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Abstract. Using entire regularizations of $C_0$-groups, we give a characterization of their analytic generators which we apply to the study of products of such generators.

1. Preliminaries

Let $X$ be a complex Banach space and $\mathcal{L}(X)$ the space of bounded linear operators on $X$. Let $A$ be a closed linear operator on $X$ with dense domain $D(A)$; let $R(A)$ denote the range, $N(A)$ the kernel of $A$, and $\rho(A)$ and $\sigma(A)$ the resolvent set and the spectrum of $A$. Throughout, given two linear operators $A$ and $B$ on $X$, by $A + B$ we denote the operator $(A + B)x = Ax + Bx$ with $D(A + B) = D(A) \cap D(B)$ and by $AB$ the operator $(AB)x = A(Bx)$ with $D(AB) = \{x \in D(B); Bx \in D(A)\}$.

We briefly recall basic facts concerning the concept of analytic generator for strongly continuous groups of operators, introduced by Cioranescu-Zsido [2] and used extensively in the Tomita-Takesaki theory (see [10]).

Let $U(\cdot)$ be a strongly continuous group in $X$. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, we denote by $\Omega_\alpha$ the vertical strip

$$\Omega_\alpha = \begin{cases} 
\{z \in \mathbb{C}; 0 < \text{Re}(z) < \text{Re}(\alpha)\} & \text{if } \text{Re}(\alpha) > 0, \\
\{z \in \mathbb{C}; \text{Re}(\alpha) < \text{Re}(z) < 0\} & \text{if } \text{Re}(\alpha) < 0.
\end{cases}$$

Then for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, the operator $B_\alpha$ is defined by

$$D(B_\alpha) = \{x \in X; \exists F_x \in \mathcal{H}ol(\Omega_\alpha) \cap \mathcal{C}(\overline{\Omega_\alpha}): U(t)x = F_x(it), \ t \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

$B_\alpha x := F_x(\alpha), \ x \in D(B_\alpha)$.

Definition 1.1. The analytic generator for $U(\cdot)$ is the operator $B := B_1$.

The operators $B_\alpha$ are closed, injective and densely defined, and the set

$$D = \{x \in X; \exists F_x \in \mathcal{H}ol(\mathbb{C}): U(t)x = F_x(it), \ t \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

is a core for $B_\alpha$ (see [2]).

The case where $\rho(B) \neq \emptyset$ was first studied by Zsidó [11], who connected it to the Hilbert transform. More results were obtained recently by Monniaux [6], [7].
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who established the connection between analytic generators and the class BIP of operators in UMD-spaces.

We recall the following definition from [8].

**Definition 1.2.** The operator \( A \) belongs to the class \( \text{BIP}(X, \omega) \) where \( \omega \in [0, \pi) \) if \( A \) satisfies the conditions

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(H1)} \quad & (-\infty, 0) \subset \rho(A), \quad N(A) = \{0\}, \quad \overline{R(A)} = X \text{ and } \|t(t+A)^{-1}\| \leq M \text{ for some constant } M \geq 1 \text{ and all } t > 0, \\
\text{(H2)} \quad & \text{For all } t \in \mathbb{R}, \ A^it \in \mathcal{L}(X) \text{ and there exists } K \geq 1 \text{ such that } \|A^it\| \leq Ke^{\omega|t|}, \ t \in \mathbb{R}.
\end{align*}
\]

We further introduce the class UMD of Banach spaces with the unconditional martingale difference property (see Bourgain [1]).

Consider the following family of operators:

\[
H_{\varepsilon}f(t) = \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\varepsilon \leq |t|} f(t - s) \frac{ds}{s}
\]

for \( \varepsilon > 0, \ t \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}, X) \). Then \( Hf(t) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} H_{\varepsilon}f(t) \) is called the Hilbert transform.

**Definition 1.3.** If the operator \( H \) admits a continuous extension to \( L^p(X), \ p \in (1, \infty) \), then we say that \( X \) is a UMD space.

The next result is due to S. Monniaux.

**Lemma 1.4.** Let \( X \) be a UMD-space and \( \omega \in [0, \pi) \); then \( B \in \text{BIP}(X, \omega) \) if and only if \( B \) is the analytic generator of a group of type \( \leq \omega \).

In general Banach spaces, a similar result is not known. We conjecture that if \( B \) is the analytic generator for a group of type less than \( \pi \) and \( \rho(B) \neq \emptyset \), then \( B \in \text{BIP}(X, \pi) \).

