PROCEEDINGS OF THE
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
Volume 126, Number 5, May 1998, Pages 1363–1370
S 0002-9939(98)04068-4

ON ANALYTIC STRUCTURE OF SOLUTIONS TO HIGHER ORDER ABSTRACT CAUCHY PROBLEMS

YULIA MISHURA AND YURI TOMILOV

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

Abstract. We prove the existence of entire solutions to some abstract higher order Cauchy problem for a dense subset of initial values.

Let X be a complex Banach space, and let A be a closed linear operator on X with dense domain $D(A)$. We study analytic properties of strong solutions of the higher order Cauchy problem

$$\begin{aligned} &x^{(p)}(t) = Ax(t),\ t \in [0, \infty), \\ &x^{(i)}(0) = x_i,\ 0 \le i \le p-1,\ p \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

These questions were considered for the cases $p = 1, 2$ and for uniformly well-posed Cauchy problems in the papers [3, 6, 7] (and related topics in [1]). The special properties of semigroups and cosine (sine) functions that correspond to such Cauchy problems were used in the arguments. But in the case $p \ge 3$ the Cauchy problem (1) is uniformly well-posed if and only if A is a bounded operator [3].

So it is natural to treat the problem (1) in its intrinsic terms and essentially weaken well-posedness assumptions.

Let us consider a particular case of the problem (1):

$$\begin{aligned} &x^{(p)}(t) = Ax(t),\ t \in [0, \infty), \\ &x^{(i)}(0) = 0,\ 1 \le i \le p-1, x(0) = x_0. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Definition 1. We say that a closed densely defined linear operator A satisfies the condition (G) if the set $E = \{x_0 \in D(A) \,|$ there exists a solution $x(t, x_0)$ of the problem (2) such that

$$||x^{(p)}(t, x_0)|| \le Ce^{\alpha t^p}, \qquad t \ge 0 \tag{3}$$

for some $\alpha = \alpha(x_0) > 0$ and some $C > 0$ $\}$ is dense in X.

Remark 1. If $p = 2$ and A is a generator of the strongly continuous cosine function, we can put $E = D(A)$.

Remark 2. The inequality (3) implies that the solution $x(t, x_0)$ of (2) also satisfies the inequalities

$$\max_{0 \le k \le p} ||x^{(k)}(t, x_0)|| \le C_1 e^{\beta t^p} \quad \text{for some} \quad \beta = \beta(x_0) > 0. \tag{4}$$

Received by the editors November 13, 1995 and, in revised form, October 14, 1996.
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 34G10, 47D09, 47A50.
Key words and phrases. Abstract Cauchy problem, entire solution.

Indeed, (4) follows from the identity

$$x^{(k)}(t) = \frac{1}{(p-k-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{p-k-1} x^{(p)}(s)\, ds, \quad 0 \le k \le p-1.$$

The following two examples illustrate the condition (G).

Example 1. We shall show that the condition (G) is not too restrictive. Let A be a closed densely defined operator satisfying the assumption:

(G_1) there exist $C_0 > 0$, $0 < a < 1$ and b_0 such that $R(z, A)$, the resolvent of A, exists for $\operatorname{Re} z \ge \max\{b_0, c_0 \,|\, \operatorname{Im} z|^a\}$, and $||R(z,A)|| \le M(1 + |z|^N)$, $M > 0,\ N \ge 0$, for these z.

