

NEW Σ_3^1 FACTS

SY D. FRIEDMAN

(Communicated by Carl G. Jockusch, Jr.)

ABSTRACT. We use “iterated square sequences” to show that there is an L -definable partition $n : L\text{-Singulars} \rightarrow \omega$ such that if M is an inner model not containing $0^\#$:

- (a) For some k , $M \models \{\alpha \mid n(\alpha) \leq k\}$ is stationary.
- (b) For each k there is a generic extension of M in which $0^\#$ does not exist and $\{\alpha \mid n(\alpha) \leq k\}$ is non-stationary.

This result is then applied to show that if M is an inner model without $0^\#$, then some Σ_3^1 sentence not true in M can be forced over M .

Assume that $0^\#$ exists and that M is an inner model of ZFC, $0^\# \notin M$. Then of course M is not lightface Σ_3^1 -correct: the true Σ_3^1 sentence “ $0^\#$ exists” is false in M . In this article we use a result about L -definable partitions (which may be of independent interest) to show that in fact M fails to satisfy some Σ_3^1 sentence true in a forcing extension of M . We work in Morse-Kelley class theory (though Gödel-Bernays with a satisfaction predicate for V will suffice).

Theorem 1. *Assume that $0^\#$ exists. There exists an ω -sequence of true Σ_3^1 sentences $\langle \varphi_n \mid n \in \omega \rangle$ such that if M is an inner model, $0^\# \notin M$:*

- (a) φ_n is false in M for some n .
- (b) For each n , some generic extension of M satisfies φ_n .

Moreover if $M = L[R]$, R a real, then these generic extensions can be taken to be inner models of $L[R, 0^\#]$.

The above result is based on the next result, concerning L -definable partitions.

Theorem 2. *There exists an L -definable function $n : L\text{-Singulars} \rightarrow \omega$ such that if M is an inner model, $0^\# \notin M$:*

- (a) For some k , $M \models \{\alpha \mid n(\alpha) \leq k\}$ is stationary.
- (b) For each k there is a generic extension of M in which $0^\#$ does not exist and $\{\alpha \mid n(\alpha) \leq k\}$ is non-stationary.

Remark. “Stationary in M ” means: intersects every M -definable (with parameters) closed unbounded class of ordinals.

Proof. We define $n(\alpha)$. Let $\langle C_\alpha \mid \alpha \text{ } L\text{-singular} \rangle$ be an L -definable \square -sequence: C_α is closed unbounded in α , ordertype $C_\alpha < \alpha$ and $\bar{\alpha} \in \lim C_\alpha \rightarrow C_{\bar{\alpha}} = C_\alpha \cap \bar{\alpha}$. Let

Received by the editors November 25, 1997 and, in revised form, February 13, 1998.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 03E45, 03E55, 03E15, 03D60.

Key words and phrases. Class forcing, absoluteness, partitions.

The author’s research was supported by NSF Contract #9625997-DMS.

of C_α denote the ordertype of C_α . If $\text{ot } C_\alpha$ is L -regular, then $n(\alpha) = 0$. Otherwise $n(\alpha) = n(\text{ot } C_\alpha) + 1$.

(a) is clear, as otherwise (using the fact that we are working in Morse–Kelley class theory) there is a closed unbounded $C \subseteq L$ -regulars amenable to M , contradicting the Covering Theorem and the hypothesis that $0^\#$ does not belong to M .

Now we prove (b). Fix $n \in \omega$. In M let P consist of closed, bounded $p \subseteq \text{ORD}$ such that $\alpha \in p \rightarrow \alpha$ L -regular or $n(\alpha) \geq n+1$, ordered by $p \leq q$ iff p end extends q .

We claim that P is ∞ -distributive in M . Suppose that $p \in P$ and $\langle D_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ is a definable sequence of open dense subclasses of P , κ regular. We wish to find $q \leq p$, $q \in D_\alpha$ for all $\alpha < \kappa$. Let C be the class of all strong limit cardinals β such that $D_\alpha \cap V_\beta$ is dense in $P \cap V_\beta$ for all $\alpha < \kappa$, a closed unbounded class of ordinals. It suffices to show that $C \cap \{\beta \mid n(\beta) \geq n+1\}$ has a closed subset of ordertype $\kappa+1$, for then p can be successively extended κ times meeting the D_α 's, to conditions with maximum in $\{\beta \mid n(\beta) \geq n+1\}$; the final condition (at stage κ) extends p and meets each D_α .

