

## GENERICITY OF THE $K$ -PROPERTY FOR A CLASS OF TRANSFORMATIONS

ZBIGNIEW S. KOWALSKI AND PIERRE LIARDET

(Communicated by Michael Handel)

ABSTRACT. We exhibit a class of skew products over Bernoulli shifts for which the  $K$ -property is generic.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Skew products over Bernoulli shifts have been considered in many papers. The particular case of isometric extensions of a two-sided Bernoulli shift with finite entropy was studied by D. Rudolph [Ru] who showed that such an extension is Bernoulli as soon as it is mixing. It appears that various results can be obtained if we only consider one-sided Bernoulli shifts or if we deal with non-isometric extensions. There are examples due to W. Parry [Pa] for which isometric extensions of a one-sided Bernoulli shift are isomorphic to the underlying shift. Meilijson [Me] investigated the case of non-isometric extensions over a Bernoulli automorphism and showed that total ergodicity of so-called power extensions implies the  $K$ -property. In this paper we introduce a class of skew products which are non-isometric extensions of one-sided Bernoulli shifts with finite entropy. These transformations are non-invertible, measure preserving with finite entropy and without any one-sided generator. Such transformations were first introduced in [Ko1]. Our main focus will be on the  $K$ -property. We exhibit dense  $G_\delta$ -sets in some compact metrizable spaces of transformations for which this latter property holds. To this end we introduce a spectral criterion which implies total ergodicity. Finally, an application to uniform distribution modulo one is given.

### 2. A FAMILY OF SKEW PRODUCTS

In the sequel  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $p$  will be fixed numbers in  $]0, 1[$  with  $b > p$ . We introduce the set  $G_{a,b}$  of continuous maps  $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  satisfying the following properties:

- (i)  $g(0) = 0$ ,  $g(1) = 1$ ;
- (ii)  $g(x) \leq x$  for any  $x \in [0, 1]$ ;
- (iii) for all  $(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2$ :

$$x \neq y \implies a \leq \frac{g(y) - g(x)}{y - x} \leq \frac{1}{b}.$$

---

Received by the editors December 1, 1998.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 28D05; Secondary 11K99.

The first author was supported by KBN Grant 2 P03A 039 15 1998-2001. The second author was supported by MESRI.

Notice that  $G_{a,b}$  contains two particular maps, namely

$$I(x) = \sup_{g \in G_{a,b}} g(x)$$

which is nothing but the identity map, and

$$\Lambda(x) = \inf_{g \in G_{a,b}} g(x) = \begin{cases} ax & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1-b}{1-ab}; \\ \frac{1}{b}x + (1 - \frac{1}{b}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 1.** *The set  $G_{a,b}$  is a compact convex subset of the Banach space  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}([0, 1])$ .*

*Proof.* It is immediate that  $G_{a,b}$  is a convex closed subset of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}([0, 1])$  and is equicontinuous because of Property (iii). Hence  $G_{a,b}$  is compact by the Ascoli Theorem.  $\square$

Let  $\Omega$  be the product space  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  endowed with the usual metrizable compact product topology and the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}_{\Omega}$ . An element  $w$  in  $\Omega$  will be viewed as an infinite binary string  $w_0w_1w_2 \dots$  and to every fixed finite binary string  $v_0 \dots v_n$  we defined the open-closed cylinder set  $C_{v_0 \dots v_n} = \{w \in \Omega; w_0 \dots w_n = v_0 \dots v_n\}$ . Given a probability  $\mu$  on  $\{0, 1\}$  by  $\mu(\{0\}) = p, 0 < p < 1$ , we associate the infinite product measure  $\nu = \mu^{\infty}$  on  $\Omega$  and the Bernoulli shift  $B(\mu) = (\Omega, S, \mathcal{B}_{\Omega}, \nu)$  where  $S$  denotes the usual one-sided shift operator. The unit interval  $[0, 1]$  will be endowed with its Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}_{[0,1]}$  and the Lebesgue measure  $\lambda$ .

