

## ENTIRE SOLUTIONS OF FIRST-ORDER NONLINEAR PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

JILL E. HEMMATI

(Communicated by Jeffrey B. Rauch)

ABSTRACT. We show that any entire solution of an essentially nonlinear first-order partial differential equation in two variables must be linear.

In this paper we consider complex-analytic solutions to some nonlinear first-order partial differential equations. Let  $F(p, q)$  be an entire function in  $p, q$ . Suppose the zero set of  $F$  contains a complex line, i.e.,  $F(p, q) = (p + aq + b)S(p, q)$ , where  $a, b \in \mathbf{C}$  and  $S$  is an entire function. In this case, the partial differential equation  $F(u_x, u_y) = 0$ ,  $(x, y) \in \mathbf{C}^2$ , has many entire solutions in  $\mathbf{C}^2$ , for example,

$$u(x, y) = -\frac{b}{2}x - \frac{b}{2a}y + f\left(x - \frac{y}{a}\right),$$

where  $f$  is any entire function of one variable. However, if  $F(p, q)$  does not have a linear factor, then the entire solutions in  $\mathbf{C}^2$  are completely characterized by the following:

**Theorem.** *Let  $u$  be an entire solution in  $\mathbf{C}^2$  of  $F(u_x, u_y) = 0$ , where  $F$  is an entire function whose zero set  $\{(p, q) \in \mathbf{C}^2 : F(p, q) = 0\}$  does not contain any complex lines, i.e.,  $F$  does not have a linear factor. Then  $u(x, y)$  is a linear function.*

*Proof.* Let  $p = u_x$ ,  $q = u_y$ , and  $z = u(x, y)$ . Assume that  $u$  is not linear, i.e.  $u_x$  and  $u_y$  are not both constant. According to a corollary of the Weierstrass preparation theorem, we can factor  $F$  into irreducible (nonlinear) factors, since the ring of germs of holomorphic functions is a unique factorization domain (see [6]). Thus, we may assume that  $F$  is irreducible, and we can find  $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbf{C}^2$  such that  $\text{grad} F(p(x, y), q(x, y)) \neq 0$  while  $F(p(x, y), q(x, y)) = 0$ , for  $(x, y)$  near  $(x_0, y_0)$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $F_q(p(x, y), q(x, y)) \neq 0$  near  $(x_0, y_0)$ . The Hamilton-Jacobi equations for the characteristics (cf. [3]) are

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = F_p(p, q), \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = F_q(p, q), \quad \frac{dz}{dt} = pF_p(p, q) + qF_q(p, q), \quad \frac{dp}{dt} = \frac{dq}{dt} = 0.$$

By taking the initial curve  $\Gamma : x(s, y_0) = s, y(s, y_0) = y_0$ , with data  $z(s, y_0) = f(s)$ , where  $f(s)$  is an entire function, we can complete it into a characteristic strip by choosing  $p(s, y_0) = f'(s)$  and  $q(s, y_0) = g(f'(s))$ , where  $g$  solves  $F(p, g(p)) = 0$ .

---

Received by the editors November 28, 1995.  
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35F20.

Then the characteristics with initial elements on  $\Gamma$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} x(s, t) &= F_p(f'(s), g(f'(s)))t + s, \\ (1) \quad y(s, t) &= F_q(f'(s), g(f'(s)))t + y_0, \\ z(s, t) &= [f'(s)F_p(f'(s), g(f'(s))) + g(f'(s))F_q(f'(s), g(f'(s)))]t + f(s). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the characteristics are complex lines with slope  $\frac{F_p}{F_q}(f'(s), g(f'(s)))$ . Since  $F$  does not have a linear factor, the implicit function  $g(p)$  does not have constant derivative. Thus,  $\frac{F_p}{F_q}(f'(s), g(f'(s)))$ , which is an analytic function of  $s$ , is not constant near  $s = x_0$ , provided  $f'(s)$  is not constant. In this case, we must have

$$\frac{F_p}{F_q}(f'(s_1), g(f'(s_1))) \neq \frac{F_p}{F_q}(f'(s_2), g(f'(s_2))), \quad f'(s_1) \neq f'(s_2) \text{ for some } s_1, s_2.$$

