

DERIVATIONS IMPLEMENTED BY LOCAL MULTIPLIERS

MARTIN MATHIEU

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

ABSTRACT. A condition on a derivation of an arbitrary C^* -algebra is presented entailing that it is implemented as an inner derivation by a local multiplier.

It is an outstanding open question whether every derivation of a C^* -algebra A can be implemented as an inner derivation by a local multiplier, that is, an element in the direct limit of the multiplier algebras of the closed essential ideals of A . An affirmative answer was given by Elliott [4] for AF -algebras, and by Pedersen [11] for general separable C^* -algebras. In fact, it suffices to assume that every closed essential ideal of A is σ -unital; hence Pedersen's result entails Sakai's theorem that every derivation of a simple unital C^* -algebra is inner. But only an affirmative answer in the non-separable case would cover, extend and unify the results that every derivation of a simple C^* -algebra is inner in the multiplier algebra [13] and that all derivations of von Neumann algebras [6], [12] and AW^* -algebras [10] are inner. This quest becomes even more attractive by the recent results in [9] and [14] implying that, if a derivation δ on A is inner in the multiplier algebra, then there is a *local* multiplier a of A implementing δ such that $\|\delta\| = 2\|a\|$.

No progress on the above question seems to have been made since it was raised in [11] (see also [4]). The purpose of this note is to present a criterion on a given derivation δ of a (possibly non-separable) C^* -algebra A implying that δ is inner in the local multiplier algebra $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$. Though this criterion, inspired by Herstein's work [5], is rather algebraic in nature, it is hoped that some approximate version may eventually yield a positive solution of the general problem.

1. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, $M(A)$ will denote the multiplier algebra of the C^* -algebra A . A left ideal L of A is said to be *essential* if its left annihilator $L^\perp = \{a \in A \mid aL = 0\}$ is zero. For a (closed) two-sided ideal I , the left annihilator coincides with the right annihilator, and $I + I^\perp$ is a (closed) essential ideal. Given two closed essential ideals I, J in A such that $J \subseteq I$, J is an essential ideal in $M(I)$ and hence $M(I)$ embeds isometrically into $M(J)$. Forming the C^* -direct limit of the directed family of multiplier algebras so obtained yields *the local multiplier algebra* of A ,

Received by the editors September 23, 1996.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46L57; Secondary 47B47, 16N60.

Key words and phrases. C^* -algebras, derivations, local multipliers.

This work was done while the author was a Visiting Fellow at *The Fields Institute for Research in Mathematical Sciences*, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada, supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), to both of which he is very grateful.

denoted by $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$. If we merely take the algebraic direct limit, we obtain a dense $*$ -subalgebra of $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ which is called *the bounded symmetric algebra of quotients*, $Q_b(A)$ of A . The reason for this terminology is that $Q_b(A)$ is the bounded part of the purely algebraic version, *the symmetric ring of quotients* $Q_s(A)$ of A in the sense of Kharchenko, where A is considered as a semiprime ring only. For more details on $Q_s(A)$ we refer to [7]. Another important interrelation between $Q_s(A)$ and $Q_b(A)$ is noted in [1]: every element $q \in Q_s(A)$ can be written as $c^{-1}q_0$, where $q_0 \in Q_b(A)$, c belongs to C_b , the center of $Q_b(A)$, and is not a divisor of zero. The commutative $*$ -algebra C_b is dense in the center of $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ [2] and is the bounded part of the center C of $Q_s(A)$; thus it is called *the bounded extended centroid* of A . Whenever J is an ideal of A , there is a unique projection $c(J)$ in C_b such that the annihilator of JC in AC is $(1 - c(J))AC$; we call $c(J)$ *the central support* of J . If $x \in A$ then $e_x := c(AxA)$ is the central support of x (which is in fact the central support projection of x within the AW^* -algebra $Z(M_{\text{loc}}(A))$). Whenever $a, b \in M(A)$, we shall denote by $M_{a,b}$ the two-sided multiplication $x \mapsto axb$ on A , and by δ_a the inner derivation $x \mapsto xa - ax$.

It has emerged that, in working with local multipliers, it is often rather expedient and sometimes inevitable to also appeal to the surrounding algebraic framework, that is, to work within $Q_s(A)$ instead of $Q_b(A)$ only. The reason is the following. There is no way of making a non-invertible element of a C^* -algebra A invertible by enlarging A to a bigger C^* -algebra, but in $Q_s(A)$ such an element may become invertible, and hence many more equations can be solved within the non- C^* -algebra $Q_s(A)$. At the end, an additional argument is then needed to finally find the solution (to the original problem) within the C^* -algebraic frame, that is, $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$. Thus, working with local multipliers typically divides into two steps, a first purely algebraic one and a second, entirely independent analytic argument. This route is very well illustrated in [3], and we shall follow it subsequently again.