For every fixed homeomorphism  $g$  of  $[0, 1]$  such that  $g(0) = 0$  we define the map  $g_* : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by the equality

$$(1) \quad pg + (1 - p)g_* = Id.$$

If  $g$  is assumed to satisfy (iii), then similar inequalities hold for  $g_*$ , namely

$$(iii_*) \quad a_* \leq \frac{g_*(y) - g_*(x)}{y - x} \leq \frac{1}{b_*}$$

for every  $x, 0 \leq x < y \leq 1$ , with  $a_* = \frac{b-p}{b(1-p)}$  and  $b_* = \frac{1-p}{1-ap} (> p)$ .

*Remark 1.* In general,  $g_*$  is not necessarily one-to-one but if we assume that  $g$  belongs to  $G_{a,b}$ , then both  $g$  and  $g_*$  are homeomorphisms of  $[0, 1]$ .

*Remark 2.* For every homeomorphism  $g \in G_{a,b}$  and every subinterval  $J$  of  $[0, 1]$ , the equality

$$(2) \quad \lambda(J) = p\lambda(g(J)) + (1 - p)\lambda(g_*(J))$$

is an immediate consequence of the definition of  $g_*$ . In other words,

$$\lambda(dx) = p\rho_g(dx) + (1 - p)\rho_{g_*}(dx)$$

where  $\rho_g$  (resp.  $\rho_{g_*}$ ) is the Stieljes measure whose  $g$  (resp.  $g_*$ ) is the corresponding distribution function. In particular

$$(3) \quad \rho_g(\varphi) = \int \varphi(g^{-1}(x))\lambda(dx)$$

for all continuous maps  $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . It follows that  $\rho_g$  and  $\rho_{g_*}$  are absolutely continuous with respect to  $\lambda$  (and in fact equivalent to  $\lambda$ ). Therefore, by the Radon-Nikodym Theorem, there exist non-negative functions  $\gamma_g$  and  $\gamma_{g_*}$  in  $L^1(\lambda)$  such that  $\rho_g(dx) = \gamma_g(x)\lambda(dx)$  and  $\rho_{g_*}(dx) = \gamma_{g_*}(x)\lambda(dx)$  (equality being considered up to  $\lambda$ -null sets).

For every fixed  $g \in G_{a,b}$ , we consider the skew product  $\Sigma_g = (\Omega \times [0, 1], S_g, \mathcal{B}_\Omega \otimes \mathcal{B}_{[0,1]}, \nu \otimes \lambda)$  over  $B(\mu)$ , where  $S_g$  is the transformation defined by

$$S_g(w, x) = (Sw, T_{w_0}(x))$$

with  $T_0 = g^{-1}$  and  $T_1 = g_*^{-1}$ . It follows from (2) that  $S_g$  preserves the product measure  $\sigma = \nu \otimes \lambda$ . Such dynamical systems were considered by Kowalski in [Ko1] and our aim is to show that the set of maps  $g$  in  $G_{a,b}$  such that  $\Sigma_g$  is totally ergodic, contains a dense  $G_\delta$  set. We first need the following weaker result:

**Proposition 2.** *The set of  $g \in G_{a,b}$  such that  $\Sigma_g$  is totally ergodic contains a  $G_\delta$ -set with respect to the uniform topology on  $G_{a,b}$ .*

