

DEHN FILLING, REDUCIBLE 3-MANIFOLDS, AND KLEIN BOTTLES

SEUNGSANG OH

(Communicated by Ronald Fintushel)

ABSTRACT. Let M be a compact, connected, orientable, irreducible 3-manifold whose boundary is a torus. We announce that if two Dehn fillings create reducible manifold and manifold containing Klein bottle, then the maximal distance is three.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let M be a compact, connected, orientable, irreducible 3-manifold such that ∂M is a torus. The *slope* of an essential simple loop on ∂M is its isotopy class, and if π and γ are two slopes on ∂M then $\Delta = \Delta(\pi, \gamma)$ will denote their minimal geometric intersection number. Let $M(\pi)$ denote the manifold obtained from M by π -Dehn filling, that is, by attaching a solid torus V_π to M along ∂M so that the boundary of a meridian disk is identified with π , and similarly for γ .

There are many results on $\Delta(\pi, \gamma)$ for two distinct slopes π and γ on ∂M , for example [BZ1], [CGLS], [Go1], [GLu1], and [Wu1]. Especially Gordon and Luecke [GLu2] have shown that if both $M(\pi)$ and $M(\gamma)$ are reducible, then $\Delta \leq 1$, and Wu [Wu2] and Oh [Oh] proved independently that if M is hyperbolic and $M(\pi)$ is reducible while $M(\gamma)$ contains an incompressible torus, then $\Delta \leq 3$. In this paper we consider the situation where $M(\gamma)$ contains an embedded Klein bottle.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a hyperbolic 3-manifold. If $M(\pi)$ is reducible and $M(\gamma)$ contains a Klein bottle, then $\Delta(\pi, \gamma) \leq 3$.*

It is still unknown whether or not the bound 3 is best possible. This result gives us a partial improvement to Theorem 0.1(2) of [BZ2], dealing with reducible and finite Dehn fillings.

Corollary 1.2. *If M is hyperbolic, $M(\pi)$ is reducible and $M(\gamma)$ is a Seifert fiber space over the 2-sphere with three exceptional fibers of orders 2, 2, n , then $\Delta(\pi, \gamma) \leq 3$.*

We will be following closely the argument in [Oh] and will hereafter assume familiarity with this paper. To obtain a contradiction, we shall suppose that $\Delta(\pi, \gamma) \geq 4$. Let \tilde{Q} be a reducing sphere in $M(\pi)$ which intersects V_π in a family of meridian

Received by the editors April 8, 1996 and, in revised form, May 31, 1996.
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57M25, 57M99, 57N10.
Key words and phrases. Dehn filling, reducible, Klein bottle, 3-manifold.

disks. We choose \widehat{Q} so that $Q = \widehat{Q} \cap M$ has the minimal number, say q , of boundary components. Similarly we choose \widehat{S} , a Klein bottle in $M(\gamma)$ in such a manner.

By an isotopy of Q , we may assume that Q and S intersect transversely, and $Q \cap S$ has the minimal number of components. Then no circle component of $Q \cap S$ bounds a disk in Q or S , and no arc of $Q \cap S$ is boundary parallel in Q or S . The boundary of a regular neighborhood of \widehat{S} is a torus \widehat{T} , meeting V_γ in $t = 2|\widehat{S} \cap V_\gamma|$ points in $M(\gamma)$. Note that \widehat{T} is not necessarily incompressible. Now we obtain a graph G_Q in \widehat{Q} by taking $\widehat{Q} \cap V_\pi$ as its fat vertices and the arcs in $Q \cap T$ as its edges. Similarly we obtain the graph G_T in \widehat{T} . Note that each fat vertex of G_Q (G_T) intersects each fat vertex of G_T (respectively G_Q) exactly Δ times. Number the components of ∂Q $1, 2, \dots, q$ successively along ∂M , and similarly number the components of ∂T $1, 2, \dots, t$. In this way each end of each edge of G_Q (G_T) has a label, namely the number of the corresponding fat vertex of G_T (respectively G_Q). When traveling around a fat vertex of G_Q , the labels appear as $1, \dots, t$ repeated Δ times and similarly for a fat vertex of G_T . Assigning orientations to \widehat{Q} and \widehat{T} allows us to refer to $+$ and $-$ vertices of G_Q (G_T), according to the sign of the corresponding intersection with the core of V_π (respectively V_γ). If two vertices have the same sign they are called *parallel*, otherwise *antiparallel*. The orientability of Q , T and M give us the following *parity rule*: an edge connects parallel vertices on one graph if and only if it connects antiparallel vertices on the other. As is done above, define the labelled graphs G_Q^S in \widehat{Q} and G_S in \widehat{S} coming from the intersection of Q and S .

