SPLITTING FOR SUBALGEBRAS OF TENSOR PRODUCTS

JOACHIM ZACHARIAS

(Communicated by David R. Larson)

Abstract. We prove splitting results for subalgebras of tensor products of operator algebras. In particular, any $C^*$-algebra $C$ s.t. $A \otimes 1 \subseteq C \subseteq A \otimes B$ is a tensor product $A \otimes B_0$ provided $A$ is simple and nuclear.

1. Introduction

In [GK96] Ge and Kadison showed that for a factor $M$ and von Neumann algebras $R$ and $N$ s.t. $M \otimes 1 \subseteq R \subseteq M \otimes N$ the algebra $R$ always splits, i.e. $R = M \otimes S$ for some von Neumann subalgebra $S \subseteq N$. Then Stratila and Zsido [SZ98] established a more general result: if $M$ is only a von Neumann algebra, then $R = M \otimes 1 \vee [R \cap Z_M \otimes N]$, i.e. $R$ splits iff it splits over the center of $M$. Both proofs use slice maps and approximations of states, respectively center valued conditional expectations by Dixmier type maps.

In this paper we remark that the Ge-Kadison theorem can be obtained easier using elementary maps. We then consider the $C^*$-algebra case. Let $A$, $B$ and $C$ be unital $C^*$-algebras s.t. $A \otimes 1 \subseteq C \subseteq A \otimes B$ where $\otimes$ denotes the minimal $C^*$-tensor product. Under the assumption that $A$ has Wassermann’s slice map property (S) which includes all nuclear $C^*$-algebras, we show that if $A$ is simple, then $C$ splits always into the tensor product $A \otimes B_0$ for some subalgebra $B_0 \subseteq B$. Thus the $C^*$-analogue of Ge and Kadison’s result holds in this case. If $A$ is not simple, the situation is more complicated. Easy examples show that an analogue of the Stratila-Zsido theorem is false in general but only holds for algebras which are continuous fields of simple $C^*$-algebras. If $A$ contains a unital abelian subalgebra with unique extensions of pure states, we give precise conditions for splitting and nonsplitting.

This paper replaces an earlier version where we only proved the splitting for $A$ simple. This has then been obtained by L. Zsido [Zs98] independently using Dixmier type maps.

2. Preliminaries

$A$ and $B$ will always denote unital $C^*$-algebras, $A \otimes B$ the minimal (spatial) tensor product and subalgebra will usually mean $C^*$-subalgebra. For any $\varphi \in A^*$, $\psi \in B^*$, we can define the (right and left) slice maps $R_\varphi : A \otimes B \to B$ and
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Let \( L_\psi : A \otimes B \to A \) by \( R_\varphi(a \otimes b) = \varphi(a)b \) and \( L_\psi(a \otimes b) = \psi(b)a \). They extend to families of bounded maps on \( A \otimes B \) which are both faithful families respectively because \( R_\varphi(x) = 0 \forall \varphi \in A^* \Rightarrow \varphi \otimes \psi(x) = 0 \forall \varphi, \psi \Leftrightarrow x = 0 \). For \( A_0 \subseteq A, B_0 \subseteq B \) subalgebras, the set
\[
F(A_0, B_0, A \otimes B) := \{ x \in A \otimes B \mid R_\varphi(x) \in B_0, L_\psi(x) \in A_0 \forall \varphi \in A^*, \psi \in B^* \}
\]
is called the Fubini product of \( A_0 \) and \( B_0 \) (we write just \( F(A_0, B_0) \), omitting the dependence on \( A \) and \( B \)). It always contains \( A_0 \otimes B_0 \) and in the von Neumann algebra setting, the slice map theorem of Tomiyama says that \( F(M_0, N_0, M \otimes N) := \{ x \in M \otimes N \mid R_\varphi(x) \in N_0, L_\psi(x) \in M_0 \forall \varphi \in M_* \text{ and } \psi \in N_* \} = N_0 \otimes M_0 \). Note that \( \{ R_\varphi \mid \varphi \in M_* \} \) and \( \{ L_\psi \mid \psi \in N_* \} \) are also faithful families on \( M \otimes N \). Hence a bounded linear map \( \Theta \) of \( M \otimes N \) into itself commuting with all left slice maps \( x \mapsto \Theta(x) \) is determined by its values on \( M \otimes 1 \). In particular, this holds if \( \Theta \) is a right slice map on \( M \otimes 1 \) (Ge-Kadison Lemma [GK96 F], [SZ98 3.4]).

