

BORDISM OF TWO COMMUTING INVOLUTIONS

PEDRO L. Q. PERGHER

(Communicated by Thomas Goodwillie)

ABSTRACT. In this paper we obtain conditions for a Whitney sum of three vector bundles over a closed manifold, $\varepsilon_1 \oplus \varepsilon_2 \oplus \varepsilon_3 \rightarrow F$, to be the fixed data of a $(Z_2)^2$ -action; these conditions yield the fact that if $(\varepsilon_1 \oplus R) \oplus \varepsilon_2 \oplus \varepsilon_3 \rightarrow F$ is the fixed data of a $(Z_2)^2$ -action, where $R \rightarrow F$ is the trivial one dimensional bundle, then the same is true for $\varepsilon_1 \oplus \varepsilon_2 \oplus \varepsilon_3 \rightarrow F$. The results obtained, together with techniques previously developed, are used to obtain, up to bordism, all possible $(Z_2)^2$ -actions fixing the disjoint union of an even projective space and a point.

1. INTRODUCTION

From [4, 28.1] one has an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_n^{Z_2} \xrightarrow{F} \bigoplus_{j=0}^n \mathcal{N}_{n-j}(BO(j)) \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathcal{N}_{n-1}(BO(1)) \rightarrow 0$$

which shows that the equivariant bordism class of an involution (M, T) is determined by the bordism class of its fixed point data $\eta \rightarrow F_T$; additionally, it yields the fact that a real vector bundle $\eta \rightarrow F$ is fixed data of an involution if and only if $\lambda \rightarrow RP(\eta)$ bounds in $\mathcal{N}_{n-1}(BO(1))$, where λ is the usual line bundle over the projective space bundle $RP(\eta)$. The first task of this paper is to obtain the analogue of this fact for $(Z_2)^2$ -actions; specifically, given a Whitney sum of vector bundles over a closed manifold, $\varepsilon_1 \oplus \varepsilon_2 \oplus \varepsilon_3 \rightarrow F$, we want to determine conditions in terms of Whitney numbers for this sum to be the fixed data of a $(Z_2)^2$ -action (Theorem 1). The extension of the above sequence for $(Z_2)^k$ -actions is the “exact sequence of bordism of $((Z_2)^k, q)$ -manifolds-bundles”, introduced by Stong in [10] and which shows that the stationary point structure of a $(Z_2)^k$ -action determines the bordism class. Looking at the case $k = 1$, it is then natural to appeal to this sequence to handle the problem in question. For $k = 2$ and $q = 0$ it is

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_n((Z_2)^2, 0) \xrightarrow{F} \bigoplus \mathcal{N}_{r,s}(Z_2, 1) \xrightarrow{S} \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{n-1,s}((Z_2)^2, 0) \rightarrow 0.$$

By iteration this sequence yields

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_n((Z_2)^2, 0) \xrightarrow{FF} \bigoplus \mathcal{N}_{r',r'',s',s''}((Z_2)^0, 3) \xrightarrow{S} \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{r-1,s}((Z_2)^2, 0) \rightarrow 0.$$

Received by the editors November 7, 1996 and, in revised form, December 12, 1996.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 57R85; Secondary 57R75.

Key words and phrases. $(Z_2)^2$ -action, fixed data, bordism class, projective space bundle, Whitney number, Smith homomorphism.

The present work was partially supported by CNPq.

Here, for a given $(Z_2)^2$ -action (M, Φ) , one has $FF[M, \Phi] = [\varepsilon_1 \oplus \varepsilon_2 \oplus \varepsilon_3 \rightarrow F]$. Unfortunately, since iteration destroys exactness, the condition $S[\varepsilon_1 \oplus \varepsilon_2 \oplus \varepsilon_3] = 0$ is a necessary but not sufficient condition for this sum be the fixed data of a $(Z_2)^2$ -action. This will be bypassed simply by putting together that sequence with some additional facts extracted from [10] and [9]. In a certain sense, the mentioned conditions serve as a complement of [6] and [5] in the case $k = 2$; in these works it was shown how to construct the equivariant bordism class of a manifold with $(Z_2)^k$ -action from its fixed data.

Next we recall from [4] that for a given vector bundle $\eta \rightarrow F$ we have

$$\Delta[\lambda \rightarrow RP(\eta \oplus R)] = [\lambda \rightarrow RP(\eta)],$$

where $\Delta : \mathcal{N}_n(BO(1)) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{n-1}(BO(1))$ is the Smith homomorphism and $R \rightarrow F$ denotes the trivial 1-dimensional bundle over F . This yields particularly the fact that if $\eta \oplus R \rightarrow F$ is fixed data of an involution, then the same is true for $\eta \rightarrow F$. The second step of this paper will consist of using the above conditions to obtain the analogue of this fact for $(Z_2)^2$ -actions; specifically, we will show that if $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$ and ε_3 are vector bundles over F such that $(\varepsilon_1 \oplus R) \oplus \varepsilon_2 \oplus \varepsilon_3 \rightarrow F$ is fixed data of some $(Z_2)^2$ -action, then the same is valid for $\varepsilon_1 \oplus \varepsilon_2 \oplus \varepsilon_3 \rightarrow F$ (Theorem 2).