The author is indebted to his advisor Cameron Gordon for many stimulating conversations.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we define the concept of a Scharlemann cycle and a (k) - x -web, and introduce some combinatorial techniques developed in other articles.

Let G be the graph G_Q or G_T , and x a label of G . An x -edge in G is an edge with label x at one endpoint. An x -cycle is a cycle of x -edges of G such that all the vertices are parallel and all the edges can be oriented so that the tail of each edge has label x . A *Scharlemann cycle* is an x -cycle that bounds a disk face of G . A Scharlemann cycle with exactly two edges is called an S -cycle. Note that by construction, G has no face with only one edge.

A (k) - x -web is a connected subgraph Σ of G_Q such that all the edges of Σ are x -edges, all the vertices of Σ are parallel, and all but possibly k edges with label x at the vertices of Σ connect them to the vertices of Σ . Such exceptional edges are called ghost edges, and their endpoints in Σ are called ghost vertices. A *great* (k) - x -web satisfies the additional condition that there is a component U of $\widehat{Q} - \Sigma$ such that all the vertices of G in $\widehat{Q} - U$ have the same sign.

We hereafter assume that $q \geq 3$ because of Lemma 2.3 of [BZ3]. We have the following lemma from the argument of Proposition 1.3 of [GLi].

Lemma 2.1. G_T (hence G_S) cannot have q mutually parallel edges. □

If we assume that $t \geq 4$, then we have the following two lemmas; Lemma 2.2 is Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7 of [Oh] and Lemma 2.3 can be obtained from Lemmas 2.1 - 2.4 of [Wu1].

Lemma 2.2. (1) G_Q has at most two S -cycles on disjoint label pairs.

(2) G_Q has at most $t/2 + 2$ mutually parallel edges connecting parallel vertices. Furthermore, if $t \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then G_Q cannot have $t/2 + 2$ mutually parallel edges connecting parallel vertices. \square

Lemma 2.3. (1) G_T cannot contain two S -cycles on distinct label pairs.

(2) G_T has at most $q/2 + 1$ mutually parallel edges connecting parallel vertices. Furthermore, if there are such $q/2 + 1$ edges, then first two or last two of these edges form an S -cycle in G_T . \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 (THE CASE $t \geq 6$)

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1 when $t \geq 6$. As the argument in the case $t = 2$ or 4 is quite different, we handle it separately in Section 4.

We assume familiarity with the terminology of [GLu1, Section 2.1] and the more generalized terminology discussed in [GLu2]. Proposition 3.1 of [Oh], which is the analog of Proposition 3.1 of [GLu2], is still true in our case, indeed it works for any $\Delta \geq 2$. Hence we get the following proposition;

Proposition 3.1. *Either G_Q contains a great (k) -web, or for all $\{1, \dots, q\}$ -types, τ , there are at least kt faces of $G_T(L)$ representing τ .*

Let k be the smallest number greater than $\Delta/2$. Now Theorem 1.1 is broken into two cases, which will be carried out in the rest of this section. For a graph Γ , the reduced graph $\bar{\Gamma}$ of Γ is defined to be the graph obtained from Γ by amalgamating each family of mutually parallel edges of Γ to a single edge. Note that every family of mutually parallel edges of G_Q has an even number of edges since \hat{T} is the boundary of a regular neighborhood of \hat{S} .

Theorem 3.2. G_Q cannot contain a great (k) -web.

Proof. Assume for contradiction that there is a great (k) -web Σ in G_Q . We may assume that Σ has no separating edge, for if e is an edge of Σ such that $\Sigma - e$ has two components then one of them is also a great (k) -web. Let U be a component of $\hat{Q} - \Sigma$ such that all the vertices of G_Q in $\hat{Q} - U$ have the same sign. Let Γ_Q be the subgraph $G_Q - U$ of G_Q . Suppose that U is an n -gon, i.e. $\bar{\Gamma}_Q$ has n boundary vertices. Let v, e and f be the number of vertices, edges and faces of $\bar{\Gamma}_Q$ in \hat{Q} . Since each face of $\bar{\Gamma}_Q$ is a disk with at least 3 sides, we have $2e \geq 3(f - 1) + n$. Thus

$$2 = \chi(\hat{Q}) = v - e + f \leq v - \frac{e}{3} + 1 - \frac{n}{3}.$$

Therefore $2e \leq 6v - 2n - 6$. We distinguish two cases

(1) Some interior vertex y of $\bar{\Gamma}_Q$ has valency at most $\Delta + 1$.

