

## CHARACTERIZATIONS OF CONTRACTION $C$ -SEMIGROUPS

MIAO LI AND FALUN HUANG

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

**ABSTRACT.** A  $C$ -semigroup  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is of contractions if  $\|T(t)x\| \leq \|Cx\|$  for  $t \geq 0$ ,  $x \in X$ . Using the Hille-Yosida space, we completely characterize the generators of contraction  $C$ -semigroups. We also give the Lumer-Phillips theorem for  $C$ -semigroups in several special cases.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of exponentially bounded  $C$ -semigroup was introduced by Davies and Pang [1]. Recently, the theory of  $C$ -semigroup has been extensively developed by many authors [2, 7, 9]. This theory allows us to study many ill-posed abstract Cauchy problems.

The starting point of this paper is to try to give an answer to the question asked by R. deLaubenfels in [3, Open question 6.10]: Does there exist an analogue of the Lumer-Phillips theorem for  $C$ -semigroups? Since the Lumer-Phillips theorem characterizes the generators of contraction  $C_0$ -semigroups, this gives us the motivation to make a suitable definition for the contractions of  $C$ -semigroups and then characterize the generators.

On the other hand, many works have generalized the Hille-Yosida theorem to  $C$ -semigroups. Earlier, Davies and Pang [1] gave a characterization of an exponentially bounded  $C$ -semigroup under the assumption that  $R(C)$  is dense in  $X$ . Later, Tanaka and Miyadera [7] generalized their results to the case of  $R(C)$  not dense, and they gave a sufficient and necessary condition for a closed linear operator with dense domain to be the generator of an exponentially bounded  $C$ -semigroup. After defining the contraction  $C$ -semigroup, we are also interested in characterizing the generator by the Hille-Yosida type theorem. Here the main difficulty we meet with is that the generator may not be densely defined, we choose the Hille-Yosida space to give an additional condition on the generator.

This paper is organized as follows. §2 is devoted to some preliminaries on  $C$ -semigroups. In §3 we characterize the generators of contraction  $C$ -semigroups in general cases, and under the assumption that  $C(D(A))$  is dense in  $R(C)$ , the characterization can be simplified. §4 deals with several special cases of  $\rho(A) \neq \emptyset$  or

---

Received by the editors June 13, 1996 and, in revised form, September 23, 1996.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47D03.

*Key words and phrases.*  $C$ -semigroups,  $C_0$ -semigroups, contraction, dissipative.

This project was supported by the National Science Foundation of China.

$\overline{R(C)} = X$ , we obtain both the Hille-Yosida theorem and the Lumer-Phillips theorem in such cases. This means that we partly give the answer to the question mentioned above in the affirmative.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper,  $X$  will be a Banach space. The space of all bounded linear operators on  $X$  will be denoted by  $B(X)$ , and  $C$  will always be an injective operator in  $B(X)$ . For an operator  $A$ , we will write  $D(A)$  for its domain,  $R(A)$  for its range and  $\rho(A)$  for its resolvent set, and we will write  $\bar{E}$  for the closure of a subspace of  $X, E$ .

First, we recall the definition of  $C$ -semigroups.

**Definition 2.1.** A strongly continuous family  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0} \subset B(X)$  is called a  $C$ -semigroup if  $T(t+s)C = T(t)T(s)$  for  $t, s \geq 0$  and  $T(0) = C$ .  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is exponentially bounded if there exist  $M < \infty$  and  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\|T(t)\| \leq M e^{\omega t}$ .

The generator of  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ ,  $A$ , is defined by

$$Ax = C^{-1} \left[ \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (T(t)x - Cx) \right]$$

with

$$D(A) = \left\{ x \in X : \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (T(t)x - Cx) \text{ exists and is in } R(C) \right\}.$$

The complex number  $\lambda$  is in  $\rho_C(A)$ , the  $C$ -resolvent of  $A$ , if  $(\lambda - A)$  is injective and  $R(C) \subseteq R(\lambda - A)$ .

