

ON Δ -GOOD MODULE CATEGORIES WITHOUT SHORT CYCLES

BANGMING DENG AND BIN ZHU

(Communicated by Ken Goodearl)

To our teacher Shaoxue Liu on the occasion of his 70th birthday

ABSTRACT. Let A be a quasi-hereditary algebra, and $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ the Δ -good module category consisting of A -modules which have a filtration by standard modules. An indecomposable module M in $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ is said to be on a short cycle in $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ if there exist an indecomposable module N in $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ and a chain of two nonzero noninvertible maps $M \rightarrow N \rightarrow M$. It is shown that two indecomposable modules in $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ are isomorphic if they are not on short cycles in $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ and have the same composition factors. Moreover, if there is no short cycle in $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$, we show that $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ is finite, that is, there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposables in $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$. This is an analogue to a result in a complete module category proved by Happel and Liu.

INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let A be an artin algebra over a commutative artin ring R , $\text{mod } A$ the category of finitely generated left A -modules. A cycle in $\text{mod } A$ is a sequence $X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_n = X_0$ of nonzero noninvertible maps between indecomposable A -modules. Such a cycle is said to be short if $n = 2$. Cycles in $\text{mod } A$ have been widely studied (see, for example, [8], [1], [11], [6], [5]). In particular, in [5] it is proved that A is of finite type if $\text{mod } A$ contains no short cycles.

In the study of a quasi-hereditary algebra A , instead of the complete module category $\text{mod } A$, one is mainly interested in the category $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ consisting of A -modules which have a filtration by standard modules. It is proved by Ringel in [9] that $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ has almost split sequences. Thus the usual techniques of representation theory can be adapted. In this paper we consider the notion of a short cycle in $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$, i.e. a chain of nonzero noninvertible maps $M \rightarrow N \rightarrow M$ with M and N indecomposable in $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$. We will show that an analogous result to the one of Happel and Liu in [5] holds for $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$, namely, if $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ contains no short cycles, then $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ is finite.

We recall the definition of a quasi-hereditary algebra. Let A be an artin algebra. Given a class Θ of A -modules, we denote by $\mathcal{F}(\Theta)$ the full subcategory of all A -modules which have a Θ -filtration, that is, a filtration $0 = M_t \subset M_{t-1} \subset \cdots \subset M_1 \subset M_0 = M$ such that each factor M_{i-1}/M_i is isomorphic to one object in Θ

Received by the editors September 21, 1998 and, in revised form, March 31, 1999.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 16G10, 16G60.

for $1 \leq i \leq t$. The modules in $\mathcal{F}(\Theta)$ are called Θ -good modules, and the category $\mathcal{F}(\Theta)$ is called the Θ -good module category.

Let $E(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$, be a complete set of simple A -modules, where Λ is a finite partially ordered set. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, let $P(\lambda)$ (or $P_A(\lambda)$) be a projective cover of $E(\lambda)$ and denote by $\Delta(\lambda)$ the maximal factor module of $P(\lambda)$ with composition factors of the form $E(\mu)$, $\mu \leq \lambda$. Dually, let $Q(\lambda)$ (or $Q_A(\lambda)$) be an injective hull of $E(\lambda)$ and denote by $\nabla(\lambda)$ the maximal submodule of $Q(\lambda)$ with the composition factors of the form $E(\mu)$, $\mu \leq \lambda$. Let Δ (respectively, ∇) be the full subcategory consisting of all $\Delta(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ (respectively, all $\nabla(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$). We call modules in Δ standard modules and ones in ∇ costandard modules.

The algebra A , or better the pair (A, Λ) , is called quasi-hereditary if for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ we have

- (i) $\text{End}_A(\Delta(\lambda))$ is a division ring;
- (ii) $P(\lambda) \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$, and moreover, $P(\lambda)$ has a Δ -filtration with factors $\Delta(\mu)$ for $\mu \geq \lambda$ in which $\Delta(\lambda)$ occurs exactly once.

In case A is quasi-hereditary, the poset Λ is called the weight poset of A .