The proof will be done in three steps and will use the spectral characterization of total ergodicity. Let  $\mathcal{T} = (Y, T, \mathcal{B}, m)$  be a dynamical system and let  $f \in L^2(m)$ . By the Bochner-Herglotz Theorem, there exists a unique Borel measure  $m_f$  (called the spectral measure of  $f$  with respect to  $\mathcal{T}$ ) on the torus  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ , whose Fourier coefficients are given by

$$\widehat{m}_f(k) = (f \circ T^r, f \circ T^s)$$

where  $k = r - s$ . It is well known that the ergodicity of  $T$  is equivalent to

$$(4) \quad \forall f \in L^2(m) : (f, 1) = 0 \implies m_f(\{0\}) = 0.$$

*Step 1.* We use the above notations with  $\mathcal{T} = \Sigma_g$  and  $g$  in  $G_{a,b}$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}$  denote the Banach space of signed Borel measures equipped with the total variation norm. The following pair of assertions are well known: the map  $f \mapsto \sigma_f$  from  $L^2(\sigma)$  to  $\mathcal{M}$  is continuous and, for every two functions  $f_1, f_2$  in  $L^2(\sigma)$ , the spectral measure  $\sigma_{f_1+f_2}$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\sigma_{f_1} + \sigma_{f_2}$  (see [Qu] for example). Therefore, in order to prove property (4) we only have to prove it for a family  $F$  of functions which generates a dense subspace of the hyperplane  $L^2(\sigma)^\circ = \{f \in L^2(\sigma); (f, 1) = 0\}$ . For every fixed non-negative integer  $n$ , let  $\psi_n : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the map defined by

$$\psi_n(w) = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{\varepsilon_k(n)w_k} \left( \frac{p\omega_k + (1-p)(1-\omega_k)}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}} \right)^{\varepsilon_k(n)}$$

where  $n = \sum_{k \geq 0} \varepsilon_k(n)2^k$  is the usual expansion of  $n$  in base 2. The family  $\{\psi_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an orthonormal basis in  $L^2(\nu)$  and consequently the family

$$F = \{\psi_n \otimes u; n \geq 1 \text{ \& } u \in L^2(\lambda)\} \cup \{1 \otimes u; u \in L^2(\lambda) \text{ \& } (u, 1) = 0\}$$

spans a dense subspace of  $L^2(\sigma)^\circ$ .

For every  $f = \psi_n \otimes u$  in  $F$  with  $n \geq 1$  and for every integer  $k > \log_2 n$ , a straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\sigma}_f(k) &= \int_{\Omega} \psi_n d\nu \sum_{w_0 \dots w_{k-1}} p_{w_0} \dots p_{w_{k-1}} \psi_n(w_0, \dots, w_{k-1}) \int_0^1 u(T_{w_{k-1}} \dots T_{w_0} y) \overline{u(y)} \lambda(dy) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where the sum runs over all binary strings of length  $k$  and  $p_0 = p, p_1 = 1 - p$ . This means that  $\sigma_f$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure of  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ . In particular

$$(5) \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad \sigma_{\psi_n \otimes u}(\{0\}) = 0.$$

Now we claim that

**Lemma 1.** *For all  $g \in G_{a,b}$  the transformation  $\Sigma_g$  is ergodic if and only if  $\sigma_{1 \otimes u}(\{0\}) = 0$  for all  $u \in L^2(\lambda)$  such that  $(u, 1) = 0$ .*

In fact, from (5), property (4) holds if  $\sigma_{1 \otimes u}(\{0\}) = 0$  for every  $u \in L^2(\lambda)$  with  $(u, 1) = 0$ ; the ergodicity of  $\Sigma_g$  follows. The converse is obvious.

As a by-product we obtain

**Proposition 3.** *Assume  $g \in G_{a,b}$ . Then  $f \in L^2(\sigma)$  is invariant under  $S_g$  if and only if there exists  $u \in L^2(\lambda)$  such that  $f = 1 \otimes u$   $\sigma$ -a.e. and  $u \circ g^{-1} = u \circ g_*^{-1} = u$   $\lambda$ -a.e.*

