

A UNIQUENESS THEOREM FOR HARMONIC FUNCTIONS

N. V. RAO

(Communicated by J. Marshall Ash)

ABSTRACT. The main result of this note is the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *Let $D = \{(x, t); |x|^2 + t^2 \leq r^2, t > 0\}$ be a half ball in R^{n+1} and $x \in R^n$. Assume that u is C^1 in \overline{D} and harmonic in D , and that for every positive integer N there exists a constant C_N such that*

$$(1) \quad |\nabla u(x, 0)| \leq C_N |x|^N \quad \text{in a neighbourhood } V \text{ of the origin in } \partial D;$$

$$(2) \quad u(x, 0) \geq u(0, 0) \quad \text{in } V.$$

Then $u \equiv u(0, 0)$.

First we prove it for R^2 , and then we show by induction that it holds for all $n \geq 3$.

INTRODUCTION

Theorem 1 stated in the Abstract is somewhat analogous to theorem 1 of Baouendi and Rothschild [1], which is a uniqueness theorem for holomorphic functions of one complex variable; they applied it to obtain results on unique continuation for functions of several complex variables. For $n = 2$, we show that our theorem is equivalent to theirs. In [2], Baouendi and Rothschild obtained results that are related to ours, but that neither imply nor are implied by ours. The main result of [2] is theorem 3, where they assume that u vanishes of infinite order at the origin in the normal direction and $u \geq 0$ in V , and deduce that u vanishes on V and also along the normal identically. In our case we assume that ∇u vanishes of infinite order at the origin restricted to ∂D , and deduce the same thing. We deduce uniqueness based on tangential behaviour, whereas they deduce uniqueness based on behaviour along the normal direction. But one might argue that ∇u includes the normal derivative. On the other hand it is not clear how, from the tangential decay of the normal derivative, one can deduce the decay of u along the normal direction unless one assumes u is C^∞ on \overline{D} . Also in the infinitely smooth case one can easily see the equivalence of our results to those of [2].

For completeness we quote theorem 1 of [1]:

Theorem BR. *Let $\Omega = \{z; |z| < r, y > 0\}$, a half disc in the complex plane C . Assume that h is continuous in $\overline{\Omega}$ and holomorphic in Ω , and that h vanishes up to infinite order at the origin, i.e., for every positive integer N there exists a constant*

Received by the editors August 20, 1996 and, in revised form, November 20, 1996.
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 31A05.

C_N such that

$$(3) \quad |h(z)| \leq C_N |z|^N, \quad z \in \bar{\Omega};$$

$$(4) \quad \operatorname{Re} h(x) \geq 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega \cap R \quad \text{in a neighbourhood of the origin.}$$

Then $h \equiv 0$.

Condition (3) assumes that $h(z)$ vanishes up to infinite order from within Ω , but one does not really need that. Theorem BR is equivalent to the following:

Theorem 2. *In theorem BR, all else being equal, we replace (3) by the following: h vanishes up to infinite order at 0 on $\partial\Omega$, i.e., for every positive integer N there exists a constant C_N such that*

$$(3') \quad |h(x)| \leq C_N |x|^N, \quad x \in \partial\Omega \cap R \quad \text{in a neighbourhood of } 0.$$

Then $h \equiv 0$.

Proof. We show that (3') implies (3). We note that $\ln|h(z)|$ is subharmonic in Ω ; on $\partial\Omega$,

$$\ln|h(z)| \leq N \ln|z| + \ln C_N \quad \text{in a neighbourhood of the origin,}$$

and outside that neighbourhood

$$\ln|h(z)| - N \ln|z| - \ln C_N \quad \text{is bounded above (say) by } C'_N > 0.$$

Therefore

$$\ln|h(z)| \leq N \ln|z| + \ln C_N + C'_N \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega;$$

by the maximum principle,

$$\ln|h(z)| \leq N \ln|z| + \ln C_N + C'_N \quad \text{on all of } \Omega,$$

and so

$$|h(z)| \leq C_N e^{C'_N} |z|^N \quad \text{on } \Omega,$$

proving (3). □

In order to deal with harmonic functions in R^2 , we reformulate theorem 1 as follows:

Theorem 3. *Suppose u is harmonic in Ω and C^1 in $\bar{\Omega}$. Assume also that for every positive integer N , there exists a constant C_N such that*

$$(5) \quad |\nabla u(z)| \leq C_N |z|^N \quad \text{for all } z \in \partial\Omega \quad \text{in a neighbourhood of the origin;}$$

$$(6) \quad u(x) \geq u(0) \quad \text{for all } x \text{ in a neighbourhood of the origin on } \partial\Omega.$$

Then $u \equiv u(0)$.

