

AN UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLE FOR CONVOLUTION OPERATORS ON DISCRETE GROUPS

GIOVANNI STEGEL

(Communicated by Christopher D. Sogge)

ABSTRACT. Consider a discrete group G and a bounded self-adjoint convolution operator T on $l^2(G)$; let $\sigma(T)$ be the spectrum of T . The spectral theorem gives a unitary isomorphism U between $l^2(G)$ and a direct sum $\bigoplus_n L^2(\Delta_n, \nu)$, where $\Delta_n \subset \sigma(T)$, and ν is a regular Borel measure supported on $\sigma(T)$. Through this isomorphism T corresponds to multiplication by the identity function on each summand. We prove that a nonzero function $f \in l^2(G)$ and its transform Uf cannot be simultaneously concentrated on sets $V \subset G$, $W \subset \sigma(T)$ such that $\nu(W)$ and the cardinality of V are both small. This can be regarded as an extension to this context of Heisenberg's classical uncertainty principle.

1. INTRODUCTION

Roughly speaking *Heisenberg's uncertainty principle* says that a function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and its Fourier–Plancherel transform \hat{f} cannot be both concentrated on small intervals. An extension of this principle was proved quite recently by D.L. Donoho and P.B. Stark ([DO–ST]) who replaced intervals with arbitrary Lebesgue measurable sets. This new formulation led to an extension of the principle in the context of locally compact abelian groups. More precisely K.T. Smith ([S]) proved the following:

Theorem 1.1 (Smith). *Let G be a locally compact abelian group and \hat{G} its character group; denote by μ and ν the Haar measure of G and the Haar measure of \hat{G} respectively (with any normalization). Let $V \subseteq G$ and $W \subseteq \hat{G}$ be measurable sets such that $\mu(V) \neq 0$, $\nu(W) \neq 0$. Suppose that, for some choice of ε and δ in $(0, \infty)$, there exists a nonzero function $f \in L^2(G)$ which is ε -limited to V and δ -bandlimited to W . That is,*

$$(1-1) \quad \|f - \chi_V f\|_2 \leq \varepsilon \|f\|_2$$

and

$$(1-2) \quad \|\hat{f} - \chi_W \hat{f}\|_2 \leq \delta \|f\|_2.$$

Received by the editors August 1, 1998.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 43A15, 42A05; Secondary 20F99, 47B37.

Key words and phrases. Uncertainty principle, discrete groups, convolution operators, Hilbert space.

Then

$$(1-3) \quad \mu(V) \cdot \nu(W) \geq (1 - \varepsilon - \delta)^2.$$

A further extension of this result was obtained by J.A.Wolf ([W]), who considered the case of a *Gelfand pair* (G, K) , that is, a locally compact group G together with a compact subgroup K such that the convolution algebra $L^1(K \backslash G / K)$ of left and right invariant integrable functions is commutative. Then (1-3) extends to right K -invariant functions. In this case, the rôle of the Haar measure on \hat{G} is played by *Plancherel measure* on the set of equivalence classes of unitary K -invariant irreducible representations.

In this paper we apply the techniques of Donoho and Stark to extend Smith's inequality (1-3) to a different context. We consider an arbitrary *discrete* group and a right convolution operator on $l^2(G)$. That is, an operator T such that

$$(1-4) \quad Tf = f * \mu$$

where μ belongs to $l^1(G)$. We assume that μ is *symmetric* (that is, $\mu(x^{-1}) = \mu(x)$ for every x) and real. Under these hypotheses T is self-adjoint. Then, by the spectral theorem, $l^2(G)$ decomposes as a direct sum of cyclic subspaces; the restriction of T to each summand is equivalent to a multiplication operator on a suitable L^2 space. In this context an analogue of inequality (1-3) is still true if W is a Borel subset of $\sigma(T)$ and the Haar measure is replaced by a suitable diagonal coefficient of the *spectral measure* of T . In [STE], which was prompted by [W], the author proved a similar result for the special case in which G is assumed to be a finitely generated free group, and μ is supported on the set of the generators and their inverses.

In order to describe in greater detail the Hilbert space isomorphism which provides the relevant realization of $l^2(G)$, we shall review in the next section some basic facts about ordered representations.

2. ORDERED REPRESENTATIONS OF HILBERT SPACES

Definition 2.1. Let T be a normal bounded linear operator on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} ; let $\sigma(T)$ be the spectrum of T . Consider a regular Borel measure ν , supported on $\sigma(T)$, and a decreasing sequence $\{\Delta_n\}$ of Borel subsets of $\sigma(T)$. Then a linear mapping

$$U : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L^2(\Delta_n, \nu)$$

is called an *ordered representation relative to T* when

- (1) U is unitary,
- (2) for every bounded Borel function f on $\sigma(T)$ and every $x \in \mathcal{H}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the equality

$$(2-1) \quad (U(f(T)x))_n(\lambda) = f(\lambda)(Ux)_n(\lambda)$$

holds ν -almost everywhere on Δ_n .