**Lemma 2.2** ([4, 7]). Suppose  $A$  generates a  $C$ -semigroup  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  satisfying  $\|T(t)\| \leq M e^{\omega t}$ . Then

- (a)  $A$  is a closed linear operator with  $\overline{D(A)} \supseteq R(C)$ ;
- (b)  $\forall x \in X$ ,  $T(t)x = Cx + A \int_0^t T(s)x ds$ , which implies  $T(\cdot)x$  is a mild solution for the abstract Cauchy problem

$$(1) \quad \frac{d}{dt} u(t) = Au(t), \quad u(0) = x;$$

- (c)  $\forall x \in D(A)$  and  $t \geq 0$ ,  $T(t)x \in D(A)$  with  $AT(t)x = T(t)Ax$ ;
- (d)  $A = C^{-1}AC$ , where  $D(C^{-1}AC) = \{x \in X : Cx \in D(A) \text{ and } ACx \in R(C)\}$ ;
- (e)  $(\omega, \infty) \subseteq \rho_C(A)$ . For every  $r > \omega$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $D((r - A)^{-n}) \supseteq R(C)$  and

$$(2) \quad (r - A)^{-n}C = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^\infty t^{n-1} e^{-rt} T(t) dt$$

which implies  $\|(r - \omega)^n(r - A)^{-n}C\| \leq M$ .

Next we need to introduce the Hille-Yosida space for an operator, for the details we refer to [4].

**Definition 2.3.** Suppose  $A$  has no eigenvalues in  $(0, \infty)$ . The *Hille-Yosida space* for  $A$ ,  $Z_0$ , is the Banach space defined by

$$Z_0 = \{x \in X : \text{The Cauchy problem (1) has a bounded uniformly continuous mild solution } u(\cdot, x)\}$$

with

$$\|x\|_{Z_0} = \sup\{\|u(t, x)\|; t \geq 0\} \quad \text{for } x \in Z_0.$$

And the *weak Hille-Yosida space* for  $A, Y$ , is the Banach space defined by

$$Y = \{x \in X : x \in R((s - A)^n) \forall s > 0, n \in \mathbf{N} \text{ with}$$

$$\|x\|_Y = \sup\{s^n\|(s - A)^{-n}x\|; s > 0, n + 1 \in \mathbf{N}\} < \infty\}.$$

The relation between  $Z_0$  and  $Y$  is as follows.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Suppose  $A$  has no eigenvalues in  $(0, \infty)$ , and  $Z_0$  and  $Y$  are defined as above. Then*

- (a)  $Z_0 \subset Y$  and  $\|x\|_{Z_0} = \|x\|_Y$  for all  $x \in X$ ;
- (b)  $Z_0$  is the closure, in  $Y$ , of  $D(A|_Y)$ , where  $D(A|_Y) = \{x \in Y \cap D(A) : Ax \in Y\}$ ;
- (c)  $A|_{Z_0}$  generates a contraction  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $Z_0$ .

### 3. CHARACTERIZATIONS OF CONTRACTION $C$ -SEMIGROUPS

A  $C$ -semigroup  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is *of contractions* if  $\|T(t)x\| \leq \|Cx\|$  for  $t \geq 0$  and  $x \in X$ . In this section, we give the characterizations of the generators of contraction  $C$ -semigroups. We start with the following

**Proposition 3.1.** *Suppose  $A$  generates a contraction  $C$ -semigroup, then*

- (a)  $(0, \infty) \subseteq \rho_C(A)$ , and for  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $x \in X$ ,  $R(C) \subseteq R((\lambda - A)^n)$  with

$$\lambda^n\|(\lambda - A)^{-n}Cx\| \leq \|Cx\|;$$

- (b) for every  $x \in D(A)$ , there exists an  $x^* \in F(Cx)$ , that is,  $x^* \in X^*$ ,  $\|x^*\| = \|Cx\|$  and  $x^*(Cx) = \|Cx\|^2$ , such that

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle CAx, x^* \rangle \leq 0,$$

where  $\langle x, x^* \rangle$  denotes the value of  $x^*$  at  $x$ .

*Proof.* (a) follows directly from Lemma 2.2(e).

Let  $x \in D(A)$  and  $x^* \in F(Cx)$ . Then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\langle \frac{T(t)x - Cx}{t}, x^* \right\rangle \leq \operatorname{Re}\left\langle \frac{T(t)x}{t}, x^* \right\rangle - \frac{\|Cx\|^2}{t} \leq 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0,$$

hence

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle CAx, x^* \rangle = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \operatorname{Re}\left\langle \frac{T(t)x - Cx}{t}, x^* \right\rangle \leq 0.$$

