

**A BOUND FOR  $|G : \mathbf{O}_p(G)|_p$  IN TERMS  
OF THE LARGEST IRREDUCIBLE CHARACTER DEGREE  
OF A FINITE  $p$ -SOLVABLE GROUP  $G$**

DIANE BENJAMIN

(Communicated by Ronald M. Solomon)

ABSTRACT. Let  $b(G)$  denote the largest irreducible character degree of a finite group  $G$ , and let  $p$  be a prime. Two results are obtained. First, we show that, if  $G$  is a  $p$ -solvable group and if  $b(G) < p^2$ , then  $p^2 \nmid |G : \mathbf{O}_p(G)|$ . Next, we restrict attention to solvable groups and show that, if  $b(G) \leq p^\alpha$  and if  $P$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , then  $|P : \mathbf{O}_p(G)| \leq p^{2\alpha}$ .

1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose  $G$  is a finite group. Let  $\text{cd}(G)$  denote the set  $\{\chi(1) \mid \chi \in \text{Irr}(G)\}$ , and let  $b(G)$  denote the largest irreducible character degree of a group  $G$ . Theorem 12.29 of [1] states: *Let  $p$  be a prime and let  $b(G) < p$ . Then  $G$  has a normal abelian Sylow- $p$  subgroup.* It is immediate from this result that if  $b(G) < p$ , then  $p \nmid |G : \mathbf{O}_p(G)|$ . Later, in the same chapter of [1], we have Theorem 12.32: *Suppose  $b(G) < p^{3/2}$  for some prime  $p$ . Then  $p^2 \nmid |G : \mathbf{O}_p(G)|$ .* These facts raise the question: if  $b(G) < p^\alpha$  for a real number  $\alpha$ , what can be said about the  $p$  part of  $|G : \mathbf{O}_p(G)|$ ? We address this question in the case when  $G$  is a  $p$ -solvable group and obtain the following two results:

**Theorem A.** *Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -solvable group and let  $p$  be a prime. If  $b(G) < p^2$ , then  $p^2 \nmid |G : \mathbf{O}_p(G)|$ .*

**Theorem B.** *Let  $G$  be a solvable group, let  $p$  be a prime, and let  $\alpha$  be a real number. If  $b(G) \leq p^\alpha$  and if  $P$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , then  $|P : \mathbf{O}_p(G)| \leq p^{2\alpha}$ . In addition, if  $|G|$  is odd, then  $|P : \mathbf{O}_p(G)| \leq p^\alpha$ .*

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we establish several facts regarding coprime actions that will be needed in the proofs of Theorem A and Theorem B.

**Theorem (2.1)** (Brodkey). *Let  $G$  be a finite group and assume that  $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$  is abelian. Then there exists  $T \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$  with  $S \cap T = \mathbf{O}_p(G)$ .*

*Proof.* This is Theorem 5.28 of [2]. □

---

Received by the editors May 31, 1997.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20C15.

**Lemma (2.2).** *Suppose that a  $p$ -group  $P$  acts on a  $p'$ -group  $H$ . If  $P$  fixes every character in  $\text{Irr}(H)$ , then the action of  $P$  on  $H$  is trivial.*

*Proof.* If  $P$  fixes every character of  $H$ , then, by Brauer's Theorem (6.32 of [1]),  $P$  fixes every conjugacy class of  $H$ . Since the size of a conjugacy class of  $H$  is a  $p'$ -number, it follows that each conjugacy class contains a fixed point of  $P$ . Let  $C = \mathbf{C}_H(P)$  be the subgroup of fixed points. Since  $C$  meets each class of  $H$  nontrivially, it follows that  $H$  is the (setwise) union of  $H$ -conjugates of  $C$ . This forces  $H = C$ , and thus the action of  $P$  on  $H$  is trivial.  $\square$

Last, we prove a special case of Theorem A.

