

## ISOMORPHICALLY EXPANSIVE MAPPINGS IN $\ell_2$

J. GARCÍA-FALSET, A. JIMÉNEZ-MELADO, AND E. LLORÉNS-FUSTER

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

ABSTRACT. We show that for any renorming  $\|\cdot\|$  of  $\ell_2$ , the well known fixed point free mappings by Kakutani, Baillon and others are not nonexpansive.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $C$  be a subset of a Banach space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ . A mapping  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is called *k-lipschitzian* ( $k > 0$ ) if  $\|T(x) - T(y)\| \leq k \|x - y\|$  for all  $x, y \in C$ .

*Nonexpansive mappings* are those which have Lipschitz constant  $k = 1$ . A mapping  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is said to be *uniformly k-lipschitzian* if every iteration  $T^n$  is *k-lipschitzian*, i.e. if for every positive integer  $n$ , and  $x, y \in C$

$$\|T^n(x) - T^n(y)\| \leq k \|x - y\|.$$

We say that  $X$  has the fixed point property (FPP) if every nonexpansive mapping  $T : C \rightarrow C$  defined on a nonempty convex and weakly compact subset  $C$  of  $X$  has a fixed point.

If  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is a nonexpansive mapping with respect to some norm  $|\cdot|$  on  $X$  equivalent to the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , then it is straightforward to see that  $T$  is also uniformly lipschitzian with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Hence, in order to look for a fixed point free  $|\cdot|$ -nonexpansive mapping we must seek this mapping among those which are uniformly lipschitzian with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ .

It is known that bounded closed convex subsets of  $\ell_2$  have the fixed point property for nonexpansive mappings, but it is unknown whether the same is true for bounded closed convex subsets of a Banach space  $X$  where  $X$  is  $\ell_2$  with an equivalent norm. In this paper it is shown that certain uniformly lipschitzian mappings which are known to be fixed point free in the unit ball of  $\ell_2$  are also not nonexpansive relative to any renorming of  $\ell_2$ . This shows that one strategy for answering the above question is precluded. At the same time it shows that the class of mappings which are uniformly lipschitzian on  $\ell_2$  is strictly larger than the class of mappings which are nonexpansive with respect to some equivalent norm on  $\ell_2$ . Theorems 1 and 2 serve mainly as vehicles for reaching this conclusion.

---

Received by the editors November 28, 1995 and, in revised form, March 18, 1996.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47H09, 47H10.

*Key words and phrases*. Nonexpansive mappings, uniformly lipschitzian mappings, fixed points.

This research has been partially supported by D.G.I.C.Y.T. PB93-1177-C02-02 and D.G.I.C.Y.T. PB94-1496.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We recall now some famous examples of uniformly lipschitzian mappings in  $\ell_2$ .

Let  $\|\cdot\|_2$  be the usual euclidean norm on the sequence Hilbert space  $\ell_2$ , let  $B$  be the closed  $\|\cdot\|_2$ -unit ball and let  $S : \ell_2 \rightarrow \ell_2$  be the right shift operator defined by

$$S(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (0, x_1, x_2, \dots).$$

Then the mapping  $K : B \rightarrow B$  defined by

$$K(x) = \frac{(1 - \|x\|_2)e + S(x)}{\|(1 - \|x\|_2)e + S(x)\|_2},$$

where  $e = (1, 0, \dots)$ , is *uniformly*-2-lipschitzian and has no fixed point in  $B$  ([GKT]).

Later on, Baillon ([B]) found another example of a uniformly  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ -lipschitzian mapping without fixed point in  $B$ . This is the mapping  $G : B \rightarrow B$  defined by

$$G(x) = \begin{cases} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\|x\|_2\right)e + \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\|x\|_2\right)\frac{S(x)}{\|x\|_2}, & x \neq 0, \\ e, & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

(for details about this map, see [T]).

Both examples are modifications of an earlier one due to Kakutani (see [GK]): for  $0 < r < 1$ , define  $K_r : B \rightarrow B$  by

$$K_r(x) = r(1 - \|x\|_2)e + S(x).$$

Then  $K_r$  have the (non uniform) Lipschitz constant  $\sqrt{1+r^2}$  and has no fixed point in  $B$ .

## 3. THE RESULTS

The announced results will follow easily from the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $(X, |\cdot|)$  be a Banach space and let  $B_X, S_X$  be the closed unit ball and the unit sphere of  $X$  respectively. Suppose that  $T : B_X \rightarrow X$  is a mapping which is nonexpansive with respect to some norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $X$ , equivalent to  $|\cdot|$ . Then we have that*

$$d = \inf_{y \in S_X} |T(0) - T(y)| \leq 1.$$

*Proof.* There exist positive constants  $\alpha, \beta$  such that, for every  $v \in X$ ,

$$\alpha|v| \leq \|v\| \leq \beta|v|.$$

Since  $T$  is  $\|\cdot\|$ -nonexpansive, for any  $y \in S_X$  we have that

$$\alpha|T(0) - T(y)| \leq \|T(0) - T(y)\| \leq \|y\| \leq \beta$$

and then

$$\alpha d \leq \|y\| \leq \beta$$

for all  $y \in S_X$ . Thus, for every  $v \in X$  we have that

$$\alpha d|v| \leq \|v\| \leq \beta|v|.$$

Indeed, an induction argument shows that

$$\alpha d^n|v| \leq \|v\| \leq \beta|v|$$

for every positive integer  $n$  and every  $v \in X$ , which is impossible unless  $d \leq 1$ .  $\square$

