

## BEALS-CORDES-TYPE CHARACTERIZATIONS OF PSEUDODIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

MICHAEL E. TAYLOR

(Communicated by Christopher D. Sogge)

ABSTRACT. We show that, if  $U$  is the representation of  $SO_e(n+1, 1)$  on  $L^2(S^n)$  given by (2.11), and  $P$  is a bounded operator on  $L^2(S^n)$ , then  $P$  belongs to  $OPS_{1,0}^0(S^n)$  if and only if

$$P(g) = U(g)PU(g)^{-1}$$

is a  $C^\infty$  function on  $SO_e(n+1, 1)$  with values in the Banach space  $\mathcal{L}(L^2(S^n))$ .

### INTRODUCTION

Let  $M$  be a compact  $C^\infty$  manifold. Denote by  $OPS_{1,0}^m(M)$  the space of pseudodifferential operators on  $M$ , whose symbols in local coordinates satisfy

$$|D_x^\beta D_\xi^\alpha p(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\alpha|},$$

and denote by  $OPS^m(M)$  the subspace of classical pseudodifferential operators, whose symbols have the asymptotic behavior

$$p(x, \xi) \sim p_m(x, \xi) + p_{m-1}(x, \xi) + \cdots, \quad |\xi| \rightarrow \infty,$$

with  $p_{m-j}(x, \xi)$  homogeneous of degree  $m-j$  in  $\xi$ .

It was demonstrated in [B], [C], and [D] that, if  $P: L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(M)$ , then  $P$  belongs to  $OPS_{1,0}^0(M)$  if and only if, for arbitrary  $A_j \in OPS^1(M)$ , and any  $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,

$$\text{ad } A_N \cdots \text{ad } A_1 \cdot P: L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(M),$$

where  $\text{ad } A_j \cdot B = [A_j, B]$ .

It is desirable to have some alternative characterizations, and we give one in §2 of this paper, which has as a special case the following (when  $M = S^n$ , the  $n$ -dimensional sphere):

**Theorem.** *If  $U$  is the representation of  $SO_e(n+1, 1)$  on  $L^2(S^n)$  given by (2.11), and  $P$  is a bounded operator on  $L^2(S^n)$ , then  $P$  belongs to  $OPS_{1,0}^0(S^n)$  if and only if*

$$P(g) = U(g)PU(g)^{-1}$$

is a  $C^\infty$  function on  $SO_e(n+1, 1)$  with values in the Banach space  $\mathcal{L}(L^2(S^n))$ .

---

Received by the editors July 5, 1995 and, in revised form, December 6, 1995.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35S05.

This work was partially supported by the National Science Foundation.

It is technically useful that this characterization involves smoothness on a finite dimensional Lie group. One implication is that the group of invertible operators in  $OPS_{1,0}^0(M)$  forms a smooth tame Fréchet Lie group (in the terminology of [H]), by arguments such as used in [P]. This in turn leads to a simplification and elucidation of some of the pseudodifferential operator estimates in [GY]. We plan to pursue this matter further, in another paper.

1. GENERALITIES;  $\mathfrak{A}$ -SMOOTH OPERATORS

Let  $\mathfrak{A} = \{A_j\}$  be a collection of operators in  $OPS^1(M)$ , for some compact smooth Riemannian manifold  $M$ . We will make the following two hypotheses on  $\mathfrak{A}$ :

$$(1.1) \quad A_j^* = A_j, \quad \text{mod } OPS^0(M);$$

$$(1.2) \quad \text{some finite sum } L = \sum A_j^2 \text{ is elliptic in } OPS^2(M).$$

An operator  $P \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(M))$  will be called  $\mathfrak{A}$ -smooth provided

$$(1.3) \quad (\text{ad } A)^J P \text{ is bounded on } L^2(M),$$

for each  $(\text{ad } A)^J = \text{ad } A_{j_l} \cdots \text{ad } A_{j_1}$ , where  $\text{ad } A \cdot P = [A, P]$ . We use a common multi-index notation:  $J = (j_l, \dots, j_1)$ , we also set  $|J| = l$ . A priori, the hypothesis  $P: L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(M)$  implies that  $(\text{ad } A)^J P: C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(M)$ .

We mention a few very simple results, with almost trivial proofs.