A is said to have property (S) [Wa76, Wa78] if \( \{ R_\varphi \mid \varphi \in M_* \} \) and \( \{ L_\psi \mid \psi \in N_* \} \) are also faithful families on \( M \otimes N \). It is also known that \( A \) is exact iff \( F(A, J) = A \otimes J \) for any ideal in any \( C^* \)-algebra \( B \). One can easily see that (S) is invariant under Morita equivalence and hence passes to hereditary subalgebras. Apparently it is not known whether (S) passes to general subalgebras or to quotients as well. Note that the completely bounded approximation property passes to quotients (at least in the separable case). It is an open problem whether exactness and property (S) are equivalent (cf. [Ki94a] for discussion).

We need an approximation result from [An79]. Recall that a subalgebra \( D \subseteq A \) has the unique pure state extension property (PEP) if each pure state on \( D \) extends uniquely to a pure state on \( A \).

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \( A \) be a unital \( C^* \)-algebra and \( \omega \) a pure state on \( A \), \( (u_\lambda) \subseteq N_\omega^* N_\omega = N_\omega^* \cap N_\omega \) an approximate unit of this subalgebra s.t. \( \|u_\lambda\| = 1 \) for all \( \lambda \) and \( a_\lambda = 1 - u_\lambda \). Then for any \( x \in A \) we have \( \|a_\lambda x a_\lambda - \omega(x) a_\lambda^2\| \to 0 \). If \( D \subseteq A \) has the (PEP) and \( \omega \in P(D) \subseteq P(A) \), we can choose \( (a_\lambda) \subseteq D \).

**Proof.** By a result of Kadison, \( \ker \omega = N_\omega = N_\omega^* N_\omega \). Thus \( x-\omega(x)1 = x_1 + x_2^* \), \( x_i \in N_\omega \).

However, \( x_i u_\lambda \to x_i \) and therefore \( (1 - u_\lambda)(x - \omega(x))(1 - u_\lambda) \to 0 \).

Conversely, \( \|a_\lambda x a_\lambda - \omega(x) a_\lambda^2\| \to 0 \) for \( x \in A \) and some \( (a_\lambda) \subseteq A_+ \), \( \|a_\lambda\| = 1 \) and \( \omega(a_\lambda) = 1 \) implies \( 0 \leq \omega((a_\lambda - 1)^*(a_\lambda - 1)) = \omega(a_\lambda^2 a_\lambda - 1) \leq 0 \); thus \( 1 - a_\lambda \in N_\omega^* N_\omega \) and \( a_\lambda y a_\lambda \to 0 \) for \( y \in N_\omega \). Therefore \( (1 - a_\lambda) \) is an approximate unit of \( N_\omega^* N_\omega \). If \( D \) has the (PEP), then by [An79] (3.2) there is such a net \( (a_\lambda) \subseteq D \) which implies the second claim. \( \square \)

**Proposition 2.2.** For any \( x \in A \otimes B \) and \( \omega \) a pure state on \( A \) we have
\[
\|(a_\lambda \otimes 1)x(a_\lambda \otimes 1) - a_\lambda^2 \otimes R_\omega(x)\| \to 0,
\]
where \( (a_\lambda) \) is as in Lemma 2.1.

