PROCEEDINGS OF THE
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
Volume 129, Number 1, Pages 89–95
S 0002-9939(00)05860-3
Article electronically published on August 17, 2000

THE BLOW-UP FOR WEAKLY COUPLED REACTION-DIFFUSION SYSTEMS

LIWEN WANG

(Communicated by David S. Tartakoff)

Abstract. In this paper we consider a weakly coupled parabolic system with nonnegative exponents in the forcing functions. We find the conditions which result in blow-up in finite time. Also, we obtain the blow-up rate.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following system:

$$u_t - \Delta u = u^{p_1} v^{p_2} \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0, \tag{1.1}$$

$$v_t - \Delta v = u^{p_3} v^{p_4} \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0, \tag{1.2}$$

with the initial and boundary conditions

$$u(x,0) = u_0(x), v(x,0) = v_0(x), \tag{1.3}$$

$$u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,\ v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \tag{1.4}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in R^N. p_i for $i = 1,2,3,4$, are nonnegative real numbers. We assume that $p_2 - p_4 + 1 \geq p_3 - p_1 + 1 > 0$.

The study of (1.1), (1.2) is of great interest due to its application. The above models arise in the chemical reaction processes. The density and temperature are governed by a coupled system of reaction diffusion equations in the form of (1.1), (1.2).

In the past years, several authors have studied such a problem. Galaktionov, Kurdyumov and Samarskii obtained the first blow-up results for the semilinear and quasilinear systems of type (1.1), (1.2) in [6], [7]; in particular they established a sufficient condition of global blow-up for general quasilinear systems. Deng [1] studied the blow-up rate for systems like (1.1), (1.2) with $p_1 = p_4 = 0$.

In [13], [14] the authors considered a similar system with different source terms

$$u_t - \Delta u = u f_1(v) \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0,$$
$$v_t - \Delta v = v f_2(u) \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0,$$

with initial boundary condition. This system can be applied to the special case of (1.1), (1.2) with $p_1 = p_4 = 1$ and $1 < p_2, p_3 < 2$. The authors have proved

Received by the editors March 7, 1999.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35K55, 35K57, 35K40.
Key words and phrases. Blow-up, weakly coupled reaction-diffusion system.

the existence of a blow-up solution and blow-up rate in the case of a single-point blow-up for the initial boundary value problem.

Some early results can also be seen in [4], [5], [11]. In [8], [10], the authors considered the blow-up problem of linear equation with nonlinear boundary condition, and obtained the blow-up rate.

My motivation to study (1.1), (1.2) comes from the results of [3] (in [2] Escobedo and Herrero considered the special case $p_1 = p_4 = 0$). The authors considered this system with the Cauchy problem and obtained Fujita-type global existence and global nonexistence theorems for (1.1), (1.2) analogous to the classical result of Fujita and others for the initial-value problem for $u_t - \Delta u = u^p$, $u(x,0) = u_0(x) \geq 0$. Similar results can be seen in [12].

In this paper we find the necessary and sufficient conditions which result in blow-up and obtain some results about the blow-up rate for (1.1), (1.2).

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2 we prove blow-up and global existence results and in section 3 we present the blow-up rate results.

2. Blow-up and global existence

In this section we consider the system (1.1), (1.2) with the initial and boundary conditions

$$u(x,0) = u_0(x),\ v(x,0) = v_0(x), \tag{2.1}$$

$$u|_{\partial\Omega} = 1, v|_{\partial\Omega} = 1 \tag{2.2}$$

where $u_0(x)$ and $v_0(x)$ are nonnegative functions.