**Proposition (2.3).** *Suppose an abelian  $p$ -group  $P$  acts faithfully on an abelian  $p'$ -group  $H$ , and let  $G = H \rtimes P$ . If  $b(G) < p^2$ , then  $|P| \leq p$ .*

*Proof.* If  $x \in \mathbf{C}_P(h)$ , then  $h = h^x$ ; thus  $x = x^h$  and, since  $x \in P$ , it follows that  $x \in P \cap P^h$ . Thus, in the action of  $P$  on  $H$ , the stabilizer of a point  $h$  in  $H$ , which is  $\mathbf{C}_P(h)$ , is contained in  $P \cap P^h$ . Since the action of  $P$  on  $H$  is faithful and since  $H$  is abelian, Brauer's Theorem (6.32 of [1]) implies that the action of  $P$  on the abelian group  $\text{Irr}(H)$  is faithful. Since  $P$  is abelian, Brodkey's Theorem (2.1) together with the fact that point stabilizers are contained in Sylow intersections implies that there is a regular orbit of  $P$  on  $\text{Irr}(H)$ . Thus, for some character  $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(H)$ , we have  $I_G(\lambda) = H$ . It follows that  $\lambda^G \in \text{Irr}(G)$ , and therefore  $|P| = |G : H| = \lambda^G(1) \leq b(G)$ . Since  $b(G) < p^2$ , the conclusion holds.  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM A

In this section we prove Theorem A. We begin with a technical lemma.

**Lemma (3.1).** *Suppose that  $G$  is a group with subgroups  $H, P, A$ , and  $B$  such that  $G = HP$  and  $P = AB$ . If  $B \leq \mathbf{N}_G([H, A])$ , then  $[H, A] \triangleleft G$  and  $[H, A] \cdot [H, B] = [H, P]$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $B \leq \mathbf{N}_G([H, A])$ . Since  $H$  and  $A$  normalize  $[H, A]$ , we have that  $[H, A] \triangleleft G$  and it follows that the product  $[H, A] \cdot [H, B]$  is a subgroup.

Clearly  $[H, A] \cdot [H, B] \leq [H, P]$ . We will show that this is an equality. Let  $[h, x]$  be a generator of  $[H, P]$ , with  $h \in H$  and  $x \in P$ . Write  $x = ab$ , where  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ . One can check that:

$$[h, x] = [h, ab] = [h, b][h, a]^b \in [H, B] \cdot [H, A]^b = [H, A] \cdot [H, B].$$

It follows that  $[H, A] \cdot [H, B] = [H, P]$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem A.* Let  $G$  be a counterexample of minimal order. We may assume that  $\mathbf{O}_p(G) = 1$ . Set  $H = \mathbf{O}_{p'}(G)$ . By the famous Lemma 1.2.3 of Hall and Higman, see Lemma 14.22 of [1], we have  $\mathbf{C}_G(H) \leq H$ .

First, we will prove a fact that will be used repeatedly: If  $K$  is a subgroup of  $G$  with  $H \leq K$ , then  $\mathbf{O}_p(K) = 1$ . Assume that  $K \geq H$ . Since  $H$  is a  $p'$ -group,  $H$  and  $\mathbf{O}_p(K)$  are disjoint normal subgroups of  $K$ ; thus  $\mathbf{O}_p(K) \leq \mathbf{C}_K(H) \leq H$ . Since  $H$  has  $p'$  order, this forces  $\mathbf{O}_p(K) = 1$ .

Now fix  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ . As we have seen,  $\mathbf{O}_p(HP) = 1$ . Since  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(HP)$  and since  $G$  is a minimal counterexample, we have  $G = HP$ .

Next, we will show that  $P$  is abelian of order  $p^2$ . Let  $P_1 \leq P$  with  $|P : P_1| = p$ . Since  $H \leq HP_1$ , we have  $\mathbf{O}_p(HP_1) = 1$ . Since  $|HP_1| < |HP|$ , the minimality of  $G$  implies that  $|P_1| \leq p$ . It follows that  $|P| = p^2$  and that  $P$  is abelian.