Now we review some basic facts from [9] which will be needed later on. First, the Δ -good module category $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ of a quasi-hereditary algebra A admits the following description:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\Delta) &= \{X \in \text{mod } A \mid \text{Ext}_A^1(X, \nabla) = 0\} \\ &= \{X \in \text{mod } A \mid \text{Ext}_A^i(X, \nabla) = 0 \text{ for all } i \geq 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Dually, one has that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\nabla) &= \{Y \in \text{mod } A \mid \text{Ext}_A^1(\Delta, Y) = 0\} \\ &= \{Y \in \text{mod } A \mid \text{Ext}_A^i(\Delta, Y) = 0 \text{ for all } i \geq 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ is closed under kernels of surjective maps, and $\mathcal{F}(\nabla)$ is closed under cokernels of injective maps.

Further, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, there exist an indecomposable module $T(\lambda)$ and exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \Delta(\lambda) \rightarrow T(\lambda) \rightarrow X(\lambda) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \rightarrow Y(\lambda) \rightarrow T(\lambda) \rightarrow \nabla(\lambda) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $X(\lambda)$ is filtered with factors $\Delta(\mu)$, $\mu < \lambda$, and $Y(\lambda)$ is filtered with factors $\nabla(\mu)$, $\mu < \lambda$. The module $T := \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} T(\lambda)$ is a generalized tilting and cotilting modules such that $\text{add } T = \mathcal{F}(\Delta) \cap \mathcal{F}(\nabla)$. Moreover, the Ext-projective modules in $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ are the projective A -modules and the Ext-injective modules in $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ are the A -modules in $\text{add } T$.

Throughout the paper A will denote a quasi-hereditary algebra, and $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ the Δ -good module category. For a non-projective A -module X in $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$, by $\tau_\Delta X$ we denote the left-hand term in an almost split sequence $0 \rightarrow \tau_\Delta X \rightarrow E \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$. (Note that by τ_A we will denote the usual Auslander-Reiten translate DTr in the complete module category $\text{mod } A$.) Finally, by $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}(\Delta)}$ we denote the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ (see the definition in [10]), and by $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}(\Delta)}^{(s)}$ the stable Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$, i.e. the full translation subquiver of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}(\Delta)}$ obtained by deleting all vertices of the form $\tau_\Delta^{-t} p$, or of the form $\tau_\Delta^t g$ ($t \geq 0$), where p is a projective vertex, and g an injective vertex.

1. Δ -GOOD MODULES DETERMINED BY THEIR COMPOSITION FACTORS

Let A be a quasi-hereditary algebra with a weight poset Λ and $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ the Δ -good module category of A . For every pair of A -modules X and Y , by $\langle X, Y \rangle$ we denote the length as an R -module of $\text{Hom}_A(X, Y)$.

Lemma 1.1. *Let M, N be two modules in $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) M and N have the same composition factors,
- (ii) $\langle P(\lambda), M \rangle = \langle P(\lambda), N \rangle$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$,
- (iii) $\langle M, I(\lambda) \rangle = \langle N, I(\lambda) \rangle$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$,
- (iv) $\langle M, T(\lambda) \rangle = \langle N, T(\lambda) \rangle$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$,
- (v) $\langle M, \nabla(\lambda) \rangle = \langle N, \nabla(\lambda) \rangle$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$,
- (vi) $\langle M, X \rangle = \langle N, X \rangle$ for all $X \in \mathcal{F}(\nabla)$.

Proof. (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) are well-known. (v) \Rightarrow (vi) \Rightarrow (iv) are clear.

(iv) \Rightarrow (iii): Suppose that $\langle M, T(\lambda) \rangle = \langle N, T(\lambda) \rangle$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Then $\langle M, T' \rangle = \langle N, T' \rangle$ for all $T' \in \text{add } T$. Since T is a cotilting module, for each $I(\lambda)$, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow T_s \xrightarrow{f_s} \cdots \longrightarrow T_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} T_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} I(\lambda) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $T_i \in \text{add } T$ for all $0 \leq i \leq s$. By applying $\text{Hom}_A(M, -)$ and $\text{Hom}_A(N, -)$, one obtains the exact sequences (since all $\ker f_i \in \mathcal{F}(\nabla)$ and $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}(\Delta), \mathcal{F}(\nabla)) = 0$):

