

MATRICES OVER ORDERS IN ALGEBRAIC NUMBER FIELDS AS SUMS OF k -TH POWERS

S. A. KATRE AND SANGITA A. KHULE

(Communicated by David E. Rohrlich)

Dedicated to the memory of David R. Richman

ABSTRACT. David R. Richman proved that for $n \geq k \geq 2$ every integral $n \times n$ matrix is a sum of seven k -th powers. In this paper, in light of a question proposed earlier by M. Newman for the ring of integers of an algebraic number field, we obtain a discriminant criterion for every $n \times n$ matrix ($n \geq k \geq 2$) over an order of an algebraic number field to be a sum of (seven) k -th powers.

1. INTRODUCTION

M. Newman [1] showed that, for $n \geq 2$, every matrix in $M_n \mathbf{Z}$ is a sum of 7 or 9 squares according as n is even or odd. He then posed the problem for the ring of integers (i.e. the maximal order) of an algebraic number field.

Vaserstein [3], [4] showed that every integral $n \times n$ matrix ($n \geq 2$) is a sum of three squares by proving the following

Theorem A. *A matrix A in $M_n R$ (R a commutative ring with 1 and $n \geq 2$) is a sum of squares if and only if A is a sum of three squares if and only if $\text{tr}.A \equiv \text{square} \pmod{2R}$.*

David R. Richman [2] showed that, for $n \geq k \geq 2$, every $n \times n$ integral matrix is a sum of seven k -th powers using his following key-result:

Theorem B. *Let $n \geq 2, R$ a commutative ring with 1. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) M is a sum of k -th powers in $M_n R$.
- (ii) M is a sum of seven k -th powers in $M_n R$.
- (iii) $M \in M_n R$ and for every prime power p^e dividing k , there are elements $x_0 = x_0(p), \dots, x_e = x_e(p)$ in R , such that

$$\text{tr}.M = x_0^{p^e} + px_1^{p^{e-1}} + p^2x_2^{p^{e-2}} + \dots + p^e x_e.$$

If F is a field of characteristic 0, then it follows from Theorems A and B that every matrix in $M_n F$ ($n \geq 2$) is a sum of three squares, and for $n \geq k \geq 2$, every matrix in $M_n F$ is a sum of seven k -th powers in $M_n F$. The same result also follows

Received by the editors April 21, 1998.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11P05, 11R04, 15A33; Secondary 11C20, 11E25, 15A24.

Key words and phrases. Algebraic number fields, order, sums of powers, discriminant, matrices.

for matrices over \mathbf{Z} . However, if we consider the ring of integers or other orders in algebraic number fields, then we find that for some of these rings such a result is true, whereas for some other rings we get counter-examples. For instance, if $R = \mathbf{Z}[i]$, then $R/2R = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{i}, \overline{1+i}\}$. Here $\bar{0}$ and $\bar{1}$ are the only squares, and an $n \times n$ matrix over $\mathbf{Z}[i]$ ($n \geq 2$) whose trace is $\equiv i$ or $1+i \pmod{2R}$ is not a sum of squares in $\mathbf{Z}[i]$. On the contrary, every element of $R/3R$ is a cube, so every $n \times n$ matrix ($n \geq 3$) over $\mathbf{Z}[i]$ is a sum of seven cubes. One has exactly the reverse situation for $R = \mathbf{Z}[\omega]$, $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/3)$, and we get that every $n \times n$ matrix ($n \geq 2$) over $\mathbf{Z}[\omega]$ is a sum of 3 squares, but for every $n \geq 3$, we find matrices over $\mathbf{Z}[\omega]$ which are not sums of cubes in $\mathbf{Z}[\omega]$.

In this paper, we take up this problem (earlier raised by Newman) for orders in algebraic numbers fields and obtain the following discriminant criterion:

Theorem 1. *Let R be an order in an algebraic number field K . Let $n \geq k \geq 2$. Then every $n \times n$ matrix over R is a sum of (seven) k -th powers if and only if $(k, \text{disc.}R) = 1$.*

2. MATRICES OVER THE RING OF INTEGERS OF AN ALGEBRAIC NUMBER FIELD

Henceforth, let K denote an algebraic number field and \mathbf{O} the ring of integers of K . The discriminant of K or the discriminant of \mathbf{O} denotes the discriminant of any integral basis of K (i.e. a \mathbf{Z} -basis of \mathbf{O}). In this section we prove

