

## MERGELYAN PAIRS FOR HARMONIC FUNCTIONS

STEPHEN J. GARDINER

(Communicated by Albert Baernstein II)

ABSTRACT. Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be open and  $E \subseteq \Omega$  be a bounded set which is closed relative to  $\Omega$ . We characterize those pairs  $(\Omega, E)$  such that, for each harmonic function  $h$  on  $\Omega$  which is uniformly continuous on  $E$ , there is a sequence of harmonic polynomials which converges to  $h$  uniformly on  $E$ . As an immediate corollary we obtain a characterization of Mergelyan pairs for harmonic functions.

### 1. RESULTS

Let  $\Omega$  be an open set in Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) and let  $E \subseteq \Omega$  be a bounded set which is closed relative to  $\Omega$ . Also, let  $f|_E$  denote the restriction of a function  $f$  to  $E$ . We call  $(\Omega, E)$  a *Mergelyan pair for harmonic functions* if each harmonic function  $h$  on  $\Omega$  for which  $h|_E$  is uniformly continuous on  $E$  can be uniformly approximated by harmonic polynomials on  $K \cup E$  for every compact subset  $K$  of  $\Omega$ . This paper presents a complete characterization of Mergelyan pairs for harmonic functions. The corresponding problem for holomorphic functions was solved by Stray [12] in the particular case where  $\Omega$  is the unit disc, and then by Brown and Shields [2, p. 79 and Theorem 3] for general plane domains.

We will need some notation. If  $K$  is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $K^\wedge$  denotes the union of  $K$  with the bounded (connected) components of  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the Alexandroff (ideal) point for  $\Omega$ . If  $V$  is a connected open subset of  $\Omega$  and there is a continuous function  $p : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow V$  such that  $p(t) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , then we say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is *accessible* from  $V$ . We define  $E^\sim$  to be the union of  $E$  with the connected components of  $\Omega \setminus E$  from which  $\mathcal{A}$  is not accessible. Note that the definition of  $E^\sim$  involves  $\Omega$ , whereas the definition of  $K^\wedge$  does not. Also, the notation  $\overline{E}^\wedge$  means  $(E^\sim)^\wedge$ . We refer to Doob [6, 1.XI] for an account of thin sets.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $E \subseteq \Omega$  be a bounded set which is closed relative to  $\Omega$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (a) *each harmonic function  $h$  on  $\Omega$  for which  $h|_E$  is uniformly continuous on  $E$  can be uniformly approximated on  $E$  by harmonic polynomials;*
- (b)  *$\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{E}^\wedge$  and  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E^\sim$  are thin at the same points of  $\overline{E}$ .*

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $E \subseteq \Omega$  be a bounded set which is closed relative to  $\Omega$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (a)  *$(\Omega, E)$  is a Mergelyan pair for harmonic functions;*

---

Received by the editors October 21, 1996 and, in revised form, February 3, 1997.  
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 31B05; Secondary 41A28.

(b) for each compact subset  $K$  of  $\Omega$ , the sets  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (\overline{E \cup K})^\wedge$  and  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (E \cup K)^\sim$  are thin at the same points of  $\overline{E \cup K}$ .

Corollary 1 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1 since  $\overline{E \cup K} = \overline{E} \cup K$  for each compact  $K \subseteq \Omega$ . To see that conditions (b) of Corollary 1 and Theorem 1 are distinct, let  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  be open balls such that  $B_1$  is internally tangent to  $B_2$  at precisely one point, let  $\Omega = B_2$  and  $E = \Omega \cap \partial B_1$ . Then  $\overline{E}^\wedge = \overline{B_1}$  and  $E^\sim = E$ , so condition (b) of Theorem 1 holds. However, if  $K = \{x\}$ , where  $x$  is the centre of  $B_1$ , then  $(E \cup K)^\sim = E \cup K$  and  $x$  is in the interior of  $(\overline{E \cup K})^\wedge$ ; so condition (b) of Corollary 1 fails. Similar considerations show that condition (b) of Corollary 1 implies that  $\overline{E}^\wedge \cap \Omega = E^\sim$ , and even that  $(\overline{E \cup K})^\wedge \cap \Omega = (E \cup K)^\sim$  for every compact  $K \subseteq \Omega$ .