*Proof.* For every fixed  $f \in L^2(\sigma)$  there exists a sequence  $(u_n)_n$  in  $L^2(\lambda)$  such that  $f = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \psi_n \otimes u_n$ , the series being convergent in  $L^2$ . Assume that  $f$  is invariant under  $S_g$ . Then  $\sigma_f = \|f\|_2 \delta_0$  where  $\delta_0$  stands for the Dirac probability on  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  at the origin. But  $\sigma_f(\{0\}) = \sigma_{1 \otimes u_0}(\{0\})$  from (5). Consequently  $\sigma_f = \sigma_{1 \otimes u_0}$  and  $u_n = 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Hence  $f = 1 \otimes u_0$  with  $u_0 \circ g^{-1} = u_0 \circ g_*^{-1} = u_0$   $\lambda$ -a.e. The converse is obvious. □

*Step 2.* Given a Borel measure  $\tau$  on  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  we introduce the property

$$(E) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}, \exists L_n, \max_{L_n \leq k < L_n+n} |\widehat{\tau}(k)| < \frac{1}{n}.$$

This definition has the following application:

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{T} = (Y, T, \mathcal{B}, m)$  be any dynamical system and assume that for every function  $f$  in  $L^2(m)$  such that  $(f, 1) = 0$  the property (E) holds with  $\tau = m_f$ . Then  $\mathcal{T}$  is totally ergodic.*

*Proof.* We use notations in (E) with  $\tau = m_f$ . An immediate application of the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{0 \leq k < n} e^{2i\pi(L_n+k)t} \right) m_f(dt) = m_f(\{0\}).$$

On the other hand, Property (E) implies

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{0 \leq k < n} e^{2i\pi(L_n+k)t} \right) m_f(dt) \right| \leq \frac{1}{n}$$

and passing to the limit, we get  $m_f(\{0\}) = 0$ . Therefore,  $T$  is ergodic. Due to the obvious fact that the Fourier coefficient at  $k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , of the spectral measure of  $f$  with respect to  $T^n$  is  $m_f(nk)$ , a similar argument shows that  $T^n$  is also ergodic. This proves Lemma 2. □

*Step 3.* In this last step, we assume that  $g$  belongs to  $G_{a,b}$  and we denote by  $\sigma_q^{(g)}$  the spectral measure of the function  $(w, y) \mapsto e^{2i\pi qy}$  ( $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) with respect to  $S_g$ . For  $n \geq 1$  given, let us introduce the subset

$$\Gamma(q, n, L) = \{g \in G_{a,b}; |\widehat{\sigma}_q^{(g)}(L+k)| < \frac{1}{n} \text{ for } 0 \leq k < n\}.$$

The next lemma will be useful to prove that the set  $\Gamma(q, n, L)$  is open in  $G_{a,b}$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $g$  and  $h$  be elements of  $G_{a,b}$  and put  $g_0 = g$ ,  $h_0 = h$ ,  $g_1 = g_*$ ,  $h_1 = h_*$ . Then, for every binary string  $w_0 \dots w_{k-1}$  of length  $k$ , one has*

$$(6) \quad \|g_{w_{k-1}}^{-1} \dots g_{w_0}^{-1} - h_{w_{k-1}}^{-1} \dots h_{w_0}^{-1}\|_\infty \leq d \left( \frac{d^k - 1}{d - 1} \right) \|g - h\|_\infty$$

where  $d = \max\{\frac{1}{a}, \frac{bp}{b-p}, \frac{b(1-p)}{b-p}\}$ .

*Proof.* We prove the assertion by induction on  $k$ . The case  $k = 1$  follows immediately from just properties (iii) or (iii<sub>\*</sub>) and the fact that  $p(g-h) + (1-p)(g_*-h_*) = 0$ . Now assume that inequality (6) holds for a given  $k \geq 1$ , so we have successively

