

ON THE SYMMETRY OF THE GOLDIE AND CS CONDITIONS FOR PRIME RINGS

DINH VAN HUYNH, S. K. JAIN, AND S. R. LÓPEZ-PERMOUTH

(Communicated by Ken Goodearl)

ABSTRACT. It is shown that: (a) If R is a prime right Goldie right CS ring with right uniform dimension at least 2, then R is left Goldie, left CS; (b) A semiprime ring R is right Goldie left CS iff R is left Goldie, right CS.

All rings are associative having an identity and all modules are unitary. A right module M over a ring R is called CS (or extending) if every submodule of M is essential in a direct summand of M , or equivalently, if every complement submodule of M is a direct summand of M . A ring R is called right CS (resp., left CS), if R_R (resp., ${}_R R$) is a CS module. CS modules have been extensively studied by many authors.

A ring R is defined to be a right (left) Goldie ring if R has ascending chain condition on right (left) annihilators and the right (left) uniform dimension of R is finite. A right Goldie ring R is (semi-)prime if and only if R has classical right quotient ring which is (semi-)simple artinian. For notation not defined here we refer the reader to [1], [2] and [3].

Theorem 1. *A prime right Goldie, right CS ring R with right uniform dimension at least 2, is left Goldie, and left CS.*

Proof. Let n be the right uniform dimension of R . By assumption, $n \geq 2$. Since R is right CS, $R = e_1 R \oplus \cdots \oplus e_n R$ where each $e_i R$ is uniform and $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a system of orthogonal idempotents of R . Let Q be the classical right quotient ring of R . Then we have:

$$R \cong \begin{pmatrix} e_1 R e_1 & e_1 R e_2 & \cdots & e_1 R e_n \\ e_2 R e_1 & e_2 R e_2 & \cdots & e_2 R e_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ e_n R e_1 & e_n R e_2 & \cdots & e_n R e_n \end{pmatrix} \subseteq \begin{pmatrix} e_1 Q e_1 & e_1 Q e_2 & \cdots & e_1 Q e_n \\ e_2 Q e_1 & e_2 Q e_2 & \cdots & e_2 Q e_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ e_n Q e_1 & e_n Q e_2 & \cdots & e_n Q e_n \end{pmatrix} \cong Q.$$

Received by the editors May 12, 1998 and, in revised form, September 28, 1998 and December 9, 1998.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16P60, 16N60, 16D80.

Henceforth, we will identify

$$\begin{pmatrix} e_1Re_1 & e_1Re_2 & \cdots & e_1Re_n \\ e_2Re_1 & e_2Re_2 & \cdots & e_2Re_n \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ e_nRe_1 & e_nRe_2 & \cdots & e_nRe_n \end{pmatrix}$$

with R and

$$\begin{pmatrix} e_1Qe_1 & e_1Qe_2 & \cdots & e_1Qe_n \\ e_2Qe_1 & e_2Qe_2 & \cdots & e_2Qe_n \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ e_nQe_1 & e_nQe_2 & \cdots & e_nQe_n \end{pmatrix}$$

with Q .

Let $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \cdots & \cdot \\ a_2 & \cdots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ a_n & \cdots & \cdot \end{pmatrix}$ be an element of Q with $a_i \in e_iQe_1$, $a_1 \neq 0$. Then

for the minimal right ideal $M = \begin{pmatrix} e_1Qe_1 & e_1Qe_2 & \cdots & e_1Qe_n \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ of Q , αM is

a minimal right ideal of Q , too. Hence $R \cap \alpha M$ is a (nonzero) closed uniform right ideal of R . Consequently, $R \cap \alpha M$ is generated by an idempotent $e \in R$.

Therefore, there exists an element $\beta = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_n \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ such that $\alpha\beta =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1x_1 & a_1x_2 & \cdots & a_1x_n \\ a_2x_1 & a_2x_2 & \cdots & a_2x_n \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ a_nx_1 & a_nx_2 & \cdots & a_nx_n \end{pmatrix} = e \in R, \text{ where } x_i \in e_1Qe_i. \text{ Hence } a_ix_j \in e_iRe_j$$

for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. Note that at least one x_i is nonzero. After squaring this matrix and comparing the corresponding entries of this matrix and its square we get $(a_1x_k)(a_kx_k) + \cdots + (a_1x_n)(a_nx_k) = a_1x_k$, where x_k is the first nonzero entry in the first row of β . Since $a_1 \neq 0$, it follows $x_k a_k x_k + x_{k+1} a_{k+1} x_k + \cdots + x_n a_n x_k = x_k$. As $x_k \in e_1Qe_k$, there exists $x_k^* \in e_kQe_1$ such that $x_k x_k^* = e_1$, because $e_1Q \cong e_kQ$.