Finally, together with facts of [7], the above result will be used to obtain, up to bordism, all possible $(Z_2)^2$ -actions fixing $RP(2n) \cup \{p\}$, $p = \text{point}$ (Theorem 3). Referring to this we observe that in [7] we have developed a method to analyse the bordism classes of $(Z_2)^k$ -actions fixing $V^n \cup \{p\}$, where V^n is a connected closed n -dimensional manifold, and where the starting point is the knowledge of the set \mathcal{A} of all equivariant bordism classes of involutions containing a representative (M, T) with M connected and with the above fixed set. This method has shown itself particularly effective when \mathcal{A} has a single element; in this case, if (M, Φ) is a $(Z_2)^k$ -action fixing $V^n \cup \{p\}$, we have seen that the fixed data of (M, Φ) bears, in terms of bordism, a strong resemblance to the fixed data of $\sigma\Gamma_t^k(W, \tau)$, where $[(W, \tau)]$ is the only element of \mathcal{A} and $\sigma\Gamma_t^k$ denotes certain operations which produce special $(Z_2)^k$ -actions from a given involution. In particular, we have proved further that (M, Φ) is bordant to some action of type $\sigma\Gamma_t^k(W, \tau)$ when $V^n = S^p \times S^q$, S^n or $RP(2p+1)$ (see [7] and [8]). However, if \mathcal{A} has more than one element, the classification seems more difficult because of the fact that the results of [7] indicate the possibility of occurrence of other classes added to those produced by the operations $\sigma\Gamma_t^k$. In fact, as it will be seen, this is what surprisingly happens when $V^n = RP(2r)$ (one knows from [3] that in this case the set \mathcal{A} has more than one element). So in this case the results of [7] are not definitively enough to determine the classification. In this way the above results constitute, at least in the case $k = 2$, an additional tool to handle this question.

2. CONDITIONS FOR A WHITNEY SUM OF VECTOR BUNDLES TO BE THE FIXED DATA OF A $(Z_2)^2$ -ACTION

As usual, $(Z_2)^2$ is considered as the group generated by two commuting involutions T_1, T_2 . Given a $(Z_2)^2$ -action (M, Φ) , $\Phi = (T_1, T_2)$, one may consider the fixed data of Φ as being $\varepsilon_1 \oplus \varepsilon_2 \oplus \varepsilon_3 \rightarrow F_\Phi$, where F_Φ denotes the set of stationary points of Φ and $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$ and ε_3 are the normal bundles of F_Φ in $F_{T_2}, F_{T_1 T_2}$ and F_{T_1} , respectively (this establishes a standard order for the fixed data which can be naturally extended for $(Z_2)^k$ -actions). We will be using $\lambda_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, to denote the line

bundle over $RP(\varepsilon_i)$ (or occasionally over $RP(\varepsilon_i \oplus R)$) and will also be suppressing all bundle maps.

One starts then with a Whitney sum of real vector bundles over a closed manifold, $\varepsilon_1 \oplus \varepsilon_2 \oplus \varepsilon_3 \rightarrow F$, and wishes that this sum be the fixed data of a $(Z_2)^2$ -action (M^n, Φ) . Here F is not assumed to be connected and for each component F_i one must have

$$\dim(\varepsilon_{1|F_i}) + \dim(\varepsilon_{2|F_i}) + \dim(\varepsilon_{3|F_i}) + \dim(F_i) = n$$

For each $0 \leq l \leq n$ one has the Stong's sequence of $(Z_2, 1)$ -manifolds-bundles before mentioned:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{l,n-l}(Z_2, 1) \xrightarrow{F_l} \bigoplus_{l', l'', g', g''} \mathcal{N}_{l', l'', g', g''}(Z_2^0, 3) \xrightarrow{S_l} \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{l-1, n-l}(Z_2, 1) \rightarrow 0$$

where the sum is over all sequences with $l' + l'' = l$, $g' + g'' = n - l$. Taking the sum over $0 \leq l \leq n$ one obtains the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_l \mathcal{N}_{l,n-l}(Z_2, 1) \xrightarrow{\oplus F_l} \bigoplus_l \bigoplus_{l', l'', g', g''} \mathcal{N}_{l', l'', g', g''}(Z_2^0, 3) \xrightarrow{\oplus S_l} \bigoplus_l \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{l-1, n-l}(Z_2, 1) \rightarrow 0$$