Proposition 1. *Let $n \geq k \geq 2$. Every $n \times n$ matrix over \mathbf{O} is a sum of (seven) k -th powers if and only if $(k, \text{disc.}K) = 1$.*

For this, we first note the following lemmas:

Lemma 1. *Let R be a commutative ring with 1. Let p be a prime. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *Every element of R is a p -th power \pmod{pR} .*
- (ii) *For every $e \geq 1$, given any $x \in R$, there are elements x_0, x_1, \dots, x_e depending upon p and e such that*

$$x = x_0^{p^e} + px_1^{p^{e-1}} + p^2x_2^{p^{e-2}} + \dots + p^ex_e.$$

Proof. (ii) \Rightarrow (i) is clear. To prove (i) \Rightarrow (ii), first prove by induction that $a \equiv b \pmod{pR} \Rightarrow a^{p^i} \equiv b^{p^i} \pmod{p^{i+1}R}$. Then (ii) can be proved by induction by noting that if $x_i \equiv y_i^{p^i} \pmod{pR}$, then $x_i^{p^{e-i}} \equiv y_i^{p^{e-i+1}} \pmod{p^{e-i+1}R}$ so that $p^ix_i^{p^{e-i}} \equiv p^iy_i^{(e+1)-i} \pmod{p^{e+1}R}$. \square

Lemma 2. *Let R be a commutative ring with unity. Let $n \geq k \geq 2$. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *Every matrix in M_nR is a sum of k -th powers in M_nR .*
- (2) *Every matrix in M_nR is a sum of seven k -th powers in M_nR .*
- (3) *For every p dividing k , every element of R is a p -th power \pmod{pR} .*

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) is due to Theorem B of Richman. (1) \Leftrightarrow (3) is obtained by combining Theorem B and Lemma 1, and by noting that every $x \in R$ is the trace of the diagonal matrix $\text{diag.}\{x, 0, 0, \dots, 0\}$. \square

Lemma 3. *Let p be a prime. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *Every element of \mathbf{O} is a p -th power(mod $p\mathbf{O}$).*
- (ii) *$(p, \text{disc.}K) = 1$.*

Proof. (\Leftarrow) Let $(p, \text{disc.}K) = 1$. Then p is unramified in K , so $p\mathbf{O} = \wp_1\wp_2 \cdots \wp_r$, where $\wp_1, \wp_2, \dots, \wp_r$ are distinct primes of \mathbf{O} . Then by the Chinese remainder theorem, $\mathbf{O}/p\mathbf{O} \approx \mathbf{O}/\wp_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{O}/\wp_r$. Each \mathbf{O}/\wp_i is a finite field of characteristic p , so every element of \mathbf{O}/\wp_i and hence of $\mathbf{O}/p\mathbf{O}$ is a p -th power.

(\Rightarrow) Suppose $p \mid \text{disc.}K$. Then p is ramified in K . Let \wp be a prime divisor of p which ramifies. Take $x \in \wp$ such that $x \notin \wp^2$. Then $x \not\equiv y^p \pmod{p\mathbf{O}}$ for any $y \in \mathbf{O}$. For otherwise, $\wp \mid x \Rightarrow \wp \mid y^p \Rightarrow \wp \mid y \Rightarrow \wp^2 \mid x$ (as $\wp^2 \mid p\mathbf{O}$), a contradiction. \square

Proof of Proposition 1. Follows by combining Lemma 2 and Lemma 3. \square

Corollary 1. *Let m be a squarefree integer. Let \mathbf{O} be the ring of integers of $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ (i.e. $\mathbf{O} = \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{m}]$ if $m \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$ and $\mathbf{O} = \mathbf{Z}[(1 + \sqrt{m})/2]$ if $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.) Let $n \geq k \geq 2$. Then every matrix in $M_n\mathbf{O}$ is a sum of (seven) k -th powers if and only if $(k, m) = 1$ and either*

- (i) *k is odd, or*
- (ii) *k is even and $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.*

Proof. $\text{Disc.}\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{m}) = \begin{cases} m, & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 4m, & \text{if } m \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$ \square

Corollary 2. *Let $m \geq 1$ and ζ_m be a primitive m -th root of unity. The ring of integers of the cyclotomic field $K = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_m)$ is $\mathbf{O} = \mathbf{Z}[\zeta_m]$.*

Let $n \geq k \geq 2$. Then every $n \times n$ matrix over $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_m]$ is a sum of (seven) k -th powers if and only if either

- (i) *$(k, m) = 1$, or*
- (ii) *$m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $(k, m) = 2$.*