Let  $\psi \in \text{Irr}(H)$  and  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G|\psi)$ . By Clifford's Theorem (6.1 of [1]), we have  $\chi|_H = e \sum_{i=1}^t \psi_i$ , where  $\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^t$  is the complete orbit of  $\psi$  in the conjugation action of  $G$  on  $\text{Irr}(H)$ , labeled so that  $\psi_1 = \psi$ . Also  $et$  divides  $|G : H| = |P| = p^2$ , by Corollary 11.29 of [1]. Since  $\chi(1) = et\psi(1)$  and since  $et$  divides  $p^2$ , the hypothesis on character degrees of  $G$  implies that  $et \leq p$ . It follows that  $et$  divides  $p$ . We claim that  $e = 1$ . Suppose, for a contradiction, that  $e > 1$ . Then  $e = p$  and  $t = 1$ ; consequently,  $\psi$  is  $G$ -invariant. Since  $H$  is a normal Hall subgroup of  $G$ , it follows that  $\psi$  extends to  $G$  (see Gallagher's Theorem 8.15 of [1]). Further, since  $P$  is abelian, every irreducible character of  $G$  that lies over  $\psi$  must be an extension (Corollary 6.17 of [1]); however, this contradicts  $\chi_H = p\psi$ . Thus, as claimed,  $e = 1$ , and it follows that  $\chi|_H = \sum_{i=1}^p \psi_i$  or  $\chi|_H = \psi$ .

Now let  $A$  be a subgroup of  $P$  that fixes every character in  $\text{Irr}(H)$ . By Lemma (2.2),  $A \leq \mathbf{C}_P(H) \leq H$ , and, since  $A$  is a  $p$ -group, this implies that  $A = 1$ . Thus, the action of  $P$  on  $\text{Irr}(H)$  is faithful. Next we will deduce that  $P$  must be an elementary abelian  $p$ -group. Let  $I_P(\psi)$  denote the stabilizer in  $P$  of  $\psi$ . As we have seen, for every character  $\psi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ , either  $|P : I_P(\psi)| = 1$  or  $p$ ; as a consequence,  $|I_P(\psi)| > 1$ . If  $P$  is cyclic, then  $P$  has a unique subgroup of order  $p$ . This subgroup would have to be contained in  $I_P(\psi)$ , for every character  $\psi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ . This contradicts the fact that the action on  $\text{Irr}(H)$  is faithful. Thus  $P$  is not cyclic, and, therefore, is elementary abelian of order  $p^2$ .

Next we will show that  $H = [H, P]$ . By properties of coprime actions, we have that  $H = [H, P] \cdot \mathbf{C}_H(P)$ . If  $X \leq P$ , then  $[H, X] \triangleleft G$ , since  $H$  normalizes  $[H, X]$  and  $P$  normalizes both  $H$  and  $X$ . Also, if  $X$  is nontrivial, then  $[H, X]$  is nontrivial, since  $\mathbf{C}_G(H) \leq H$ . In particular,  $[H, P]$  is a nontrivial normal subgroup of  $G$ . Now consider  $[H, P] \cdot P$ . Observe that  $\mathbf{O}_p([H, P] \cdot P)$  and  $[H, P]$  are disjoint normal subgroups of  $[H, P] \cdot P$ , therefore they centralize each other. Of course,  $\mathbf{O}_p([H, P] \cdot P)$  is contained in  $P$  and centralizes  $\mathbf{C}_H(P)$ , thus it follows that  $\mathbf{O}_p([H, P] \cdot P)$  centralizes  $H = [H, P] \cdot \mathbf{C}_H(P)$ . Since the action of  $P$  on  $H$  is faithful, we have  $\mathbf{O}_p([H, P] \cdot P) = 1$ . Further,  $P \in \text{Syl}_p([H, P] \cdot P)$ ; therefore, by the minimality of  $G$ , we have  $G = [H, P] \cdot P$ . Thus, we may conclude that  $H = [H, P]$ .