Proof. Let $v(z)$ be the harmonic conjugate of u such that $v(0) = 0$. It is well-known that v is continuous in $\bar{\Omega}$. Let $f(z) = u(z) + iv(z) - u(0)$. Clearly $f(z)$ is continuous on $\bar{\Omega}$, holomorphic in Ω , and $\operatorname{Re} f \geq 0$ in a neighbourhood of the origin on $\partial\Omega$. We notice that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = f'(z), \quad \int_0^z f'(s) ds = f(z).$$

Therefore, by (5), on $\partial\Omega$ in a neighbourhood of the origin we have

$$|f(z)| \leq C_N \int_0^{|z|} |s|^N |ds| = \frac{C_N}{N+1} |z|^{N+1}.$$

Now by theorem 2, $f \equiv 0$ and so $u \equiv u(0)$. □

We need the following lemma:

Lemma 4. *Suppose u is at least C^2 in a symmetric interval $[-r, r]$, $u(x) \equiv u(-x)$, and*

$$v(x) = I(u)(x) = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} u(x \cos \theta) x \cos \theta \, d\theta.$$

Then

$$v''(x) \equiv I(Lu)(x),$$

where $L(u)$ is defined as follows:

$$L(u)(x) = u''(x) + \frac{u'(x)}{x}.$$

This must be classical, but I do not know any good reference. Here is a short proof.

Proof. Differentiating under the integral sign twice, we obtain

$$(7) \quad v''(x) = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} u''(x \cos \theta) x \cos^3 \theta + 2u'(x \cos \theta) x \cos^2 \theta \, d\theta$$

and further

$$(8) \quad I(L(u))(x) = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} u''(x \cos \theta) x \cos \theta + u'(x \cos \theta) \, d\theta.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} v''(x) - I(L(u))(x) &= \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (-u''(x \cos \theta) x \cos \theta \sin^2 \theta + u'(x \cos \theta)(2 \cos^2 \theta - 1)) \, d\theta \\ &= \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{d}{d\theta} \{u'(x \cos \theta) \sin \theta \cos \theta\} \, d\theta \\ &= u'(x \cos \theta) \sin \theta \cos \theta \Big|_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. □

Proof of theorem 1. For simplicity of notation we shall deal with R^3 , but the method easily generalizes to R^n . Without loss of generality we may assume that $u(0, 0, 0) = 0$. Let

$$u_\theta(x, y, t) = u(x \cos \theta - y \sin \theta, x \sin \theta + y \cos \theta, t).$$

Then u_θ is harmonic for any fixed θ in D , and is C^1 in \overline{D} . Let

$$m(x, y, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u_\theta(x, y, t) d\theta.$$

Then $m(x, y, t)$ is harmonic in D , and is C^1 in \overline{D} . But also $p(x, t) = m(x, 0, t)$ is C^1 in Ω [the half-disc in theorem BR] and satisfies the differential equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial t^2} = 0.$$

Therefore by Lemma 4, the function

$$v(x, t) = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} p(x \cos \theta, t) x \cos \theta d\theta$$

is harmonic in Ω and C^1 in $\overline{\Omega}$. It is clear that

$$|\nabla m| \leq \max_{0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi} |\nabla u_\theta|, \quad |\nabla p(x, t)| \leq |\nabla m(x, 0, t)|.$$

Therefore from (1) we have the estimate

$$(9) \quad |\nabla p(x, 0)| \leq C_N |x|^N \text{ in a neighbourhood of the origin on the } x\text{-axis.}$$

Also

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} &= \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}(x \cos \theta, t) x \cos^2 \theta + p(x \cos \theta, t) \cos \theta d\theta, \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} &= \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t}(x \cos \theta, t) x \cos \theta d\theta \end{aligned}$$

and further we notice that $|p(x, 0) - p(0, 0)| = |p(x, 0)| \leq |x| \max_{|s| \leq |x|} |\nabla p(s, 0)| \leq C_N |x|^{N+1}$. Combining (9) and (10), we get that in a neighbourhood of the origin on the x -axis,

$$|\nabla v(x, 0)| \leq C_N |x|^{N+1}, \quad v(x, 0) \geq 0.$$

Now applying theorem 3 to v , we have $v(x, t) \equiv 0$. Because p is non-negative on the x -axis in a neighbourhood of the origin, this gives $p(x, 0) = 0$ in a neighbourhood of the origin, and this in turn leads to the conclusion that $m(x, y, 0) = 0$ and hence $u(x, y, 0) = 0$ in a neighbourhood of the origin. But this would imply that u and hence ∇u are real-analytic at the origin, and thus (1) implies $u \equiv 0$. \square

Remark 5. We can reduce the general R^n to the case of R^{n-1} in the same way we reduced from R^3 to R^2 .

Open problem. Suppose u is continuously differentiable on the closed upper half of the unit disk Ω in R^2 and ∇u restricted to $\partial\Omega$ vanishes up to order N at the origin. Then it is easy to show that it vanishes up to order N at the origin from within Ω also. Is the same result true in higher dimensions?

REFERENCES

- [1] M. S. Baouendi and L. P. Rothschild, *Unique continuation and a Schwarz reflection principle for analytic sets*, Comm. P. D. E. 18(11) (1993), pp. 1961-1970. MR **94i**:32014
- [2] M. S. Baouendi and L. P. Rothschild, *A local Hopf lemma and unique continuation for harmonic functions*, Int. Math. Res. Notices, 1993, no. 8, pp. 245-251. MR **94i**:31008

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO, TOLEDO, OHIO 43606
E-mail address: rnagise@uoft02.utoledo.edu