There are Δt edges in G_Q which are incident to y and connect y to parallel vertices. By Lemma 2.2(2), $\Delta t \leq (\Delta + 1)(\frac{t}{2} + 2)$, i.e. $t < 7$ and $t \neq 6$, a contradiction.

(2) All interior vertices of $\bar{\Gamma}_Q$ have valency at least $\Delta + 2$.

Suppose some boundary vertex y of $\bar{\Gamma}_Q$ which is not a ghost vertex has valency at most $\Delta - 1$. Then at least $(\Delta - 1)t + 2$ edges (must be even because T is a double covering of S) incident to y in G_Q connect y to parallel vertices. Again $(\Delta - 1)t + 2 \leq (\Delta - 1)(\frac{t}{2} + 2)$ by Lemma 2.2(2). Hence $t < 4$. Therefore all boundary vertices of $\bar{\Gamma}_Q$ which are not ghost vertices have valency at least Δ . Similarly each boundary vertex of $\bar{\Gamma}_Q$ which is a ghost vertex with i ghost edges has valency at

least $\Delta - i$. Since $\overline{\Gamma}_Q$ has at most k ghost edges, we get the following inequality (here $v - n$ is the number of interior vertices):

$$(1) \quad (\Delta + 2)(v - n) + \Delta n - k \leq 2e.$$

From two previous inequalities, we finally have $(\Delta - 4)v + 6 \leq k$, which contradicts that $k \leq \Delta/2 + 1$.

It was pointed out by the referee that the great (k) x -web Σ might have some separating vertices. Then after cutting along separating vertices, we can choose a subgraph of Σ which is also a great $(k/2 + \Delta)$ x -web containing no separating vertex, because there are at least two subgraphs of Σ containing only one separating vertex of Σ . Then we are able to use the following inequality instead of (1):

$$(\Delta + 2)(v - n) + \Delta n - \left(\frac{k}{2} + \Delta\right) \leq 2e.$$

Thus $(\Delta - 4)v + 6 - \Delta \leq k/2$, which again contradicts that $k \leq \Delta/2 + 1$. \square

Lemma 3.3. G_T contains a Scharlemann cycle.

Proof. We separate two cases.

(1) Suppose that there is a vertex x of G_Q such that for all labels y at least 2 edges incident to x at y connect x to antiparallel vertices.

Then the conclusion follows from case (1) of the proof of Lemma 5.1 of [Oh].

(2) Here we assume the negation of (1). That is, for each vertex x of G_Q there is a label $y(x)$ such that at least $\Delta - 1$ edges incident to x at $y(x)$ connect x to parallel vertices.

Let Λ_Q be an innermost connected component of the subgraph which is obtained from G_Q by deleting all edges connecting antiparallel vertices and all separating families of mutually parallel edges. Without loss of generality, we assume that in Λ_Q , every vertex, except possibly one, called a ghost vertex, which is an endpoint of a separating family of mutually parallel edges, has valency at least $(\Delta - 2)t + 2$. Then Λ_Q here is very similar to the graph Γ_Q described in the proof of Theorem 3.2. The same argument as the proof of Theorem 3.2 shows that there is no interior vertex of Λ_Q of valency at most $\Delta + 1$ in $\overline{\Lambda}_Q$, and so there is a boundary vertex, y , of Λ_Q which is not a ghost vertex and has valency at most $\Delta - 1$ in $\overline{\Lambda}_Q$ (here $\overline{\Lambda}_Q$ has at most one ghost vertex). By using Lemma 2.2(2) twice, $(\Delta - 2)t + 2 \leq (\Delta - 1)(\frac{t}{2} + 2)$, i.e. $t \leq 4(\Delta - 2)/(\Delta - 3)$ and $t \neq 6$. Thus $t = 8$ when $\Delta = 4$. That is, y has valency at least 18 in Λ_Q and at most 3 in $\overline{\Lambda}_Q$. By Lemma 2.2(2) again, these 18 edges consist of 3 families each of which has exactly 6 mutually parallel edges and so contains 2 S-cycles on disjoint label pairs. And adjacent 2 families contain 4 S-cycles on disjoint label pairs, which contradicts Lemma 2.2(1). \square