Consequently,

$$(1) \quad x_k a_k + x_{k+1} a_{k+1} + \cdots + x_n a_n = e_1.$$

Note that if $e_1 R e_1$ is a division ring, then R is a simple artinian ring. In this case the statement in our theorem is trivially true. Therefore, we assume that $e_1 R e_1 \neq e_1 Q e_1$. Since $n > 1$, we can choose $a_1 \in e_1 Q e_1 \setminus e_1 R e_1$, $a_2 = \cdots = a_{n-1} = 0$, $0 \neq a_n \in e_n R e_1$. Then we must have $k = 1$, i.e. $x_1 \neq 0$. For, if $x_1 = 0$, the equation (1) becomes $x_n a_n = e_1$. Multiplying this with a_1 on the left we get $(a_1 x_n) a_n = a_1 e_1 = a_1$. From this and $a_1 x_n \in e_1 R e_n$, it follows that $a_1 \in e_1 R e_1$, a contradiction. Hence $x_1 \neq 0$. Thus equation (1) becomes $x_1 a_1 + x_n a_n = e_1$, and so

$$(2) \quad x_1 a_1 = e_1 - x_n a_n.$$

Let $0 \neq y \in e_1 R e_n \subset e_1 Q e_n$. So there exists $y' \in e_n Q e_1$, such that $y' y = e_n$, because $e_1 Q \cong e_n Q$. We note that $ya_n \neq 0$. For, if $ya_n = 0$, then $y'(ya_n) = (y'y)a_n = 0$, and so $a_n = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore $(ya_n)x_1 \neq 0$. Now $0 \neq y(a_n x_1) \in (e_1 R e_n)(e_n R e_1) \subseteq e_1 R e_1$. Furthermore, $ya_n x_n \in e_1 R e_n$, and $a_n \in e_n R e_1$ yield $ya_n x_n a_n \in e_1 R e_1$. Next, multiplying (2) on the left by ya_n we get $(ya_n x_1)a_1 = ya_n - ya_n x_n a_n$. Consequently, $a_1 = (ya_n x_1)^{-1}(ya_n - ya_n x_n a_n)$. This shows that $e_1 R e_1$ is a left Ore domain. Similarly, we conclude that every $e_i R e_i$ is a left Ore domain.

Therefore, each $R e_i$ is a uniform left ideal of R . This is folklore; however we provide an argument here for the sake of completeness: If A, B are nonzero submodules of $R e_i$ such that $A \cap B = 0$, then $e_i A \cap e_i B = 0$. Since $e_i A$ and $e_i B$ are left ideals of the left Ore domain $e_i R e_i$, either $e_i A = 0$ or $e_i B = 0$. Consequently, either $BA = 0$ or $AB = 0$. This is a contradiction because R is a prime ring, proving the claim. Since $R = R e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R e_n$, ${}_R R$ has finite uniform dimension. Moreover, as R is prime right Goldie, it has DCC on right annihilators (cf. [4, Lemma 7.2.2]). Therefore, R has ACC on left annihilators, proving that R is left Goldie.

Finally, we show that R is left CS. Note that Q is the classical left and right quotient ring of R . Let U be a non-essential left ideal of R . Then there are orthogonal idempotents $e, f \in Q$, such that $Q = Qe \oplus Qf$, where U is essential in ${}_R Qe$, and $Qf \neq 0$. Hence $U(fQ) = 0$. As $fQ \cap R \neq 0$, the right annihilator of U in R is nonzero. Moreover, let $0 \neq a \in R$ and $r(a)$ be the right annihilator of a in R . Then $R = C \oplus D$, where $r(a)$ is essential in D . Since $aR \cong R/r(a)$ and aR is a nonsingular right ideal of R , we must have $r(a) = D$. This shows that $aR \cong C$, and in particular that aR is projective. Hence R is a right p.p. ring. Thus R is left CS by [1, Proposition 12.3]. The proof is complete. \square

Remark 1. Theorem 1 is not true, in general, if the right uniform dimension of the prime right Goldie ring is 1, since there exist right Ore domains (hence right CS) which are not left Ore (hence not left CS). For the existence of such a domain, see [3, Exercise 1, p. 101].

