

A NOTE ON THE ZERO-SEQUENCES
OF SOLUTIONS OF $f'' + Af = 0$

ANDREAS SAUER

(Communicated by Hal L. Smith)

ABSTRACT. We give a sufficient condition for complex sequences to be zero-sequences of solutions of $f'' + Af = 0$ where A is transcendental entire and of finite order.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the zero distribution of solutions of the complex differential equation

$$(1) \quad f'' + Af = 0$$

where A is a transcendental entire function of finite order. It is well known that all nontrivial solutions f of (1) are of infinite order and the only possible deficient value of f is 0 (see [BL], [L]). For a complete introduction to the oscillation theory of complex differential equations we refer to [L]. It is natural to ask which zero-sequences with finite exponent of convergence can occur for a solution f . In [B] Bank gave the following necessary condition:

Theorem. *Let z_n be an infinite sequence of distinct nonzero complex numbers with $z_n \rightarrow \infty$ and having a finite exponent of convergence. Let p denote the genus of z_n and set*

$$\lambda_k := \sum_{m \neq k} \left(\frac{z_k}{z_m} \right)^p (z_m - z_k)^{-1}.$$

Then, if z_n is the zero-sequence of a solution of an equation (1) where A is an entire function of finite order, then there must exist a real number $b > 0$ and a positive integer k_0 such that

$$(2) \quad |\lambda_k| \leq \exp(|z_k|^b)$$

for all $k \geq k_0$.

This shows that not every sequence $z_n \rightarrow \infty$ with finite exponent of convergence is the zero-sequence of a solution of an equation (1). In fact Bank constructed a sequence with convergence exponent zero which does not fulfill the requirements of the foregoing theorem. Conversely, it was shown in [B] that every sequence z_n with the rather restrictive property $|z_{n+1}| \geq K|z_n|$ for some $K > 1$ is the zero-sequence

Received by the editors October 11, 1995.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 34A20; Secondary 30D20.

This research was done during a visit at the University of Joensuu, Finland, financed by the DFG (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft).

of a solution of (1). It is easy to see that in this case the exponent of convergence of z_n is zero. The purpose of this note is to give a more general sufficient condition for z_n to be a zero-sequence. This will be done in Theorem 1. Further we show in Theorem 2 that a zero-sequence which does not fulfill our sufficient condition must have a special property. We note that if the requirement that A is of finite order is dropped, then any two distinct sequences tending to infinity are the zero-sequences of linearly independent solutions of an equation (1). This was proved in [S]. Finally let us fix some notations. In the sequel z_n will always denote a sequence of distinct nonzero complex numbers with $|z_{n+1}| \geq |z_n|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z_n \rightarrow \infty$. Further we will assume that the exponent of convergence γ of z_n is finite, i.e. that

$$\gamma := \inf \left\{ c > 0 \mid \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |z_n|^{-c} \text{ converges} \right\} < \infty.$$

The smallest nonnegative integer p such that $\sum |z_n|^{-(p+1)}$ converges is the genus of z_n . Further G will always denote the canonical product formed by z_n . The Weierstraß convergence factors are defined by $e_p(z) := \exp(\sum_{j=1}^p z^j/j)$ where we set $e_0 \equiv 1$.

2. RESULTS

Theorem 1. *Let z_n be a sequence with finite exponent of convergence. Let p be its genus and set*

$$\mu_k := \prod_{m \neq k} \left(1 - \frac{z_k}{z_m} \right)^{-1} e_p(z_k/z_m)^{-1}.$$

If there exists a real number $b > 0$ and a positive integer k_0 such that

$$(3) \quad |\mu_k| \leq \exp(|z_k|^b)$$

for all $k \geq k_0$, then z_n is the zero-sequence of a solution of an equation (1) with transcendental A of finite order.

Proof. Let G be the canonical product formed by z_n . If a function Ge^g satisfies an equation (1), it is easy to see that G satisfies the differential equation

$$(4) \quad G'' + 2g'G' + ((g')^2 + g'' + A)G = 0.$$

Evaluation at z_k gives

$$(5) \quad g'(z_k) = -\frac{G''(z_k)}{2G'(z_k)}.$$

This was already pointed out in [B] and yields the necessary condition (2). Conversely, if a function g of finite order satisfies (5), then Ge^g satisfies (1) with

$$(6) \quad A := -(g')^2 - g'' - (G'' + 2g'G')/G.$$

Clearly A will then be of finite order. Once we have constructed g satisfying (5) we only need to show that g is of finite order and can be chosen such that A is transcendental. To solve the interpolation problem (5) we use a method known as *Mittag-Lefflerscher Anschmiegungssatz* in German literature (see [BS], p. 257, Satz 29). For convenience we set $\sigma_k := -G''(z_k)/2G'(z_k)$ and form the functions $\sigma_k/G(z) = c_k/(z - z_k) + P_k(z)$ with P_k holomorphic at z_k . Now construct a Mittag-Leffler series H which is holomorphic in \mathbb{C} except for poles of first order at all z_k with singular part $c_k/(z - z_k)$. Then HG has the interpolation property: Clearly HG

is entire and Laurent expansion of H around z_k gives $H(z) = c_k/(z - z_k) + Q_k(z)$ with Q_k holomorphic at z_k . Thus

$$HG(z_k) = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_k} H(z)G(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_k} \left(\frac{\sigma_k}{G(z)} - P_k(z) + Q_k(z) \right) G(z) = \sigma_k.$$