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, T_s) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, T_1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, T_0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, I(\lambda)) \rightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(N, T_s) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}(N, T_1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(N, T_0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(N, I(\lambda)) \rightarrow 0.$$

This implies that $\langle M, I(\lambda) \rangle = \langle N, I(\lambda) \rangle$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (v) follows from the fact that the global dimension of a quasi-hereditary algebra is always finite. \square

Lemma 1.2. *Let M, N be modules in $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$. Assume that M and N have the same composition factors. Then, for each indecomposable module $X \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$,*

$$\langle X, M \rangle - \langle M, \tau_\Delta X \rangle = \langle X, N \rangle - \langle N, \tau_\Delta X \rangle.$$

(If X is projective, we simply set $\tau_\Delta X = 0$.)

Proof. By [1, Theorem 1.4], it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle X, M \rangle - \langle M, \tau_A X \rangle &= \langle P_0, M \rangle - \langle P_1, M \rangle \\ &= \langle P_0, N \rangle - \langle P_1, N \rangle = \langle X, N \rangle - \langle N, \tau_A X \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ is a minimal projective resolution of X .

Let $f : Y \rightarrow \tau_A X$ be a minimal right $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ -approximation of $\tau_A X$, which is surjective since $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ contains all projective modules. By [4, Proposition 9.10], one has $Y \cong \tau_\Delta X \oplus T_X$ for some $T_X \in \text{add } T$. From Wakamatsu's Lemma [12] it follows that $K := \ker f$ is in $\mathcal{F}(\nabla)$. By applying $\text{Hom}_A(M, -)$ and $\text{Hom}_A(N, -)$ to the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K = \ker f \rightarrow Y \xrightarrow{f} \tau_A X \rightarrow 0$, we obtain the following exact sequences:

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, K) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, Y) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, \tau_A X) \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(N, K) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(N, Y) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(N, \tau_A X) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus we get the following formulae:

$$\langle M, \tau_\Delta X \rangle + \langle M, T_X \rangle = \langle M, Y \rangle = \langle M, K \rangle + \langle M, \tau_A X \rangle,$$

$$\langle N, \tau_\Delta X \rangle + \langle N, T_X \rangle = \langle N, Y \rangle = \langle N, K \rangle + \langle N, \tau_A X \rangle.$$

By Lemma 1.1, it then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle X, M \rangle - \langle M, \tau_\Delta X \rangle &= \langle X, M \rangle - \langle M, \tau_A X \rangle - \langle M, K \rangle + \langle M, T_X \rangle \\ &= \langle X, N \rangle - \langle N, \tau_A X \rangle - \langle N, K \rangle + \langle N, T_X \rangle = \langle X, N \rangle - \langle N, \tau_\Delta X \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

Proposition 1.3. *Let M and N be indecomposable modules in $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$. Assume that M and N have the same composition factors and are not on short cycles in $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$. Then M and N are isomorphic.*

Proof. Note that $\langle M, \tau_\Delta M \rangle = 0$. Indeed, suppose $\langle M, \tau_\Delta M \rangle \neq 0$. There is a non-zero map $\phi : M \rightarrow \tau_\Delta M$. Consider the almost split sequence $0 \rightarrow \tau_\Delta M \xrightarrow{f} E \xrightarrow{g} M \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$. Since f is injective, it holds that $f\phi \neq 0$. Thus E contains an indecomposable summand E_i such that $\text{rad}(M, E_i) \neq 0$. This implies the existence of a chain of non-zero non-invertible maps $M \rightarrow E_i \rightarrow M$, i.e. M is on a short cycle. This gives a contradiction.

By Lemma 1.2 we have the formula

$$\langle X, M \rangle - \langle M, \tau_\Delta X \rangle = \langle X, N \rangle - \langle N, \tau_\Delta X \rangle$$

for each indecomposable modules X in $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$. Letting $X = M$, one obtains that $\langle M, M \rangle = \langle M, N \rangle - \langle N, \tau_\Delta M \rangle$. This implies that $\langle M, N \rangle \neq 0$, i.e. $\text{Hom}_A(M, N) \neq 0$.