This is (b). □

**Remark 3.2.** If an operator  $A$  with  $CA \subseteq AC$  satisfies (b), we call  $A$   *$C$ -dissipative*. Similar to the proof of [5, Chapter 1, Theorem 4.2], we can prove that  $A$  is  $C$ -dissipative if and only if  $\|(\lambda - A)Cx\| \geq \lambda\|Cx\| \forall x \in D(A)$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Note that if  $\lambda\|(\lambda - A)^{-1}Cx\| \leq \|Cx\|$  for all  $x \in X$ , then for  $x \in D(A)$ ,

$$\|(\lambda - A)Cx\| = \|C(\lambda - A)x\| \geq \|\lambda(\lambda - A)^{-1}C(\lambda - A)x\| = \lambda\|Cx\|.$$

Using the Hille-Yosida space, we can completely characterize the generators.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $A$  be an operator on  $X$ . Then  $A$  generates a contraction  $C$ -semigroup if and only if  $A$  satisfies*

- (a)  $A = C^{-1}AC$ ;
- (b)  $(0, \infty) \subseteq \rho_C(A)$ ,  $R(C) \subseteq R((\lambda - A)^n)$  and  $\lambda^n \|(\lambda - A)^{-n}Cx\| \leq \|Cx\|$  for  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $x \in X$ ;
- (c) for some  $\lambda \geq 0$ , the Hille-Yosida space for  $A - \lambda I$ , denoted by  $Z_\lambda$ , contains  $R(C)$ .

*Proof.* For the necessity, Lemma 2.2(d) and Proposition 3.1 imply (a) and (b). It remains to show (c). Let  $\lambda > 0$  and define  $S(t) = e^{-\lambda t}T(t)$  for  $t \geq 0$ . Thus  $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a bounded uniformly strongly continuous  $C$ -semigroup, generated by  $A - \lambda I$ . By Lemma 2.2(b) and Definition 2.3,  $R(C)$  is contained in the Hille-Yosida space for  $A - \lambda I$ , i.e.,  $Z_\lambda$ .

Conversely, let  $A_\lambda = A|_Z$ . By Lemma 2.4,  $A_\lambda - \lambda I$  generates a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions,  $e^{t(A_\lambda - \lambda I)}$ , on  $(Z_\lambda, \|\cdot\|_{Z_\lambda})$ , which implies  $e^{tA_\lambda}$  is also a  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $(Z_\lambda, \|\cdot\|_{Z_\lambda})$ .

For  $t \geq 0$ , define  $W(t) : X \rightarrow X$  by  $W(t) = e^{tA_\lambda}C$ ; we show that  $\{W(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a  $C$ -semigroup generated by  $A$ .

In fact, by (a),  $CA \subseteq AC$ , so that  $C$  commutes with  $e^{tA_\lambda}$  for  $t \geq 0$ . Thus

$$W(t+s)Cx = e^{(t+s)A_\lambda}C^2x = e^{tA_\lambda}Ce^{sA_\lambda}Cx = W(t)W(s)x,$$

that is,  $W(t+s)C = W(t)W(s)$ .

Moreover, if  $x \in D(A)$ , then  $Cx \in Z_\lambda \cap D(A)$  with  $ACx = CAx \in Z_\lambda$ , so that  $Cx \in D(A_\lambda)$ , which implies that  $e^{tA_\lambda}Cx$  is differentiable and

$$Ae^{tA_\lambda}Cx = A_\lambda e^{tA_\lambda}Cx = e^{tA_\lambda}A_\lambda Cx = e^{tA_\lambda}CAx,$$

hence  $W(t)x \in D(A)$  with  $AW(t)x = W(t)Ax$ . So  $W(t)$  is generated by an extension of  $A$ . To show  $A$  is the generator, we only need to prove that  $A$  is closed. It is exactly as in the proof of [9, Lemma 2.2].

Finally, by (b) and the exponential formulas for  $C$ -semigroups, we have

$$\|W(t)x\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \left(1 - \frac{t}{n}A\right)^{-n}Cx \right\| \leq \|Cx\|,$$

so that  $\{W(t)\}$  is of contractions.  $\square$

Condition (c) in Theorem 3.3 seems to be difficult to check, but in the case of  $C(D(A))$  dense in  $R(C)$ , it can be omitted.

**Theorem 3.4.** Suppose  $C(D(A))$  is dense in  $R(C)$ . Then  $A$  generates a contraction  $C$ -semigroup if and only if  $A$  satisfies (a) and (b) in Theorem 3.3.

*Proof.* We only need to show the sufficiency.

If  $x \in D(A)$ , then  $Cx \in D(A)$  with  $ACx = CAx$  by (a). By (b),  $R(C) \subseteq Y$ , the weak Hille-Yosida space for  $A$ , since  $Z_0$  is the closure of  $D(A|_Y)$  in  $Y$  by Lemma 2.4, we have  $Cx \in Z_0$ .