Define

$$||x||_{\beta,\varepsilon} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (n!)^{-\beta} \varepsilon^n ||A^n x||, \quad x \in C^\infty(A) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D(A^n),$$

$$G(\beta, \varepsilon) = \{x \in X \,:\, ||x||_{\beta,\varepsilon} < \infty\}, \qquad G(\beta) = \bigcup_{\varepsilon > 0} G(\beta, \varepsilon).$$

Then, according to [1], $\overline{G(\beta)} = X$, $\beta > 1$. So the set $M = \{x \in C^\infty(A) \,|\, ||A^n x|| \le \alpha^{n-1} n^{n(p-1)},\ n \ge 1$, for some $\alpha = \alpha(x) > 0\}$ is dense in X. Moreover, for $x \in M$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{np}}{(np)!} ||A^{n+1} x|| &\le \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{np}}{(np)!} \alpha^n (n+1)^{(n+1)(p-1)} \\ &\le C \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1 t^p)^n}{n!} = C e^{\alpha_1 t^p}, \quad \alpha_1 = \alpha_1(x) > 0.\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that the function

$$x(t, x_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{np}}{(np)!} A^n x_0,\ x_0 \in M,$$

is a solution of the Cauchy problem (2) and

$$||x^{(p)}(t, x_0)|| = ||A x(t, x_0)|| \le C e^{\alpha t^p}.$$

Therefore, the condition (G_1) is sufficient for (G). (But it is not necessary. See example 2(b).)

Example 2(a). Now we shall demonstrate that the condition (G) indeed limits the growth of solutions of (1). To this aim we modify an idea of the example from [5] to higher order equations. Set

$$\begin{aligned}X_0 := \text{l. s.}\Big\{ s^i e^{\sum_{j=0}^{p} a_j s^j} \,|\, a_p > 0, &\quad i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \\ a_j \in \mathbb{C}, \quad 0 \le j \le p, &\quad s \in [0,1] \Big\}\end{aligned}$$

and note that $\overline{X}_0 = C([0,1])$ in the max-norm.

Define the operator A in $C([0,1])$ as the closure of $\frac{d^p}{ds^p}$ on X_0. A is a closed linear operator with dense domain. Next consider the Cauchy problem (2) in $C([0,1])$.

Then the function $x_0(s)$ from X belongs to $D(A)$ and $y:[0,\infty)\to C([0,1])$, given by

$$y(t) := \frac{1}{p}\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} x_0(\omega^k t + s), \quad t\ge 0, \quad s\in[0,1], \tag{5}$$

ω being a p-th root of unity, is a strong solution of the problem (2). Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} y^{(p)}(t) &= \frac{1}{p}\sum_{k=0}^{p-1}\omega^{kp}x_0^{(p)}(\omega^k t+s) = \frac{1}{p}\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} x_0^{(p)}(\omega^k t+s) \\ &= \frac{1}{p}\sum_{k=0}^{p-1}\frac{d^p}{ds^p}x_0(\omega^k t+s) = Ay(t), \quad t\ge 0, \\ \frac{d^i}{dt^i}y(0+) &= \frac{1}{p}\sum_{k=0}^{p-1}\omega^{ki}x_0(s) = 0, \quad 1\le i\le p-1, y(0)=x_0(s). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by routine estimations one can prove that

$$||y^{(i)}(t)|| \ge C_i e^{\alpha t^p},$$

$C_i>0,\ 0\le i\le p,\ \ \alpha>0$, for sufficiently large t. In a similar way we can indicate a Cauchy problem of the form (2) for which some solutions grow faster then e^{t^p} as $t\to\infty$ (take, for instance,

$$\begin{aligned} X = \text{l. s.}\Big\{ s^i e^{\sum_{j=0}^{2p} a_j s^j} \mid a_{2p}>0, \quad i\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}, \\ a_j\in\mathbb{C}, \quad 0\le j\le 2p, \quad s\in[0,1]\Big\} \end{aligned}$$

and the same operator A in $C([0,1])$).

Example 2(b). Next we give an example showing that the implication $(G)\Rightarrow(G_1)$ is false. The construction is close to the preceding one. We describe it briefly. Let $X=C([0,1])$, A the closure of $\frac{d^p}{ds^p}$, defined on the functions $\{f\in C([0,1]) | f^{(p)}\in C([0,1])\}$. The operator A satisfies condition (G) with the set $E=\{\sum_{n=0}^{m} a_n s^n,\ s\in[0,1],\ m\in\mathbb{N}$ is arbitrary $\}$. Solutions of problem (2) can be derived by means of formula (5). On the other hand, the spectrum of A fills the whole complex plane. So the condition (G_1) is not satisfied.