Initially we develop a lemma which will be needed to prove our main results.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume that $C_0 u_0(x) \geq v_0(x)^{\gamma_0}$, where $\gamma_0 = \frac{p_2-p_4+1}{p_3-p_1+1}$ and $C_0 \geq (\gamma_0)^{\frac{1}{p_3-p_1+1}}$. Let (u,v) be a nonnegative solution of the system (1.1), (1.2) with (2.1), (2.2). Then we have*

$$C_0 u(x,t) \geq v(x,t)^{\gamma_0}. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. Let $J = u^{p_3-p_1+1} - Cv^{p_2-p_4+1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} J_t - \Delta J = {} & [(p_3 - p_1 + 1) - C(p_2 - p_4 + 1)]u^{p_3} v^{p_2} \\ & - (p_3 - p_1 + 1)(p_3 - p_1) u^{p_3-p_1-1} |\nabla u|^2 \\ & + C(p_2 - p_4 + 1)(p_2 - p_4) v^{p_2-p_4-1} |\nabla v|^2. \end{aligned}$$

If we let $0 < C < \frac{p_3-p_1+1}{p_2-p_4+1}$, we have

$$[(p_3 - p_1 + 1) - C(p_2 - p_4 + 1)]u^{p_3} v^{p_2} \geq 0.$$

Using the definition of J, we have

$$(p_3 - p_1 + 1)u^{p_3-p_1} \nabla u = \nabla J + C(p_2 - p_4 + 1)v^{p_2-p_4} |\nabla u|^2 = \nabla v;$$

squaring both sides, we have

$$|\nabla u|^2 = [\tfrac{C(p_2-p_4+1)}{p_3-p_1+1}]^2 v^{2(p_2-p_4)} u^{-2(p_3-p_1)} |\nabla v|^2 + b_1 \nabla J,$$

where b_1 is a bounded function. Since

$$Cv^{p_2-p_4+1} = u^{p_3-p_1+1} - J,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}&(p_3-p_1+1)(p_3-p_1)u^{p_3-p_1-1}|\nabla u|^2\\&\quad=\frac{(p_3-p_1)(p_2-p_4+1)^2C}{(p_3-p_1+1)}v^{p_2-p_4-1}|\nabla v|^2+b_2J\\&\quad\le C(p_2-p_4+1)(p_2-p_4)v^{p_2-p_4-1}|\nabla v|^2+b_2J,\end{aligned}$$

where b_2 is a bounded function. This proves

$$J_t-\Delta J-b_1\nabla J-b_2J\ge 0.$$

Letting $C\le(C_0)^{p_3-p_1+1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}J|_{\partial\Omega}&\ge 0,\\ J|_{t=0}&\ge 0.\end{aligned}$$

By the maximum principle, we have

$$J(x,t)\ge 0\quad\text{for } t>0 \text{ and } x\in\Omega.$$

This means $u^{p_3-p_1+1}\ge Cv^{p_2-p_4+1}$. This is

$$C_0u(x,t)\ge v(x,t)^{\gamma_0}.$$

Therefore this completes the proof. □

Remark. The assumption $C_0u_0(x)\ge v_0(x)^{\gamma_0}$ is obviously true when $u_0(x)>0$ for $x\in\overline{\Omega}$. For in this case we can choose a large enough constant C_0 such that the assumption holds. If $u_0(x)\ge 0$, we can choose some $t_0>0$ such that $u(x,t_0)>0$ for $x\in\overline{\Omega}$.

We introduce some notation to develop our next results:

$$P=\begin{pmatrix}p_1&p_2\\p_3&p_4\end{pmatrix},\quad I=\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\0&1\end{pmatrix},$$

and $|P|=\det P$.

Theorem 2.2. *If $|P-I|\ge 0$, then the solution (u,v) of the system (1.1), (1.2) with (2.1), (2.2) is global for any bounded initial data.*

Proof. Using inequality (2.3) and the equation (1.1), we have

$$u_t-\Delta u\le C'u^{p_1}u^{p_2\gamma_0^{-1}}=C'u^{[-|P-I|/(p_2-p_4+1)]+1}.$$

Since $|P-I|\ge 0$, u is the lower solution of the following:

$$\begin{aligned}&w_t-\Delta w=w^{\lambda}\quad\text{for } t>0, x\in\Omega,\\&w|_{\partial\Omega}=0,\\&w|_{t=0}=u_0(x),\end{aligned}$$

where $0<\lambda=[-|P-I|/(p_2-p_4+1)]+1\le 1$, w exists globally, so u globally exists. By (2.3) so does v. □