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1 when $t \geq 6$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Using Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, we are able to conclude that for all $\{1, \dots, q\}$ -types, τ , there are at least kt faces, i.e. more than $(\Delta/2)t$ faces, of G_T representing τ . Recall that \widehat{Q} is essential in $M(\pi)$ and G_T contains a Scharlemann cycle. Now we can apply the same arguments in the context of Sections 4, 5 and 6 in [GLu2] where they use the facts that $\Delta = 2$ and for every face type τ there are more than p (the number of boundary components of the other planar surface P) faces representing τ . In our case we have more than $(\Delta/2)t$ faces representing τ . In [GLu2] it is shown that there are a vertex v of G_Q

and certain face types such that each face of G_T representing such a type contains an edge incident to v , and this gives rise to too many edges in G_Q incident to v . The theorem follows. \square

4. EXCEPTIONAL CASES $t = 2$ OR 4

This section will be devoted to proving Theorem 1.1 in the special cases $t = 2$ and $t = 4$. We will make frequent use of the combinatorics of Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.3 throughout this section to complete the proof of the main theorem.

Lemma 4.1. *If $t = 2$ then $\Delta \leq 3$.*

Proof. Since G_S is a graph with one vertex, say 1, on a Klein bottle, the number of families of mutually parallel edges is at most 3, i.e. this vertex has valency at most 6 in \overline{G}_S . Hence $\Delta < 6$ by Lemma 2.1. Thus there are two cases.




FIGURE 1

(1) When $\Delta = 4$; G_S looks like Figure 1(a), where $1 < r < q$.

Here only the edges of family a correspond to an orientation-preserving curve on \widehat{S} and hence these edges connect antiparallel vertices of G_Q^S . Each pair of edges labelled x and $r+1-x$ (where $x = 1, 2, \dots, r$) of family a forms a cycle meeting vertices x and $r+1-x$ in G_Q^S . Choose an innermost such cycle with vertices, say x and $y = r+1-x$, which bounds a disk D such that there is no edge connecting antiparallel vertices in the interior of D , as in Figure 1(b). Since in G_S these edges are incident to 1 at non-adjacent occurrences of the label x , in G_Q^S they are non-adjacent at vertex x . Let e be the edge incident to x that lies in D . Let Γ be the subgraph of G_Q^S which consists of all vertices parallel to x in the interior of D and their connecting edges except e . Then every vertex of Γ , except the one which e is incident to, has even valency, namely 4. This contradicts a property of a graph.

(2) When $\Delta = 5$; We see G_S as shown in Figure 2(a), where $1 < r < \frac{q}{2}$.

Again only the edges of families a_1, a_2 and a_3 correspond to the edges connecting antiparallel vertices of G_Q^S . As in case (1), each pair of edges of families a_1 and a_3 is a cycle meeting two related vertices in G_Q^S . Then there is an innermost cycle with vertices, say x and y , which bounds a disk D such that D does not contain the vertices $1, \dots, r, \frac{q}{2}+1, \dots, \frac{q}{2}+r$. Now consider the edges incident to x and y in D . Since both boundary edges of D are incident to 1 at labels x (and y) and of the




FIGURE 2

same family in G_S , and x and y are antiparallel, if n edges are incident to x in the interior of D then $3-n$ edges are incident to y in the interior of D where $n = 0, 1, 2$ or 3 (as shown in Figure 2(b) when $n = 1$). Thus either x or y has odd valency in the interior of D . Furthermore for each vertex v in the interior of D , only one edge incident to v connects v to an antiparallel vertex (this edge is in family a_2 in G_S); i.e. exactly the other four edges connect v to parallel vertices. As is done in case (1), we can define a subgraph of G_Q^S , only one of whose vertices has odd valency, a contradiction. \square

Lemma 4.2. *If $t = 4$ then $\Delta \leq 3$.*

Proof. By an Euler characteristic count, \overline{G}_S has at most 6 edges with 2 vertices as shown in Figure 3(a). Hence its double cover \overline{G}_T is the graph illustrated in Figure 3(b) (or the same graph but with the vertices on the middle line labelled $+, -, -, +$) because the first and the third vertices come from the same vertex of \overline{G}_S , i.e. they are antiparallel.