Remark 3. Thus introduction of the condition (G) allows us to treat the Cauchy problem (2), for which the resolvent set of the operator A is empty.

The next theorem partially generalizes the main statements of [1, 2, 7] and is proved following the general idea of [2].

Theorem 1. *Let the operator A satisfy the condition (G), $p\ge 2$. Then the set*

$$\begin{aligned} \{x_0\in X \mid \text{ the solution } x(t,x_0) \text{ of } (2) \text{ exists and} \\ \text{can be extended to an entire function } x(z):\mathbb{C}\to X\} \end{aligned}$$

is dense in X.

The proof of the theorem depends on the following result.

Lemma 1. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \to X$ be a strongly measurable function satisfying the condition*

$$||f(t)|| \le Ce^{at^p}, \quad t \ge 0, \quad a > 0, \quad C > 0.$$

Then for every $b > a$

$$\left\| \int_0^\infty (e^{-bt^p})^{(pn)} f(t)\, dt \right\|^{1/n} = O(n^{p-1}), \quad n \to \infty.$$

Proof. Observe that the next representation is true for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b = 1$:

$$(e^{-t^p})^{(m)} = e^{-t^p} \sum_{i=r_m}^{m} a_{i,m} t^{ip-m}, \tag{6}$$

where $r_m = \frac{m}{p}$ if $\frac{m}{p} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r_m = \left[\frac{m}{p}\right] + 1$ otherwise. Then from (6)

$$(e^{-t^p})^{(m+1)} = e^{-t^p} \sum_{i=r_{m+1}}^{m} a_{i,m+1} t^{ip-m-1}, \tag{7}$$

and, on the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}(e^{-t^p})^{(m+1)} = &\sum_{i=r_{m+1}}^{m} (-pa_{i-1,m} + a_{i,m}(ip - m)) t^{ip-m-1} \\ &+ a_{r_m,m}(t^{r_m p - m})' - a_{mm} t^{(m+1)p-m-1}.\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Let's constitute the recurrent relations for $a_{m-k,m}$, $0 \le k \le m - r_m$. We have

$$a_{m-k,m+1} = -pa_{m-k-1,m} + a_{m-k,m}[(m-k)p - m], \quad k < m - r_m. \tag{9}$$

If $\frac{m}{p} \notin \mathbb{N}$, then $r_{m+1} = r_m$ and

$$a_{r_{m+1},m+1} = a_{r_m,m}(r_m p - m). \tag{10}$$

(Note that $|r_m p - m| \le p$.) In the opposite case $r_{m+1} = r_m + 1$ and

$$a_{r_m,m+1} = p(a_{r_{m+1},m} - a_{r_m,m}). \tag{11}$$

Further, we shall prove the following inequalities:

$$|a_{m-k,m}| \le \frac{p^m m^{2k}}{(2k)!!}, \quad m \ge 1, \ 0 \le k < m - r_m, \tag{12}$$

$$|a_{r_m,m}| \le \frac{p^m (m-1)^{2(m-r_m-1)}}{(2(m - r_m - 1))!!}, \quad m \ge 2, \tag{13}$$

where $(2n)!! := 2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot \ldots \cdot 2n, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Proceed by induction on m. The inequalities (12), (13) are obviously true for $m = 1, 2$. Suppose that they hold for every $m \le m_0$ and every $0 \le k \le m - r_m$. For the next reasoning one has to consider two cases.