Remark 2. Let R be a semiprime right Goldie right CS ring. Then R_R is a direct sum of uniform right ideals $e_i R$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, $e_i^2 = e_i$. After renumbering the indices, if necessary, we get $R = [e_1 R] \oplus \cdots \oplus [e_t R]$, where each $[e_j R]$ is a direct sum of uniform right ideals belonging to $\{e_i R\}_{i=1}^n$ that are subsomorphic to each other, and $Hom_R(e_j R, e_k R) = 0$ for $j \neq k$ ($j, k \in \{1, \dots, t\}$). It is easy to check that each $R_j = [e_j R]$ is an ideal of R , and is itself a prime right Goldie right CS ring. Hence $R = R_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_t$ is a ring direct sum of prime right Goldie right

CS rings. Let n_j be the right uniform dimension of R_j . By Theorem 1, for any $n_j > 1$, R_j is also left Goldie and left CS.

The following consequence of Theorem 1 is a stronger version of [1, Corollary 12.9].

Corollary 2. *For a domain K the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) $(K \oplus K)_K$ is CS;
- (b) ${}_K(K \oplus K)$ is CS.

If K satisfies (a) or (b), then K is right and left Ore.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b). By (a), K is right Ore; hence the 2×2 matrix ring $M_2(K)$ over K is a prime right Goldie ring of right uniform dimension 2. Moreover, by [1, Lemma 12.8], (a) implies that $M_2(K)$ is right CS. By Theorem 1, $M_2(K)$ is left CS. Again by [1, Lemma 12.8], ${}_K(K \oplus K)$ is CS, proving (b). Similarly (b) \Rightarrow (a) holds. The last statement is clear. \square

Theorem 3. *For a semiprime ring R , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) R is left Goldie, right CS;
- (ii) R is right Goldie, left CS.

In this case, $R = R_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_n$, where each R_i is prime, right Goldie, left Goldie, right CS and left CS.

Proof. We need only show (i) \Rightarrow (ii); then the implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) is obtained in a similar way.

Let R be a semiprime left Goldie right CS ring. We claim that R has finite right uniform dimension. Assume on the contrary, that R contains an infinite direct sum $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ of nonzero right ideals A_i . Let K_1 be the complement of A_1 in R containing $\bigoplus_{i=2}^{\infty} A_i$. Since R is right CS, $R = K_1 \oplus B_1$ for some nonzero right ideal B_1 of R . Let K_2 be the complement of A_2 in K_1 containing $\bigoplus_{i=3}^{\infty} A_i$. Since $(K_1)_R$ is CS, $K_1 = K_2 \oplus B_2$ for some nonzero submodule B_2 of K_1 . This yields $R = K_2 \oplus B_1 \oplus B_2$. Proceeding in this way we can produce an arbitrary number of orthogonal idempotents in R , a contradiction, because R is left Goldie. Hence R has finite right uniform dimension. Since R is semiprime left Goldie, R has DCC on left annihilators, and so R is right Goldie. By Remark 2, $R = R_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_t$, a direct sum of prime right and left Goldie right CS rings. Let $n_i = \text{u-dim}(R_i)_{R_i} = \text{u-dim}({}_R R_i)$. If $n_i = 1$, then R_i is a uniform left R_i -module, and hence left CS. For $n_i \geq 2$ we apply Theorem 1 to obtain that R_i is also left CS. Hence R is left CS. The last statement is clear from the proof. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Dinh Van Huynh wishes to thank the Department of Mathematics, Ohio University for support and hospitality during his visit in the academic years 1997–98 and 1998–99. Sergio R. López-Permouth gratefully acknowledges the support of Ohio University through a Baker Fund Award for this project.

We thank the referee for his helpful suggestions and comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] N.V. Dung, D.V. Huynh, P.F. Smith and R. Wisbauer, *Extending Modules*, Research Notices in Mathematics Series 313, Pitman, London (1994). MR **96f**:16008
- [2] C. Faith, *Algebra I: Rings Modules, and Categories of Modules*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1981. MR **82g**:16001

- [3] K.R. Goodearl, *Ring Theory: Nonsingular Rings and Modules*, Marcel Dekker, New York-Basel 1968. MR **55**:2970
- [4] I.N. Herstein, *Noncommutative Rings*, The Carus Mathematical Monograph No 15, Math. Ass. Amer. 1973. MR **37**:2790

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, P.O. BOX 631 BOHO, HANOI, VIETNAM - DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO UNIVERSITY, ATHENS, OHIO 45701

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO UNIVERSITY, ATHENS, OHIO 45701

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO UNIVERSITY, ATHENS, OHIO 45701