**Proof.** The assertion clearly holds for \( x \in A \otimes B \) and thus also for \( x \in A \otimes B \) because \( \|a_\lambda\| \leq 1 \) for each \( \lambda \in \Lambda \). \( \square \)
Now let $A$ be a simple unital $C^*$-algebra and let $M$ be a factor acting nondegenerately on the Hilbert space $H$. The following Lemma is a refinement of a result due to J. Cuntz \cite{Cu77}.

**Lemma 2.3.** (i) For any $a \in A_+$, $\|a\| = 1$ there are finitely many $y_i \in A$ s.t. $1 = \sum_i y_i a y_i^*$ and $\|\sum_i y_i y_i^*\| \leq 2$, i.e. $x \mapsto \sum_i y_i x y_i^*$ is completely positive of norm bounded by 2.

(ii) For any $a \in M_+$, $\|a\| = 1$ there is a family $(y_i) \subseteq M$ s.t. $1 = \sum_i y_i a y_i^*$ and $\|\sum_i y_i y_i^*\| \leq 2$, where both sums are to be understood in the strong topology, i.e. $x \mapsto \sum_i x y_i y_i^*$ is normal completely positive of norm bounded by 2.

**Proof.** (i): Let $[a]_\varepsilon$ denote the spectral projection onto the subspace where $a$ is bigger or equal to $\varepsilon$ (in the universal representation). Let $f_\varepsilon : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$ be defined by $f_\varepsilon(t) = t$ for $t \in (\varepsilon, 1]$, $f_\varepsilon(t) = 2(t - \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$ for $t \in (\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \varepsilon]$ and $f_\varepsilon(t) = 0$ otherwise. Let $\tilde{a} = f_1(a) a f_1(a) \in A_+$. By Kirchberg’s Weyl-von Neumann theorem \cite{Ki94b}, we obtain under the assumptions of Lemma 2.3.

For any state $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in A$ s.t. $1 = \sum_i x_i \tilde{a} x_i^*$.

(Completely positive maps of this form are sometimes called elementary. The normbound could be replaced by $1 + \varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Similar to the proof of Kirchberg’s Weyl-von Neumann theorem \cite{Ki94b}, we obtain under the assumptions of Lemma 2.3:

**Proposition 2.4.** (i) For any state $\varphi$ on $A$ there exists a net of unital elementary completely positive maps $\varphi_\lambda(x) = \sum_i a_i(\lambda) x a_i(\lambda)^*$, $a_i(\lambda) \in A$ s.t. $\varphi_\lambda(x) \to \varphi(x) 1$ for each $x \in A$.

(ii) For any state on $M$ there exists a net of unital elementary, hence normal completely positive maps $\varphi_\lambda(x) = \sum_i n_i(\lambda) x n_i(\lambda)^*$, $n_i(\lambda) \in M$ s.t. $\varphi_\lambda(x) \to \varphi(x) 1$ for each $x \in M$.

**Proof.** (i): Because finite convex combinations of pure states form a $\sigma(A^*, A)$-dense subset of the state space of $A$, it suffices to show the claim for pure states. For $\varphi$ a pure state, let $(a_\lambda) \subseteq A_+$ be as in Lemma 2.1. By Lemma 2.3 there is a net of elementary maps $\psi_\lambda(x) := \sum_i y_i(\lambda) x y_i(\lambda)^*$ s.t. $\|\psi_\lambda\| \leq 2$ and $\psi_\lambda(a_\lambda^2) = 1$. Now we put $a_i(\lambda) = y_i(\lambda) a_\lambda$ and define $\varphi_\lambda(x) := \sum_i a_i(\lambda) x a_i(\lambda)^*$. Then $\varphi_\lambda$ is completely positive and $\varphi_\lambda(1) = \psi_\lambda(a_\lambda^2) = 1$; hence $\|\varphi_\lambda\| = 1$. Suppose $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\|a_\lambda x a_\lambda - \varphi(x) a_\lambda^2\| < \varepsilon/2$. Then $\|\varphi_\lambda(x) - \varphi(x)\| < \varepsilon$ which concludes the proof.