We note from Brown and Shields [2, Theorem 3] that a Mergelyan pair for holomorphic functions must satisfy  $\overline{E^\sim} = \overline{E}^\wedge$ . To see that this is not the case for harmonic functions, let  $\Omega = B_2 \setminus \overline{B_1}$ , where  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are open balls as above. Further, let  $E$  be a countable subset of  $\Omega$  whose set of accumulation points is  $\partial B_1$ . Then  $(\Omega, E)$  satisfies condition (b) of Corollary 1, but  $\overline{E^\sim} = E \cup \partial B_1 \neq E \cup \overline{B_1} = \overline{E}^\wedge$ .

Let  $B(x, r)$  denote the open ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with centre  $x$  and radius  $r$ . For any set  $F$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  we define

$$F^\vee = F \cup \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : B(x, r) \setminus F \text{ is polar for some } r > 0\}.$$

Clearly  $F^\vee \setminus F$  is a polar set. We will show that, when  $n = 2$ , condition (b) of Theorem 1 simplifies to the condition that  $\partial(\overline{E}^\wedge) = \partial((E^\sim)^\vee)$ . Thus we have the following.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $\Omega$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $E \subseteq \Omega$  be a bounded set which is closed relative to  $\Omega$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $(\Omega, E)$  is a Mergelyan pair for harmonic functions;
- (b)  $\partial((\overline{E \cup K})^\wedge) = \partial(((E \cup K)^\sim)^\vee)$  for each compact subset  $K$  of  $\Omega$ .

Corollary 2 extends recent work of Bonilla, Perez-Gonzalez and Trujillo-Gonzalez [1, Theorem 3.3 and Correction] which characterizes Mergelyan pairs for harmonic functions in the plane in the special case where  $\Omega$  is a bounded open set satisfying  $\partial\Omega = \partial(\overline{\Omega}^\wedge)$ .

## 2. PROOFS

2.1. Suppose that condition (b) of Theorem 1 holds and let  $h$  be a harmonic function on  $\Omega$  such that  $h|_E$  is uniformly continuous on  $E$ . Then  $h$  can be extended to  $\Omega \cup \overline{E}$  in such a way that the restriction of  $h$  to  $\overline{E}$  is continuous.

We claim that the restriction of  $h$  to  $\overline{E^\sim}$  is continuous. To see this, let  $W = E^\sim \setminus E$ . Then each point  $y$  of  $\partial W \cap \partial\Omega$  is regular for the Dirichlet problem on  $W$ ; for otherwise,  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus W$  would be thin at  $y$ , and a result of Deny [5] then yields the contradictory conclusion that there are (many) paths emanating from  $y$  which lie initially in  $W$ . Also, it is clear that  $\partial W \subseteq \overline{E}$ . Let  $u = H_h^W - h$ , where  $H_h^W$  denotes the Perron-Wiener-Brelot solution of the Dirichlet problem on  $W$  with boundary function  $h$ . Then  $u(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow y$  for each  $y \in \partial W \cap \Omega$  which is regular for  $W$ , and  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow y} |u(x)| < +\infty$  for each  $y$  in the polar set of irregular boundary points of  $W$ . Let  $w$  be a positive superharmonic function on an open set containing

$\overline{E^\sim}$  such that  $w = +\infty$  on this polar set. If  $\delta > 0$ , then

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow y, x \in W} \{|u(x)| - \delta w(x)\} \leq 0 \quad (y \in \partial W \cap \Omega).$$

Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is not accessible from any component of  $W$ , it follows that  $|u| - \delta w \leq 0$  on  $W$  (see [3], for example), and since  $\delta$  can be arbitrarily small, we can conclude that  $u \equiv 0$ . Thus, by the regularity of points of  $\partial W \cap \partial \Omega$  for  $W$ , the restriction of  $h$  to  $\overline{E^\sim}$  is continuous.