For all  $x \in X$ , there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset D(A)$ , such that  $Cx_n \rightarrow Cx$ , in  $X$ . Moreover, for  $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$ , by Lemma 2.4,

$$\|Cx_n - Cx_m\|_{Z_0} = \|Cx_n - Cx_m\|_Y \leq \|Cx_n - Cx_m\|,$$

so that  $\{Cx_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $Z_0$ , which implies  $R(C) \subseteq Z_0$ . So Theorem 3.4 follows from Theorem 3.3.  $\square$

From the proof above we know that in this case we can choose  $\lambda = 0$  in Theorem 3.3(c).

Note that if  $D(A)$  is dense in  $X$ , then  $C(D(A))$  is dense in  $R(C)$ . However, [2, Example 6.2] gave an example of a  $C$ -semigroup whose generator  $A$  is not densely defined while  $C(D(A))$  is dense in  $R(C)$ .

#### 4. SPECIAL CASES

In this section, we make some applications of the results from the preceding section. First we give a sufficient condition that  $C(D(A))$  is dense in  $R(C)$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Suppose that  $A$  generates an exponentially bounded  $C$ -semigroup and there exists a sequence  $\{\lambda_n\} \subset \rho(A)$ , such that  $\lambda_n \rightarrow +\infty$ , then  $C(D(A))$  is dense in  $R(C)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ , then for  $\forall x \in X$ ,  $(\lambda - A)^{-1}Cx = C(\lambda - A)^{-1}x \in C(D(A))$ . An estimation using Eq. (2) yields that  $\lambda(\lambda - A)^{-1}Cx \rightarrow Cx$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$ . So that  $\lambda_n(\lambda_n - A)^{-1}Cx \rightarrow Cx(n \rightarrow \infty)$ , and since  $\lambda_n(\lambda_n - A)^{-1}Cx \in C(D(A))$ , our result holds.  $\square$

The next lemma will be needed in the sequel.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $A$  be a closed linear operator with  $CA \subseteq AC$ . Suppose  $0 \neq \lambda \in \rho_C(A)$  and  $\lambda \|(\lambda - A)^{-1}Cx\| \leq \|Cx\|$ ,  $\forall x \in X$ . Then  $R(\lambda - A) \supseteq \overline{R(C)}$ , and  $\lambda \|(\lambda - A)^{-1}x\| \leq \|x\|$  for all  $x \in R(C)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \overline{R(C)}$ . There exists a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  such that  $Cx_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Define  $x'_n = (\lambda - A)^{-1}Cx_n$ , thus

$$\|x'_n - x'_m\| = \|(\lambda - A)^{-1}C(x_n - x_m)\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \|C(x_n - x_m)\|$$

for  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , so  $\{x'_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose  $x'_n \rightarrow x_0 \in X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $(\lambda - A)x'_n = Cx_n$  and  $A$  is closed, it follows that  $x_0 \in D(A)$  and  $(\lambda - A)x_0 = x$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \|(\lambda - A)^{-1}x\| &= \lambda \|x_0\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x'_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda \|(\lambda - A)^{-1}Cx_n\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Cx_n\| = \|x\|, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.  $\square$

Now we can apply Theorem 3.4 to the case of  $\rho(A) \neq \emptyset$ . It is remarked that since  $\rho(A) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $CA \subseteq AC$  implies  $A = C^{-1}AC$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $A$  be an operator on  $X$ . Suppose that  $(0, \infty) \subseteq \rho(A)$ . Then  $A$  generates a contraction  $C$ -semigroup if and only if  $A$  satisfies*

- (a)  $CA \subseteq AC$ ;
- (b)  $\lambda \|(\lambda - A)^{-1}Cx\| \leq \|Cx\|$  for  $\lambda > 0$  and  $x \in X$ ;
- (c)  $C(D(A))$  is dense in  $R(C)$ .

*Proof.* Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 4.2 imply the necessity.