Products of analytic generators were studied in UMD-spaces (via the sum of such operators) by Prüss-Sohr [8] and in general Banach spaces (via functional calculus) by Uiterdijk [9] (see also Monniaux [9], [7] for a particular case). In this note, we study such products using a representation formula for the analytic generator obtained by means of entire regularizations of strongly continuous groups which may present interest in itself.

2. **Main results**

We recall the notion of an entire \( C \)-group due to deLaubenfels [4], [5].

**Definition 2.1.** Let \( C \in \mathcal{L}(X) \) be injective. The family of operators \((W(z))_{z \in \mathbb{C}} \) is an entire \( C \)-group if

i) \( W(z) \) is an entire \( \mathcal{L}(X) \)-valued function of \( z \);

ii) \( W(z)W(\rho) = CW(z + \rho) \) for all \( z, \rho \in \mathbb{C} \);

iii) \( W(0) = C \).

The generator of \((W(z))\) is the operator \( A \) defined as follows:

\[
D(A) := \{x \in X, \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{W(t)x - Cx}{t} \text{ exists and is in } R(C)\},
\]

\[
Ax = C^{-1}\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{W(t)x - Cx}{t} \quad \text{for } x \in D(A).
\]

We will make use of the following lemma (see also deLaubenfels [4]).
Lemma 2.2. Let \((T(t))\) be a strongly continuous analytic semigroup with generator \(A\). Then for any \(t_0 > 0\), \(T(t_0)\) is injective and has dense range.

Proof. We first show that \(T(t_0)\) is one-to-one. Assume that \(T(t_0)x = 0\) for some \(x \in X\). Since \(t_0 > 0\), \(T(t_0)(X) \subseteq \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D(A^n)\) and \(\frac{d^n}{dt^n} T(t)x|_{t=t_0} = A^n T(t_0)x\).

Using analyticity, one obtains that \(T(t)x = 0\) for \(0 < t < t_0\). Strong continuity at 0 now gives \(x = 0\).

To show that \(T(t_0)\) has dense range, assume that \(\varphi \in X^*\) is such that \(\langle \varphi, T(t_0)x \rangle = 0\) for every \(x \in X\). By considering the function \(f\) defined by \(f(t) = \langle \varphi, T(t)x \rangle\) for \(t > 0\), and using analyticity and strong continuity of \((T(t))\) as above, one obtains \(f(0) = \lim_{t \to 0} \langle \varphi, T(t)x \rangle = 0 = \langle \varphi, x \rangle\) for every \(x \in X\) so that \(\varphi = 0\).

Remark. From the lemma, one can deduce the following stronger statement using an abstract Mittag-Leffler argument.

\[ \mathcal{A} := \bigcap_{t > 0} T(t)(X) \text{ is dense in } X. \]

It is also easy to see that \(\mathcal{A}\) is contained in the set of entire vectors for \(A\).

Proposition 2.3. Let \(U(.)\) be a strongly continuous group of operators on \(X\). Then there exists an injective operator \(C\) with dense range such that \(W(t) = CU(t), t \in R\), extends to an entire \(C\)-group \((W(z))_{z \in C}\).

Proof. Define \(T(t)x = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x^2}{t}} U(s)xds, t > 0; x \in X\). Since it is well-known that \(T(.)\) is a strongly continuous analytic semigroup, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that

\[ C = T(1) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{s^2}{1}} U(s)ds \]

is a bounded injective operator with dense range. Moreover \(C\) commutes with \(U(.)\). We define further \(W(t) = CU(t), t \in R\). We have

\[ W(t)x = CU(t)x = U(t)Cx = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{s^2}{t}} U(s)U(t)xds = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(s-t)^2}{1}} U(s)xds, x \in X. \]

It is clear from this representation that we may extend \((W(t))_{t \in R}\) to \((W(z))_{z \in C}\) through

\[ W(z)x = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(s-z)^2}{1}} U(s)xds, z \in C, x \in X. \]

One can easily see that \((W(z))_{z \in C}\) is an entire \(C\)-group.

Definition 2.4. An entire \(C\)-group \(U(.)\) such that \(W(t) = CU(t), t \in R\), is called a \(C\)-entire regularization of \(U(.)\).