Let  $F = \overline{E^\sim}$  and let  $A$  denote the fine interior of  $F$ . Then  $h$  is finely harmonic on  $A \cap \Omega$  (see [7] for an account of finely harmonic functions). Noting that  $F \subseteq \overline{E^\wedge}$ , we see from condition (b) that

$$\begin{aligned} A \setminus \Omega &= \{x \in \overline{E} \cap \partial \Omega : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus F \text{ is thin at } x\} \\ &\subseteq \{x \in \overline{E} \cap \partial \Omega : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{E^\wedge} \text{ is thin at } x\} \\ &= \{x \in \overline{E} \cap \partial \Omega : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E^\sim \text{ is thin at } x\} \\ &\subseteq \{x \in \partial \Omega : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega \text{ is thin at } x\}, \end{aligned}$$

and this latter set is polar. Since polar sets are removable for bounded finely harmonic functions (see [7, Theorem 9.15]),  $h$  is finely harmonic on  $A$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . In view of the properties of  $h$  established in the preceding two paragraphs, we can apply a result of Debiard and Gaveau [4] to see that there is a harmonic function  $\nu$  on a neighbourhood of  $F$  such that  $|h - \nu| < \varepsilon/2$  on  $F$ . Since  $E^\sim \subseteq F \subseteq F^\wedge = \overline{E^\wedge}$ , we see from condition (b) that  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus F^\wedge$  and  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus F$  are thin at the same points of  $\overline{E}$ , and hence at the same points of  $F$ . By [8, Theorem 1.10], there is a harmonic function  $h_0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $|\nu - h_0| < \varepsilon/2$  on  $F$ , and hence  $|h - h_0| < \varepsilon$  on  $\overline{E}$ . By suitably truncating the expansion of  $h_0$  in terms of homogeneous harmonic polynomials, we obtain condition (a) of Theorem 1.

2.2. Conversely, suppose that condition (a) of Theorem 1 holds. Since  $E^\sim \subseteq \overline{E^\wedge}$ , it is enough to show that, if  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E^\sim$  is non-thin at a point  $y$  in  $\overline{E}$ , then so also is  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{E^\wedge}$ . If  $n = 2$ , then let  $\omega$  denote an open disc which contains  $\overline{E}$ ; otherwise let  $\omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ . Thus, in either case,  $\omega$  possesses a Green function. Let  $u^\#$  denote a continuous potential on  $\omega$  which determines thinness (see [6, 1.XI.10]), and let  $\widehat{R}_u^A$  denote the regularized reduced function (balayage) of a positive superharmonic function  $u$  relative to a set  $A$  in  $\omega$ . Also, let  $B$  be an open ball such that  $\overline{E} \subset B$  and  $\overline{B} \subset \omega$ .

Suppose that  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E^\sim$  is non-thin at a fixed point  $y$  of  $\overline{E}$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For each  $m$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  let

$$A_m = B \setminus [B(y, 1/m) \cup \{x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, E^\sim) < 1/m\}].$$

Since  $A_m \uparrow B \setminus (E^\sim \cup \{y\})$  and  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E^\sim$  is non-thin at  $y$ , we see that

$$\widehat{R}_{u^\#}^{A_m}(y) \uparrow \widehat{R}_{u^\#}^{B \setminus E^\sim}(y) = u^\#(y) \quad (m \rightarrow \infty).$$