and $\varepsilon_1 \oplus \varepsilon_2 \oplus \varepsilon_3 \rightarrow F$ represents a bordism class α of the middle term. Suppose $\oplus S_l(\alpha) = 0$; as it can be seen by using the definition of S_l and [9, 8.7], this means that $\lambda_1 \oplus (\varepsilon_2 \oplus (\varepsilon_3 \otimes \lambda_1)) \rightarrow RP(\varepsilon_1)$ bounds as an element of

$$\bigoplus_l \mathcal{N}_{l-1}(BO(1) \times BO(n-l)).$$

Then there is $\beta \in \bigoplus_l \mathcal{N}_{l,n-l}(Z_2, 1)$ such that $\oplus F_l(\beta) = \alpha$; β is the bordism class of a 4-tuple $(V, T; \eta, \overline{T})$, where T is an involution on the closed manifold V , η is a vector bundle over V and \overline{T} is an involution of η by a bundle map covering T (we will be omitting the indication about the variation of dimension over the several components, but this should always be assumed). According to a remark after Proposition 8 of [9, page 67] one can exhibit an explicit representative for β : denoting a typical element of the total space of the bundle $\varepsilon_2 \oplus (\varepsilon_3 \otimes \lambda_1) \rightarrow RP(\varepsilon_1 \oplus R)$ by $((u, w \otimes r), [v, s]_p)$, where $(u, w \otimes r)$ belongs to the fiber of $\varepsilon_2 \oplus (\varepsilon_3 \otimes \lambda_1)$ over $[v, s]_p$, which in turn denotes an element of the total space of $RP(\varepsilon_1 \oplus R)$ belonging to the fiber over the point $p \in F$, the correspondence

$$((u, w \otimes r), [v, s]_p) \rightarrow ((u, -w \otimes r), [-v, s]_p)$$

defines an involution T on the total space of $\varepsilon_2 \oplus (\varepsilon_3 \otimes \lambda_1)$ recovering the involution t on $RP(\varepsilon_1 \oplus R)$ given by $t[v, s]_p = [-v, s]_p$. The mentioned representative is then

$$(RP(\varepsilon_1 \oplus R), t; \varepsilon_2 \oplus (\varepsilon_3 \otimes \lambda_1), T).$$

Considering the Stong's sequence relative to $k = 2, q = 0$,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_n((Z_2)^2, 0) \xrightarrow{F} \bigoplus_l \mathcal{N}_{l,n-l}(Z_2, 1) \xrightarrow{S} \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{n-1}((Z_2)^2, 0) \rightarrow 0$$

all that remains is to analyse more closely the condition $S(\beta) = 0$; in fact, this condition will guarantee the existence of a $(Z_2)^2$ -action (M^n, Φ) with $\bigoplus_l F_l(F[M^n, \Phi]) =$

α , that is, with fixed data bordant to $\varepsilon_1 \oplus \varepsilon_2 \oplus \varepsilon_3 \rightarrow F$. But a standard equivariant construction yields in this case a $(Z_2)^2$ -action having precisely this fixed data.

We remark that $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{n-1}((Z_2)^2, 0)$ is the bordism group of $(Z_2)^2$ -actions for which the first involution acts freely. Using the definition of S we see that a representative of $S(\beta)$ is $(S(\varepsilon_2 \oplus (\varepsilon_3 \otimes \lambda_1)), A, T)$, where $S(\)$ means sphere bundle construction, A is the antipodal involution and T is restriction of the previous T . Now one knows from [10, Prop.3] that S maps the summand $\mathcal{N}_{n-1,1}(Z_2, 1)$ isomorphically onto $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{n-1}((Z_2)^2, 0)$ and that the inverse for S on this summand is given by

$$[(W; T_1, T_2)] \rightarrow [(W/T_1, T_2^*; \lambda, \overline{T}_2^*)]$$

where λ is the line bundle of the double cover of W/T_1 by W and T_2^*, \overline{T}_2^* are induced by T_2 . Hence we must analyse the class of

$$(RP(\varepsilon_2 \oplus (\varepsilon_3 \otimes \lambda_1)), T^*; \lambda', \overline{T}^*)$$

in $\mathcal{N}_{n-1,1}(Z_2, 1)$, where λ' is the line bundle over $RP(\varepsilon_2 \oplus (\varepsilon_3 \otimes \lambda_1))$ (which fibers over $RP(\varepsilon_1 \oplus R)$) and T^*, \overline{T}^* are, as above described, induced by the previous T . To make this analysis one simply looks at the fixed point information; according to [10, Prop. 3], for a given element $[(W, T; \eta, \overline{T})] \in \mathcal{N}_{l,p}(Z_2, 1)$, this information is given by the class of $\mu \oplus \eta^+ \oplus \eta^- \rightarrow F_T$ in

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^l \bigoplus_{p'+p''=p} \mathcal{N}_i(BO(l-i) \times BO(p') \times BO(p''))$$

where $\mu \rightarrow F_T$ is the normal bundle of F_T in W and $\eta|_{F_T} = \eta^+ \oplus \eta^-$, η^+ and η^- denoting the subbundles of $\eta|_{F_T}$ where \overline{T} acts as $+1$ and -1 , respectively.