Theorem 2.3. *If $|P-I|<0$, and $\Delta u_0+u_0^{p_1}v_0^{p_2}\ge 0,\ \ \Delta v_0+u_0^{p_3}v_0^{p_4}\ge 0$, then the solution of system (1.1), (1.2) with (2.1), (2.2) blows up.*

Proof. Using inequality (2.3) and the equation (1.2), we have

$$v_t - \Delta v \geq C v^{1+\alpha} \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0,$$

where $\alpha = \frac{-|P-I|}{p_3-p_1+1} > 0$ and C is a constant. It is easy to obtain that v blows up. Since (2.3), so does u. $\square$

Remark. The system (1.1), (1.2) with (1.3), (1.4) has the same nonglobal results as in section 2 by constructing a lower blow-up solution (w_1, w_2) in smaller domain $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} w_{1t} - \Delta w_1 &= w_1^{p_1} w_2^{p_2} \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega', \quad t > 0, \\ w_{2t} - \Delta w_2 &= w_1^{p_3} w_2^{p_4} \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega', \quad t > 0, \end{aligned}$$

with the initial and boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} w_1(x,0) = u(x,t_0), w_2(x,0) = v(x,t_0), \\ w_1|_{\partial\Omega'} = u(x,t_0)|_{\partial\Omega'} > 0, w_2|_{\partial\Omega'} = v(x,t_0)|_{\partial\Omega'} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is similar for global existence.

3. Blow-up rate

In this section, we assume that $p_i \geq 1$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ are nonnegative real numbers. Also $u_0(x)$ and $v_0(x)$ are nonnegative functions, increase in $(0, \frac{l}{2})$ and decrease in $(\frac{l}{2}, l)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that* $C_0 u_0(x) \geq v_0(x)^{\gamma_0}$, *where* $\gamma_0 = \frac{p_2-p_4+1}{p_3-p_1+1}$ *and* $C_0 \geq (\gamma_0)^{\frac{1}{p_3-p_1+1}}$. *Let* (u, v) *be a nonnegative solution of the system (1.1), (1.2). Then we have*

$$C_0(u(x,t)+1) \geq v(x,t)^{\gamma_0}. \tag{3.1}$$

The proof is similar to that of Lemma 2.1.

Theorem 3.2. *For the solutions* $u(x,t)$, $v(x,t)$ *of system (1.1), (1.2), let* $U(t) = \max_{x\in\Omega} u(x,t)$ *and* $V(t) = \max_{x\in\Omega} v(x,t)$. *Then the functions are Lipschitz continuous and*

$$U_t \leq U^{p_1} V^{p_2} \quad \textit{for } t > 0 \textit{ a.e.}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$V_t \leq U^{p_3} V^{p_4} \quad \textit{for } t > 0 \quad \textit{a.e.} \tag{3.3}$$

The proof is very similar to that of Theorem 4.5 in [5], hence we omit it.

Theorem 3.3. *For the solutions* $u(x,t)$, $v(x,t)$ *of system (1.1), (1.2), and initial functions* $u_0(x,t)$ *and* $v_0(x,t)$ *are symmetric for* $x = \frac{l}{2}$. *Further, set* $u_0(x)$ *and* $v_0(x)$ *to be increasing functions for* $0 < x < \frac{l}{2}$. *Also there exists a constant* $0 < \delta < 1$ *such that* $\Delta u_0 + (1-\delta) u_0^{p_1} v_0^{p_2} \geq 0$, $\Delta v_0 + (1-\delta) u_0^{p_3} v_0^{p_4} \geq 0$. *Then*

$$u_t \geq \delta u^{p_1} v^{p_2} \quad \textit{for } x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0, \tag{3.4}$$

$$v_t \geq \delta u^{p_3} v^{p_4} \quad \textit{for } x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. Since $u_0(x)$ and $v_0(x)$ increase for $0 < x < \frac{l}{2}$, we have that $u(x,t)$ and $v(x,t)$ increase for $0 < x < \frac{l}{2}$ (see [4, 5]). Letting $F(x,t) = u_t + \frac{\delta}{1-\delta}u_{xx}$ and $G(x,t) = v_t + \frac{\delta}{1-\delta}v_{xx}$, we have