Similarly, one has that $\text{Hom}_A(N, M) \neq 0$. If M and N are not isomorphic, there would be a short cycle $M \rightarrow N \rightarrow M$, which contradicts the assumption. Hence M and N are isomorphic. \square

Corollary 1.4. *Suppose that there is no short cycle in $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$. Then the indecomposable modules in $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ are determined by their composition factors.*

Corollary 1.5. *Suppose Γ is a preprojective component of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}(\Delta)}$. Then the modules in Γ are determined by their composition factors.*

Proof. Since Γ is preprojective, each module in Γ does not lie in a cycle in $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$. This implies particularly that all modules in Γ are not on short cycles in $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$. Hence, by Proposition 1.3, the modules in Γ are determined by their composition factors. \square

2. SUBSPACE CATEGORIES

In this section we recall from [8, 2.5] the notion of a subspace category and some basic results. Further, we review a result in [2] which interprets a Δ -good module category in terms of a subspace category. However, the formulation is more general. The whole section serves as a tool used in the next section.

Let \mathcal{K} be a Krull-Schmidt category over a commutative artin ring R , D a division ring over R which is finitely generated as an R -module, and $|\cdot| : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \text{mod } D$ an additive functor. We call the pair $(\mathcal{K}, |\cdot|)$ a vectorspace category and denote by $\check{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{K}, |\cdot|) =: \mathcal{X}$, and call it a subspace category of $(\mathcal{K}, |\cdot|)$, the category of all triples

$V = (V_0, V_\omega, \gamma_V)$, where $V_\omega \in \text{mod } D$, $V_0 \in \mathcal{K}$ and $\gamma_V : V_\omega \rightarrow |V_0|$ is a D -linear map. A morphism from $V \rightarrow V'$ by definition is a pair (f_0, f_ω) , where $f_0 : V_0 \rightarrow V'_0$ and $f_\omega : V_\omega \rightarrow V'_\omega$, such that $f_\omega \gamma_{V'} = \gamma_V |f_0|$.

Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{K})$ be the class of exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow U \xrightarrow{\mu} V \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} W \longrightarrow 0$$

in $\mathcal{X} = \check{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{K}, |\cdot|)$ such that the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow U_0 \xrightarrow{\mu_0} V_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_0} W_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

is split exact in \mathcal{K} and the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow U_\omega \xrightarrow{\mu_\omega} V_\omega \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_\omega} W_\omega \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact in $\text{mod } D$. It is easy to see that $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{K}))$ is an exact category (see, for example, [4, Chapter 9]). Then, for every pair of objects V, W in \mathcal{X} , we can then form the abelian group $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{X}}^1(V, W)$ in a usual way. Clearly, each D -linear map $\delta : V_\omega \rightarrow |W_0|$ gives rise to an exact sequence in $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{K})$ as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & W_\omega & \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}} & W_\omega \oplus V_\omega & \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}} & V_\omega & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \gamma_W & & \downarrow \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_W & \delta \\ 0 & \gamma_V \end{bmatrix} & & \downarrow \gamma_V & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & |W_0| & \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}} & |W_0| \oplus |V_0| & \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}} & |V_0| & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

We denote the equivalence class of the exact sequence above by $\bar{\delta}$. It is easy to check that, for $\delta, \delta' : V_\omega \rightarrow |W_0|$, it holds that $\bar{\delta} = \bar{\delta}'$ if and only if $\delta - \delta' = |\beta_0| \gamma_V - \gamma_W \beta_\omega$ for some $\beta_0 : V_0 \rightarrow W_0$ in \mathcal{K} and some D -linear map $\beta_\omega : V_\omega \rightarrow W_\omega$. Thus, for each pair of objects V and W in \mathcal{X} , we obtain the following exact sequence:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(V, W) & \xrightarrow{\nu_1} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(V_0, W_0) \times \text{Hom}_D(V_\omega, W_\omega) & \xrightarrow{\nu_2} & \text{Hom}_D(V_\omega, |W_0|) \\ & & \xrightarrow{\nu_3} & & \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{K}}^1(V, W) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where ν_1 is the inclusion, ν_2 maps (β_0, β_ω) to $|\beta_0| \gamma_V - \gamma_W \beta_\omega$, and ν_3 maps δ to $\bar{\delta}$.