Remark. (i) We mention that in [4] deLaubenfels established the existence of an entire \(C\)-regularization for uniformly bounded groups using a certain functional calculus.
(ii) The regularization process works as well if instead we consider strongly continuous cosine functions. In that case the regularizing operator is given by (2.1) with $U(t)$ replaced by the cosine function. We do not detail that here.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let $U(.)$ and $V(.)$ be two commuting strongly continuous groups of operators in $X$. Then there exists an injective operator $C$ with dense range such that

$$W(t) = CU(t) \text{ and } S(t) = CV(t), t \in \mathbb{R},$$

extend to entire $C$-groups.

Proof. Let

$$C_1 x = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4}} U(s)xds, \quad C_2 x = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4}} V(s)xds, x \in X.$$

Then the operator $C = C_1 C_2$ has all the required properties. □

**Theorem 2.6.** Let $U(.)$ be a strongly continuous group in $X$ and $W(.)$ an entire $C$-regularization of $U(.)$. Then the analytic generator $B$ of $U(.)$ has the representation

$$B = C^{-1}W(-i).$$

Proof. We first note that the operator $C^{-1}W(-i)$ is closed. Moreover, from

$$U(t) = C^{-1}W(t), t \in \mathbb{R},$$

we obtain

$$Bx = C^{-1}W(-i)x, \quad x \in D,$$

where $D$ is defined by (1.2).

In fact, for $x \in D$, it follows from the definition of $D$ that the mapping $t \mapsto U(t)x$ has an entire extension, namely $F(iz)$; moreover, $W(z)x = CF_2(iz)$ so that $x \in D(C^{-1}W(z))$ for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, $D \subset D(C^{-1}W(z))$. This fact may also be deduced from [5, Proposition 7.7(3) and Theorem 7.8].

Since $D$ is a core for $B$ it follows that $B \subset C^{-1}W(-i)$. In order to prove (2.2), it suffices to prove that $D$ is a core for $C^{-1}W(-i)$.

Let $x \in D(C^{-1}W(-i))$. By (2.3) we have

$$CW(-i + t)x = W(t)W(-i)x = CU(t)W(-i)x, t \in \mathbb{R},$$

i.e.

$$W(-i + t)x = U(t)W(-i)x, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$
Then \( x_n \in \mathcal{D} \) and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x \). We have by (2.4)

\[
W(-i)x_n = \sqrt{\frac{n}{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-nt^2} W(-i)U(t)x dt
\]

\[
= \sqrt{\frac{n}{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-nt^2} C^{-1}W(-i)W(t)x dt
\]

\[
= \sqrt{\frac{n}{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-nt^2} W(-i + t)x dt
\]

\[
= C(\sqrt{\frac{n}{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-nt^2} U(t)y dt).
\]

Consequently, \( W(-i)x_n \in R(C) \) and

\[
\lim_n C^{-1}W(-i)x_n = \lim_n \sqrt{\frac{n}{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-nt^2} U(t)y dt = y = C^{-1}W(-i)x.
\]

Hence \( \mathcal{D} \) is a core for \( C^{-1}W(-i) \) and this completes the proof. \( \square \)

Remark. (i) By the same method, we can prove that \( B_\alpha = C^{-1}W(-i\alpha), \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \).

(ii) If \( x \in \mathcal{D} \) and \( B \) is the analytic generator of the strongly continuous group with infinitesimal generator \( G \), then

\[
Bx = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^n}{n!} G^n x.
\]

In fact, for \( x \in \mathcal{D} \), \( W(t)x = CU(t)x \), \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), has an entire extension and since \( \mathcal{D} \subset D(C^{-1}W(t)) \), we may write

\[
U(t)x = C^{-1}W(t)x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t)^n}{n!} G^n x.
\]

It then suffices to write this formula for \( z \in \mathbb{C} \) and substitute \(-i\) for \( z \).

**Proposition 2.7.** Let \( U(.) \) and \( V(.) \) be two commuting strongly continuous groups with analytic generators \( A \) and \( B \). Then \( A + B \) and \( AB \) are closable.

Remark. By Theorem 2.5 there is an injective operator \( C \in \mathcal{L}(X) \) defining two \( C \)-entire regularizations \( W(.) \) and \( S(.) \) of \( U(.) \) and \( V(.) \), respectively. Then by the above theorem

\[
A = C^{-1}W(-i), \quad B = C^{-1}S(-i).
\]

It follows that

\[
A + B = C^{-1}W(-i) + C^{-1}S(-i) \subset C^{-1}(W(-i) + S(-i)), \tag{2.5}
\]

\[
AB = C^{-1}W(-i)C^{-1}S(-i) \subset C^{-2}W(-i)S(-i) \tag{2.6}
\]

and this yields the result. \( \square \)

As an application of the above closability result, we give a new proof of the following result obtained by M. Uiterdijk [9, Theorem 3.4.12].