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_{w_k}^{-1} \dots g_{w_0}^{-1} - h_{w_k}^{-1} \dots h_{w_0}^{-1}\|_\infty &\leq \|g_{w_k}^{-1} \circ (g_{w_{k-1}}^{-1} \dots g_{w_0}^{-1}) - h_{w_k}^{-1} \circ (g_{w_{k-1}}^{-1} \dots g_{w_0}^{-1})\|_\infty \\ &\quad + \|h_{w_k}^{-1} \circ (g_{w_{k-1}}^{-1} \dots g_{w_0}^{-1}) - h_{w_k}^{-1} \circ (h_{w_{k-1}}^{-1} \dots h_{w_0}^{-1})\|_\infty \\ &\leq d \|g_{w_k}^{-1} - h_{w_k}^{-1}\|_\infty + d \|g_{w_{k-1}}^{-1} \dots g_{w_0}^{-1} - h_{w_{k-1}}^{-1} \dots h_{w_0}^{-1}\|_\infty \\ &\leq d \left( 1 + d \left( \frac{d^k - 1}{d - 1} \right) \right) \|g - h\|_\infty \\ &= d \left( \frac{d^{k+1} - 1}{d - 1} \right) \|g - h\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (6) holds for  $k + 1$ , and the proof is complete. □

The inequality (6) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{\sigma}_q^{(g)}(k) - \widehat{\sigma}_q^{(h)}(k)| &\leq \sum_{w_0 \dots w_{k-1}} \int_0^1 |e^{2i\pi q g_{w_{k-1}}^{-1} \dots g_{w_0}^{-1}(y)} - e^{2i\pi q h_{w_{k-1}}^{-1} \dots h_{w_0}^{-1}(y)}| \lambda(dy) \\ &\leq 2\pi q d \left( \frac{d^k - 1}{d - 1} \right) \|g - h\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

and by means of a standard argument,  $\Gamma(q, n, L)$  is open in  $G_{a,b}$ .

To complete the proof of Proposition 2, let us introduce the set

$$\mathcal{E} = \bigcap_{q \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \bigcap_{\ell \geq 1} \bigcup_{L \geq \ell} \Gamma(q, n, L)$$

which is clearly a  $G_\delta$ -set. Let  $\chi_q$  denote the exponential map  $y \mapsto e^{2i\pi q y}$  defined on  $[0, 1]$ , choose  $g \in \mathcal{E}$  and consider the family

$$F = \{\psi_n \otimes \chi_q; (n, q) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{(0, 0)\}\}.$$

For every  $f \in F$ , the spectral measure  $\sigma_f^{(g)}$  satisfies (E). This is clear by the choice of  $g$  for  $f = 1 \otimes \chi_q$ . Otherwise,  $f = \psi_n \otimes \chi_q$  for suitable  $n$  and  $q$ , but in that case  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\sigma}_f^{(g)}(k) = 0$ ; hence  $\sigma_f^{(g)}$  still satisfies (E). Now notice that  $F \cup \{1\}$  is an orthonormal basis in  $L^2(\sigma)$  and, if  $(f_n)$  is a sequence in  $L^2(\sigma)$  which converges to  $f$  such that all the spectral measures  $\sigma_{f_n}^{(g)}$  satisfy property (E), then  $\sigma_f^{(g)}$  also satisfies property (E). In fact,  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\sigma}_{f_n}^{(g)}(k) - \widehat{\sigma}_f^{(g)}(k)| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\sigma_{f_n}^{(g)} - \sigma_f^{(g)}\| = 0$ . Here,  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the total variation norm. Lemma 2 finishes the proof of Proposition 2. □

*Remark 3.* There exist many functions  $g$  in  $G_{a,b}$  such that  $S_g$  is not ergodic. For example, if  $g$  has a fixed point in  $]0, 1[$ , then  $S_g$  cannot be ergodic.

3. GENERICITY OF THE  $K$ -PROPERTY

Let  $\Phi_g : L^1(\sigma) \rightarrow L^1(\sigma)$  be the Perron-Frobenius operator associated to  $\Sigma_g$ . For every  $F \in L^1(\sigma)$ , the following formula

$$\Phi_g(F)(w, y) = p\gamma_g(y)F(0w, g(y)) + (1-p)\gamma_{g_*}(y)F(1w, g_*(y))$$

is classical. We denote by  $T_g$  the operator defined on the space  $L^1([0, 1], \mathcal{B}_{[0,1]}, \lambda)$  by

$$T_g(f) = \Phi_g(1 \otimes f).$$

Notice that  $T_g$  is doubly stochastic and the proof of the next proposition shows that the mixing property of  $\Phi_g$  depends on that of  $T_g$ .