This would imply that the two characteristics passing through  $(s_1, y_0), (s_2, y_0)$  intersect, and hence at some point  $(x_1, y_1)$ ,  $u_x(x_1, y_1) = p(x_1(s, t), y_1(s, t)) = f'(s_1)$  and  $u_x(x_1, y_1) = f'(s_2)$ . This is impossible since  $u_x$  is an entire function, and hence must be single valued. Therefore,  $f'(s) \equiv \text{constant}$ ,  $f(s)$  is linear, and by (1) we have that  $u(x, y)$  is linear, which contradicts our assumption.

*Remarks.* (1) Our argument can be extended to include any holomorphic function  $F$  that does not have any linear pseudoprime factors.

(2) An example of an equation of this type is the eiconal equation in two variables

$$u_x^2 + u_y^2 - 1 = 0.$$

This particular case was treated in [5].

(3) The theorem fails in higher dimensions. Indeed, consider (cf. [5])  $u(x, y, z) = z + f(x + y)$  which solves  $u_x^2 - u_y^2 + u_z - 1 = 0$ . However, for some equations, for example the eiconal equation, the theorem stays true in all dimensions if one only considers real-valued solutions (see [5, 7, 12]).

(4) The following noteworthy corollary was communicated to us by Professor P. Ebenfelt.

**Corollary.** *Let  $u(x, y)$  be a nonlinear entire function in  $\mathbf{C}^2$ . If the image of  $\mathbf{C}^2$  under the gradient map  $\nabla : (x, y) \mapsto (u_x(x, y), u_y(x, y))$  lies in an irreducible algebraic variety  $V$ , then  $V$  must be a complex line.*

(5) Our theorem seems to be very close in flavor to the celebrated theorem of S. Bernstein ([1, 2, 4, 8, 9, 10, 11]) that an entire solution of the minimal surface equation in two variables must be linear. It seems worthwhile to pursue this connection further.

I am grateful to Professor D. Khavinson for helping me prepare this paper, and Professor P. Ebenfelt for his valuable suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

1. S. Bernstein, *Über ein geometrisches Theorem und seine Anwendung auf die partiellen Differentialgleichungen vom elliptischen Typus*, Math. Z. **26** (1927), 551–558.
2. L. Bers, *Isolated singularities of minimal surfaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **53** (1951), 364–380. MR **13**:244c
3. F. John, *Partial Differential Equations*, 4th ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982. MR **80f**:35001 (3rd ed.)
4. K. Jörgens, *Über die Lösungen der Differentialgleichung  $rt - s^2 = 1$* , Math. Ann. **127** (1954), 130–134. MR **15**:961e

5. D. Khavinson, *A note on entire solutions of the eiconal equation*, Amer. Math. Monthly **102** (1995), 159–161. MR **95j**:35132
6. S. G. Krantz, *Function Theory of Several Complex Variables*, Wiley, 1982. MR **84c**:32001
7. G. Letac and J. Pradines, *Seules les affinités préservent les lois normales*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A **286** (1978), 399–402. MR **57**:14100
8. E. J. Mickle, *A remark on a theorem of Serge Bernstein*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (1950), 86–89. MR **12**:13f
9. J. C. C. Nitsche, *Elementary proof of Bernstein's theorem on minimal surfaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **66** (1957), 593–594. MR **19**:878f
10. J. C. C. Nitsche, *Lectures on Minimal Surfaces*. Vol. 1, Cambridge University Press, 1989. MR **90m**:49031
11. T. Rado, *Zu einem Satze von S. Bernstein über Minimalflächen im Gromen*, Math. Z. **26** (1927), 559–565.
12. O. N. Stavroudis and R. C. Fronczek, *Caustic surfaces and the structure of the geometrical image*, J. Opt. Soc. Amer. **66** (1976), 795–800. MR **54**:11984

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ARKANSAS, FAYETTEVILLE, ARKANSAS 72701