(ii): The same argument.
Note that finite sums in (ii) can in general only approximate in the strong sense and not in norm as in (i) unless $M$ is for instance finite.

3. Splitting in tensor products

First we note that we can use Proposition 2.4 to give a simplified proof of Ge and Kadison’s theorem (at least of the approximation part):

**Theorem 3.1** ([GK96]). Let $M$ be a factor and $N$ von Neumann algebras s.t. $M \otimes 1 \subseteq R \subseteq M \otimes N$. Then $R = M \otimes S$ for some von Neumann subalgebra $S \subseteq N$.

**Proof.** As in [GK96] we use Tomiyama’s slice map theorem and only have to show that $R$ is invariant under right slice maps $1 \otimes R_\varphi$ for any normal state $\varphi \in M_\vee$. $1 \otimes S$ is then the range of these maps. By Proposition 2.4 there is a net of unital elementary completely positive maps $\varphi_\lambda(x) = \sum \mu_i n_i(\lambda) x \nu_i(\lambda)^*$ s.t. $\varphi_\lambda(x) \to \varphi(x)$ for any $x \in M$. Thus $R_\lambda(z) := \sum (n_i(\lambda) \otimes 1) z (\nu_i(\lambda) \otimes 1)^*$ is a net of unital, hence contractive normal c.p. maps on $M \otimes N$. Let $\Theta$ be any wp-limit point of $(R_\lambda)$ (which exists by compactness). Then $R\lambda(L_\psi \otimes 1) = (L_\psi \otimes 1) R_\lambda(x)$ for $x \in M \otimes N$, and taking $\sigma$-weak limit points on both sides implies $\Theta(L_\psi \otimes 1) = (L_\psi \otimes 1) \Theta$ for any $\psi \in N_\vee$. Thus by the Ge-Kadison Lemma, $\Theta$ is determined by its values on $M \otimes 1$, where it equals $1 \otimes R_\varphi$. Hence $\Theta = 1 \otimes R_\varphi$. But $R_\lambda(R) \subseteq R$ which implies $1 \otimes R_\varphi(R) \subseteq R$. \hfill \qed

The same argument works in the $C^*$-case (even easier), but we prefer to present it in a somewhat more general framework. Let $A$, $B$, $C$ be unital $C^*$-algebras s.t. $A \otimes 1 \subseteq C \subseteq A \otimes B$. For any pure state $\omega \in P(A)$, let $(a_\lambda) \subseteq A_+$ be as in Lemma 2.1. Then define the subset $B_\omega \subseteq B$ depending on $C$ by $B_\omega := \{ b \in B \mid \text{dist}(a_\lambda^2 \otimes b, C) \to 0 \}$.

**Proposition 3.2.** For any pure state $\omega \in P(A)$ we have:

(i) $B_\omega$ does not depend on the choice of the net $(a_\lambda)$ approximating $\omega$.

(ii) $B_\omega$ is a subalgebra of $B$.

(iii) $B_\omega = R_\omega(C)$.

**Proof.** (i): Let $(a_\lambda)$ and $(\tilde{a}_\mu)$ be two nets as in Lemma 2.1. Then we have $\|a_\lambda a_\mu^2 a_\lambda - a_\lambda^2\| \xrightarrow{\lambda \to \infty} 0$ for fixed $\mu$ and $\|\tilde{a}_\mu a_\lambda^2 \tilde{a}_\mu - \tilde{a}_\mu^2\| \xrightarrow{\mu \to \infty} 0$ for fixed $\lambda$. Because $x \mapsto (a_\lambda \otimes 1)x(a_\lambda \otimes 1)$ and $x \mapsto (\tilde{a}_\mu \otimes 1)x(\tilde{a}_\mu \otimes 1)$ are contractions on $A \otimes B$ and $a_\lambda \otimes 1, \tilde{a}_\mu \otimes 1 \in C$, dist$(a_\lambda^2 \otimes b, C) \to 0$ iff dist$(a_\lambda^2 \otimes b, C) \to 0$.