Let  $M \leq H$  be a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ ; we will show that  $M = [H, X]$  for some nontrivial subgroup  $X \leq P$ . Consider the group  $G/M$ . Since  $G$  is a minimal counterexample and since a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G/M$  is isomorphic to  $P$ , we must have  $\mathbf{O}_p(G/M) > 1$ . Let  $X \leq P$  such that  $\mathbf{O}_p(G/M) = XM/M$ . Then  $X > 1$  and  $[H, X] \leq M$ . As we have seen,  $1 < [H, X] \triangleleft G$  for every nontrivial subgroup  $X \leq P$ ; thus  $M = [H, X]$ .

We now make several observations about an arbitrary subgroup  $A$  of  $P$ , with  $|A| = p$ . We have seen that  $A$  must move some character in  $\text{Irr}(H)$ . Also, we know that  $1 < [H, A] \triangleleft G$ .

Assume now that  $[H, A] < H$ , and let  $B = \mathbf{O}_p(P \cdot [H, A])$ . We will show that  $A$  and  $B$  have the following dual relationship:

- (i)  $[H, B] < H$  and  $A = \mathbf{O}_p(P \cdot [H, B])$ ;
- (ii)  $B = \mathbf{C}_P([H, A])$  and  $A = \mathbf{C}_P([H, B])$ ;
- (iii)  $|B| = |A| = p$  and  $A \cap B = 1$ , thus  $P = AB$ .

By properties of coprime actions,  $H = [H, A] \cdot \mathbf{C}_H(A)$ . Now consider the group  $P \cdot [H, A]$ . This is a proper subgroup of  $G$ , since  $[H, A]$  is proper in  $H$ ; also,  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(P \cdot [H, A])$ . Since  $G$  is a minimal counterexample, it follows that  $B > 1$ . Next observe that  $[H, A]$  and  $B$  are disjoint normal subgroups of  $P \cdot [H, A]$ ,

hence  $B \leq \mathbf{C}_P([H, A])$ . Using properties of coprime actions again, we have that  $[[H, A], A] = [H, A]$ , which is nontrivial. It follows that  $A$  does not centralize  $[H, A]$ , however,  $B$  does, and therefore  $A \not\leq B$ . Since  $B$  is nontrivial and  $|P| = p^2$ , it follows that  $|B| = p$ . Also, since  $A$  is nontrivial, we have that  $P = AB$  and  $A \cap B = 1$ ; thus statement (iii) is proved. Further, since  $A \not\leq \mathbf{C}_P([H, A])$ , we have  $\mathbf{C}_P([H, A]) < P$ . Thus  $1 < B \leq \mathbf{C}_P([H, A]) < P$ , and it follows that  $B = \mathbf{C}_P([H, A])$ . Thus the first statement in (ii) is proved.

Observe that, since  $B$  centralizes  $[H, A]$  and since  $P$  is abelian, we have  $[[A, H], B] = 1 = [[B, A], H]$ . By the Three Subgroups Theorem, it follows that  $[[H, B], A] = 1$ , and thus  $A \leq \mathbf{C}_P([H, B])$ . Since  $A$  is not centralized by  $H$ , we have that  $[H, B] < H$ , and thus the first statement in (i) holds. Further, since  $\mathbf{C}_P([H, B])$  is contained in the abelian group  $P$ , we have  $\mathbf{C}_P([H, B]) \leq \mathbf{O}_p(P \cdot [H, B])$ , and, since  $[H, B] < H$ , the same reasoning that we used to show that  $\mathbf{O}_p(P \cdot [H, A])$  is proper in  $P$  yields that  $\mathbf{O}_p(P \cdot [H, B])$  is proper in  $P$ . Since  $A$  is nontrivial, it follows that  $A = \mathbf{C}_P([H, B]) = \mathbf{O}_p(P \cdot [H, B])$ , and the rest of the assertion has been proved.

When the situation arises that  $[H, A] < H$ , we will call the group  $B$  thus identified the *dual* of  $A$ .