$$F_t - F_{xx} \geq p_1 u^{p_1-1}v^{p_2}F + p_2 u^{p_1}v^{p_2-1}G,$$
$$G_t - G_{xx} \geq p_3 u^{p_3-1}v^{p_4}F + p_4 u^{p_3}v^{p_4-1}G,$$

and

$$F(x,t)|_{x=0,l} = 0, G(x,t)|_{x=0,l} = 0,$$

$$F(x,0) \geq 0, G(x,0) \geq 0.$$

By the maximum principle, one can see that both F and G are nonnegative in $(0,l) \times (0,T)$. From equation (1.1), (1.2), we have

$$\frac{1}{\delta}u_t \geq u^{p_1}v^{p_2},$$

$$\frac{1}{\delta}v_t \geq u^{p_3}v^{p_4}.$$

This completes the proof. □

Theorem 3.4. *If $|P-I| < 0$, $\Delta u_0 + (1-\delta)u_0^{p_1}v_0^{p_2} \geq 0$, $\Delta v_0 + (1-\delta)u_0^{p_3}v_0^{p_4} \geq 0$, $u(x,t)$ and $v(x,t)$ are the solutions of the system (1.1), (1.2), and T is the blowup time, then*

$$C_1(T-t)^{\alpha_1} \leq \max_{x\in\Omega} u(x,t), \tag{3.6}$$

$$\max_{x\in\Omega} v(x,t) \leq C_2(T-t)^{\alpha_2}, \tag{3.7}$$

where $\alpha_1 = \frac{p_2-p_4+1}{|P-I|}$, $\alpha_2 = \frac{p_3-p_1+1}{|P-I|}$ and C_i are positive constants.

Proof. Since $U(t) \to \infty$ as $t \to T$, there exists a t_0 such that $U(t) > 1$ for any $t > t_0$. Hence we have

$$2C_0U(t) \geq V(t)^{\gamma_0}. \tag{3.8}$$

Letting $(x(t),t)$ be the points at which $v(x,t)$ attains its maximum, for any $t_2 > t_1 > t_0$, we have

$$\frac{V(t_2)-V(t_1)}{t_2-t_1} \geq \frac{v(x(t_1),t_2)-v(x(t_1),t_1)}{t_2-t_1} = v_t(t_1) + o(1). \tag{3.9}$$

From (3.5), (3.8) and (3.9), we have

$$V_t \geq CV(t)^{1+\alpha}, \tag{3.10}$$

where $\alpha = \frac{-|P-I|}{p_3-p_1+1}$ and C is a constant. Integrating (3.10) from t to T yields

$$V(t) \leq C_2(T-t)^{\alpha_2}. \tag{3.11}$$

Combining (3.8) and (3.2), we have

$$U_t \leq CU(t)^{1+\alpha}, \tag{3.12}$$

where $\alpha = \frac{-|P-I|}{p_2-p_4+1}$ and C is a constant. Integrating (3.12) from t to T, we obtain

$$U(t) \geq C_1(T-t)^{\alpha_1}. \tag{3.13}$$

This completes the proof. □

Theorem 3.5. *Assume the conditions of Theorem 3.4 are true and*

$$\max_{x\in\Omega} u(x,t) \le C_3(T-t)^{\alpha_1}; \tag{3.14}$$

then

$$\max_{x\in\Omega} v(x,t) \ge C_4(T-t)^{\alpha_2}. \tag{3.15}$$

Proof. We claim that $V(t)(T-t)^{-\alpha_1}$ is bounded from below by a positive constant C_4. Otherwise, we assume that $\max\inf_{t\to T} V(t)(T-t)^{-\alpha_1} = 0$. Then there exist a sequence $\{t_k\} \in (0,T)$ with $t_k \to T$ and a sequence $\{\epsilon_k\}$ with $\epsilon_k \to 0$ such that

$$V(t)(T-t)^{-\alpha_1} \le \epsilon_k \quad \text{for any } k = 1,2,3,\cdots. \tag{3.16}$$