Adopting the type of notation previously established one sees that \overline{T}^* is given by

$$([(u, w \otimes r), [v, s]_p], x) \rightarrow([(u, -w \otimes r), [-v, s]_p], x).$$

The fixed set of T^* must be taken over the fixed set of t , which is the disjoint union of $RP(\varepsilon_1)$ and $RP(R) = F$.

1) Over $RP(\varepsilon_1)$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} T^*[(u, w \otimes r), [v, 0]_p] &= [(u, -w \otimes r), [-v, 0]_p] = [(u, -w \otimes -r), [v, 0]_p] \\ &= [(u, w \otimes r), [v, 0]_p], \end{aligned}$$

that is, T^* acts trivially. Hence $RP(\varepsilon_2 \oplus (\varepsilon_3 \otimes \lambda_1))$ fibering over $RP(\varepsilon_1)$ is a component of the fixed set of T^* , and the normal bundle is

$$\lambda_1 \rightarrow RP(\varepsilon_2 \oplus (\varepsilon_3 \otimes \lambda_1)).$$

Over this component \overline{T}^* acts trivially, so $\eta^+ \oplus \eta^-$ is $\lambda' \oplus 0$ restricted to this component.

2) Over $RP(R) = F$ one has $\lambda_1 = R$, hence $RP(\varepsilon_2 \oplus (\varepsilon_3 \otimes \lambda_1)) = RP(\varepsilon_2 \oplus \varepsilon_3)$; further, T^* acts in this case as

$$[(u, w \otimes r), [0, s]_p] \rightarrow [(u, -(w \otimes r)), [0, s]_p].$$

Therefore one has two components of fixed points:

- a) $RP(\varepsilon_2)$ fibering over F with normal bundle $(\varepsilon_3 \otimes \lambda_2) \oplus \varepsilon_1$;
- b) $RP(\varepsilon_3)$ fibering over F with normal bundle $(\varepsilon_2 \otimes \lambda_3) \oplus \varepsilon_1$.

Over the component of a) \overline{T}^* acts trivially and $\lambda' = \lambda_2$, hence $\eta^+ \oplus \eta^- = \lambda_2 \oplus 0$. Over the component of b) one has

$$\overline{T}^*([(0, w \otimes r), [0, s]_p], x) =([(0, -w \otimes r), [0, s]_p], x) =([(0, w \otimes r), [0, s]_p], -x)$$

and $\lambda' = \lambda_3$, hence $\eta^+ \oplus \eta^- = 0 \oplus \lambda_3$.

One concludes that $S(\beta) = 0$ if and only if

$$(\lambda_1 \oplus \lambda' \oplus 0 \rightarrow RP(\varepsilon_2 \oplus (\varepsilon_3 \otimes \lambda_1))) \cup (((\varepsilon_3 \otimes \lambda_2) \oplus \varepsilon_1) \oplus \lambda_2 \oplus 0 \rightarrow RP(\varepsilon_2)) \\ \cup (((\varepsilon_2 \otimes \lambda_3) \oplus \varepsilon_1) \oplus 0 \oplus \lambda_3 \rightarrow RP(\varepsilon_3))$$

bounds in

$$\left(\bigoplus_{l=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{N}_l(BO(n-1-l) \times BO(1) \times BO(0))\right) \\ \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{l=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{N}_l(BO(n-1-l) \times BO(0) \times BO(1))\right),$$

where the first base space fibers over $RP(\varepsilon_1)$ and the remaining fiber over F (note that no contribution is made by the components F_i with dimension= n , which can be ignored with no loss). Putting together with $\oplus S_l(\alpha) = 0$ and taking into account the dimension of the bordism groups involved, one obtains the following

Theorem 1. *A Whitney sum $\varepsilon_1 \oplus \varepsilon_2 \oplus \varepsilon_3 \rightarrow F$ is fixed data of a $(Z_2)^2$ -action if and only if the following conditions hold:*

a) $\lambda_1 \oplus (\varepsilon_2 \oplus (\varepsilon_3 \otimes \lambda_1)) \rightarrow RP(\varepsilon_1)$ bounds in $\bigoplus_{l=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{N}_l(BO(1) \times BO(n-1-l))$,

where $RP(\varepsilon_1)$ fibers over F ;

b) $(\lambda_1 \oplus \lambda' \oplus 0 \rightarrow RP(\varepsilon_2 \oplus (\varepsilon_3 \otimes \lambda_1))) \cup (((\varepsilon_3 \otimes \lambda_2) \oplus \varepsilon_1) \oplus \lambda_2 \oplus 0 \rightarrow RP(\varepsilon_2))$ bounds in $\bigoplus_{l=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{N}_l(BO(n-1-l) \times BO(1) \times BO(0))$, where the base spaces fiber over

$RP(\varepsilon_1)$ and F , respectively;

c) $((\varepsilon_2 \otimes \lambda_3) \oplus \varepsilon_1) \oplus 0 \oplus \lambda_3 \rightarrow RP(\varepsilon_3)$ bounds in

$$\bigoplus_{l=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{N}_l(BO(n-1-l) \times BO(0) \times BO(1)),$$

with $RP(\varepsilon_3)$ fibering over F .