**Lemma 1.1.** *The set  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{A}}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$ -smooth operators is an algebra, containing  $OPS_{1,0}^0(M)$ .*

*Proof.* That  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{A}}$  is an algebra follows from the identity

$$(1.4) \quad (\text{ad } A_j)(P_1 P_2) = P_1(\text{ad } A_j \cdot P_2) + (\text{ad } A_j \cdot P_1)P_2.$$

□

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}' = \{A_j + B_j\}$ ,  $B_j \in OPS^0(M)$ . If  $P$  is  $\mathfrak{A}$ -smooth, it is also  $\mathfrak{A}'$ -smooth.*

**Lemma 1.3.** *If  $P$  is  $\mathfrak{A}$ -smooth, so is  $P^*$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $\text{ad } A_j \cdot P^* = -(\text{ad } A_j^* \cdot P)^*$ , hence

$$(1.5) \quad (\text{ad } A)^J P^* = (-1)^{|J|} [(\text{ad } A^*)^J P]^*.$$

□

**Lemma 1.4.** *If  $P$  is  $\mathfrak{A}$ -smooth, so is each  $(\text{ad } A)^J P$ .*

**Lemma 1.5.** *If  $P$  is  $\mathfrak{A}$ -smooth, then  $P$  and  $(\text{ad } A)^J P$  preserve each Sobolev space  $H^s(M)$ .*

*Proof.* We have

$$A_k P = P A_k + \text{ad } A_k \cdot P.$$

Since the left side maps  $L^2(M)$  to  $H^{-1}(M)$ , we have

$$P A_k: L^2(M) \rightarrow H^{-1}(M).$$

By (1.2) it follows that  $P: H^{-1}(M) \rightarrow H^{-1}(M)$ . That  $P: H^1(M) \rightarrow H^1(M)$  follows by duality, given Lemma 1.3. The rest follows by iteration and interpolation.

□

We next note the approximate commutativity of  $P$  with  $L$ . Indeed,

$$(1.6) \quad [L, P] = \sum \{(\text{ad } A_j \cdot P)A_j + A_j(\text{ad } A_j \cdot P)\}.$$

If  $P$  is  $\mathfrak{A}$ -smooth, this maps  $H^s(M)$  to  $H^{s-1}(M)$ . Hence

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} LPL^{-1} - P &= [L, P]L^{-1}, \\ L^{-1}PL - P &= L^{-1}[P, L], \end{aligned}$$

with each right side mapping  $H^s(M)$  into  $H^{s+1}(M)$ .

If  $P$  is  $\mathfrak{A}$ -smooth, it can often be established that  $P$  is  $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$ -smooth, for a much bigger collection of operators  $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$ . We make some simple comments on this phenomenon here; more incisive results will be given in §2. The next result follows immediately from Jacobi's identity

$$(1.8) \quad \text{ad } A_1 \text{ ad } A_2 - \text{ad } A_2 \text{ ad } A_1 = \text{ad}[A_1, A_2].$$

**Lemma 1.6.** *Let  $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{A}$  be the Lie algebra generated by  $\mathfrak{A}$ . If  $P$  is  $\mathfrak{A}$ -smooth, then it is  $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{A}$ -smooth.*

Generalizing the class  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{A}}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$ -smooth operators let us say, for an operator  $P$  acting on  $\mathcal{D}'(M)$  and preserving  $C^\infty(M)$ , that

$$(1.9) \quad P \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{A}}^m \Leftrightarrow (\text{ad } A)^J P: H^s(M) \rightarrow H^{s-m}(M),$$

for all commutators as in (1.3). Thus  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{A}}^0$  is what is denoted  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{A}}$  above. Parallel to Lemma 1.1, we clearly have

$$(1.10) \quad P_j \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{A}}^{m_j} \Rightarrow P_1 P_2 \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{A}}^{m_1+m_2},$$

and

$$(1.11) \quad OPS_{1,0}^m(M) \subset \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{A}}^m.$$

In particular, for an operator  $E: C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(M)$ ,

$$(1.12) \quad EL \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{A}}^m \Rightarrow E \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{A}}^{m-2},$$

since  $L^{-1} \in OPS^{-2}(M)$ . Consequently we see that, if  $\mathfrak{A}_b$  is the set of  $A_j$  over which we sum in (1.2),

$$(1.13) \quad EA_j \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{A}}^m \text{ for all } A_j \in \mathfrak{A}_b \Rightarrow E \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{A}}^{m-1}.$$