**Proposition 4.** *For any  $g \in G_{a,b}$ , ergodicity of  $\Sigma_g$  implies strong mixing.*

*Proof.* Let  $A : L^1(\lambda) \rightarrow L^1(\lambda)$  be the linear positive operator defined by

$$A(h) = ph \circ g + (1-p)h \circ g_*.$$

If  $h \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}^1([0, 1])$ , then

$$\frac{d}{dx}Ah = T_g\left(\frac{d}{dx}h\right) \quad \lambda - \text{a.e.}$$

Therefore, we can apply the argument of Theorem 1 in [Ko2] to deduce the strong mixing property of  $\Sigma_g$ .  $\square$

Using Theorem 1 in [Ko3] we obtain from Proposition 4 a similar result to the classification of D. Rudolph [Ru]:

**Proposition 5.** *For every  $g \in G_{a,b}$ , the ergodicity of  $\Sigma_g$  implies the  $K$ -property of  $\Sigma_g$ .*

We are ready to study the genericity of the  $K$ -property from a topological point of view.

**Theorem 1.** *Assume that  $a, b, p \in ]0, 1[$ ,  $p < b$ . Then the set of  $g$  in  $G_{a,b}$  such that  $\Sigma_g$  has the  $K$ -property contains a dense  $G_\delta$ -set with respect to the uniform topology.*

*Proof.* By Propositions 1 and 5, it is enough to find a dense subset of elements in  $G_{a,b}$  such that  $\Sigma_g$  is ergodic. Let  $D$  be the set of functions  $g \in G_{a,b}$  such that there exists  $x_0 \in ]0, 1[$  with the following properties:

- (j) The restriction of  $g$  to the interval  $[g(x_0), g_*(x_0)]$  is twice continuously differentiable;
- (jj)  $g'(x_0) = 1$ ,  $g'(x) < 1$  for all  $x$  in  $[g(x_0), x_0[$  and  $g'(x) > 1$  for all  $x \in ]x_0, g_*(x_0)$ ];
- (jjj)  $g(x) < x$  for all  $x \in ]0, 1[$ .

It is easily checked that  $D$  is dense in  $G_{a,b}$  with respect to the uniform topology. Moreover, for every  $g \in D$  the skew product  $\Sigma_g$  is ergodic. This fact is a direct consequence of the proof of Theorem 3 in [Ko1].  $\square$

*Open problem:* In connection with the example of an exact transformation without a one-sided generator with finite entropy given in [KaKoLi], show that the exactness property is generic in  $G_{a,b}$ .

4. A FAMILY OF UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTED SEQUENCES

Let  $g_0 = g$  be a homeomorphism of  $[0, 1]$  such that  $g_1 = g_*$  (the map defined by (1), section 2) is also a homeomorphism of  $[0, 1]$  and the sequence  $n \mapsto g_{\omega_n}^{-1} \dots g_{\omega_0}^{-1}y$  is well defined for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

**Theorem 2.** *If  $\Sigma_g$  is ergodic, then, for almost every point  $\omega \in \Omega$ , the sequence*

$$(7) \quad n \mapsto g_{\omega_n}^{-1} \dots g_{\omega_0}^{-1}y$$

*is uniformly distributed in  $]0, 1[$  for every  $y \in ]0, 1[$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $s(\omega, y)$  denote the sequence defined by (7). Assume that for  $\omega \in \Omega$  there exist  $u$  and  $v$  in  $]0, 1[$ ,  $u < v$ , such that both sequences  $s(\omega, u)$  and  $s(\omega, v)$  are uniformly distributed. Now, the monotonicity of  $g$  and  $g_*$  implies that, for every  $t \in [0, 1]$  and every  $y \in [u, v]$ , one has

$$\mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(s(\omega, v)_n) \leq \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(s(\omega, y)_n) \leq \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(s(\omega, u)_n).$$