1. If a_{m_0+1-k,m_0+1} has the form $a_{r_{m_0+1},m_0+1}$ $(k = m_0+1-r_{m_0+1})$, we use the relations (10), (11) for the estimates. For $\frac{m_0}{p} \notin \mathbb{N}$ we get from (13)

$$
\begin{aligned}
|a_{r_{m_0+1},m_0+1}| \le |a_{r_{m_0},m_0}|p &\le p^{m_0+1}\frac{(m_0-1)^{2(m_0-r_{m_0}-1)+1}}{[2(m_0-r_{m_0}-1)]!!} \\
&\le p^{m_0+1}\frac{m_0^{2((m_0+1)-r_{m_0+1}-1)}}{[2((m_0+1)-r_{m_0+1}-1)]!!}.
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\frac{m_0}{p} \in \mathbb{N}$, then similarly from (12), (13)

$$
\begin{gathered}
|a_{r_{m_0+1},m_0+1}| \le p(|a_{r_{m_0+1},m_0}| + |a_{r_{m_0},m_0}|) \\
\le p\Big(p^{m_0}\frac{m_0^{2(m_0-r_{m_0}-1)}}{[2(m_0-r_{m_0}-1)]!!} + p^{m_0}(m_0-1)\frac{(m_0-1)^{2(m_0-r_{m_0}-1)}}{[2(m_0-r_{m_0}-1)]!!}\Big) \\
\le p^{m_0+1}m_0\,\frac{m_0^{2((m_0+1)-r_{m_0+1}-1)}}{[2((m_0+1)-r_{m_0+1}-1)]!!}
\end{gathered}
$$

2. Now suppose $k < m_0+1-r_{m_0+1}$. If $k=0$, then $a_{m_0+1,m_0+1} = (-p)^{m_0+1}$. Thus (12) is satisfied. For fixed m_0 we use induction again. Assume that (12) holds for some k_0 and pass from k_0 to k_0+1. From (9)

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{(m_0+1)-(k_0+1),m_0+1} = a_{m_0-k_0,m_0+1} = -pa_{m_0-k_0-1,m_0} \\
+a_{m_0-k_0,m_0}((m_0-k_0)p-m_0) = a_{m_0-k_0,m_0}((m_0-k_0)p-m_0)) \\
-pa_{m_0-k_0-1,m_0-1} \times ((m_o-k_0-1)p-m_0+1) \\
+p^2a_{m_0-k_0-1,m_0-1} = \cdots \\
= \sum_{i=0}^{m_0-s}(-p)^i a_{m_0-k_0-i,m_0-i}((m_0-k_0-i)p-m_0+i) \\
+(-p)^{m_0-s+1}a_{r_s,s}, \quad s-r_s = k_0+1.
\end{gathered}
\tag{14}
$$

By the induction hypothesis $a_{m_0-k_0-i,m_0-i}$, $0 \le i \le m_0-s$, and $a_{r_s,s}$ can be estimated according to (12), (13). Note also that $|(m_0-k_0-i)p-m_0+i| \le (m_0-i)p$. So from (14), (12) and (13) we get

$$
|a_{(m_0+1)-(k_0+1),m_0+1}| \le \sum_{i=0}^{m_0-s} p^i\frac{(m_0-i)^{2k_0}}{(2k_0)!!}\,p^{m_0-i}(m_0-i)p
$$

$$
+p^{m_0-s+1}p^s\frac{(s-1)^{2k_0+1}}{(2k_0)!!} = p^{m_0+1}\sum_{i=0}^{m_0-s+1}\frac{(m_0-i)^{2k_0+1}}{(2k_0)!!}
$$

$$
\le p^{m_0+1}\sum_{i=0}^{m_0}\frac{j^{2k_0+1}}{(2k_0)!!} \le p^{m_0+1}\,\frac{(m_0+1)^{2(k_0+1)}}{[2(k_0+1)]!!}.
$$

Thus the required estimates (12), (13) are proved. (Observe that we shall not succeed if we substitute (12) directly in (14).)