Now suppose  $B_l \in OPS^0(M)$  are such that

$$(1.14) \quad B_l A_j \in \mathfrak{A} \text{ for all } A_j \in \mathfrak{A}_b.$$

Since

$$(1.15) \quad (\text{ad } B_l \cdot P)A_j = \text{ad}(B_l A_j) \cdot P - B_l(\text{ad } A_j \cdot P),$$

we deduce that  $\text{ad } B_l \cdot P \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{A}}^{m-1}$  if  $P \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{A}}^m$ . We hence deduce the following:

**Proposition 1.7.** *If  $(\text{ad } C)^J = \text{ad } C_{j_1} \cdots \text{ad } C_{j_\mu}$ , where  $\mu$  of the  $C_j$ 's are  $B_j$ 's, satisfying (1.14), and the rest are  $A_j$ 's, in  $\mathfrak{A}$ , then*

$$(1.16) \quad P \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{A}}^m \Rightarrow (\text{ad } C)^J P \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{A}}^{m-\mu}.$$

We note that this result applies when  $\mathfrak{A}$  consists of all smooth vector fields on  $M$  and the  $B_l$  are multiplication operators, by smooth functions on  $M$ . In this case, Proposition 1.7 is one simple step in the well known result of Cordes (et al.) that  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{A}}$  is precisely  $OPS_{1,0}^0(M)$ .

2.  $U$ -SMOOTH OPERATORS; A CHARACTERIZATION OF  $OPS_{1,0}^0(M)$

Let  $M$  be a compact smooth manifold, and  $G$  a Lie group, with a strongly continuous unitary representation  $U(g)$  on  $L^2(M)$ . Let  $\{X_j: 1 \leq j \leq m\}$  be a basis of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Suppose  $dU(X_j) = iA_j \in OPS^1(M)$ . Also suppose that, if  $\Delta$  is the Laplace operator on  $G$ , with a left invariant metric, then  $dU(\Delta) = L$  is an elliptic operator in  $OPS^2(M)$ ; one could take  $L = -\sum A_j^2$ .

Let  $P \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(M))$ . We say  $P$  is  $U$ -smooth if

$$(2.1) \quad P(t) = U(t)PU(t)^{-1}$$

is a  $C^\infty$  function of  $t \in G$ , with values in  $\mathcal{L}(L^2(M))$ . If  $P$  is  $U$ -smooth, it follows that  $P$  is  $\mathfrak{A}$ -smooth, with  $\mathfrak{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$ , i.e.,

$$\text{ad } A_{j_l} \cdots \text{ad } A_{j_1} \cdot P: L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(M),$$

for all  $j_1, \dots, j_l$ . We aim to show that, under condition (2.4) given below, we have boundedness of commutators when the  $A_\nu$  are replaced by arbitrary operators in  $OPS^1(M)$ , so that the criterion discovered by [B], [C], and [D] will apply.

Identify a small neighborhood  $\mathcal{O}$  of the identity element  $e \in G$  with a neighborhood of 0 in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , via the exponential map. Suppose  $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathcal{O})$  is a classical conormal distribution, with singularity at the origin, of the form  $\varphi = \hat{f}$ , with  $f$  in the symbol space  $S^\mu(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ . Set

$$(2.2) \quad f(A) = U(\hat{f}) = \int \hat{f}(t)\kappa(t)U(t) dt,$$

where  $\kappa(t) dt$  is Haar measure (in exponential coordinates). For  $\mu \ll 0$ , this is readily verified to be smoothing, via the following simple result.

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $\varphi \in C_0^{2k}(G)$ , then  $U(\varphi): H^s(M) \rightarrow H^{s+2k}(M)$ , for all  $s \in [-2k, 0]$ .*

*Proof.* For  $s = 0$ , this follows from the identity

$$L^k U(\varphi) = U(\Delta^k \varphi).$$

Then we have the result for  $s = -2k$  by duality, and the rest by interpolation.  $\square$

A more precise analysis of (2.2) is provided by the following result, proved in Appendix B (pp. 163–165) of [T3], following material developed in [T1] and in Chapter 12 of [T2].