2. THE RESULTS

The analytic step in our arguments is provided by the following observation.

Lemma. *Let L be an essential left ideal in a C^* -algebra A . Let $f: J \rightarrow A$ be a linear mapping defined on a subspace J of A . If, for some derivation $\delta: A \rightarrow A$, the identity*

$$f(x)u = -x\delta(u) \quad (x \in J, u \in L)$$

holds, then f is bounded with norm at most $\|\delta\|$.

Proof. Let π be an irreducible representation of A . By hypothesis,

$$\pi(f(x)y)\pi(z)\pi(u) = -\pi(x)\delta_\pi(\pi(yzu))$$

for all $x \in J$, $y, z \in A$ and $u \in L$, where δ_π denotes the induced derivation on $\pi(A)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_{\pi(f(x)y), \pi(u)} \pi(z)\| &\leq \|\pi(x)\| \|\delta_\pi\| \|\pi(y)\| \|\pi(z)\| \|\pi(u)\| \\ &\leq \|x\| \|\delta\| \|y\| \|\pi(z)\| \|\pi(u)\|, \end{aligned}$$

wherefore

$$\|M_{\pi(f(x)y), \pi(u)}\| \leq \|x\| \|\delta\| \|y\| \|\pi(u)\|$$

for all $x \in J$, $y \in A$ and $u \in L$. Let I be the closed ideal $\overline{LL^*}$. If $\ker \pi$ does not contain I , there is $u \in L$ such that $\pi(u) \neq 0$. By [8, Proposition 2.3], $\|M_{\pi(f(x)y), \pi(u)}\| = \|\pi(f(x)y)\| \|\pi(u)\|$, whence the above inequality entails that

$$\|\pi(f(x)y)\| \leq \|x\| \|\delta\| \|y\|.$$

Since each irreducible representation of I extends to an irreducible representation of A not vanishing on I , it follows that $\|f(x)y\| \leq \|x\| \|\delta\| \|y\|$ for all $x \in J$ and $y \in I$. Since I is essential (as L is essential), we conclude that

$$\|f(x)\| = \sup \{\|f(x)y\| \mid y \in I, \|y\| \leq 1\} \leq \|\delta\| \|x\|$$

for all $x \in J$, as required. □

We shall apply this lemma below to show that a certain derivation that is inner when extended to $Q_s(A)$, is in fact inner in $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$. The most general result on innerness of derivations in the local multiplier algebra so far has been Pedersen's result [11, Proposition 2]. (We use this occasion to note that one of the assertions in [11, Lemma 1], viz. the absolute summability of $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$, is not proved and in fact cannot be proven, as simple counterexamples show. Fortunately, this does not interfere with the subsequent applications of [11, Lemma 1].) Pedersen's condition is on the algebra (A has to be separable), whereas our condition is on the derivation itself. Possibly a synthesis of weakened versions of both may result in the solution of the general question.

Theorem A. *Let δ be a derivation of a C^* -algebra A . Suppose there exist an essential left ideal L of A and an element $a \in A$ satisfying $a\delta L = 0$ and $(1 - e_a)\delta L = 0$. Then there is $h \in Q_b(A)$ such that*

$$\delta = \delta_h, \quad ah = 0, \quad Lh = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \|h\| \leq \|\delta\|.$$

Proof. For all $u \in L$ and $y \in A$ we have

$$ay\delta u + a(\delta y)u = a\delta(yu) = 0$$

by assumption, whence

$$(1) \quad M_{a, \delta u} + M_{a, u} \circ \delta = 0 \quad (u \in L).$$

On the ideal $J = AaA$ we define $f: J \rightarrow A$ by $\sum_i x_i a y_i \mapsto \sum_i x_i a \delta y_i$ whenever x_i, y_i are finitely many elements in A . Note that, by (1),

$$\sum_i x_i a (\delta y_i) u = - \sum_i x_i a y_i \delta u,$$

whence

$$(2) \quad f(x)u = -x\delta u \quad (x \in J, u \in L)$$

and

$$(3) \quad f(x)yu = -x\delta(yu) \quad (x \in J, y \in A, u \in L).$$

By (2), $(f(x_1 + \lambda x_2) - f(x_1) - \lambda f(x_2))u = 0$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in J$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ and $u \in L$, whereas $x = 0$ implies that $f(x)u = 0$ for all $u \in L$. Since L is essential, it follows that f is a well-defined linear mapping on J .

Applying the Lemma to (2), we conclude that f is bounded with norm at most $\|\delta\|$. Hence, replacing J by its closure, we may assume that J is closed.