Now assume that \mathcal{K} is finite, i.e. there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects in \mathcal{K} . Given $V = (V_0, V_\omega, \gamma_V)$ in \mathcal{X} , we define its dimension vector to be $\underline{\dim}_{\mathcal{X}} V := (|V_0|, \dim_D V_\omega) \in G(\mathcal{K}) \times \mathbb{Z}$, where $G(\mathcal{K})$ is the free abelian group with basis the set of isomorphism classes, say $[X_1], [X_2], \dots, [X_n]$, of indecomposable objects in \mathcal{K} . In $G(\mathcal{K}) \times \mathbb{Z}$, by $e(\omega)$ we denote the additional basis vector $(0, 1)$. We define on $G(\mathcal{K}) \times \mathbb{Z}$ a bilinear form $\langle -, - \rangle_{\mathcal{X}}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [X_i], [X_j] \rangle_{\mathcal{X}} &= l_R(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(X_i, X_j)), \\ \langle [X_i], e(\omega) \rangle_{\mathcal{X}} &= 0, \\ \langle e(\omega), [X_i] \rangle_{\mathcal{X}} &= -l_R(|X_i|), \\ \langle e(\omega), e(\omega) \rangle_{\mathcal{X}} &= l_R(D). \end{aligned}$$

where l_R denotes the length as an R -module. The corresponding quadratic form will be denoted by $q_{\mathcal{X}}$ with $q_{\mathcal{X}}(x) = \langle x, x \rangle_{\mathcal{X}}$. Note that $q_{\mathcal{X}}$ is a quadratic form with integral coefficients. We identify $G(\mathcal{K}) \times \mathbb{Z}$ with \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} .

Proposition 2.1. *For every V, W in \mathcal{X} , it holds that*

$$\langle \underline{\dim}_{\mathcal{X}} V, \underline{\dim}_{\mathcal{X}} W \rangle_{\mathcal{X}} = l_R(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(V, W)) - l_R(\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{X}}^1(V, W)).$$

For the proof of this proposition we refer to [8, 2.5(3'')].

Now let A be a quasi-hereditary algebra with a weight poset Λ , and $\mathcal{F}(\Delta_A)$ (or simply $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$) the Δ -good module category of A . Suppose that $\omega \in \Lambda$ is a maximal element. Thus the standard module $\Delta_A(\omega)$ corresponding to ω is the indecomposable projective module $P(\omega) = Ae_\omega$, and its endomorphism algebra $\text{End}_A(P(\omega))$ is a division algebra, denoted by D . Let us denote by A_0 the factor algebra of A by the hereditary ideal $Ae_\omega A$. Then A_0 is automatically a quasi-hereditary algebra with the standard modules $\Delta_A(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \{\omega\}$. The projective module $P(\omega)$ defines an additive functor $\text{Ext}_A^1(-, P(\omega)) : (\mathcal{F}(\Delta_{A_0}))^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{mod } D$. Thus we obtain a vectorspace category $((\mathcal{F}(\Delta_{A_0}))^{\text{op}}, \text{Ext}_A^1(-, P(\omega)))$.

For each module $M \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow P(\omega)^m \xrightarrow{\alpha_M} M \xrightarrow{\pi_M} M_0 \longrightarrow 0,$$

where α_M denotes the canonical inclusion and where π_M is the canonical surjection from M onto the factor module $M_0 \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta_{A_0})$. Applying $\text{Hom}_A(-, P(\omega))$ to this sequence, we get the following long exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M_0, P(\omega)) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, P(\omega)) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(P(\omega)^m, P(\omega)) \\ \xrightarrow{\delta_M} \text{Ext}_A^1(M_0, P(\omega)) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_A^1(M, P(\omega)) \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