Proof. Note that $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_m) = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2m})$, if m is odd. Also for $m \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, the prime divisors of $\text{disc. } \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_m)$ are the same as the prime divisors of m . \square

3. MATRICES OVER ORDERS IN ALGEBRAIC NUMBER FIELDS

An order in an algebraic number field K is a ring containing 1, and which is a finitely generated \mathbf{Z} -submodule of K of maximum rank, i.e. of rank $N = \text{deg}(K/\mathbf{Q})$. One notes that \mathbf{O} is an order of K and \mathbf{O} contains every order; hence \mathbf{O} is called the maximal order of K . The discriminant of an order R is defined to be the discriminant of any \mathbf{Z} -basis of R .

Lemma 4. *If K is a number field of degree N , \mathbf{O} the ring of integers of K , and R an order of K , then there are a \mathbf{Z} -basis $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_N$ of \mathbf{O} and a \mathbf{Z} -basis $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N$ of R such that $\alpha_i = f_i\theta_i$, $f_i \in \mathbf{Z}$, $f_i > 0$, and moreover*

$$f_1 \mid f_2 \mid \cdots \mid f_N.$$

Proof. Start with any \mathbf{Z} -bases η_1, \dots, η_N and β_1, \dots, β_N of \mathbf{O} and R respectively. Let $A \in M_N\mathbf{Z}$ such that $[\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N] = [\eta_1, \dots, \eta_N]A$. As \mathbf{Z} is a PID, there exist unimodular matrices P and Q such that $PAQ = \text{diag.}[f_1, f_2, \dots, f_N]$ is the Smith normal form of A , so that $f_1 \mid f_2 \mid \cdots \mid f_N$. As rank of A is N , each $f_i \neq 0$. We

may also assume that each $f_i > 0$. Now

$$[\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N]Q = [\eta_1, \dots, \eta_N]P^{-1}(PAQ).$$

Call $[\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N]Q = [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N]$ and $[\eta_1, \dots, \eta_N]P^{-1} = [\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N]$. \square

Lemma 5. *With the bases of \mathbf{O} and R as in Lemma 4, one has*

$$\text{index of } R \text{ in } \mathbf{O} = f_1 f_2 \cdots f_N \text{ and } \text{disc.}R = (f_1 f_2 \cdots f_N)^2 \text{disc.}K.$$

Proof. Clear. \square

Lemma 6. *Let R be a commutative ring with 1. Let p be a prime and R/pR a finite ring. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *Every element of R is a p -th power(mod pR).*
- (ii) *$x \in R$, $x^p \in pR \Rightarrow x \in pR$.*

Proof. Let the map $\phi : R/pR \rightarrow R/pR$ be given by $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^p$. Then ϕ is a homomorphism. Now

- (i) $\Leftrightarrow \phi$ is onto $\Leftrightarrow \phi$ is one-one (as R/pR is finite) $\Leftrightarrow \ker \phi$ is trivial \Leftrightarrow (ii). \square

Proof of Theorem 1. (\Leftarrow) Suppose $(k, \text{disc.}R) = 1$. Let p be any prime divisor of k . Then $(p, \text{disc.}R) = 1$, and by Lemma 5, $(p, \text{disc.}K) = 1$. As R/pR is finite, in view of Lemmas 2 and 6, it suffices to prove that $x \in R$, $x^p \in pR \Rightarrow x \in pR$. Thus let $x \in R$, $x^p \in pR$. Then $x^p \in p\mathbf{O}$. As $(p, \text{disc.}K) = 1$, by Lemma 3 and Lemma 6, $x \in p\mathbf{O}$. Let $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N$ be bases of \mathbf{O} and R respectively, chosen as in Lemma 4. As $x \in R$, let $x = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i \alpha_i$. Then $x = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i f_i \theta_i$. As $x \in p\mathbf{O}$, there is $b_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $a_i f_i = pb_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq N$). As $(p, \text{disc.}R) = 1$, by Lemma 5, $(p, f_i) = 1$, so $p \mid a_i$ for each i . Hence $x \in pR$.

(\Rightarrow) Suppose $(k, \text{disc.}R) \neq 1$. Let p be a prime such that $p \mid (k, \text{disc.}R)$. Now, $\text{disc.}R = (f_1 \cdots f_N)^2 \text{disc.}K$.

Case (i). Suppose $(p, f_i) = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq N$. Then $p \mid \text{disc.}K$.