Let J^\perp denote the annihilator of J in A . If $x_1 \in J, x_2 \in J^\perp$, we put $\tilde{f}(x_1+x_2) = f(x_1)$. Then, as $(1 - e_a)\delta L = 0$,

$$\tilde{f}(x_1+x_2)u = f(x_1)u = -x_1\delta u = -(x_1+x_2)e_a\delta u = -(x_1+x_2)\delta u \quad (u \in L).$$

Hence, replacing J by $J + J^\perp$ and f by \tilde{f} , we may assume that J is an essential closed ideal in A .

By (2),

$$(f(yx) - yf(x))u = -(yx - yx)\delta u = 0 \quad (x \in J, y \in A, u \in L),$$

whence f is a left A -module map. Put $g = f - \delta$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} g(xy)u &= f(xy)u - \delta(xy)u = -xy\delta u - \delta(xy)u \\ &= -\delta(xyu) \\ &= -(\delta x)yu - x\delta(yu) = f(x)yu - (\delta x)yu \\ &= g(x)yu \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in J, y \in A$ and $u \in L$ so that g is a right A -module map from J into A . Moreover, if $x, y \in J$, then, by (3),

$$f(x)yu = -x\delta(yu) = -xy\delta u - x(\delta y)u = x(f(y) - \delta y)u = xg(y)u \quad (u \in L),$$

and thus $f(x)y = xg(y)$. As a result, (f, g) is a double centralizer of J represented by an element $h \in M(J)$. By definition, $\delta = f - g = R_h - L_h = \delta_h$ on J . From this we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta y)x &= \delta(yx) - y(\delta x) \\ &= f(yx) - g(yx) - yf(x) + yg(x) \\ &= yg(x) - g(yx) \\ &= yhx - hyx = [y, h]x \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in J$ and $y \in A$. Since J is essential, this yields that $\delta = \delta_h$ on A .

The identity

$$a(yuh - hyu) = a\delta(yu) = 0 \quad (y \in A, u \in L)$$

implies that

$$(4) \quad M_{a,uh} = M_{ah,u} \quad (u \in L).$$

Therefore, the mapping

$$\sum_i x_i a y_i + v \mapsto \sum_i x_i a h y_i \quad (x_i, y_i \in A, v \in (AaA)^\perp)$$

is a well-defined A -bimodule map from the essential ideal $AaA + (AaA)^\perp$ into A which gives rise to an element $\lambda \in C$ with the property $\lambda a = ah$. This together with (4) entails that

$$M_{a,uh-\lambda u} = M_{a,uh} - \lambda M_{a,u} = 0 \quad (u \in L),$$

whence $0 = e_a u(h - \lambda) = u(h - \lambda)$ as $e_a h = h$ and $e_a \lambda = \lambda$. Replacing h by $h - \lambda$, we thus obtain $\delta = \delta_h$ as well as $ah = 0$ and $Lh = 0$. In particular, $xhu = -x\delta u$ for all x in the domain of h and $u \in L$ (that is, (2)); thus the same reasoning as before shows that h still is bounded with $\|h\| \leq \|\delta\|$. \square

A more symmetric version of the condition appearing in Theorem A is obtained in our first corollary.

Corollary 1. *Let δ be a derivation on a C^* -algebra A . For each pair of elements $a, b \in A$ such that*

$$M_{a, \delta b} + M_{a, b} \circ \delta = 0$$

there is $h \in Q_b(A)$ with the properties

$$e_a e_b \delta = \delta_h, \quad ah = bh = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|h\| \leq \|\delta\|.$$

Proof. We first follow the proof of Theorem A. Put $J = AaA$ and $L = Ab$. Note that the left annihilators of L and AbA coincide. By assumption,

$$ay\delta(xb) + a(\delta y)xb = ay(\delta x)b + ayx\delta b + a\delta(yx)b - ay(\delta x)b = 0$$

for all $x, y \in A$. Therefore (1) holds for all $u \in L$. Defining $f: J \rightarrow A$ as above, we thus obtain a well-defined bounded left A -module map $e_b f$ which we may extend to $\bar{J} + J^\perp$ as before. Note that (2) changes to

$$(2') \quad e_b f(x)e_a u = -x\delta(e_a e_b u) \quad (x \in \bar{J} + J^\perp, u \in L).$$