Lemma 3. *Suppose $m \geq k$, α is regular and C is a closed set of ordertype $\alpha^{+m}+1$, consisting of ordinals greater than α^{+m} (where $\alpha^{+0} = \alpha$, $\alpha^{+(p+1)} = (\alpha^{+p})^+$). Then $C \cap \{\beta \mid n(\beta) \geq k\}$ has a closed subset of ordertype $\alpha^{+(m-k)}+1$.*

Proof of Lemma 3. By induction on k . Suppose $k = 0$. Let $\beta = \max C$. Then β is singular and hence singular in L . So C_β is defined and $\lim(C_\beta \cap C)$ is a closed set of ordertype $\alpha^{+m}+1$ consisting of L -singulars. So $\lim(C_\beta \cap C) \subseteq C \cap \{\gamma \mid n(\gamma) \geq 0\}$ satisfies the lemma.

Suppose the lemma holds for k and let $m+1 \geq k+1$, C a closed set of ordertype $\alpha^{+(m+1)}+1$ consisting of ordinals greater than $\alpha^{+(m+1)}$. Let $\beta = \max C$. Then C_β is defined and $D = \lim(C_\beta \cap C)$ is a closed set of ordertype $\alpha^{+(m+1)}+1$. Let $\bar{\beta} = (\alpha^{+m} + \alpha^{+m} + 1)$ st element of D . Then

$$\bar{D} = \{\text{ot } C_\gamma \mid \gamma \in D, (\alpha^{+m} + 1) \text{ st element of } D \leq \gamma \leq \bar{\beta}\}$$

is a closed set of ordertype $\alpha^{+m}+1$ consisting of ordinals greater than α^{+m} . By induction there is a closed $\bar{D}_0 \subseteq \bar{D} \cap \{\gamma \mid n(\gamma) \geq k\}$ of ordertype $\alpha^{+(m-k)}+1$. But then $D_0 = \{\gamma \in D \mid \text{ot } C_\gamma \in \bar{D}_0\}$ is a closed subset of $C \cap \{\gamma \mid n(\gamma) \geq k+1\}$ of ordertype $\alpha^{+(m-k)}+1$. As $\alpha^{+(m-k)} = \alpha^{+((m+1)-(k+1))}$ we are done. \square

By the lemma, $C \cap \{\beta \mid n(\beta) \geq n\}$ has arbitrary long closed subsets for any n , for any closed unbounded $C \subseteq \text{ORD}$. It follows that P is ∞ -distributive. Now to prove (b), we apply the forcing P to M , producing C witnessing the nonstationarity of $\{\alpha \mid n(\alpha) \leq n\}$, and then follow this with the forcing to code $\langle M, C \rangle$ by a real, making C definable. Of course this will not produce $0^\#$ as every successor to a strong limit cardinal is preserved in the coding. \square

We also note that in Theorem 2 the generic extension can be formed in $L[R, 0^\#]$ in the case $M = L[R]$, R a real, using the fact that in $L[R, 0^\#]$, generics can be constructed for P (an ‘‘Amenable’’ forcing) and for Jensen coding (see [99, Friedman]).

Proof of Theorem 1. We use David’s trick (see [98, Friedman]). Let φ_n be the sentence: $\exists R \forall \alpha (\text{If } L_\alpha[R] \models ZF^-, \text{ then } L_\alpha[R] \models \beta \text{ a limit cardinal} \rightarrow \beta \text{ } L\text{-regular or } n(\beta) \geq n)$. (This is equivalent to a Σ_3^1 sentence as it is of the form $\exists R \psi(R)$ where $\psi(R)$ is Π_1 in the sense of L evy and hence equivalent to a Π_2^1 sentence.)

By Theorem 2(b) and cardinal collapsing (to guarantee that limit cardinals β are either L -regular or satisfy $n(\beta) \geq n$), M has a generic extension $L[R] \models \beta$ a limit cardinal $\rightarrow \beta$ L -regular or $n(\beta) \geq n$ (inside $L[S, 0^\#]$ if $M = L[S]$, S a real). By David's trick we can in fact obtain φ_n in $L[R]$. \square

Question. Can the generic extensions in Theorem 1(b) be taken to have the same cofinalities as M , in case M satisfies GCH ?

REFERENCES

- [82] René David, A Very Absolute Π_2^1 -Singleton, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic* **23**, pp. 101–120. MR **84m**:03057
- [98] Sy D. Friedman, David's Trick, to appear, Proceedings of the European Summer Meeting of the ASL, Leeds, England, 1998.
- [99] Sy D. Friedman, *Fine Structure and Class Forcing*, book, rough draft.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139

E-mail address: `sdf@math.mit.edu`