We define $\eta(M) = (M_0, \text{Hom}_A(P(\omega)^m, P(\omega)), \delta_M) \in \check{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{F}(\Delta_{A_0})^{\text{op}}, \text{Ext}_A^1(-, P(\omega)))$ since $\text{Hom}_A(P(\omega)^m, P(\omega)) \cong D^m$. For each $f \in \text{Hom}_A(N, M)$ we define $\eta(f) = (f_0, f_\omega)$ by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & P(\omega)^m & \xrightarrow{\alpha_M} & M & \xrightarrow{\pi_M} & M_0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \uparrow f'_\omega & & \uparrow f & & \uparrow f_0 & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & P(\omega)^n & \xrightarrow{\alpha_N} & N & \xrightarrow{\pi_N} & N_0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

(Here the existence of f'_ω follows from the fact $\text{Hom}_A(P(\omega), M_0) = 0$ and hence f'_ω is the restriction of f onto the submodule $P(\omega)^n$.) Put $f_\omega = \text{Hom}_A(f'_\omega, P(\omega))$. Then $\eta(f)$ is a morphism from $\eta(M)$ to $\eta(N)$ because we have the desired commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_A(P(\omega)^m, P(\omega)) & \xrightarrow{\delta_M} & \text{Ext}_A^1(M_0, P(\omega)) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow \text{Hom}_A(f'_\omega, P(\omega)) & & \downarrow \text{Ext}_A^1(f_0, P(\omega)) & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_A(P(\omega)^n, P(\omega)) & \xrightarrow{\delta_N} & \text{Ext}_A^1(N_0, P(\omega)) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

As a conclusion, we obtain a functor

$$\eta : \mathcal{F}(\Delta_A)^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \check{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{F}(\Delta_{A_0})^{\text{op}}, \text{Ext}_A^1(-, P(\omega))).$$

Proposition 2.2. *The functor η is full and dense such that the kernel of η (i.e. the ideal formed by the morphisms f with $\eta(f) = 0$) is contained in the radical of $\mathcal{F}(\Delta_A)^{\text{op}}$.*

We refer to [2] for the proof of the proposition (see also [7, 3.2]).

3. Δ -GOOD MODULE CATEGORIES WITHOUT SHORT CYCLES

This section is devoted to the proof of the fact that a Δ -good module category without short cycles is finite. We keep notation from Section 2.

Let A be a quasi-hereditary algebra with a weight poset Λ , $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ the Δ -good module category of A . Let ω be a maximal element of Λ and A_0 the factor algebra $A/Ae_\omega A$ of A .

From now on, we suppose that $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ contains no short cycles. Thus the Δ -good module category $\mathcal{F}(\Delta_{A_0})$ of A_0 does not contain short cycles either. Using an inductive argument on the cardinality of the weight poset Λ , we may suppose that $\mathcal{F}(\Delta_{A_0})$ is finite. For convenience, in the following we simply write \mathcal{K} for $\mathcal{F}(\Delta_{A_0})^{\text{op}}$, and \mathcal{X} for $\check{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{F}(\Delta_{A_0})^{\text{op}}, \text{Ext}_A^1(-, P(\omega)))$.

Proposition 3.1. (1) *Let V be indecomposable in \mathcal{X} . Then $\text{End}(V)$ is a division ring, and $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{X}}^1(V, V) = 0$; thus $q_{\mathcal{X}}(\underline{\dim}_{\mathcal{X}} V) = l_R(\text{End}(V))$.*

(2) *Let V and V' be indecomposable objects in \mathcal{X} . If $\underline{\dim}_{\mathcal{X}} V' = \underline{\dim}_{\mathcal{X}} V$, then $V \cong V'$.*

(3) *The quadratic form $q_{\mathcal{X}}$ is weakly positive.*

Proof. (1) By Proposition 2.2, there is a full and dense functor $\eta : \mathcal{F}(\Delta_A)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{F}(\Delta_{A_0})^{\text{op}}, \text{Ext}_A^1(-, P(\omega))) = \mathcal{X}$ such that the kernel of η is contained in the radical of $\mathcal{F}(\Delta_A)^{\text{op}}$. Thus that $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ contains no short cycles implies that \mathcal{X} contains no short cycles.