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $f \in S^\mu(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ , with  $\hat{f}$  supported in  $\mathcal{O}$ , then  $f(A) \in OPS^\mu(M)$ , and the principal symbol of  $f(A)$  is equal to  $f(a_1, \dots, a_m)$  on  $T^*M \setminus 0$ , where  $a_j(x, \xi)$  is the principal symbol of  $A_j$ .*

We now state our hypotheses on the action  $U$ . Consider the ‘moment map’

$$(2.3) \quad \Phi(x, \xi) = (a_1(x, \xi), \dots, a_m(x, \xi)); \quad \Phi: T^*M \setminus 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \setminus 0.$$

The hypothesis is:

$$(2.4) \quad \Phi: T^*M \setminus 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \setminus 0 \text{ is an imbedding.}$$

An equivalent statement is that for any  $q_\mu \in S^\mu(T^*M \setminus 0)$ , homogeneous of degree  $\mu$ , there exists a homogeneous  $f_\mu \in S^\mu(\mathfrak{g}^*)$  such that

$$q_\mu(x, \xi) = f_\mu(a_1(x, \xi), \dots, a_m(x, \xi)).$$

In light of Lemma 2.2, we see that, if  $Q \in OPS^\mu(M)$  has principal symbol  $q_\mu$ , then  $Q = f_\mu(A)$ , mod  $OPS^{\mu-1}(M)$ . An inductive argument easily gives the following.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Under hypothesis (2.4), given any  $Q \in OPS^\mu(M)$ , there exists  $f \in S^\mu(\mathfrak{g}^*)$  such that  $Q = f(A)$ , mod  $OPS^{-\infty}(M)$ , and such that  $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subset \mathcal{O}$ .*

We now examine the commutator of an operator  $f(A)$ , given  $f \in S^1(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ , with an operator  $P \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(M))$ , assumed to be  $U$ -smooth. Using exponential coordinates, set

$$(2.5) \quad P(t) \sim \sum_{\beta \geq 0} P_\beta t^\beta, \quad P_\beta = D^\beta P(0)/\beta!,$$

where  $P(t)$  is given by (2.1). It easily follows that each  $P_\beta$  is  $U$ -smooth, if  $P$  is. Using

$$(2.6) \quad U(t)P = P(t)U(t) \sim \sum_{\beta \geq 0} P_\beta t^\beta U(t),$$

and plugging into (2.2), we get

$$(2.7) \quad f(A)P \sim Pf(A) + \sum_{\beta > 0} P_\beta \int \hat{f}(t)t^\beta \kappa(t)U(t) dt \sim Pf(A) + \sum_{\beta > 0} P_\beta f_\beta(A).$$

Note that

$$f \in S^1(\mathfrak{g}^*) \Rightarrow f_\beta \in S^{1-|\beta|}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \Rightarrow f_\beta(A) \in OPS^{1-|\beta|}(M).$$

Since it is clear that each element of  $OPS_{1,0}^0(M)$  is  $U$ -smooth, and the set of  $U$ -smooth operators forms an algebra, we deduce the following:

**Proposition 2.4.** *If  $P$  is  $U$ -smooth, then for all  $f \in S^1(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ , with  $\hat{f}$  supported in  $\mathcal{O}$ ,*

$$[f(A), P]: L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(M),$$

and furthermore this commutator is  $U$ -smooth.

It follows that, for any  $f_\nu \in S^1(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ , with  $\hat{f}_\nu$  supported in  $\mathcal{O}$ , the iterated commutators

$$\text{ad } f_N(A) \cdots \text{ad } f_1(A) \cdot P$$

are all bounded on  $L^2(M)$ , if  $P$  is  $U$ -smooth. In view of Proposition 2.3, the criterion of [B], [C] applies: for any  $Q_\nu \in OPS^1(M)$ ,

$$\text{ad } Q_N \cdots \text{ad } Q_1 \cdot P: L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(M).$$

This gives our main conclusion:

**Theorem 2.5.** *Under hypothesis (2.4), an operator  $P \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(M))$  is in  $OPS_{1,0}^0(M)$  if and only if it is  $U$ -smooth.*

We make some remarks on the hypothesis (2.4). Note that, for  $(x, \xi) \in T^*M \setminus 0$ ,