Since G is of finite order, we only need to show that it is possible to construct H such that it is of finite order. The standard construction leads to

$$(7) \quad H(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{z}{z_k} \right)^{n_k} \frac{c_k}{z - z_k}$$

where the n_k are nonnegative integers chosen such that the series converges compactly (see [HC], pp. 113-115). In order to choose n_k suitably we need an estimation of $|c_k|$. We thus have to determine the residue of σ_k/G at z_k . A routine computation shows $c_k = -z_k \sigma_k \mu_k / e_p(1)$. On the other hand one obtains $\mu_k^{-1} = -z_k G'(z_k) / e_p(1)$ and thus

$$(8) \quad 2c_k = \frac{-z_k^2 G''(z_k) \mu_k^2}{e_p(1)^2}.$$

Since G'' is of finite order and using the assumption of the theorem we thus find an estimation $|c_k| \leq C \exp(|z_k|^c)$ with suitable $c > 0$ and $C > 0$. We set $n_k := 2\lceil |z_k|^c \rceil$ where $\lceil x \rceil$ is the smallest integer that satisfies $\lceil x \rceil \geq x$. To prove the convergence of H it suffices to show that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (z/z_k)^{n_k} c_k / z_k$ converges absolutely in \mathbb{C} (see [HC]). From the estimation for $|c_k|$ we get for $|z| \geq e^{-1}$

$$(9) \quad \sum_{|z_k| > e|z|} \left| \frac{z}{z_k} \right|^{n_k} \left| \frac{c_k}{z_k} \right| \leq C \sum_{|z_k| > e|z|} \exp(-|z_k|^c).$$

Since the exponent of convergence of z_n is finite, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum |z_k|^{-cn}$ converges. For sufficiently large k clearly $\exp(-|z_k|^c) < |z_k|^{-cn}$ and thus the series on the right in (9) converges. We will now show that H is of finite order. For this purpose we use a theorem of R. Nevanlinna ([N], p. 36) which states that for meromorphic f the order is the maximum of the exponent of convergence of its poles and the growth order of

$$I(r) := \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \log^+ M(t, f) dt$$

where $M(t, f) = \sup_{|z|=t} |f(z)|$. Since the poles of H are the zeros of G , we only need to consider the growth of I . We set $K := C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp(-|z_k|^c)$. It follows for $|z| \geq 1/(e-1)$

$$|H(z)| \leq \sum_{|z_k| \leq e|z|} \left| \frac{z}{z_k} \right|^{n_k} \frac{|c_k|}{|z - z_k|} + K.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \log^+ |H(z)| &\leq \sum_{|z_k| \leq e|z|} \left(n_k \log^+ \left| \frac{z}{z_k} \right| + \log^+ |c_k| + \log^+ (|z - z_k|^{-1}) \right) \\ &\quad + O(\log(|z|)) \\ &\leq [(e|z|)^c] n(e|z|, G) \left(2 \log^+ \left| \frac{z}{z_1} \right| + 1 \right) + n(e|z|, G) \log^+(C) \\ &\quad + \sum_{|z_k| \leq e|z|} \log^+ (|z - z_k|^{-1}) + O(\log(|z|)). \end{aligned}$$

Here n is the counting function for the zeros of G . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (10) \quad I(r) &\leq [(er)^c] n(er, G) \left(2 \log^+ \frac{r}{|z_1|} + 1 \right) + n(er, G) \log^+(C) \\ &\quad + \sum_{|z_k| \leq er} \frac{1}{r} \int_{1/(e-1)}^r \log^+ (|t - |z_k||^{-1}) dt + O(\log(r)) \\ &\leq [(er)^c] n(er, G) \left(2 \log^+ \frac{r}{|z_1|} + 1 \right) \\ &\quad + n(er, G) \left(\log^+(C) + \frac{2}{r} \right) + O(\log(r)). \end{aligned}$$

Since G is of finite order, it follows that H is of finite order. To complete the proof we show that it is possible to choose H such that A is transcendental. Let g be any primitive of HG . Suppose A defined by (6) is a polynomial. An application of the Clunie lemma to (6) shows that g is a polynomial p_1 . This means $H = p_1'/G$. We define \tilde{H} by (7) with $\tilde{n}_k := 2[|z_k|^c] + 1$. The same method as above shows that \tilde{H} is also of finite order. Now \tilde{H} cannot be of the form p_2/G with a polynomial p_2 since

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}(z) - H(z) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{z}{z_k} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{z}{z_k} \right)^{n_k} \frac{c_k}{z - z_k} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_k}{z_k^{n_k+1}} z^{n_k}. \end{aligned}$$

This is an entire function and thus either not of the form $(p_1' - p_2)/G$ or identically zero. In the latter case clearly $c_k = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and thus by the definition of c_k it follows $\sigma_k = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In this case simply set $H \equiv 1$, i.e. we choose g as a primitive of G . □

It seems to us that condition (3) is not necessary. Nonetheless we can show that a zero-sequence which does not fulfill (3) must have a rather special property.