Since the conditions obtained are detectable through Whitney numbers calculation, our first purpose is established.

Remark. Looking closer at the dimensions of the bordism groups involved, one observes that condition (b) can alternatively be replaced by the two following conditions:

b1)

$$(\lambda_1 \oplus \lambda' \oplus 0 \rightarrow RP(\varepsilon_2 \oplus (\varepsilon_3 \otimes \lambda_1))) \\ \cup \left(\bigcup_{\dim(\varepsilon_1) + \dim(\varepsilon_3) = 1} ((\varepsilon_3 \otimes \lambda_2) \oplus \varepsilon_1) \oplus \lambda_2 \oplus 0 \rightarrow RP(\varepsilon_2) \right)$$

bounds in $\mathcal{N}_{n-2}(BO(1) \times BO(1) \times BO(0))$;

b2)

$$\bigcup_{\dim(\varepsilon_1)+\dim(\varepsilon_3)\neq 1} ((\varepsilon_3 \otimes \lambda_2) \oplus \varepsilon_1) \oplus \lambda_2 \oplus 0 \rightarrow RP(\varepsilon_2)$$

bounds in $\bigoplus_{l=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{N}_l(BO(n-1-l) \times BO(1) \times BO(0))$.

3. A TECHNICAL RESULT

The result to be proved in this section is:

Theorem 2. *Suppose that $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$ and ε_3 are vector bundles over F such that $(\varepsilon_1 \oplus R) \oplus \varepsilon_2 \oplus \varepsilon_3 \rightarrow F$ is fixed data of a $(Z_2)^2$ -action. Then $\varepsilon_1 \oplus \varepsilon_2 \oplus \varepsilon_3 \rightarrow F$ is fixed data of a $(Z_2)^2$ -action.*

Proof. It is easy to check that if a Whitney sum of the form $R \oplus \varepsilon \oplus 0$ is fixed data of a $(Z_2)^2$ -action, then $\lambda \rightarrow RP(\varepsilon)$ bounds; hence we can assume $\dim(\varepsilon_1) + \dim(\varepsilon_3) > 0$, that is, $\dim(\varepsilon_1 \oplus R) + \dim(\varepsilon_3) > 1$. But then the remark after Theorem 1 implies that

- i) $\lambda_1 \oplus (\varepsilon_2 \oplus (\varepsilon_3 \otimes \lambda_1)) \rightarrow RP(\varepsilon_1 \oplus R)$,
- ii) $\lambda_1 \oplus \lambda' \oplus 0 \rightarrow RP(\varepsilon_2 \oplus (\varepsilon_3 \otimes \lambda_1))$,
- iii) $((\varepsilon_3 \otimes \lambda_2) \oplus (\varepsilon_1 \oplus R)) \oplus \lambda_2 \oplus 0 \rightarrow RP(\varepsilon_2)$ and
- iv) $((\varepsilon_2 \otimes \lambda_3) \oplus (\varepsilon_1 \oplus R)) \oplus 0 \oplus \lambda_3 \rightarrow RP(\varepsilon_3)$

bound in the appropriate bordism groups, with ii) fibering over $RP(\varepsilon_1 \oplus R)$ and the remaining over F . From [9, Section 8, Lemma 4] one has a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus \mathcal{N}_l(BO(r) \times BO(s) \times BO(t)) & \xrightarrow{S} & \mathcal{N}_{l+r-1}(BO(1) \times BO(s+t)) \\ I_* \downarrow & & \uparrow \Delta \\ \bigoplus \mathcal{N}_l(BO(r+1) \times BO(s) \times BO(t)) & \xrightarrow{S} & \mathcal{N}_{l+r}(BO(1) \times BO(s+t)) \end{array}$$

where I_* adds a trivial line bundle to the first factor, Δ is the Smith homomorphism and the sum is over (l, r, s, t) with $l + r + s + t = n$ and $0 \leq l \leq n - 1$. Since $SI^*[\varepsilon_1 \oplus \varepsilon_2 \oplus \varepsilon_3]$ is the class of i), the class obtained from i) by replacing $\varepsilon_1 \oplus R$ by ε_1 is zero. Now the fact that the classes obtained from iii) and iv) by replacing $\varepsilon_1 \oplus R$ by ε_1 are also zero can be checked trivially by looking at the characteristic classes. Noting that if the classes obtained from i), ii), iii) and iv) by replacing $\varepsilon_1 \oplus R$ by ε_1 are zero, then conditions (a), (b) and (c) of Theorem 1 will be valid for $\varepsilon_1 \oplus \varepsilon_2 \oplus \varepsilon_3$, all that remains is to prove the following