**Theorem 2.8.** Let \( U(.) \) and \( V(.) \) be two commuting strongly continuous groups with analytic generators \( A \) and \( B \) respectively. Then \( AB \) is the analytic generator of the group \( U(.)V(.) \).
Proof. Using the same notations as in the proof of the foregoing proposition, we note that \( A = C^{-1}W(-i) \), \( B = C^{-1}S(-i) \) and that the operator \( K = C^{-2}W(-i)S(-i) \) is the analytic generator of the group \( U(.)V(.) \). Moreover, \( AB \) is closable and \( (2, 6) \) holds. For \( x \in X \) and \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), define

\[
x_n = \frac{n^2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-n^2(t^2+s^2)}U(t)V(s)xdsdt.
\]

Then since \( x_n \) is an entire vector for the group \( U(.)V(.) \), \( x_n \in D(K) \); it is furthermore clear that \( \lim_n x_n = x \).

We shall prove that \( x_n \in D(AB) \). In fact, we have

\[
S(-i)x_n = \frac{n^2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-n^2s^2}S(-i)V(s) \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-n^2i^2}U(t)xdt \right) ds
\]

\[
= \frac{n^2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-n^2s^2}S(-i+s) \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-n^2i^2}U(t)xdt \right) ds
\]

\[
= \frac{n^2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-n^2(i+s)^2}S(u) \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-n^2i^2}U(t)xdt \right) du
\]

\[
= C \left[ \frac{n^2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-n^2(i+s)^2}V(u) \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-n^2i^2}U(t)xdt \right) du \right].
\]

Consequently, \( S(-i)x_n \in R(C) \); that is, \( x_n \in D(B) \) and

\[
W(-i)Bx_n = \frac{n^2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-n^2(i+u)^2}V(u) \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-n^2i^2}W(-i)U(t)xdt \right) du
\]

\[
= \frac{n^2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-n^2(i+u)^2}V(u) \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-n^2i^2}W(-i+t)xdt \right) du
\]

\[
= \frac{n^2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-n^2(i+u)^2}V(u) \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-n^2(i+r)^2}W(r)xdr \right) du.
\]

\[
= C \left[ \frac{n^2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-n^2(i+u)^2}V(u) \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-n^2(i+r)^2}U(r)xdr \right) du \right].
\]

It follows that \( Bx_n \in D(A) \) and

\[
ABx_n = \frac{n^2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-n^2(i+u)^2+(i+r)^2}U(r)V(u)xdrdu
\]

\[
= Kx_n.
\]

Suppose finally that \( x \in D(K) \). Then we have

\[
W(-i)S(-i)x_n = \frac{n^2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-n^2(t^2+s^2)}U(t)V(s)W(-i)S(-i)xdsdt
\]

\[
= C^2 \left[ \frac{n^2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-n^2(t^2+s^2)}U(t)V(s)Kxdsdt \right].
\]

It follows that

\[
ABx_n = Kx_n = \frac{n^2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-n^2(t^2+s^2)}U(t)V(s)Kxdsdt.
\]

Hence \( \lim_n ABx_n = Kx \) and the proof is complete.
Remark. To justify the change of variable used in the proof of Theorem 2.8, we note that
\[ z \mapsto \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-n^2 s^2} W(s+z) ds \] and
\[ z \mapsto \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-n^2 (s-z)^2} W(s) ds \] are two entire functions which coincide when \( z \in \mathbb{R} \).

As a direct consequence of Lemma 1.4, we have the following result of Prüss and Sohr [8]:

**Corollary 2.9.** Let \( X \) be a UMD space, \( A \in BIP(X,\omega_A) \), \( B \in BIP(X,\omega_B) \). Assume that \( A \) and \( B \) are resolvent commuting; that is, \( (\lambda - A)^{-1}(\mu - B)^{-1} = (\mu - B)^{-1}(\lambda - A)^{-1} \) for \( \lambda \in \rho(A), \mu \in \rho(B) \) and \( \omega_A + \omega_B < \pi \). Then \( AB \) is closable and \( AB \in BIP(X,\omega_A + \omega_B) \).
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