We choose  $k$  large enough so that

$$\widehat{R}_{u^\#}^{A_k}(y) \geq u^\#(y) - \varepsilon.$$

The measure  $\mu$  associated with the potential  $\widehat{R}_{u^\#}^{A_k}$  has support  $\overline{A}_k$ . It follows from a theorem of Choquet (see [11, Theorem 6.21]) and the fact that  $y \notin \overline{A}_k$ , that we

can restrict  $\mu$  to a smaller set in such a way that the corresponding potential  $u$  is continuous on  $\omega$  and satisfies

$$(1) \quad u(y) > u^\#(y) - 2\varepsilon.$$

We now appeal to a recent result of the author [10, Theorem 2(b)]. This asserts that, if  $u$  is uniformly continuous on  $E^\sim$  and finely harmonic on the fine interior of  $E^\sim$ , then  $u$  can be uniformly approximated on  $E^\sim$  by harmonic functions on  $\Omega$  whose restrictions to  $E^\sim$  are uniformly continuous. The hypotheses of this result are certainly satisfied in the case of the function  $u$  constructed in the previous paragraph, since  $u$  is continuous on  $\omega$  and harmonic on the open set  $\{x \in \Omega: \text{dist}(x, E^\sim) < 1/k\}$ , which contains  $E^\sim$ . Hence there is a harmonic function  $h$  on  $\Omega$  such that  $h|_{E^\sim}$  is uniformly continuous on  $E^\sim$  and such that

$$(2) \quad |u(x) - h(x)| < \varepsilon \quad (x \in E^\sim).$$

Let  $L = \overline{E^\sim}$ . We next apply condition (a) to obtain a harmonic polynomial  $h_0$  such that  $|h - h_0| < \varepsilon$  on  $E$ . Thus, in view of (2),  $|u - h_0| \leq 2\varepsilon$  on  $\overline{E}$ , which contains  $\partial L$ . If we define

$$V_m = \{x \in \omega: \text{dist}(x, L) < 1/m\} \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}),$$

then for large values of  $m$ ,

$$|H_u^{V_m} - h_0| = |H_{u-h_0}^{V_m}| < 3\varepsilon \quad \text{on } \overline{E}.$$

Since  $H_u^{V_m} = \widehat{R}_u^{\omega \setminus V_m}$  on  $V_m$ , we see that

$$(3) \quad |\widehat{R}_u^{\omega \setminus V_m} - u| \leq |\widehat{R}_u^{\omega \setminus V_m} - h_0| + |h_0 - u| < 5\varepsilon \quad \text{on } \overline{E}.$$

From (3), (1) and the fact that  $u^\# \geq u$  we obtain

$$\widehat{R}_{u^\#}^{\omega \setminus L}(y) \geq \widehat{R}_u^{\omega \setminus L}(y) \geq u(y) - 5\varepsilon > u^\#(y) - 7\varepsilon.$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  can be arbitrarily small, we see that

$$\widehat{R}_{u^\#}^{\omega \setminus L}(y) = u^\#(y).$$

It follows that  $\omega \setminus L$ , and hence  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus L$ , is non-thin at  $y$ , as required.

2.3. In order to prove Corollary 2, it is enough to show that, when  $n = 2$ , condition (b) of Theorem 1 can be replaced by:

$$(b') \quad \partial(\overline{E^\sim}) = \partial((E^\sim)^\vee).$$

First suppose that condition (b') holds and suppose further that  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \overline{E^\sim}$  is thin at a point  $y$  of  $\overline{E}$ . It follows that there are (many) circles centred at  $y$  which are contained in  $\overline{E^\sim}$ . Hence  $y$  lies in the interior of  $\overline{E^\sim}$ . By condition (b'),  $y \notin \partial((E^\sim)^\vee)$ . Clearly  $y \in \overline{(E^\sim)^\vee}$ , so  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus (E^\sim)^\vee$  is thin at  $y$ . Since  $(E^\sim)^\vee \setminus E^\sim$  is polar, it follows that  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus E^\sim$  is thin at  $y$ . Thus condition (b) of Theorem 1 holds.