Conversely, we define an operator  $B$  on  $X$  by

$$D(B) = \{Cx : x \in D(A)\}, \quad Bx = Ax \quad \text{for } x \in D(B).$$

So that  $D(B) = C(D(A))$  and  $R(B) \subseteq R(C)$ . For  $\lambda > 0$ , since  $(\lambda - A)^{-1}Cx = C(\lambda - A)^{-1}x$ , so  $R(\lambda - B) \supseteq R(C)$  and  $\lambda \|(\lambda - B)^{-1}Cx\| = \lambda \|(\lambda - A)^{-1}Cx\| \leq$

$\|Cx\|$ . From Remark 3.2, we know that  $B$  is dissipative on  $(\overline{R(C)}, \|\cdot\|)$ . Since  $D(B) = C(D(A)) = \overline{R(C)}$ , by [5, Chapter 1, Theorem 4.3],  $B$  is closable in  $\overline{R(C)}$  (hence in  $X$ ), and the closure of  $B$  in  $(\overline{R(C)}, \|\cdot\|)$  (or  $X$ ),  $\bar{B}$ , is dissipative on  $(\overline{R(C)}, \|\cdot\|)$ . By Lemma 4.2,  $R(\lambda - \bar{B}) = \overline{R(C)}$  for  $\lambda > 0$ . Therefore, the Lumer-Phillips theorem for  $C_0$ -semigroups implies that  $\bar{B}$  generates a contraction  $C_0$ -semigroup,  $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ , on  $(\overline{R(C)}, \|\cdot\|)$ . Define  $T(t) : X \rightarrow X$  by  $T(t) = S(t)C$ . Thus  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a  $C$ -semigroup of contractions on  $X$ . For  $x \in D(A)$ ,

$$\frac{T(t)x - Cx}{t} = \frac{S(t)Cx - Cx}{t} \rightarrow BCx = CAx,$$

so that an extension of  $A$  is the generator, and since  $\rho(A) \neq \emptyset$ , it is exactly  $A$ .  $\square$

*Remark 4.4.* (a) The conditions (a)–(c) in Theorem 4.3 are equivalent to (a), (c) and (b)'  $A$  is  $C$ -dissipative. In fact, by Remark 3.2, (b)' implies that  $\|\lambda(\lambda - A)Cx\| \geq \lambda\|Cx\|$  ( $\lambda > 0, x \in D(A)$ ). Since  $(0, \infty) \subseteq \rho(A)$ , for  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$\|Cx\| = \|(\lambda - A)C(\lambda - A)^{-1}x\| \geq \lambda\|C(\lambda - A)^{-1}x\| = \lambda\|(\lambda - A)^{-1}Cx\|,$$

which is (b).

(b) In [2, Theorem 3.3], it is claimed that if  $\rho(A) \neq \emptyset$  and  $A$  generates a  $C$ -semigroup of  $O(e^{\omega t})$ , then  $(\omega, \infty) \subseteq \rho(A)$ . However, there appears to be a gap in the argument, because it fails to prove that, if  $C^{-1}$  and  $(r - A)$  both have resolvents that commute, then  $C^{-1}(r - A) = (r - A)C^{-1}$ . Here is a counterexample, suggested by deLaubenfels himself. Take  $X = BC([0, \infty))$ , the space of all bounded continuous functions on  $[0, \infty)$  with supremum norm. Define  $(Af)(s) = -sf(s)$  with  $D(A) = \{f \in X, Af \in X\}$  and  $(Cf)(s) = \frac{s}{1+s}f(s)$  for  $s \geq 0$ . Then  $\sigma(A)$  (the spectrum of  $A$ ) =  $(-\infty, 0]$ , and  $C^{-1}(\lambda - A) = (\lambda - A)C^{-1}$  for all  $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ . It is obvious that the function  $f(s) = \frac{1}{1+s}$  is in  $D(C^{-1}A)$  but is not in  $D(AC^{-1})$ . Thus  $C^{-1}A \neq AC^{-1}$ . We do not know whether the claimed result remains true.

Let us consider the case when  $\rho(A) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $C = (r - A)^{-n}$ , where  $r \in \rho(A)$  and  $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ . From [9, Lemma 6.1], we know  $\rho_C(A) = \rho(A)$ . Since  $R(C) = D(A^n)$  and  $C(D(A)) = D(A^{n+1})$ , as a direct consequence of Theorem 4.3, we have

**Corollary 4.5.** Suppose  $r \in \rho(A) \neq \emptyset$ , let  $C = (r - A)^{-n}$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (a)  $A$  generates a contraction  $C$ -semigroup;
- (b)  $A$  satisfies
  - (i)  $(0, \infty) \subseteq \rho_C(A)$ ,
  - (ii)  $\forall x \in D(A^n)$  and  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\|\lambda(\lambda - A)^{-1}x\| \leq \|x\|$ ,
  - (iii)  $D(A^{n+1})$  is dense in  $D(A^n)$ ;
- (c)  $A$  satisfies (i), (iii) and
  - (ii)'  $A$  is  $C$ -dissipative.