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} D\Phi(x, \xi) \text{ is injective} &\Leftrightarrow \{da_j(x, \xi) : 1 \leq j \leq m\} \text{ spans } T_{(x, \xi)}T^*M \\ &\Leftrightarrow \{H_{a_j} : 1 \leq j \leq m\} \text{ spans } T_{(x, \xi)}T^*M, \end{aligned}$$

where  $H_{a_j}$  are Hamiltonian vector fields, generating an associated action  $U^\#$  of  $G$  on  $T^*M \setminus 0$ . This last condition holds provided

$$(2.9) \quad G \text{ acts transitively on } T^*M \setminus 0, \text{ via } U^\#;$$

in fact when  $T^*M \setminus 0$  is connected these conditions are equivalent. We also note that the moment map is invariantly defined as

$$(2.10) \quad \Phi: T^*M \setminus 0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \setminus 0;$$

see [GS]. Furthermore,  $\Phi$  intertwines the  $U^\#$  on  $T^*M \setminus 0$  with the  $Ad^*$  action of  $G$  on  $\mathfrak{g}^* \setminus 0$ . The condition (2.9) implies that, under the image of  $\Phi$ ,  $T^*M \setminus 0$  covers a coadjoint orbit of  $G$  in  $\mathfrak{g}^* \setminus 0$ . The hypothesis (2.4) would state that  $\Phi$  maps  $T^*M \setminus 0$  diffeomorphically onto such a coadjoint orbit.

An important example of this phenomenon is provided by the natural action of the conformal group  $SO_e(n+1, 1)$  on the sphere  $S^n$ ,

$$(2.11) \quad U(g)v(x) = J_g(x)^{1/2}v(g^{-1} \cdot x),$$

where  $J_g(x)$  is the usual Jacobian determinant. Thus Theorem 2.5 applies in this case. Thus we have proved the Theorem stated in the introduction.

We note that this  $U$ -action on  $L^2(S^n)$  is the simplest sort of principal series representation of the Lie group  $SO_e(n+1, 1)$ . Given a general irreducible unitary representation  $U$  of a Lie group  $G$  on a Hilbert space  $H$ , one can consider the set of operators  $P$  on  $H$  such that the family (2.1) is smooth from  $G$  to  $\mathcal{L}(H)$ . As another sort of example, we mention the following result of [C]; see also [C2]. If  $G$  is the Heisenberg group (of dimension  $2n+1$ ) and  $U$  the standard representation on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then an operator  $P$  is  $U$ -smooth if and only if  $P \in OPS_{0,0}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . It is of interest to consider families of  $U$ -smooth operators in other situations, but we will not pursue this here.

#### REFERENCES

- [B] R. Beals, *Characterization of pseudodifferential operators and applications*, Duke Math. J. **44** (1977), 45–57; correction **46** (1979), 215. MR **55**:8884; MR **80b**:47062
- [C] H. Cordes, *On pseudodifferential operators and smoothness of special Lie group representations*, Manuscripta Math. **28** (1979), 51–69. MR **80m**:47047
- [C2] H. Cordes, *The technique of pseudodifferential operators*, LMS #202, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995. MR **96b**:35001
- [D] J. Duneau, *Fonctions d'un operateur elliptique sur une variete compacte*, J. Math. Pures et Appl. **56** (1977), 367–391.
- [GY] J. Goodman and D. Yang, *Local solvability of nonlinear partial differential equations of real principal type*, Preprint, 1987.
- [GS] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, *Symplectic techniques in physics*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1984. MR **86f**:58054
- [H] R. Hamilton, *The inverse function theorem of Nash-Moser*, Bull. AMS **7** (1982), 65–222. MR **83j**:58014
- [P] K. Payne, *Smooth Frechet algebras and Lie groups of pseudodifferential operators*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **44** (1991), 309–337. MR **92a**:58140
- [T1] M. Taylor, *Fourier integral operators and harmonic analysis on compact manifolds*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **35** (Part 2) (1979), 115–136. MR **81i**:58042
- [T2] M. Taylor, *Pseudodifferential operators*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1981. MR **82i**:35172
- [T3] M. Taylor, *Noncommutative Microlocal Analysis*, Part I, Memoirs AMS #313, 1984. MR **86f**:58156

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA, CHAPEL HILL, NORTH CAROLINA 27599–3902

*E-mail address*: met@math.unc.edu