The following result is a consequence of the spectral theorem for normal operators.

Theorem 2.2 ([DS], X.5.10). *A separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} admits a spectral representation with respect to any normal bounded linear operator defined on it. Moreover, if $U_i : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L^2(\Delta_n^i, \nu_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$) are two ordered representations relative to the same operator, then U_1 and U_2 are equivalent in the following sense:*

$$(2-2) \quad \begin{cases} \nu_1 \cong \nu_2, \\ \nu_1(\Delta_n^1 \triangle \Delta_n^2) = \nu_2(\Delta_n^1 \triangle \Delta_n^2) = 0 \quad \text{for every } n. \end{cases}$$

The crucial step in the proof of Theorem 2.2 is the following result.

Lemma 2.3 ([DS], X.5.8). *Let T be a normal bounded linear operator on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} ; let E be its spectral resolution. Then there exist a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of vectors in \mathcal{H} and a decreasing sequence $\{\Delta_n\}$ of Borel subsets of \mathbb{C} such that*

$$(2-3) \quad \mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}(x_k)$$

(where $\mathcal{H}(x_k)$ is the cyclic subspace $\text{span}(\{T^m(T^*)^r x_k : m, r \in \mathbb{N}\})$) and, for every Borel set $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(2-4) \quad (E(\Delta)x_n, x_n) = (E(\Delta \cap \Delta_n)x_1, x_1).$$

Theorem 2.2 can then be deduced from Lemma 2.3 by letting

$$(2-5) \quad \nu(A) := \nu_{x_1}(A) := (E(A)x_1, x_1) = \chi_A(T)$$

for every Borel subset A of $\sigma(T)$.

Remark. Inspection of the proof of Lemma 2.3 shows that one can choose x_1 arbitrarily among the set of vectors such that the measure $(E(\cdot)x_1, x_1)$ is *basic*, that is,

$$(2-6) \quad (E(\cdot)y, y) \ll (E(\cdot)x_1, x_1) \quad \text{for every } y \in \mathcal{H};$$

this condition is certainly fulfilled if x_1 is cyclic for the commutator algebra of T (see [DIX] I.7.2).

3. UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLE FOR CONVOLUTION OPERATORS

Recall that in our case T is the operator of right convolution by $\mu \in l^1(G)$ acting on $l^2(G)$. Clearly T commutes with translations and linear combinations of translates of δ_{1_G} are dense in $l^2(G)$. It follows from Lemma 2.3, and the remark which follows it, that we can choose once and for all an ordered representation \mathcal{F} of $l^2(G)$, relative to T , such that $x_1 = \delta_{1_G}$. We denote by ν the corresponding measure on $\sigma(T)$. Note that, for any Borel measurable $A \subseteq \sigma(T)$, formula (2-5) gives

$$\nu(A) = (\chi_A(T)\delta_{1_G}, \delta_{1_G})_{l^2(G)} = (\chi_A(T)\delta_{1_G})(1_G);$$

moreover, for this choice of x_1 , the map

$$(3-1) \quad \begin{aligned} C(\sigma(T)) &\longrightarrow l^2(G) \\ f &\longmapsto f(\mu) \end{aligned}$$

is unitary if $C(\sigma(T))$ is regarded as a subspace of $L^2(\sigma(T), d\nu)$.

Given \mathcal{F} , fix a finite set $V \subset G$ and a Borel set $W \subseteq \sigma(T)$. Define two projections P_V, Q_W on $l^2(G)$ in the following way:

$$(3-2) \quad P_V f = \chi_V f,$$

$$(3-3) \quad Q_W f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi_W \mathcal{F} f).$$

Thus a function $f \in l^2(G)$ is P_V -invariant if and only if it is supported on V ; f is Q_W -invariant if and only if every component of its transform $\mathcal{F}f$ is supported on W . We will extend to our case, in terms of such projections, the concept of ϵ -limited and δ -bandlimited functions introduced in Theorem 1.1.

Definition 3.1. A function $f \in l^2(G)$ is ϵ -limited to V (resp. δ -bandlimited to W), for some $\epsilon, \delta \in (0, 1)$, when

$$(3-4) \quad \|f - P_V f\|_2 \leq \epsilon \|f\|_2 \quad (\text{resp. } \|f - Q_W f\|_2 \leq \delta \|f\|_2).$$

Remark. The definition above is independent of the choice of \mathcal{F} among the ordered representations of $l^2(G)$ relative to T . Indeed, by Theorem 2.2, all such representations are equivalent in the sense of (2-2). So, while Q_W seemingly depends on the choice of \mathcal{F} , the second inequality in (3-4) is unaffected when one replaces \mathcal{F} , in formula (3-3), by another ordered representation relative to T . This will also follow immediately from (3-5).