Assume, for contradiction, that every matrix in $M_n R$ is a sum of k -th powers. Then by Lemma 2, every element of R is a p -th power mod pR , say $\alpha_i \equiv \gamma_i^p \pmod{pR}$. Let $b_i, c_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $1 = b_i p + c_i f_i$. Then $\theta_i = b_i p \theta_i + c_i f_i \theta_i \equiv c_i \alpha_i \equiv c_i^p \gamma_i^p \pmod{p\mathbf{O}}$ (noting that $c_i \equiv c_i^p \pmod{p\mathbf{Z}}$). Thus if $x = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i \theta_i \in \mathbf{O}$ with $a_i \in \mathbf{Z}$, then $x \equiv (\sum a_i c_i \gamma_i)^p \pmod{p\mathbf{O}}$. This gives $(p, \text{disc.}K) = 1$, by Lemma 3. Contradiction.

Case (ii). Suppose $p \mid f_j$ for some j . Due to the choice of the f_i 's as in Lemma 4, we have $f_1 \mid f_2 \mid \cdots \mid f_N$, so $p \mid f_N$. Also, $f_N \theta_i \in R$ for all $1 \leq i \leq N$, and so $f_N \alpha \in R$, for every $\alpha \in \mathbf{O}$. In particular $\beta = f_N (f_N^{p-2} \theta_N^p) \in R$. As $p \mid f_N$, $\alpha_N^p = f_N \beta \in pR$. However, $\alpha_N \in pR$, as $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N$ is a \mathbf{Z} -basis of R . Hence from Lemma 2 it follows that there are matrices in $M_n R$ that are not sums of k -th powers in $M_n R$. \square

Remark 1. Let $(k, \text{disc.}R) > 1$. Let p be the smallest prime divisor of $(k, \text{disc.}R)$. Then, for every $n \geq p$, there are $n \times n$ matrices (which are not sums of p -th powers, and hence) which are not sums of k -th powers in $M_n R$.

Remark 2. Combining Theorem A and Theorem 1, we see that for an order R , if $\text{disc.}R$ is odd (i.e. $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$), then for every $n \geq 2$, every matrix in $M_n R$ is a sum of three squares. Also, if $\text{disc.}R$ is even (i.e. $\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$), then for every $n \geq 2$, there are matrices in $M_n R$ which are not sums of squares in $M_n R$.

Corollary 3. *Let m be a squarefree integer, and f denote a positive integer. If $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, the orders of $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ are $R_f = \mathbf{Z} + f((1 + \sqrt{m})/2)\mathbf{Z}$. If $m \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$, the orders are $R_f = \mathbf{Z} + f\sqrt{m}\mathbf{Z}$. Then for $n \geq k \geq 2$ every $n \times n$ matrix over $M_n R_f$ is a sum of (seven) k -th powers if and only if $(k, fm) = 1$ and either (i) k is odd or (ii) k is even and $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.*

Proof. If $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $\text{disc.}R_f = f^2m$.

If $m \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$, $\text{disc.}R_f = 4f^2m$. □

Example 1. $\text{Disc.}\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{m}] = 4m$, when m is not a perfect square. Hence for every $n \geq 2$, there are matrices over $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{m}]$ which are not sums of squares in $M_n \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{m}]$ (although they have to be sums of three squares in $M_n \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{m})$).

Example 2. $\text{Disc.}\mathbf{Z}[i] = -4$, so for every $n \geq 2$, there are $n \times n$ matrices over $\mathbf{Z}[i]$, which are not sums of squares. Hence for k even, for every $n \geq 2$, there are $n \times n$ matrices over $\mathbf{Z}[i]$ which are not sums of k -th powers. However, for k odd, for every $n \geq k$, every $n \times n$ matrix over $\mathbf{Z}[i]$ is a sum of seven k -th powers.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Newman, Sums of squares of matrices, *Pacific J. Math.* 118 (1985), 497-506. MR **86k**:15011
- [2] D. R. Richman, The Waring problem for matrices, *Linear and Multi. Alg.* 22(1987), 171-192. MR **89d**:11087
- [3] L. N. Vaserstein, Every integral matrix is a sum of three squares, *Linear and Multi. Alg.* 20(1986), 1-4. MR **88e**:15009
- [4] L. N. Vaserstein, On the sum of powers of matrices, *Linear and Multi. Alg.* 21 (1987), 261-270. MR **89a**:15016

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF PUNE, PUNE-411007, INDIA
E-mail address: sakatre@math.unipune.ernet.in