(ii): If $b_1, b_2 \in B_\omega$, then dist$(a_\lambda^2 \otimes b_1, C)$, dist$(a_\lambda^2 \otimes b_2, C) \to 0$. Therefore dist$(a_\lambda^2 \otimes b_1, C) \to 0$. But $(1 - a_\lambda^2)$ is still an approximate unit of $N_\omega^* N_\omega$ and thus $(a_\lambda^2)$ as in Lemma 2.1; hence $b_1 b_2 \in B_\omega$, using (i). It is clear that $B_\omega^* = B_\omega$.

(iii): For any $\omega \in P(A)$, Proposition 2.2 implies that $R_\omega(C) \subseteq B_\omega$. Conversely, take $b \in B_\omega$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists $c \in C$ s.t. $\|a_\lambda^2 \otimes b - c\| < \varepsilon$. Let $\lambda' > \lambda$ be s.t. $\|a_{\lambda'} x_{\lambda'} a_{\lambda'} - a_{\lambda'}^2\| < \varepsilon \|x_{\lambda'}\|^{-1}$ and $\|a_{\lambda'} \otimes 1)c(a_{\lambda'} \otimes 1) - a_{\lambda'}^2 \otimes R_\omega(c)\| < \varepsilon$. Then

$$\|b - R_\omega(c)\| = \|a_{\lambda'}^2 \otimes b - a_{\lambda'}^2 \otimes R_\omega(c)\|
\leq \|a_{\lambda'}^2 \otimes b - a_{\lambda'}^2 \otimes R_\omega(c)\| + \||a_{\lambda'} \otimes 1)c(a_{\lambda'} \otimes 1) - a_{\lambda'}^2 \otimes R_\omega(c)\| < 3\varepsilon.$$  

Because $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, we conclude $b \in R_\omega(C)$. \hfill \qed
The family \((B_\omega)\) is closely related to splitting or nonsplitting of \(C\). A necessary condition is of course that it is a constant family.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let \(A, B, C\) and the family \((B_\omega)\) be as above.

(i) If \(A\) is simple, then \(B_\omega = B_0\) for the subalgebra \(B_0\) defined by \(1 \otimes B_0 = 1 \otimes B \cap C\). In this case \(A \otimes B_0 \subseteq C \subseteq F(A, B_0)\) and thus \(C = A \otimes B_0\) whenever \(A\) has property \((S)\).

(ii) Suppose \(A\) contains a unital abelian subalgebra \(D \subseteq A\) with the \((PEP)\) and \(B_\omega = B_0\) for each \(\omega \in P(A)\) and some unital subalgebra \(B_0 \subseteq B\). Then \(A \otimes B_0 \subseteq C \subseteq F(A, B_0)\) and thus \(C = A \otimes B_0\) whenever \(A\) has property \((S)\).

**Proof.** (i): Let \(\omega \in P(A)\), \(A\) simple and \(b \in B_\omega\). Then \(\text{dist}(a^2 \otimes b, C) \to 0\) and by Lemma 2.3 there is a net of elementary maps \(\psi_\lambda(a) = \sum y_i(\lambda) a y_i(\lambda)^*\) s.t. \(\psi_\lambda(a^2) = 1\) and \(\|\psi_\lambda\| \leq 2\). Hence \(\sum (y_i(\lambda) \otimes 1)(a^2 \otimes b)(y_i(\lambda) \otimes 1)^* = 1 \otimes b\) and therefore \(\text{dist}(1 \otimes b, C) \leq 2 \text{dist}(a^2 \otimes b, C) \to 0\); hence \(1 \otimes b \in C\). However, that means \(1 \otimes b \in 1 \otimes B \cap C\), i.e. \(B_\omega \subseteq B_0\) and \(R_\varphi(C) \subseteq B_0\) for each \(\varphi \in \hat{A}\) by taking linear combinations of pure states. Thus \(C \subseteq F(A, B_0)\). On the other hand, \(A \otimes 1, 1 \otimes B_0 \subseteq C\); hence \(A \otimes B_0 \subseteq C\).