Now we choose a positive integer m such that $C_3(m+1)^{\alpha_2} \le \frac{C_1}{2}$. For such a choice of m, when t_k is close to T we can select a corresponding sequence $\{\tau_k\}$ such that $T-\tau_k = (m+1)(T-t_k)$. Now using (3.2), (3.14) and (3.11), we would obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
U(t_k) &\le U(\tau_k) + \int_{\tau_k}^{t_k} U^{p_1}(s)V^{p_2}(s)ds \\
&\le C_3(T-\tau_k)^{\alpha_1} + [C_3(T-t_k)^{\alpha_1}]^{p_1} V^{p_2}(t_k)(t_k-\tau_k) \\
&\le C_3(m+1)^{\alpha_1}(T-t_k)^{\alpha_2} + C_3^{p_2}(T-t_k)^{\alpha_1 p_2+1}V^{p_2}(t_k) \\
&\le \frac{C_1}{2}(T-t_k)^{\alpha_1} + C_3^{p_2}(T-t_k)^{\alpha_1 p_2+1-\alpha_1 p_1}\epsilon^{p_1} \\
&\le \frac{C_1}{2}(T-t_k)^{\alpha_1} + C_3^{p_2}(T-t_k)^{\alpha_1}\epsilon^{p_1},
\end{aligned}$$

if k is sufficiently large; this contradicts (3.13). Thus this completes our proof. □

Remark. Actually, the vector (α_1, α_2) satisfies

$$(P-I)\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank the referee for his helpful comments. The author also would like to thank Dr. Vatsala and Dr. Deng for their discussion and references.

References

[1] Deng, K., Blow-up rates for parabolic systems, Z. Angew Math. Phys., 46, 110-118 (1995).

[2] Escobedo, M. and Herrero, M. A., Boundedness and blowup for a semilinear reaction-diffusion system, J. Diff. Equ., 89, 176-202 (1991).

[3] Escobedo, M. and Levine, H.A., Critical blowup and global existence numbers for a weakly coupled system of reaction-differential equations, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 129, 47-100 (1995). MR **96d:**35063

[4] Friedman, A. and Giga, Y., A single point blow-up for solutions of semilinear parabolic systems, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sec. IA Math. 34, 65-79 (1987). MR **89b:**35066

[5] Friedman, A and Mcleod, B., Blow-up of positive solutions of semilinear heat equations, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 34, 425-447 (1985). MR **86j:**35089

[6] Galaktionov, V. A., Kurdyumov, S. P., and Samarskii, A. A., A parabolic system of quasilinear equations, I Differential Equations 21, 1049-1062 (1985).

[7] Galaktionov, V. A., Kurdyumov, S. P., and Samarskii, A. A., A parabolic system of quasilinear equations. II Differential Equations 19, 1558-1571 (1983).

[8] Hu, B. and Yin, H.-M., The profile near blowup time for the solution of the heat equation with a nonlinear boundary condition, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 346, 117-135 (1995). MR **95c:**35040

[9] Pao, *C.-V., Nonlinear Parabolic and Elliptic Equations* , Plenum Press, New York 1992.

[10] Rossi J., The blow-up rate for a system of heat equation with non-trivial coupling at the boundary, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. 20, 1-11 (1997). MR **97k:**35099

[11] Samarskii, A. A., Galaktionov, V. A., Kurdyumov, S. P., and Mikhailov, A. P., *Blow-up in Quasilinear Parabolic Equations*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1995. MR **96b:**35003

[12] Wang L., The blow-up for a semilinear parabolic system. Mathematica Applicata (complement), 104-106 (1995).

[13] Zhang, K., On the blow-up rate of solution of semilinear parabolic equations system, J. Math. Study 27, No. 2, 102-108(1994).

[14] Zhang, K., Blow-up phenomena in solutions of systems of semilinear parabolic equations, J. Math. Res. Exposition 15, No. 1, 83-90(1995). MR **96b:**35102

Department of Mathematics, University of Louisiana at Lafayette, Lafayette, Louisiana 70504

E-mail address: `lxw0340@usl.edu`

Current address: Department of Computer Science, University of Louisiana at Lafayette, Lafayette, Louisiana 70504

E-mail address: `lxw0340@usl.edu`