Now suppose that  $A$  is a subgroup of  $P$  of order  $p$ , and assume that  $[H, A]$  is proper in  $H$ . We claim that  $[H, A]$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ . Since  $1 < [H, A] \triangleleft G$ , we may fix a minimal normal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  with  $M \leq [H, A]$ . As we have seen,  $M = [H, X]$  for some nontrivial subgroup  $X \leq P$ . If  $X = A$ , then  $M = [H, A]$  and the claim holds. Otherwise,  $X \neq A$ , in which case  $P = XA$ , and Lemma (3.1) yields

$$H = [H, X] \cdot [H, A] \leq M \cdot [H, A] \leq [H, A].$$

This contradicts the fact that  $[H, A]$  is proper in  $H$ . Therefore  $X = A$ , and hence  $[H, A]$  is minimal normal.

Continue to assume that  $A$  is a subgroup of  $P$  of order  $p$  with  $[H, A]$  proper in  $H$ , and let  $B$  be the dual of  $A$ . We will show that  $H = [H, A] \times [H, B]$ , where  $[H, A]$  and  $[H, B]$  are minimal normal subgroups of  $G$ . Since  $[H, A]$  is proper in  $H$ , the duality of  $A$  and  $B$  implies that  $[H, B]$  is proper in  $H$ , and thus both  $[H, A]$  and  $[H, B]$  are minimal normal subgroups of  $G$ . Since  $P = AB$ , Lemma (3.1) yields  $[H, A] \cdot [H, B] = H$ . If  $[H, A] \cap [H, B] > 1$ , then, by the minimality of the factors, we have  $[H, A] = [H, B]$ , and hence  $H = [H, A]$ , which is a contradiction. It follows that  $[H, A] \cap [H, B] = 1$ , and thus  $H = [H, A] \times [H, B]$ .

We will now show that, in fact, there are no subgroups  $A \leq P$  of order  $p$  with  $[H, A]$  proper in  $H$ . Assume, for a contradiction, that such a subgroup  $A$  does exist, and let  $B$  be the dual of  $A$ . Then  $P = A \times B$ , and  $H = [H, A] \times [H, B]$ . We claim that

$$G = ([H, A] \cdot A) \times ([H, B] \cdot B).$$

Each of  $[H, A] \cdot A$  and  $[H, B] \cdot B$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . By duality,  $A$  centralizes  $[H, B]$ , and thus  $A$  centralizes  $[H, B] \cdot B$ . Also  $[H, A]$  centralizes  $[H, B]$ , since these are disjoint normal subgroups, and  $[H, A]$  centralizes  $B$ , by the duality of  $A$  and  $B$ . Thus  $[H, A] \cdot A$  centralizes  $[H, B] \cdot B$ . Since  $H = [H, A] \cdot [H, B]$  and  $P = AB$ , we have that  $G = ([H, A] \cdot A) \cdot ([H, B] \cdot B)$ . To see that this is a direct product, we consider the orders of the factors. Since  $H$  and  $P$  are direct products, we have

that  $|H| = |[H, A]| \cdot |[H, B]|$  and  $|P| = |A| \cdot |B|$ . Since  $G = HP$ , it follows that

$$|G| = |H| \cdot |P| = |A| \cdot |[H, A]| \cdot |B| \cdot |[H, B]|.$$

Finally, since  $G = ([H, A] \cdot A) \cdot ([H, B] \cdot B)$ , we can deduce that  $([H, A] \cdot A) \cap ([H, B] \cdot B) = 1$ , and thus  $G = ([H, A] \cdot A) \times ([H, B] \cdot B)$ .

Next, observe that  $A$  must move some character in  $\text{Irr}([H, A])$ . If not, then by Lemma (2.2),  $A$  acts trivially on  $[H, A]$ . However, by properties of coprime actions,  $[[H, A], A] = [H, A]$ , which contradicts the fact that  $[H, A] > 1$ . Thus, the direct factor  $[H, A] \cdot A$  has some irreducible character of degree divisible  $p$ . The same is true for  $[H, B] \cdot B$ , hence  $G$  must have an irreducible character of degree at least  $p^2$  and this contradicts the hypothesis on  $b(G)$ . Therefore, for every subgroup  $A$  of order  $p$ , we must have  $[H, A] = H$ .