Letting $e_b g = e_b f - e_b \delta$, we obtain a bounded right A -module map on $\bar{J} + J^\perp$ such that $e_b f(x)y = e_b xg(y)$ for all $x, y \in J$. Let h be the element in $Q_b(A)$ corresponding to the local double centralizer $(e_b f, e_b g)$ of A . Then, $e_b \delta = \delta_h$ on J . As above, this entails that $(e_b \delta - \delta_h)A \subseteq J^\perp$, so that $e_a e_b \delta = \delta_{h'}$ with $h' = e_a h$. Since we still have (4) with h' instead of h , we find $\lambda' = \lambda' e_a \in C$ such that $\lambda' a = ah'$ as well as $\lambda' u = uh'$ for all $u \in L$. Now define h anew by $h = h' - e_b \lambda'$. Then, $e_a e_b h = h$, $ah = 0$, $Lh = 0$ and $e_a e_b \delta = \delta_h$. A final application of the Lemma yields $\|h\| \leq \|\delta\|$. □

Corollary 2. *For every derivation δ on a prime C^* -algebra A the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) *There are a non-zero element $a \in A$ and a non-zero left ideal L of A such that $a\delta L = 0$.*
- (b) *There is an element $h \in Q_b(A)$ such that $\delta = \delta_h$ and $yh = 0$ for some non-zero $y \in Q_b(A)$.*

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) As L is essential and $e_a = 1$, the assertion follows immediately from Theorem A.

(b) \Rightarrow (a) Let I be a non-zero closed ideal of A such that $y \in M(I)$, and put $L = Iy$. Then, for each non-zero $a \in L$ and all $u \in L$ we have $a\delta u = auh - ah u = 0$, i.e. $a\delta L = 0$. □

Our arrangement of the proof of Theorem A reveals that its algebraic part carries over verbatim to the setting of semiprime rings. Suppose that the element a in Theorem B below is zero. Then $\delta L = 0$, wherefore $(\delta y)u = \delta(yu) - y\delta u = 0$ for all $y \in R, u \in L$ implies that $\delta y = 0$ for all y . Thus, $a = 0$ entails that $\delta = 0$ and Theorem B extends the corresponding statement for prime rings in [5, Theorem], replacing the Martindale ring of quotients by its symmetric version.

Theorem B. *Let δ be a derivation of a semiprime ring R . Suppose there exist an essential left ideal L of R and an element $a \in R$ satisfying $a\delta L = 0$ and $(1 - e_a)\delta L = 0$. Then there is $h \in Q_s(R)$ such that $\delta = \delta_h$, $ah = 0$, and $Lh = 0$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Ara, *On the symmetric algebra of quotients of a C^* -algebra*, Glasgow Math. J. **32** (1990), 377–379. MR **92g**:46070
- [2] P. Ara and M. Mathieu, *A local version of the Dauns-Hofmann theorem*, Math. Z. **208** (1991), 349–353. MR **93b**:46109
- [3] P. Ara and M. Mathieu, *An application of local multipliers to centralizing mappings of C^* -algebras*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) **44** (1993), 129–138. MR **94d**:46057
- [4] G. A. Elliott, *Automorphisms determined by multipliers on ideals of a C^* -algebra*, J. Funct. Anal. **23** (1976), 1–10. MR **55**:13247
- [5] I. N. Herstein, *A condition that a derivation be inner*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) **37** (1988), 5–7. MR **90h**:16056
- [6] R. V. Kadison, *Derivations of operator algebras*, Annals of Math. **83** (1966), 280–293. MR **33**:1747
- [7] V. K. Kharchenko, *Automorphisms and derivations of associative rings*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1991. MR **93i**:16048
- [8] M. Mathieu, *Elementary operators on prime C^* -algebras, I*, Math. Ann. **284** (1989), 223–244. MR **90h**:46092
- [9] M. Mathieu, *The cb -norm of a derivation*, Algebraic methods in operator theory (R. E. Curto and P. E. T. Jørgensen, eds.), Birkhäuser, Basel, 1994, pp. 144–152. MR **95g**:46128
- [10] D. Olesen, *Derivations of AW^* -algebras are inner*, Pacific J. Math. **53** (1974), 555–561. MR **50**:10844
- [11] G. K. Pedersen, *Approximating derivations on ideals of C^* -algebras*, Invent. Math. **45** (1978), 299–305. MR **57**:17302
- [12] S. Sakai, *Derivations of W^* -algebras*, Annals of Math. **83** (1966), 273–279. MR **33**:1748
- [13] S. Sakai, *Derivations of simple C^* -algebras, II*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **99** (1971), 259–263. MR **45**:2491
- [14] D. W. B. Somerset, *The proximality of the centre of a C^* -algebra*, J. Approx. Theory **89** (1997), 114–117. CMP 97:10

THE FIELDS INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, WATERLOO, ONTARIO, CANADA

Current address: Department of Mathematics, St. Patrick's College, Maynooth, Co. Kildare, Ireland

E-mail address: mm@maths.may.ie