The above lemma will allow us to give a fixed point result. Recall that a sequence  $(X_n)$  of finite dimensional subspaces of a Banach space  $X$  is called a *Schauder finite dimensional decomposition* (FDD) of  $X$ , if every  $x \in X$  has a unique representation of the form  $x = \sum x_i$  with  $x_i \in X_i$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $X$  is a Banach space with a FDD  $(X_n)$ , and  $x \in X$ ,  $\text{supp}(x)$  denotes, as usual, the set of positive integers  $i$  such that  $x_i \neq 0$ . M. A. Khamsi ([K]) defined, for a Banach space  $X$  with a FDD, the coefficient  $\beta_p(X)$  in the following way: For  $p \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $\beta_p(X)$  is the infimum of the set of numbers  $\lambda$  such that

$$(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)^{1/p} \leq \lambda \|x + y\|$$

for every  $x, y \in X$  which verify  $\text{supp}(x) < \text{supp}(y)$ , that is,  $\max[\text{supp}(x)] < \min[\text{supp}(y)]$ .

For example,  $\beta_p(l_p) = 1$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ), and  $\beta_2(J) = 1$ , where  $J$  is the James space which consists of all sequences  $x = (x_n) \in c_0$  such that

$$\|x\| := \sup\{(x_{p_1} - x_{p_2})^2 + \dots + (x_{p_{n-1}} - x_{p_n})^2\}^{1/2}$$

is finite (the supremum is taken for every  $n$  and for every finite increasing sequence of positive integers  $(p_i)$ ).

Now, for such Banach spaces we can state the following

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $(X, |\cdot|)$  be a Banach space with a FDD such that  $\beta_p(X) = 1$  for some  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . Let  $B_X, S_X$  be the closed unit ball and the unit sphere of  $X$ , respectively, and let  $T : B_X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping such that*

- a)  $T(S_X) \subset S_X$  and
- b)  $\text{supp}[T(0)] < \text{supp}(v)$  for every  $v \in T(S_X)$ .

*If  $T$  is nonexpansive with respect to some equivalent norm on  $X$  then  $T$  has a fixed point. Specifically,  $T(0) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\beta_p(X) = 1$ , conditions a) and b) imply that

$$|T(0)|^p + |T(y)|^p \leq |T(0) - T(y)|^p$$

for all  $y \in S_X$ , and then we have that

$$d = \inf_{y \in S_X} |T(0) - T(y)| \geq [|T(0)|^p + 1]^{1/p}.$$

This inequality, when combined with Lemma 1, gives that

$$1 \geq [|T(0)|^p + 1]^{1/p}$$

and consequently  $T(0) = 0$ . □

A similar result can be stated in the setting of Hilbert space if we replace condition b) by:  $T(0)$  is orthogonal to the set  $T(S_X)$ . Under this assumption we would obtain that

$$\|T(0)\|_2^2 + \|T(y)\|_2^2 = \|T(0) - T(y)\|_2^2$$

for every  $y \in S_X$ , from which the same conclusion follows.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_2)$  be a Hilbert space. Let  $B_X, S_X$  be the closed unit ball and the unit sphere of  $X$ , respectively, and let  $T : B_X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping such that*

- a)  $T(S_X) \subset S_X$ .
- b)  $T(0)$  is orthogonal to the set  $T(S_X)$ .

*If  $T$  is nonexpansive with respect to some equivalent norm on  $X$  then  $T$  has a fixed point. Specifically,  $T(0) = 0$ .*

Suppose now that  $T : B \rightarrow B$  is any of the mappings  $K$ ,  $G$  or  $K_r$  which we refer to in the introduction. It is clear that  $T$  verifies the conditions (a) and (b) of Theorem 2 and that  $T(0) \neq 0$ . This proves the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $T : B \rightarrow B$  be any of the mappings  $K$ ,  $G$  or  $K_r$  and let  $\|\cdot\|$  be any norm on  $\ell_2$ , equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|_2$ . Then  $T$  is not  $\|\cdot\|$ -nonexpansive.*

We wish to thank the referee for his valuable comments.

#### REFERENCES

- [B] Baillon, J.B. *Quelques aspects de la théorie des points fixes dans les espaces de Banach, I*, Séminaire d'Analyse Fonctionnelle de l'Ecole Polytechnique, no. VII, 1978-79.
- [GK] K. Goebel and W.A. Kirk *Topics in metric fixed point theory*. Cambridge Univ. Press 1990. MR **92c**:47070
- [GKT] K. Goebel, W.A. Kirk and R.L. Thele. *Uniformly Lipschitzian semigroups in Hilbert space*. Canad. J. Math. **26** (1974), 1245-1256. MR **50**:10919
- [K] Khamsi, M.A. *Normal structure for Banach spaces with Schauder decomposition*. Canad. Math. Bull. **33** (3), (1989), 1-8. MR **90i**:46032
- [T] D. Tingley *Noncontractive uniformly Lipschitzian semigroups in Hilbert space*, Proc. of Am. Math. Soc. **92**, 3 (1984) (355-361). MR **85k**:47105

(J. García-Falset and E. Lloréns-Fuster) DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSITAT DE VALÈNCIA, 46100 BURJASSOT, VALENCIA, SPAIN

*E-mail address:* `jesus.garcia@uv.es`

*E-mail address:* `enrique.llorens@uv.es`

(A. Jiménez-Melado) DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE MÁLAGA, 29071 MÁLAGA, SPAIN

*E-mail address:* `melado@ccuma.sci.uma.es`