In the case of  $\overline{R(C)} = X$ , the generator of a contraction  $C$ -semigroup is in fact the generator of a contraction  $C_0$ -semigroup.

**Theorem 4.6.** Suppose  $\overline{R(C)} = X$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- (a)  $A$  generates a contraction  $C$ -semigroup  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ ;
- (b)  $A$  generates a contraction  $C_0$ -semigroup  $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  and  $CA \subseteq AC$ ;
- (c)  $A$  satisfies
  - (i)  $A$  is closed and  $CA \subseteq AC$ ,

- (ii)  $(0, \infty) \subseteq \rho_C(A)$  and  $\lambda \|(\lambda - A)^{-1}Cx\| \leq \|Cx\|$  for  $\lambda > 0, x \in X$ ,
- (iii)  $D(A)$  is dense;
- (d)  $A$  satisfies (i), (iii) and
- (ii)'  $(0, \infty) \subseteq \rho_C(A)$  and  $A$  is  $C$ -dissipative.

*Proof.* (a) $\Rightarrow$ (c) and (c) $\Rightarrow$ (d) are obvious.

(c) $\Rightarrow$ (b). By Lemma 4.2, (ii) implies  $R(\lambda - A) = X$  and  $\lambda \|(\lambda - A)^{-1}x\| \leq \|x\|$  for  $\lambda > 0$  and  $x \in X$ , applying the Hille-Yosida theorem for  $C_0$ -semigroups to  $A$  gives (b).

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (a). By defining  $T(t) = S(t)C$ , it is not hard to show that  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a contraction  $C$ -semigroup generated by  $A$ .

(d) $\Rightarrow$ (c). Since  $A$  is  $C$ -dissipative,  $\forall x \in D(A)$ , we have  $\|(\lambda - A)Cx\| \geq \lambda \|Cx\|$ , so that for  $x \in R(\lambda - A)$ ,

$$\|Cx\| = \|(\lambda - A)C(\lambda - A)^{-1}x\| \geq \lambda \|C(\lambda - A)^{-1}x\| = \lambda \|(\lambda - A)^{-1}Cx\|.$$

Since  $R(\lambda - A) \supseteq R(C)$ , which is dense in  $X$ , a similar proof as that of Lemma 4.2 will do.  $\square$

Consider when  $B$  generates a contraction  $C_0$ -semigroup  $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  on  $\overline{R(C)}$  and  $CB \subseteq BC$ . Define  $T(t) = S(t)C$  ( $t \geq 0$ ), we get a contraction  $C$ -semigroup  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  on  $X$ . Suppose  $A$  is the generator. It is not hard to verify that  $B = A|_{\overline{R(C)}}$ . For the converse, in the case of Theorem 4.3, we know it is true.

**Open Question.** Suppose  $A$  is the generator of a contraction  $C$ -semigroup on a Banach space  $X$ . Does there exist a restriction of  $A$ ,  $A'$ , which is a generator of a contraction  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $\overline{R(C)}$ ?

#### REFERENCES

1. E. B. Davies and M. M. Pang, *The Cauchy problem and a generalization of the Hille-Yosida theorem*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **55** (1987), 181–208. MR **88e**:34100
2. R. deLaubenfels,  *$C$ -semigroups and the Cauchy problem*, J. Funct. Anal. **111** (1993), 44–61. MR **94b**:47055
3. ———,  *$C$ -semigroups and strongly continuous semigroups*, Israel J. Math. **81** (1993), 227–255. MR **95d**:47047
4. ———, *Existence families, functional calculi and evolution equations*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1570, Springer Verlag, 1994. MR **96b**:47047
5. A. Pazy, *Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983. MR **85g**:47061
6. N. Tanaka, *On the exponentially bounded  $C$ -semigroups*, Tokyo J. Math. **10** (1987), 107–117. MR **88h**:47063
7. N. Tanaka and I. Miyadera, *Exponentially bounded  $C$ -semigroups and integrated semigroups*, Tokyo J. Math. **12** (1989), 99–115. MR **90g**:47081
8. ———, *Exponentially bounded  $C$ -semigroups and generation of semigroups*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **143** (1989), 358–378. MR **90k**:47087
9. Q. Zheng and Liping Liu, *Almost periodic regularized groups, semigroups, and cosine functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **197** (1996), 90–162. MR **96m**:47076