Conversely, suppose that condition (b') fails to hold. We will show that condition (a) of Theorem 1 then fails. Let  $F = \overline{E^\sim}$  and let  $\omega$  be an open disc that contains  $F$ . Since  $F^\sim = \overline{E^\sim}$ , it is clear that  $\partial(\overline{E^\sim}) \subseteq \partial F \subseteq \partial((E^\sim)^\vee)$ . Thus there exists a point  $y$  in  $\partial((E^\sim)^\vee) \setminus \partial(\overline{E^\sim})$ . We note that  $y \in F \subseteq \overline{E^\sim}$ , so  $y \in (\overline{E^\sim})^0$ . Let  $r > 0$  be such that  $B(y, 2r) \subseteq \overline{E^\sim}$ . Since  $y \in \partial((E^\sim)^\vee)$ , it follows that  $B(y, r) \setminus E^\sim$  is non-polar. Thus we can choose a non-zero measure on  $B(y, r) \setminus E^\sim$  such that the

corresponding potential  $u$  on  $\omega$  is continuous (in addition to being harmonic on  $(E^\sim)^0$ ) and satisfies

$$u(y) > \sup\{u(x) : x \in \partial(\overline{E^\sim})\}.$$

By [9, Corollary 1] (or [10, Corollary 2]) there is a function  $h$  which is harmonic on  $\Omega$  such that  $h|_E$  is uniformly continuous on  $E$ , and such that

$$(4) \quad h(y) > \sup\{h(x) : x \in \partial(\overline{E^\sim})\}.$$

It is now clear that condition (a) of Theorem 1 fails, for otherwise (4) would lead us to contradict the maximum principle for harmonic functions in view of the fact that  $y \in (\overline{E^\sim})^0$ .

The proof of Corollary 2 is now complete.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bonilla, F. Pérez-González and R. Trujillo-González, *Mergelyan sets for certain classes of harmonic functions*, Complex Variables **31** (1996), 9–18; *Correction*, to appear. CMP 97:05
- [2] L. Brown and A. L. Shields, *Approximation by analytic functions uniformly continuous on a set*, Duke Math. J. **42** (1975), 71–81. MR **51**:3458
- [3] Chen Huaihui and P. M. Gauthier, *A maximum principle for subharmonic and plurisubharmonic functions*, Canad. J. Math. **35** (1992), 34–39. MR **93a**:31013
- [4] A. Debiard and B. Gaveau, *Potential fin et algèbres de fonctions analytiques I*, J. Funct. Anal. **16** (1974), 289–304. MR **52**:1326
- [5] J. Deny, *Un théorème sur les ensembles effilés*, Ann. Univ. Grenoble Sect. Sci. Math. Phys. **23** (1948), 139–142. MR **9**:509a
- [6] J. L. Doob, *Classical potential theory and its probabilistic counterpart*, Springer, New York, 1983. MR **85k**:31001
- [7] B. Fuglede, *Finely harmonic functions*, Lecture Notes in Math. **289**, Springer, Berlin, 1972. MR **56**:8883
- [8] S. J. Gardiner, *Harmonic approximation*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes 221, Cambridge University Press, 1995. MR **96j**:31001
- [9] S. J. Gardiner, *Uniform harmonic approximation with continuous extension to the boundary*, J. Analyse Math. **68** (1996), 95–106. CMP 96:16
- [10] S. J. Gardiner, *Decomposition of approximable harmonic functions*, Math. Ann. **308** (1997), 175–185. CMP 97:11
- [11] L. L. Helms, *Introduction to potential theory*, Krieger, New York, 1975. MR **57**:659
- [12] A. Stray, *Characterization of Mergelyan sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **44** (1974), 347–352. MR **50**:13543

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY COLLEGE DUBLIN, DUBLIN 4, IRELAND  
*E-mail address*: `stephen.gardiner@ucd.ie`