Lemma. *Let $\eta \rightarrow F$ and $\mu \rightarrow RP(\eta \oplus R)$ be vector bundles such that $\xi \otimes \lambda \rightarrow RP(\mu)$ bounds in $\mathcal{N}_*(BO(1) \times BO(1))$, where $\xi \rightarrow RP(\mu)$ is the line bundle and $\lambda \rightarrow RP(\mu)$ is the pullback of the line bundle $\lambda \rightarrow RP(\eta \oplus R)$. Then $\xi' \oplus \lambda' \rightarrow RP(\mu')$ bounds in $\mathcal{N}_{*-1}(BO(1) \times BO(1))$; here, $\mu' = \mu|_{RP(\eta)}$, $\xi' = \xi|_{RP(\mu')}$ and $\lambda' = \lambda|_{RP(\mu')}$.*

Proof. Denote the total Stiefel-Whitney class of η by $W(\eta) = 1 + v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_r$ and let c, c', d and d' be, respectively, the characteristic classes of λ, λ', ξ and ξ' . Let $j : RP(\mu') \rightarrow RP(\mu)$ be the inclusion and θ be the vector bundle tangent to the fibers of $RP(\mu) \rightarrow RP(\eta \oplus R)$; set $\theta' = \theta|_{RP(\mu')}$. Denote yet $W(RP(\mu)) = 1 + W_1 + W_2 + \dots + W_n$, $W(RP(\mu')) = 1 + w_1 + w_2 + \dots + w_{n-1}$, $W(\theta) = 1 + L_1 + L_2 + \dots + L_s$,

$W(\theta') = 1 + L'_1 + L'_2 + \dots + L'_s$. Clearly $j^*(c) = c', j^*(d) = d'$ and $j^*(L_i) = L'_i$. From [1] one has

$$W(RP(\mu')) = W(F) \left(\sum_{i=0}^r (1 + c')^{r-i} v_i \right) (1 + L'_1 + \dots + L'_s)$$

and

$$W(RP(\mu)) = (1 + c)W(F) \left(\sum_{i=0}^r (1 + c)^{r-i} v_i \right) (1 + L_1 + \dots + L_s)$$

where the classes $v_i, W(F) \in H^*(F, Z_2)$ are being considered, with the same notation, as classes in $H^*(RP(\mu))$ or $H^*(RP(\mu'))$ via bundle maps. Hence $j^*(W_i) = w_i + c'w_{i-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. We will show that all Whitney numbers

$$c'^p d'^q w_{i_1} w_{i_2} \dots w_{i_t} [RP(\mu')]$$

are zero; this will be made by using induction on $l = i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_t$. For $l = 0$ one has

$$c'^p d'^q [RP(\mu')] = j^*(c^p d^q) [RP(\mu')] = c^p d^q j_*([RP(\mu')]) = c^{p+1} d^q [RP(\mu)] = 0$$

by hypothesis; here we used the fact that $RP(\mu')$ is the submanifold of $RP(\mu)$ dual to c . Suppose the fact true for all $l < i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_t$; then

$$\begin{aligned} c'^p d'^q w_{i_1} \dots w_{i_t} [RP(\mu')] &= c'^p d'^q (j^*(W_{i_1}) + c'w_{i_1-1}) \dots (j^*(W_{i_t}) + c'w_{i_t-1}) [RP(\mu')] \\ &= c'^p d'^q j^*(W_{i_1}) \dots j^*(W_{i_t}) [RP(\mu')] + A = c^{p+1} d^q W_{i_1} \dots W_{i_t} [RP(\mu)] + A = A \end{aligned}$$

by hypothesis; here A is a sum of terms of the form

$$c'^a d'^q j^*(W_{b_1}) \dots j^*(W_{b_e}) w_{f_1} \dots w_{f_g} [RP(\mu')]$$

with $b_1 + \dots + b_e + f_1 + \dots + f_g < i_1 + \dots + i_t$. The replacement of each $j^*(W_{b_i})$ by $w_{b_i} + c'w_{b_i-1}$ converts A to a sum of terms of the form $c'^m d'^q w_{h_1} \dots w_{h_u} [RP(\mu')]$ with $h_1 + \dots + h_u < i_1 + \dots + i_t$, and all these terms are zero by the induction hypothesis. \square

Remark. We observe that if $\varepsilon_1 \oplus \varepsilon_2 \oplus \varepsilon_3 \rightarrow F$ is fixed data of a $(Z_2)^2$ -action, then the same is true for any permutation of the $\varepsilon_{i'}$ s; it is then irrelevant, in Theorem 2, to which factor ε_i the trivial line bundle is added.