Further, let $m = np$. Then $r_m = r_{np} = n$ and

$$|(e^{-bt^p})^{(np)}| \le e^{-bt^p} \max(1, b^{np}) \sum_{i=n}^{np} |a_{i,np}| t^{ip-np}$$

$$\le e^{-bt^p} \max(1, b^{np}) n(p-1) \max_{n \le i \le np} |a_{i,np}| t^{ip-np}, \ t > 0,$$

where $a_{i,np}, n \le i \le np$, are defined by (6). So using (12), (13) we obtain:

$$|(e^{-bt^p})^{(np)}| \le e^{-bt^p} \max(1, b^{np}) n(p-1)$$

$$\times \max_{0 \le k \le n(p-1)} \frac{(np)^{2k} p^{np}}{2^k k!} t^{(np-k)p-np}.$$

By the last inequality

$$T := \Big\| \int_0^\infty (e^{-bt^p})^{(np)} f(t)\, dt \Big\|$$

$$\le C \int_0^\infty |(e^{-bt^p})^{(np)}|\, e^{at^p} dt \le C \max(1, b^{np}) n(p-1)$$

$$\times \max_{0 \le k \le n(p-1)} \Big\{ \frac{(np)^{2k} p^{np}}{2^k k!} \int_0^\infty e^{(a-b)t^p} t^{(np-k)p-np}\, dt \Big\} \tag{15}$$

$$= C \max(1, b^{np}) n(p-1) \max_{0 \le k \le n(p-1)} \frac{(np)^{2k}}{2^k k!} p^{np-1}$$

$$\times \frac{1}{(b-a)^{np-k-n+1/p}} \int_0^\infty e^{-z} z^{np-k-n-1+1/p}\, dz.$$

Then (15) implies

$$T^{\frac{1}{n}} \le C_1 \max_{0 \le k \le n(p-1)} \Big\{ \frac{(np)^{\frac{2k}{n}}}{2^{\frac{k}{n}} (k!)^{\frac{1}{n}}} \Big[C_2 + \int_0^\infty e^{-z} z^{np-k-n} dz \Big] \Big\}$$

$$\le C_3 \max_{0 \le k \le n(p-1)} \frac{n^{\frac{2k}{n}}}{(k!)^{\frac{1}{n}}} [(np-k-n)!]^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

$$\le C_4 \max_{0 \le k \le n(p-1)} \frac{n^{\frac{2k}{n}} n^{\frac{np-k-n}{n}}}{k^{\frac{k}{n}}} = C_4 \max_{0 \le k \le n(p-1)} \Big(\frac{n}{k}\Big)^{\frac{k}{n}} n^{p-1}.$$

Since the function $x^{\frac{1}{x}}$ is bounded for $x > 0$, $\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^{\frac{k}{n}} \le C_5$, $0 \le k \le np - n$ and $T^{\frac{1}{n}} \le C_6 n^{p-1}$. The lemma is proved. □

Proof of Theorem 1. Let

$$E_1 = \Big\{ \int_0^\infty e^{-at^p} x(t, x_0) dt \,|\, x(t, x_0)$$

$$\text{is a solution to (2)}, \quad a > \alpha(x_0),\ x_0 \in E \Big\},$$

$$x_\varepsilon = c_\varepsilon \int_0^\infty e^{-t^p/\varepsilon} x(t,x_0)\,dt, \quad c_\varepsilon = p\varepsilon^{-1/p}\Gamma\Big(\frac{1}{p}\Big)^{-1}, \quad \frac{1}{\varepsilon} > \alpha(x_0).$$

The following estimates demonstrate that the elements of E can be approximated by the elements of E_1.