We can now state our result.

Theorem 3.2 (Uncertainty Principle). *Consider V, W as above and suppose there exists a nonzero function $f \in l^2(G)$ which is simultaneously ϵ -limited to V and δ -bandlimited to W . Then*

$$|V| \cdot \nu(W) \geq (1 - \epsilon - \delta)^2$$

(where $|V|$ denotes the cardinality of V).

As in Donoho and Stark’s theorem, the result will be obtained by an estimate of the norm of the operator $P_V Q_W$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $V \subset G$ be a finite set and $W \subseteq \sigma(T)$ a Borel set. Define P_V, Q_W as above. Then*

$$\|P_V Q_W\|_{\mathcal{L}(l^2(G))} \leq (|V| \cdot \nu(W))^{1/2}.$$

Proof. Recall that $\nu(W) = (\chi_W(T)\delta_{1_G}, \delta_{1_G})_{l^2(G)}$. Since ν is a regular Borel measure, there exists a sequence $\{r_n\}$ of polynomials such that

$$r_n \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi_W \quad \nu\text{-a.e. on } \sigma(T)$$

and $\|r_n\|_{L^\infty}$ is uniformly bounded. Thus, by a well-known property of Borel functional calculus ([DS], Corollary X.2.8), we have:

$$r_n(T) \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi_W(T) \quad \text{strongly,}$$

that is, for every $h \in l^2(G)$,

$$r_n(\mu) * h \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi_W(T)h$$

where $r_n(\mu)$ is a convolution polynomial. For $h \in l^2(G)$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_W h &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi_W \cdot \mathcal{F}h) \\
 &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\lambda \mapsto \chi_W(\lambda) (\mathcal{F}h)_n(\lambda))\right) \\
 (3-5) \quad &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\chi_W(T)h)) = \chi_W(T)h.
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that, for $x \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(Q_W h)(x)| &= |(\chi_W(T)h)(x)| = |(\chi_W(T)h, \delta_x)_{l^2(G)}| \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |(r_n(\mu) * h)(x)| \\
 &\leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|r_n(\mu)\|_{l^2(G)} \cdot \|h\|_{l^2(G)} \quad \text{by Hölder's inequality.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now isometry condition for the mapping (3-1) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(Q_W h)(x)| &\leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|r_n\|_{L^2(\sigma(T), d\nu)} \cdot \|h\|_{l^2(G)} \\
 &= \|\chi_W\|_{L^2(\sigma(T), d\nu)} \cdot \|h\|_{l^2(G)} \quad \text{by Lebesgue's Theorem} \\
 &= (\nu(W))^{1/2} \|h\|_{l^2(G)}
 \end{aligned}$$

and, by definition of P_V ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|P_V Q_W h\|_2 &\leq \|\chi_V\|_2 (\nu(W))^{1/2} \|h\|_{l^2(G)} \\
 &= (|V| \cdot \nu(W))^{1/2} \|h\|_{l^2(G)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Let f be simultaneously ε -limited to V and δ -bandlimited to W . Then, by Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (|V| \cdot \nu(W))^{1/2} \cdot \|f\|_2 &\geq \|P_V Q_W f\|_2 \\
 &\geq \|P_V Q_W f - f\|_2 - \|f\|_2 \\
 &\geq \|f\|_2 - \varepsilon \|f\|_2 - \delta \|f\|_2
 \end{aligned}$$

and the thesis follows since $\|f\|_2 \neq 0$.

□

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I wish to thank Professor A. Figà-Talamanca for suggesting this problem to me and for many helpful conversations.

REFERENCES

[DIX] J. Dixmier, *Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace hilbertien (Deuxième édition)*, Gauthier-Villars, Éditeurs, Paris, 1969. MR **50**:5482
 [DO-ST] D.L. Donoho, P.B. Stark, *Uncertainty principles and signal recovery*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **49** (1989), 906–931. MR **90c**:42003
 [DS] N. Dunford, J.T. Schwarz, *Linear Operators (part II)*, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1963. MR **32**:6181
 [S] K.T. Smith, *The uncertainty principle on groups*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **50** (1990), 876–882. MR **91i**:94008

- [STE] G. Stegel, *La disuguaglianza di Heisenberg per gruppi liberi*, Thesis, Università di Roma “La Sapienza” (1993).
- [W] J.A. Wolf, *Uncertainty principle for Gelfand pairs*, *Nova J. Alg. Geom.* **1** (1992), 383–396. MR **94k**:43006

PIAZZA PRATI DEGLI STROZZI 35, 00195 ROMA, ITALY
E-mail address: `stegel@mat.uniroma1.it`