For our last observation before returning to character theory, we will show that  $H$  is the direct product of isomorphic nonabelian simple groups. First, we will show that  $H$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $M$  be minimal normal in  $G$  with  $M \leq H$ . Then  $M = [H, X]$  for a nontrivial subgroup  $X \leq P$ . Since  $X > 1$ , we have  $H = [H, X]$ , and therefore  $H = M$ . It now follows that  $H$  is the direct product of isomorphic simple groups. Further, if one of these direct factors of  $H$  is abelian, then  $H$  is abelian and Proposition (2.3) leads to a contradiction. It follows that  $H$  has the claimed structure.

Let  $\psi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ , and assume that  $\psi$  is moved by  $P$ . Let  $A = I_P(\psi)$ , and let  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G|\psi)$ . We have seen that  $1 < A < P$ , and that  $\chi_H$  is the sum of  $p$  distinct conjugates of  $\psi$ . Since  $\chi(1) < p^2$ , it follows that  $\psi(1) \leq p - 1$ . Now, let  $C = \mathbf{C}_H(A)$ ; notice that  $H = [H, A] \cdot C$  and that  $P \leq \mathbf{N}_G(C)$ , since  $P$  centralizes  $A$  which uniquely determines  $C$ . Also note that  $\ker(\psi) < H$ , since the trivial character is, of course, invariant.

We now consider  $\psi_C$ . By Theorem 13.14 of [1], which follows from the Glauberman correspondence, we have that  $\psi_C = a\alpha + p\Phi$  where  $\alpha \in \text{Irr}(C)$ ,  $a \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$ , and  $\Phi$  is a, possibly zero, character of  $C$ . Since  $\psi(1) \leq p - 1$ , we have  $\Phi = 0$ , and  $a = 1$  or  $a = p - 1$ . Thus, either  $\psi_C = \alpha$  or  $\psi_C = (p - 1)\alpha$ , where  $\alpha \in \text{Irr}(C)$ , and if the latter case holds, then  $\alpha$  is linear. The character  $\alpha$  is the Glauberman correspondent of  $\psi$ . Note that, since  $\psi$  is nontrivial,  $\alpha$  is nontrivial as well. Next we will see that, in fact, the case  $\psi_C = \alpha$  never occurs.

Suppose that  $\psi_C = \alpha$ . Let  $\hat{\psi}$  be the canonical extension of  $\psi$  to the inertial subgroup  $I = I_G(\psi)$ ; thus  $\hat{\psi}$  is the unique extension of  $\psi$  to  $I$  with  $o(\hat{\psi})$  a  $p'$ -number. The existence of  $\hat{\psi}$  is guaranteed by Gallagher's Theorem (Corollary 6.28 of [1]). Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be an irreducible representation that affords  $\hat{\psi}$ . Then  $[\mathfrak{R}(C), \mathfrak{R}(A)] = 1$ , since  $C$  is centralized by  $A$ . Also,  $\mathfrak{R}_C$  is irreducible, since it affords the irreducible character  $\alpha$ . By Schur's Lemma, we have that  $\mathfrak{R}_A$  is a scalar representation. Thus  $[\mathfrak{R}(H), \mathfrak{R}(A)] = 1$ , and it follows that  $[H, A] \leq \ker(\hat{\psi})$ . Further, since  $[H, A] \leq H$ , we have  $[H, A] \leq \ker(\hat{\psi}) \cap H = \ker(\psi) < H$ . However, we have shown that  $[H, A] = H$  for every subgroup  $A \leq P$  with  $|A| = p$ . This contradiction implies that, for every non- $P$ -invariant character  $\psi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ , we have  $\psi_C = (p - 1)\alpha$  for some nontrivial linear character  $\alpha \in \text{Irr}(C)$ .