(ii): It is not hard to see that the assertion is true for \(A = D = C(X)\) (\(X\) a compact Hausdorff space) and in this case \(C\) is given by \(C = \{f \in C(X, B) | f(x) \in B_0, x \in X\}\). Suppose \(A\) is not abelian but contains \(D = C(X) \subseteq A\) and each point evaluation \(\omega_x \in P(D)\) extends uniquely to a pure state \(\omega_x\) on \(A\). Since \(D\) has the \((PEP)\), we may find \((d_\lambda) \subseteq D\) s.t. \(\|d_\lambda a d_\lambda - \omega_x(a)d_\lambda^2\| \to 0\) for each \(a \in A\), using Lemma 2.1. Thus \(\text{dist}(d_\lambda \otimes b, C) \to 0\) iff \(b \in B_\omega = B_0\). Hence \(D \otimes B_0 \subseteq C\) and therefore \(1 \otimes B_0 \subseteq C\) which implies \(A \otimes B_0 \subseteq C\) which implies \(A \otimes B_0 \subseteq C\) and \(F(A, B_0)\).

**Corollary 3.4.** Let \(A\) be a unital \(C^*\)-algebra. Then \(A\) is simple and has property \((S)\) iff for any unital \(C^*\)-algebra \(B\) and \(C\) s.t. \(A \otimes 1 \subseteq C \subseteq A \otimes B\) we have \(C = A \otimes B_0\) for some subalgebra \(B_0 \subseteq B\).

**Proof.** We only have to show that for any nonsimple \(A\) we can find \(B\) and a subalgebra \(C\) which does not split. Let \(J \lhd A\) be a nontrivial closed ideal and let \(B \neq C\) be any unital nontrivial \(C^*\)-algebra. Then \(C := A \otimes 1 + J \otimes B \subseteq A \otimes B\) is a subalgebra which does not split.

In the same way one finds obvious counterexamples to the \(C^*\)-analogue of Stratila and Zsidó’s theorem: Let \(H\) be a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space and let \(A = K(H) = K^\perp\) which is nuclear, \(J = K, B = B(H)\) and \(C = A \otimes 1 + J \otimes B\). Then the center of \(A\) is trivial but \(C \cap 1 \otimes B = C\) and \(C^*(C \cap 1 \otimes B, A \otimes 1) = A \otimes 1 \neq C\).

4. Splitting for continuous fields

Let \(A\) be a \(C(X)\)-algebra (\([B96]\)), i.e. a \(C^*\)-algebra together with a nondegenerate homomorphism \(\phi : C(X) \to Z(M(A))\), where \(C(X)\) is the algebra of continuous functions on the (separable) compact Hausdorff space \(X\). \(\phi\) is usually suppressed from the notation. Any \(C^*\)-algebra is a \(C(X)\)-algebra for \(X = \text{Spec}Z(M(A))\) (Dauns-Hoffmann theorem). The set \(A^x = \{f \in C(X) | f(x) = 0\}\) is a closed two-sided ideal and each element \(a \in A\) defines a section \(x \mapsto a_x = a + A^x \in A/A^x =: A_x\). \(A\) may thus be considered as an algebra of vector functions and is completely determined by the family of sections. The function \(x \mapsto \|a_x\|\) is upper
semi-continuous. A is called a continuous field if all such functions are even continuous. In this case, [Na72, 26.3] implies that any two \( C(X) \)-subalgebras with the same fibers are identical.

