v$   $\mu$ -a.e. Then

$$\begin{aligned} M \|v\|_q &= M \lim_i \|v_{m_i}\|_q \geq \lim_i \int uv_{m_i} d\mu \\ &\geq \int uv d\mu \quad (\text{by Fatou's Lemma}); \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $v \in L_q^+$  satisfies (3.1).) Now, let  $v \in L_q^+$  be a continuous function. Hence, (2.1) and Lemma 3.1(a) yield:

$$\begin{aligned} \int uv d\mu = \int v d\lambda &\leq \liminf_n \int v d\lambda_n \\ &= \liminf_n \int v u_n d\mu \\ &\leq \liminf_n \|u_n\|_p \|v\|_q \quad (\text{Hölder's inequality}) \\ &\leq M \|v\|_q, \end{aligned}$$

with  $M$  as in Theorem 2.2. As  $v$  was an arbitrary continuous function in  $L_q^+$  we obtain (3.1), hence (2.2).  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

1. P. Billingsley, *Convergence of Probability Measures*, Wiley, New York, 1968. MR **38**:1718
2. J.L. Doob, *Measure Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994. MR **95c**:28001
3. O. Hernández-Lerma and J.B. Lasserre, *Existence of solutions to the Poisson equation in  $L^p$  spaces*, LAAS Technical report 95045, Toulouse, 1995.
4. H.L. Royden, *Real Analysis*, 3rd Edition, Macmillan, New York, 1988. MR **90g**:00004
5. W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, 3rd edition, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1986. MR **87f**:00009

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, CINVESTAV-IPN, APDO. POSTAL 14-740, MÉXICO D.F. 07000, MEXICO

*E-mail address*: `ohernand@math.cinvestav.mx`.

LAAS-CNRS, 7 AVENUE DU COLONEL ROCHE, 31077 TOULOUSE CÉDEX, FRANCE

*E-mail address*: `lasserre@laas.fr`