FIGURE 3

We may assume that G_T contains a part of the graph as shown in Figure 4. Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3(2) imply the following inequality:

$$2(q-1) + 4\left(\frac{q}{2} + 1\right) = 4q + 2 \geq \Delta q.$$




FIGURE 4

Hence $\Delta \leq 4$. Assume that $\Delta = 4$. In Figure 4 r must be odd by the parity rule.

Suppose that families a and b have a total of $q+2$ edges, i.e. each family has $q/2+1$ edges. Lemma 2.3(2) implies that each family contains an S-cycle on the side. Thus family a contains $\{1, 2\}$ or $\{\frac{q}{2}, \frac{q}{2}+1\}$ S-cycle and family b contains $\{\frac{q}{2}+2, \frac{q}{2}+3\}$ or $\{1, 2\}$ S-cycle. If both families contain $\{1, 2\}$ S-cycles, then we have labels 1, 2, 1 and 2 successively on mark A indicated in Figure 4. It is impossible. Thus G_T contains two S-cycles on distinct label pairs in each family, contradicting Lemma 2.3(1).

Therefore families a and b have q edges, i.e. $r = 1$. Then each family c and d has $q/2+1$ edges. By Lemma 2.3(1) and (2) again, both families contain $\{\frac{q}{2}, \frac{q}{2}+1\}$ S-cycles on the side. If 2 edges on the left side of family d form $\{\frac{q}{2}, \frac{q}{2}+1\}$ S-cycle, then families a and b must have $q-2$ edges. Thus 2 edges on the other side form $\{\frac{q}{2}, \frac{q}{2}+1\}$ S-cycle, i.e. $s = 1$. This implies that the middle 2 edges of family a form an S-cycle which do not have labels $\{\frac{q}{2}, \frac{q}{2}+1\}$, a contradiction. \square

REFERENCES

- [BZ1] S. Boyer and X. Zhang, *Finite Dehn Surgery On Knots*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1996), 1005–1050. CMP 96:15
- [BZ2] ———, *The Semi-norm and Dehn Filling*, preprint.
- [BZ3] ———, *Reducing Dehn Filling and Toroidal Dehn Filling*, preprint.
- [CGLS] M. Culler, C. Gordon, J. Luecke and P. Shalen, *Dehn surgery on knots*, Ann. of Math. 125 (1987) 237–300. MR 88a:57026
- [Go1] C. Gordon, *Dehn surgery on knots*, Proc. Int. Congress of Math., Kyoto 1990, 631–642. MR 93e:57006
- [Go2] ———, *Boundary slopes of punctured tori in 3-manifolds*, preprint.
- [GLu1] C. Gordon and J. Luecke, *Knots are determined by their complements*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 2 (1989) 371–415. MR 90a:57006a
- [GLu2] ———, *Reducible manifolds and Dehn surgery*, Topology 35 (1996), 385–409. CMP 96:10
- [GLu3] ———, *Dehn surgeries on knots creating essential tori, I*, Communications in Analysis and Geometry 3 (1995), 597–644. MR 96k:57003
- [GLi] C. Gordon and R. Litherland, *Incompressible planar surfaces in 3-manifolds*, Topology and its Appl. 18 (1984) 121–144. MR 86e:57013
- [Ja] W. Jaco, *Lectures on three-manifold topology*, CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. Math. 43 (1980). MR 81k:57009
- [Oh] S. Oh, *Reducible and toroidal 3-manifolds obtained by Dehn fillings*, Topology and its Appl. 75 (1997), 93–104. CMP 97:05
- [Ru] H. Rubinstein, *On 3-manifolds that have finite fundamental groups and contain Klein bottles*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 251 (1979) 129–137.

- [Th] W. Thurston, *Three dimensional manifolds, Kleinian groups, and hyperbolic geometry*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 6 (1982) 357-381. MR **83h**:57019
- [Wu1] Y. Wu, *The reducibility of surgered 3-manifolds*, Topology and its Appl. 43 (1992) 213-218. MR **93e**:57032
- [Wu2] ———, *Dehn fillings producing reducible manifold and toroidal manifold*, preprint.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN, AUSTIN, TEXAS 78712

E-mail address: `soh@math.utexas.edu`

Current address: Department of Mathematics, KAIST, 373-1 Kusungdong Yusunggu, Taejeon, Korea 305-701