Given a \( C(X) \)-algebra \( A \) and a \( C^* \)-algebra \( B \), the minimal tensor product with \( \phi \) replaced by \( \phi \otimes id \) is again a \( C(X) \)-algebra, and it is a continuous field provided \( A \) is a continuous field and exact [KW95]. If \( B \) happens to be a \( C(X) \)-algebra too, then we can form the algebraic tensor product \( A \otimes_{C(X)} B \) over \( C(X) \). For a nuclear continuous field \( A \) it follows from [Bl95] that \( A \otimes_{C(X)} B \) carries a unique \( C^* \)-norm.

The completion under this norm is the \( C(X) \)-tensor product \( A \otimes_{C(X)} B \).

**Proposition 4.1.** Let \( A \) be a unital nuclear \( C(X) \)-algebra s. t. \( A_x \) is simple for each \( x \in X \), \( B \) a unital \( C^* \)-algebra and \( C \) a \( C^* \)-algebra s. t. \( A \otimes 1 \subseteq C \subseteq A \otimes B \). Then we have:

(i) There exists a family of subalgebras \( B_x \subseteq B \) s. t. \( C \) is a \( C(X) \)-subalgebra of \( A \otimes B \) with \( C_x = A_x \otimes B_x \) \( \forall x \in X \).

(ii) If \( A \) is also a continuous field, then \( C \) is a continuous field with fibers \( A_x \otimes B_x \) and \( C = C^*(A \otimes 1, C \cap C(X) \otimes B) = A \otimes_{C(X)} B \) where \( B \) is the continuous field \( C \cap C(X,B) \) which has fibers \( B_x \). In particular, \( C \) splits iff it splits over \( C(X) \).

**Proof.** (i): For each \( x \in X \) the fiber of \( A \otimes B \) equals \( A_x \otimes B \) for \( A_x \otimes B_x/\mathcal{A}_x \otimes B_x \), where \( A_x \) is nuclear and simple. The image of \( C \) under this quotient map contains \( A_x \otimes 1 \). Thus by Corollary 3.4 it must be of the form \( A_x \otimes B_x \) with \( B_x \subseteq B \) a subalgebra.

(ii): If \( A \) and \( B \) are as assumed, \( A \otimes B \) is again a continuous field and obviously so are \( C \) and \( B \). We claim that the fiber of \( \tilde{B} \) in \( x \) is \( B_x \). Since

\[
(C(X) \otimes B \cap C)_x \subseteq 1_x \otimes B \cap C_x = 1_x \otimes B_x,
\]

it must be contained in \( B_x \). For the converse, let \( \tilde{B}' := \{ x \mapsto b_x \in C(X,B) | b_x \in B_x \ \forall x \in X \} \). Fix \( x \in X \), choose \( b_x \in B_x \), \( \alpha_x \in A_x \) nonzero and let \( c \in C \) s. t. \( c_x = \alpha_x \otimes b_x \). By [Bl96, 3.3] there exists a conditional expectation \( \varphi : A \rightarrow C(X) \) s. t. \( \omega_x \circ \varphi (\alpha_x) = \alpha_x \) is not zero, where \( \omega_x \) is the evaluation at \( x \). Thus \( t \mapsto R_{\omega_x \circ \varphi}(c) \in B_t \) is a continuous function on \( X \) and \( R_{\omega_x \circ \varphi}(c) = \alpha_x b_x \). Hence the fiber of \( \tilde{B}' \) at \( x \) is \( B_x \). On the other hand, \( \tilde{B}' \subseteq C \) because \( C \) and \( C^*(\tilde{B}') \) have the same fibers, so they must be equal by the above remark. This shows \( \tilde{B} = \tilde{B}' \).

Finally we have \( A \otimes_{C(X)} C(X,B) = A \otimes B \) because \( A \otimes_{C(X)} (C(X) \otimes B) = A \otimes B \) and \( A \) is nuclear so that \( A \otimes_{C(X)} B \subseteq C \subseteq A \otimes B \). But again \( C \) and \( A \otimes_{C(X)} B \) are \( C(X) \)-subalgebras of \( A \otimes B \) with the same fibers which shows that they must be equal.
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