Observe that any non-zero noninvertible endomorphism f of V gives a short cycle $V \xrightarrow{f} V \xrightarrow{f} V$, and that any non-split exact sequence (in $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{K})$)

$$0 \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow W \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow 0$$

gives a short cycle $V \rightarrow W' \rightarrow V$, where W' is any indecomposable summand of W . This shows that $\text{End}(V)$ is a division ring and $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{X}}^1(V, V) = 0$. Thus, by Proposition 2.1, it holds that $q_{\mathcal{X}}(\underline{\dim}_{\mathcal{X}} V) = l_R(\text{End}(V))$.

(2) Take M, M' in $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ such that $\eta(M) \cong V$ and $\eta(M') \cong V'$. Then M and M' are indecomposable. By the construction of η , $\underline{\dim}_{\mathcal{X}} \eta(M) = \underline{\dim}_{\mathcal{X}} \eta(M')$ implies that M and M' have the same composition factors; thus they are isomorphic by Proposition 1.3. Hence V and V' are isomorphic.

(3) For each $0 < x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$, i.e. $x \neq 0$ and $x_i \geq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n+1$, we choose an object V in \mathcal{X} with $\underline{\dim}_{\mathcal{X}}(V) = x$ and smallest possible $l_R(\text{End}(V))$. Assume that $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s V(i)$ with the $V(i)$ indecomposable. Then by (1) we have that $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{X}}^1(V(i), V(i)) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq s$, and by [8, Lemma 1. (2.3)] we have that $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{X}}^1(V(i), V(j)) = 0$ for all $i \neq j$. Thus

$$q_{\mathcal{X}}(x) = q_{\mathcal{X}}(\underline{\dim}_{\mathcal{X}} V) = l_R(\text{End}(V)) > 0,$$

that is, $q_{\mathcal{X}}$ is weakly positive. \square

Corollary 3.2. (1) *The correspondence $M \mapsto \underline{\dim}_{\mathcal{X}} \eta(M)$ induces an injection from the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposables in $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ to the set of positive vectors in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} such that $q_{\mathcal{X}}(x) > 0$. In particular, if A is a quasi-hereditary algebra over an algebraically closed field, there is a bijection between the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposables in $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ and the set of positive roots of $q_{\mathcal{X}}$.*

(2) *Each τ_{Δ} -orbit in $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}(\Delta)}$ contains only finitely many modules.*

Proof. (1) The first assertion is a direct implication of Proposition 3.1. The second assertion follows from a similar argument in [8, 2.4(9)].

(2) Let M be an indecomposable non-projective module in $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$. By [4, Corollary 9.6], we conclude that $\overline{\text{End}(\tau_\Delta M)} \cong \overline{\text{End}(M)}$, where $\overline{\text{End}(\tau_\Delta M)}$ denotes the factor algebra of $\text{End}(\tau_\Delta M)$ by the ideal formed by endomorphisms factoring through Ext-injectives, and $\overline{\text{End}(M)}$ the factor algebra of $\text{End}(M)$ by the ideal formed by endomorphisms factoring through Ext-projectives. Since both $\text{End}(\tau_\Delta M)$ and $\text{End}(M)$ are division rings ($\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ contains no short cycles), we infer that $\text{End}(\tau_\Delta M) \cong \overline{\text{End}(\tau_\Delta M)} \cong \overline{\text{End}(M)} \cong \text{End}(M)$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} q_{\mathcal{X}}(\underline{\dim}_{\mathcal{X}} \eta(M)) &= l_R(\text{End}(\eta(M))) = l_R(\text{End}(M)) \\ &= l_R(\text{End}(\tau_\Delta M)) = l_R(\text{End}(\eta(\tau_\Delta M))) = q_{\mathcal{X}}(\underline{\dim}_{\mathcal{X}} \eta(\tau_\Delta M)). \end{aligned}$$