4. $(Z_2)^2$ -ACTIONS FIXING $RP(2n) \cup \{p\}$

As announced in the introduction, we will obtain in this section, up to bordism, all possible $(Z_2)^2$ -actions fixing $RP(2n) \cup \{p\}$.

The methods of [7] yield particularly specific information about $(Z_2)^2$ -actions; we need first to describe this information. For a fixed smooth closed connected n -dimensional manifold V^n , denote by \mathcal{A} the collection of all equivariant bordism classes of involutions containing a representative (W, T) with W connected and $V^n \cup \{p\}$ as fixed point set. Setting $\mathcal{A} = \{[W_i^{n_i}, \tau_i]\}_i$, let $\eta_i \rightarrow V^n$ denote the normal bundle of V^n in each $W_i^{n_i}$. The results of [7] yield then the following

Fact 1. *Let (M, Φ) be a $(Z_2)^2$ -action with fixed set $V^n \cup \{p\}$, and let*

$$\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^3 \varepsilon_j \rightarrow V^n \right) \cup \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^3 \mu_j \rightarrow p \right)$$

denote the fixed data of Φ . Then one of the following situations occurs:

i) there is exactly one bundle ε_{j_0} bordant to some η_i , and the remaining ε_j 's are the 0-dimensional bundle; in this case, μ_{j_0} is the trivial n_i -dimensional bundle $R^{n_i} \rightarrow p$, and the remaining μ_j 's are the 0-bundle.

ii) there are exactly two bundles $\varepsilon_{j_1}, \varepsilon_{j_2}$ bordant to η_i 's, and the remaining ε_{j_0} is bordant to the tangent bundle $T(V^n) \rightarrow V^n$; in this case, considering ε_{j_1} and ε_{j_2} bordant, respectively, to η_{i_1} and η_{i_2} , one has $\mu_{j_1} = R^{n_{i_1}} \rightarrow p, \mu_{j_2} = R^{n_{i_2}} \rightarrow p$ and $\mu_{j_0} = 0$.

Next we focus our attention on the collection \mathcal{A} relative to $V = RP(2n)$. Consider the standard endomorphism $\Gamma : \mathcal{N}_*^{Z_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_*^{Z_2}$ of degree one (see, for example, [4]) and the augmentation $\varepsilon : \mathcal{N}_*^{Z_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_*$; consider yet the involution $(RP(2n+1), \tau_0)$, where $\tau_0[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{2n+1}] = [-x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{2n+1}]$. The fixed data of this involution is $(\lambda \rightarrow RP(2n)) \cup (R^{2n+1} \rightarrow p)$, where λ is the canonical line bundle. Since $RP(2n) \cup \{p\}$ does not bound, it follows from the strengthened Boardman $\frac{5}{2}$ -theorem of [2] that there exists $k_n \in Z^+$ such that $\varepsilon \Gamma^{k_n}[RP(2n+1), \tau_0] \neq 0$ and $\varepsilon \Gamma^i[RP(2n+1), \tau_0] = 0$ for all $0 \leq i < k_n$. Then for each $0 \leq i \leq k_n$ the fixed data of $\Gamma^i(RP(2n+1), \tau_0)$ can be considered, with no loss, as being $(\lambda \oplus R^i \rightarrow RP(2n)) \cup (R^{2n+i+1} \rightarrow p)$. In [3] Royster proved the following

Fact 2. *Let (W, T) be an involution fixing $RP(2n) \cup \{p\}$. Then*

$$[W, T] = \Gamma^i[RP(2n+1), \tau_0]$$

for some $0 \leq i \leq k_n$.

Suppose now that (M, Φ) is a $(Z_2)^2$ -action fixing $RP(2n) \cup \{p\}$, and let

$$\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^3 \varepsilon_j \rightarrow RP(2n) \right) \cup \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^3 \mu_j \rightarrow p \right)$$

denote the fixed data. Combining Facts 1 and 2 one has that one of the following situations occurs:

i) there is $0 \leq i \leq k_n$ such that, up to permutation, ε_1 is bordant to $\lambda \oplus R^i$ and $\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3$ are the 0-bundle;

ii) there are $0 \leq i, j \leq k_n, i \leq j$, such that, up to permutation, $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$ and ε_3 are bordant, respectively, to $\lambda \oplus R^i, \lambda \oplus R^j$ and $T(RP(2n))$.