For the final contradiction, we consider what is known about  $\psi$ . Since  $\psi$  is not  $P$ -invariant, we have  $\psi \neq 1_H$ , and thus its Glauberman correspondent  $\alpha$  is a nontrivial linear character of  $C$ . Since  $\alpha$  is nontrivial,  $C$  is not contained in  $\ker(\psi)$ , and since  $\alpha$  is linear,  $\mathbf{Z}(\psi)$  is contained in  $C$ ; thus  $\mathbf{Z}(\psi) > \ker(\psi)$ . Since each

of  $\mathbf{Z}(\psi)$  and  $\ker(\psi)$  is normal in  $H$ , it follows that  $H$  has a nontrivial abelian (in fact, cyclic) section  $\mathbf{Z}(\psi)/\ker(\psi)$ . This contradicts the fact that  $H$  is the direct product of nonabelian simple groups. Thus, our minimal counterexample  $G$  cannot exist.  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM B

In this section, we consider what can be said if the character degree hypothesis of Theorem A is weakened to  $b(G) \leq p^\alpha$ . If attention is restricted to solvable groups, then, as a direct consequence of a theorem of D. Passman, Theorem B is gained.

*Proof of Theorem B.* Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $1 = \mathbf{O}_p(G) < G$ . For  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ , our aim is to show that  $|P| \leq p^{2\alpha}$ . Set  $H = \mathbf{O}_{p'}(G)$ , and note that  $H$  is nontrivial, since  $G$  is solvable with  $\mathbf{O}_p(G) = 1$ . As in the proof of Theorem A, we may assume that  $G = HP$ .

Next we show that we may assume that  $H$  is nilpotent, or equivalently, that  $H = \mathbf{F}(G)$ . Since  $\mathbf{O}_p(G) = 1$ , we have  $\mathbf{F}(G) \leq H$ . Further, since  $G$  is solvable,  $\mathbf{C}_G(\mathbf{F}(G)) \leq \mathbf{F}(G)$ . It follows that  $\mathbf{O}_p(\mathbf{F}(G) \cdot P) = 1$ , and, since  $b(\mathbf{F}(G) \cdot P) \leq b(G) \leq p^\alpha$ , the hypotheses hold in the group  $\mathbf{F}(G) \cdot P$ . Since  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(\mathbf{F}(G) \cdot P)$ , we may hence assume that  $H$  is nilpotent.

Now, we consider the coprime action of  $P$  on the abelian group  $\text{Irr}(H/\Phi(H))$ . Since the action of  $P$  on the nilpotent group  $H$  is coprime and faithful, it follows that the action of  $P$  on the abelian group  $H/\Phi(H)$  is faithful, and thus, by Lemma (2.2), the action of  $P$  on  $\text{Irr}(H/\Phi(H))$  is faithful. By Corollary 2.4 of [3], there exists  $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(H/\Phi(H))$ , such that the  $P$ -orbit of  $\lambda$  has size at least  $\sqrt{|P|}$ , and thus for any character  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G|\lambda)$ , we have  $\chi(1) \geq \sqrt{|P|}$ . Since  $p^\alpha \geq b(G) \geq \chi(1)$ , it follows that  $p^\alpha \geq \sqrt{|P|}$ , and therefore  $|P| \leq p^{2\alpha}$ .

Finally, we observe that, if  $|G|$  is odd, then Corollary 2.4 of [3] asserts that there exists  $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(H/\Phi(H))$ , such that the  $P$ -orbit of  $\lambda$  has size at least  $|P|$ . In this case, it follows that  $|P| \leq p^\alpha$ .  $\square$

### REFERENCES

- [1] I. M. Isaacs, “*Character Theory of Finite Groups*,” Academic Press, New York, 1976. MR **57**:417
- [2] I. M. Isaacs, “*Algebra, a Graduate Course*,” Brooks/Cole Publishing Company, Pacific Grove, California 1994. MR **95k**:00003
- [3] D. S. Passman, “*Groups with normal, solvable Hall  $p'$ -subgroups*,” Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **123**, (1966), 99-111. MR **33**:4143

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN – PLATTEVILLE, PLATTEVILLE, WISCONSIN, 53818

*E-mail address:* benjamin@uwplatt.edu