By our assumption on  $u$  and  $v$  we obtain

$$\forall t \in [0, 1], \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(s(\omega, y)_n) = t.$$

This means that the sequence  $s(\omega, y)$  is uniformly distributed in  $[0, 1]$ . But, because  $S_g$  is ergodic, for almost all  $\omega$ , the set  $I(\omega)$  of elements  $x$  in  $[0, 1]$  such that  $s(\omega, x)$  is uniformly distributed in  $[0, 1]$ , has measure 1. Therefore, the above result shows that in fact  $I(\omega) = ]0, 1[$ . □

*Remark 4.* The uniformly distributed sequence  $s(\omega, y)$  in Theorem 2 is not completely uniformly distributed (see [KuNi] for the definition). In fact, for every given continuous function  $f : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , a straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f(s(\omega, y)_n, s(\omega, y)_{n+1}) \\ = p \int_0^1 f(x, g^{-1}x)\lambda(dx) + (1-p) \int_0^1 f(x, g_*^{-1}x)\lambda(dx) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $y \in ]0, 1[$  and almost all  $\omega$ . In particular, for such  $\omega$  the sequence

$$n \mapsto (s(\omega, y)_n, s(\omega, y)_{n+1})$$

is distributed according to a measure carried by the union of the graphs of  $g^{-1}$  and  $g_*^{-1}$ .

REFERENCES

[KuNi] L. Kuipers and H. Niederreiter, *Uniform Distribution of Sequences* (J. Wiley, New York, ed.), 1974. MR **54**:7415  
 [KaKoLi] B. Kamiński, Z. S. Kowalski and P. Liardet, *On extremal and perfect  $\sigma$ -algebras for  $Z^d$ -actions on a Lebesgue space*, *Studia Math.* **124** (2) (1997), 173-178. MR **98h**:28011  
 [Ko1] Z. S. Kowalski, *Stationary perturbations based on Bernoulli process*, *Studia Math.* **97** (1) (1990), 53-57. MR **92b**:28021  
 [Ko2] Z. S. Kowalski, *On mixing generalized skew products*, in *Ergodic theory and related topics III*, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, No 1514 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, ed.), 1992, pp. 128-130. CMP 92:17

- [Ko3] Z. S. Kowalski, *The exactness of generalized skew products*, Osaka J. Math. **36** (1993), 57–61. MR **94a**:28032
- [Me] I. Meilijson, *Mixing properties of a class of skew products*, Israel J. Math. **19** (1974), 266–270. MR **51**:8374
- [Pa] W. Parry, *Automorphisms of the Bernoulli endomorphism and a class of skew-products*, Ergodic theory and dynamical systems **16** (1996), 519–530. MR **97h**:28006
- [Qu] M. Queffélec, *Substitution dynamical systems, spectral analysis*, Lecture Notes in Math., No 1294 (Springer-Verlag, ed.), 1987. MR **89g**:54094
- [Ru] D. Rudolph, *Classifying isometric extensions of a Bernoulli shift*, Journal d'Analyse Mathématique **34** (1978), 36–60. MR **80g**:28020

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, WROCLAW UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, WYBRZEŻE ST.  
WYSPIAŃSKIEGO 27, 50–370 WROCLAW, POLAND  
*E-mail address*: `kowalski@im.pwr.wroc.pl`

UNIVERSITÉ DE PROVENCE, CMI, 39 RUE JOLIOT-CURIE, F-13453 MARSEILLE CEDEX 13,  
FRANCE  
*E-mail address*: `liardet@gyptis.univ-mrs.fr`