But a bundle $\eta \rightarrow RP(2n)$ bordant to $\lambda \oplus R^i$ has necessarily $w_1(\eta) = \alpha$ and $w_r(\eta) = 0$ for $r > 1$, where $\alpha \in H^1(RP(2n), Z_2)$ is the generator; on the other hand, one knows from [11] that if η is bordant to $T(RP(2n))$, then $W(\eta) = (1 + \alpha)^{2n+1}$. These facts produce a simultaneous bordism; that is, they imply

that, up to permutation, $(\bigoplus_{j=1}^3 \varepsilon_j) \cup (\bigoplus_{j=1}^3 \mu_j)$ is bordant to

$$((\lambda \oplus R^i) \oplus (\lambda \oplus R^j) \oplus T(RP(2n))) \cup (R^{2n+i+1} \oplus R^{2n+j+1} \oplus 0)$$

as elements of $\bigoplus_p \mathcal{N}_p(BO(r) \times BO(s) \times BO(t))$. In order to complete the classification our next task will consist then in exhibiting a list of $(Z_2)^2$ -actions realizing all these possibilities. To do this, observe first that from a given involution (W, T) with fixed data $\eta \rightarrow F$ one can construct the following special $(Z_2)^2$ -actions:

i) $(W \times W; D, S)$, with $D(x, y) = (T(x), T(y))$ and $S(x, y) = (y, x)$; the fixed data is $\eta \oplus \eta \oplus T(F) \rightarrow F$;

ii) $(W; T, Id)$, with fixed data $\eta \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \rightarrow F$.

We remark that the above actions are, respectively, the actions $\Gamma_2^2(W, T)$ and $\Gamma_1^2(W, T)$ mentioned in the introduction. Next observe that each automorphism $\sigma : (Z_2)^2 \rightarrow (Z_2)^2$ gives rise, from a given $(Z_2)^2$ -action (M, Φ) , $\Phi = (T_1, T_2)$, to a new action given by $(M; \sigma(T_1), \sigma(T_2))$; we denote this action by $\sigma(M, \Phi)$. When σ varies in $Aut((Z_2)^2)$, the actions $\sigma(M, \Phi)$ realize all possible permutations of the original fixed data.

Take now $0 \leq i, j \leq k_n$ with $i < j$. By applying $j - i$ times Theorem 2 to the fixed data of $\Gamma_2^2 \Gamma^j(RP(2n+1), \tau_0)$ one obtains a $(Z_2)^2$ -action $(N_{i,j}, \varphi_{i,j})$ with fixed data

$$((\lambda \oplus R^i) \oplus (\lambda \oplus R^j) \oplus T(RP(2n))) \cup (R^{2n+i+1} \oplus R^{2n+j+1} \oplus 0)$$

(since a $(Z_2)^2$ -action cannot fix precisely one point [4, 31.3], $N_{i,j}$ is necessarily connected; such an action can be explicitly obtained by using the method of [5]).

The following $(Z_2)^2$ -actions complete then our task:

- i) $\sigma \Gamma_t^2 \Gamma^i(RP(2n+1), \tau_0)$, with $0 \leq i \leq k_n$, $t = 1$ or 2 and $\sigma \in Aut((Z_2)^2)$;
- ii) $\sigma(N_{i,j}, \varphi_{i,j})$, with $0 \leq i, j \leq k_n$, $i < j$ and $\sigma \in Aut((Z_2)^2)$.

Summarizing we obtain

Theorem 3. *A $(Z_2)^2$ -action fixing $RP(2n) \cup \{p\}$ is necessarily bordant to one of the above actions.*

REFERENCES

1. A. Borel and F. Hirzebruch, *On characteristic classes and homogeneous spaces; I*, Am. J. Math. **80** (1958), 458–538. MR **21**:1586
2. C. Kosniowski and R. E. Stong, *Involutions and characteristic numbers*, Topology **17** (1978), 309–330. MR **82a**:57036
3. D. C. Royster, *Involutions fixing the disjoint union of two projective spaces*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **29** (1980), 267–276. MR **81i**:57034
4. P. E. Conner and E. E. Floyd, *Differentiable Periodic Maps*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1964. MR **31**:750
5. P. L. Q. Pergher, *An equivariant construction*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **119** (1993), 319–320. MR **93k**:57065
6. P. L. Q. Pergher, *Manifolds with $(Z_2)^k$ -actions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **106** (1989), 1091–1094. MR **89m**:57039
7. P. L. Q. Pergher, *The union of a connected manifold and a point as fixed set of commuting involutions*, Topology Appl. **69** (1996), 71–81. MR **96m**:57055
8. P. L. Q. Pergher, *$(Z_2)^k$ -actions fixing a product of spheres and a point*, Canad. Math. Bull. **38** (1995), 366–372. MR **96j**:57045
9. R. E. Stong, *Bordism and involutions*, Ann. of Math. **90** (1969), 47–74. MR **39**:3503
10. R. E. Stong, *Equivariant bordism and $(Z_2)^k$ -actions*, Duke Math. J. **37** (1970), 779–785. MR **42**:6847
11. R. E. Stong, *Involutions fixing projective spaces*, Michigan Math. J. **13** (1966), 445–447. MR **34**:6795

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SÃO CARLOS, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, RODOVIA WASHINGTON LUIZ, KM. 235, 13.565-905, SÃO CARLOS, S.P., BRAZIL

E-mail address: pergher@power.ufscar.br