For arbitrary $x_0 \in E$,

$$||x_\varepsilon - x_0|| \le c_\varepsilon \int_0^\infty e^{-t^p/\varepsilon} ||x(t,x_0) - x_0||\,dt$$

$$\le \sup_{0\le t\le\varepsilon} ||x(t,x_0) - x_0|| + c_\varepsilon \int_{t>\varepsilon}^\infty e^{-t^p/\varepsilon}(||x_0|| + e^{\alpha t^p})dt.$$

Using the continuity of $x(t,x_0)$ at 0, we have $x_\varepsilon \to x_0$, $\varepsilon \to 0$. The last statement and condition (G) imply that E_1 is dense in X. Further, condition (G) and integration by parts yield for $y \in E_1$ that $y \in D(A)$ and

$$Ay = (-1)^p \int_0^\infty (e^{-at^p})^{(p)} x(t,x_0)\,dt.$$

By induction,

$$A^n y = (-1)^{np} \int_0^\infty (e^{-at^p})^{(np)} x(t,x_0)\,dt.$$

By Lemma 1,

$$||A^n y||^{\frac{1}{np}} = o(n), \qquad n \to \infty. \tag{16}$$

Thus the function $\hat{x}(t,y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{pn}A^n y}{(pn)!}$ is entire. Moreover, $\hat{x}(t,y)$ is a solution of the problem (2). □

Remark 4. It follows from (16) that the function $\tilde{x}(t,y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{pn}A^n y}{(n!)^p}$ is also entire for $y \in E_1$. Observe that $\tilde{x}((t_1...t_p)^{1/p}, y)$ is the strong solution of the Goursat problem

$$\frac{\partial^p x}{\partial t_1 ... \partial t_p} = Ax, x(\vec{0}) = y, \quad p \ge 2. \tag{17}$$

So the following corollary is true.

Corollary 1. *Under the condition (G) on the operator A there is a dense subset E_1 in X such that for every $y \in E_1$ the Goursat problem* (17) *has a strong solution.*

(Concerning the existence of strong solutions to abstract Goursat problems, see [7, 4, 8]).

The next corollary modifies the statement of Theorem 1 for Cauchy problems of the form (1).

Corollary 2. *Let the operator A satisfy the condition (G), $p \geq 2$. Then the set*

$$\Big\{\vec{x}_0 = (x_i)_{i=0}^{p-1} \;\Big|\; \text{the solution } x(t,\vec{x}_0) \text{ of } (1) \text{ exists and can be extended to an entire function } x(z) : \mathbb{C} \to X\Big\}$$

is dense in $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} X$.

The result follows immediately from the representation

$$x(t,\vec{x}_0) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{np+k}}{(pn+k)!} A^n x_i,$$

$x_i \in E$, $0 \leq i \leq p-1$.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the referees for their helpful suggestions and remarks.

References

[1] R. Beals, Semigroups and abstract Gevrey spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* **10**, (1972), 300–308. MR **50:**14355

[2] I. Cioranescu, U. Neumann, Stielties vectors of cosine functions generators, *Studia Math.***87**, (1987), 1–7. MR **89d:**47087

[3] H. O. Fattorini, Ordinary differential equations in linear topological spaces I, *J. of Diff. Eq.* **5**, (1969), 72–105. MR **43:**3593

[4] H. O. Fattorini, The abstract Goursat problem, *Pacific. J. Math.* **37**, (1971), 51–83. MR **46:**9793

[5] Yu. I. Lyubich, The classical and local Laplace transform in the abstract Cauchy problem, *Russian Math. Surveys* **21**, (1966), no. 3, 1–52. MR **33:**7889

[6] S. Nelson, R. Triggiani, Analytic properties of cosine operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **74**, (1979), 101–104. MR **80h:**47051

[7] J. Spellmann, Concerning the infinite differentiability of semigroup motions, *Pacific. J. Math.* **30** (1969), 519–523. MR **40:**3360

[8] W. Roth, Goursat problem for $u_{rs} = Lu$, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **22**, (1973), 779–788. MR **46:**9568

Department of Mathematics, Kiev University, 64 Vladimirskaya str., Kiev 252601, Ukraine

E-mail address: `mishura@math.carrier.kiev.ua`

E-mail address: `tomilov@math.carrier.kiev.ua`