Theorem 2. *Let z_n be a zero-sequence of a solution of (1) such that the requirements of Theorem 1 are not fulfilled. Further let G be the canonical product formed by z_n . Then there exists a subsequence $z_{n_k} \rightarrow \infty$ such that for all $j \in \mathbb{N}, b > 0$ there exists $k(j, b) \in \mathbb{N}$ with*

$$(11) \quad |G^{(j)}(z_{n_k})| \leq \exp(-|z_{n_k}|^b)$$

for all $k \geq k(j, b)$.

Proof. We assume without loss of generality $b \in \mathbb{N}$. Since for all $b > 0$ and $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $k \geq k_0$ such that $|\mu_k| = e_p(1)/|z_k G'(z_k)| > \exp(|z_k|^b)$, we find for every $b \in \mathbb{N}$ a subsequence z_{b, n_l} of z_n with $|G'(z_{b, n_l})| \leq \exp(-|z_{b, n_l}|^b)$. We define z_{n_k} inductively by the usual *diagonal* method: Set $z_{n_1} := z_{1, n_1}$ where l is chosen such that $|z_{1, n_l}| \geq 1$. Now define z_{n_k} such that it is in the sequence z_{k, n_l} and $|z_{n_k}| > |z_{n_{k-1}}|$. It follows $|G'(z_{n_k})| \leq \exp(-|z_{n_k}|^k)$. By choosing $k(1, b) := [b]$ the assertion follows for $j = 1$. An application of the Clunie lemma to (6) and standard order considerations show $\rho(g) = \rho(A)$. Thus all coefficients in (4) are of finite order. Now from (4) we have

$$|G''(z_{n_k})| = |2g'(z_{n_k})G'(z_{n_k})| \leq |2g'(z_{n_k})| \exp(-|z_{n_k}|^k)$$

and thus for k large enough $|G''(z_{n_k})| \leq \exp(|z_{n_k}|^{\rho(g)+\varepsilon} - |z_{n_k}|^k)$. By enlarging k if needed we get $|G''(z_{n_k})| \leq \exp(-|z_{n_k}|^{k/2})$ and the assertion follows for $j = 2$. Differentiating (4) and an easy induction argument yield the statement. \square

Remarks. a) Let γ be the exponent of convergence of z_n and b as in (3). Then (8) shows that the constant c can be chosen as any number bigger than $\max\{\gamma, b\}$. Thus it follows from (10) that H can be chosen such that $\rho(H) \leq \max\{2\gamma, b + \gamma\} + \varepsilon$ for fixed $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\rho(G) = \gamma$, we get from (6) that $\rho(A) \leq \max\{2\gamma, b + \gamma\} + \varepsilon$.

b) The mentioned sufficient condition $|z_{n+1}| \geq K|z_n|$ in [B] is covered by (3) in the following sense: In this case the sequence μ_k is bounded as can be seen from equation (42) in [B] and thus (3) holds with any $b > 0$. Since the convergence exponent of z_n is zero, we get from our order estimation in a) that A can be chosen to be of arbitrarily small order. In [B] it was shown that A can be constructed with $\rho(A) = 0$.

c) Of course (3) implies the necessary condition (2). We want to remark that this can be verified from $\lambda_k = (z_k G''(z_k) \mu_k) / (2e_p(1) + p/z_k)$. This follows from $\lambda_k = \sigma_k + p/z_k$ (see [B], equation (12)) and $\mu_k = -e_p(1)/(z_k G'(z_k))$.

REFERENCES

- [B] S. Bank, *A note on the zero-sequences of solutions of linear differential equations*, Resultate Math. **13** (1988), 1–11. MR **89b**:34009
- [BL] S. Bank, I. Laine, *On the oscillation theory of $f'' + Af = 0$ where A is entire*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **273** (1982), 351–363. MR **83k**:34009
- [BS] H. Behnke, F. Sommer, *Theorie der analytischen Funktionen einer komplexen Veränderlichen*, Springer, Berlin–Göttingen–Heidelberg–New York, 1962. MR **26**:5137
- [HC] A. Hurwitz, R. Courant, *Funktionentheorie*, Springer, Berlin–Göttingen–Heidelberg–New York, 1964. MR **30**:3959
- [L] I. Laine, *Nevanlinna Theory and Complex Differential Equations*, de Gruyter, Berlin – New York, 1993. MR **94d**:34008
- [N] R. Nevanlinna, *Le théorème de Picard-Borel et la théorie des fonctions méromorphes*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1929. MR **54**:5468
- [S] L. C. Shen, *Construction of a differential equation $y'' + Ay = 0$ with solutions having the prescribed zeros*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **95** (1985), 544–546. MR **87b**:34005

GERHARD MERCATOR UNIVERSITÄT, FACHBEREICH 11 MATHEMATIK, LOTHARSTRASSE 65, D-47057 DUISBURG, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY

E-mail address: sauer@math.uni-duisburg.de