For each $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, by applying an argument in [8, 1.1(2)], one can easily see that there are only finitely many positive vectors in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} with $q_{\mathcal{X}}(x) = t$. This together with (1) shows that each τ_Δ -orbit in $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}(\Delta)}$ contains only finitely many modules. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Assume that $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ contains no short cycles. Then $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ is finite.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ is infinite. Since, by Corollary 3.2, each τ_Δ -orbit in $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}(\Delta)}$ contains only finitely many modules, we infer that $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}(\Delta)}$ has infinitely many τ_Δ -orbits. By [10, Sect. 3] and using a similar argument in [5, Lemma 3], one can see that $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}(\Delta)}^{(s)}$ has an infinite stable component, say Γ . Then it is clear that Γ contains only τ_Δ -periodic modules. By [10, Sect. 4], Γ is a stable tube. Let $r \geq 1$ be the rank of Γ and M a module in Γ with quasi-length $r+1$. Then there are two sectional paths in Γ (thus also sectional paths in $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}(\Delta)}$):

$$M_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} M_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow M_r \xrightarrow{f_r} M_{r+1} = M,$$

$$M = N_{r+1} \xrightarrow{g_1} N_r \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow N_2 \xrightarrow{g_r} N_1$$

such that M_i and N_i have quasi-length i for all $1 \leq i \leq r+1$. Further, we have that $M_1 = \tau_\Delta^{r+1} N_1 = N_1$. Since none of M_i and N_i is projective, we have, by the proposition in Section 2 in [10], that $f_r \cdots f_1 \neq 0$ and $g_r \cdots g_1 \neq 0$. This gives a short cycle $M_1 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N_1 = M_1$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ is finite. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first author is grateful to the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for support, and the second author to the Volkswagen Foundation for support. Both authors would like to thank C.M. Ringel for his hospitality during their stay in Bielefeld.

REFERENCES

- [1] M.Auslander and I.Reiten, Modules determined by their composition factors, Illinois Journal of Mathematics, 29(2), 1985, 280–301. MR **86i**:16032
- [2] B.M.Deng and C.C.Xi, On Δ -good module categories of quasi-hereditary algebras, Chin. Ann. of Math., 18B(4), 1997, 467–480. MR **99b**:16015
- [3] V.Dlab and C.M.Ringel, The module theoretical approach to quasi-hereditary algebras, LMS Lecture Notes Series 168, "Representations of algebras and related topics", ed. H. Tachikawa and S.Brenner, 1992, 200–224.
- [4] P.Gabriel and A.V.Roiter, Representations of finite-dimensional algebras, Encyclopaedia of Math. Sci. Vol.73, Algebra VIII (1992). MR **94h**:16001b

- [5] D.Happel and S.Liu, Module categories without short cycles are of finite type, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 120(2), 1994, 371–375. MR **94d**:16010
- [6] I.Reiten, A.Skowroński, and S.O.Smalø, Short chains and short cycles of modules, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 117(2), 1993, 343–354. MR **93d**:16013
- [7] C.M.Ringel, Report on the Brauer–Thrall conjectures, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. 831 (1980), 104–136. MR **82j**:16055
- [8] C.M.Ringel, Tame algebras and integral quadratic forms, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. 1099, 1984. MR **87f**:16027
- [9] C.M.Ringel, The category of modules with good filtrations over a quasi–hereditary algebra has almost split sequences, Math. Zeit., 208(1991), 209–223. MR **93c**:16010
- [10] C.M.Ringel, The category of good modules over a quasi–hereditary algebra, Proc. of the sixth inter. conf. on representation of algebras, Carleton–Ottawa Math. Lecture Note Series, No. 14, 1992. MR **94b**:16020
- [11] A.Skowroński, Cycles in module categories, Proc. CMS Annual Seminar/Nato Advanced Research Workshop (Ottawa, 1992), 309–345. MR **96a**:16010
- [12] T.Wakamatsu, Stable equivalence of self–injective algebras and a generalization of tilting modules, J. Algebra, 134(1990), 298–325.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BEIJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY, 100875 BEIJING, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: dengbm@bnu.edu.cn

Current address, Bin Zhu: Department of Mathematics, Tsinghua University, 100084 Beijing, People's Republic of China

E-mail address: bzhu@math.tsinghua.edu.cn