

BOREL COMPLEXITY OF THE SPACE OF PROBABILITY MEASURES

ABHIJIT DASGUPTA

(Communicated by Andreas R. Blass)

ABSTRACT. Using a technique developed by Louveau and Saint Raymond, we find the complexity of the space of probability measures in the Borel hierarchy: if X is any non-Polish Borel subspace of a Polish space, then $P(X)$, the space of probability Borel measures on X with the weak topology, is always *true* Π_{ξ}^0 , where ξ is the least ordinal such that X is Π_{ξ}^0 .

1. INTRODUCTION

For X a separable metric space, let $P(X)$ be the space of probability Borel measures on X with the usual topology of weak convergence, so that $P(X)$ is also a separable metrizable space. Many results relating the descriptive complexities of X and $P(X)$ are classical and standard. For example (see [1], [5]), X is compact-metrizable (resp. Polish) iff $P(X)$ is compact-metrizable (resp. Polish), X is Borel (resp. projective) iff $P(X)$ is Borel (resp. projective), etc. Beyond the domain of Borel sets we have: X is analytic (resp. co-analytic) iff $P(X)$ is analytic (resp. co-analytic), which follows from results of Kechris [2], and this also extends to every level of the projective hierarchy under additional set-theoretic hypotheses. Shreve's theorem ([6]) establishes the same equivalence for each level of the C -hierarchy of Selivanovski.

In this note we prove a similar result for the Borel hierarchy. It is known ([2]) that, for $\alpha \geq 2$, $P(X)$ is Π_{α}^0 iff X is Π_{α}^0 ; hence if X is Σ_{α}^0 , then $P(X)$ is $\Pi_{\alpha+1}^0$. This suggests the question: *Is this the best bound?* More generally, for Γ and Γ' any two intrinsic Borel pointclasses, we ask: *If X is in Γ , is $P(X)$ in Γ' ?* It is also easy to find, for each $\alpha \geq 3$, an example of a $P(X)$ which is true Π_{α}^0 : just take X to be any space which is true Π_{α}^0 ; since X is always embedded in $P(X)$ as a closed subset, $P(X)$ cannot be Σ_{α}^0 lest X be Σ_{α}^0 . This suggests the question: *For which $\alpha \geq 3$ can we find X such that $P(X)$ is true Σ_{α}^0 (or true Δ_{α}^0)?*

Theorem 3.1 below answers these questions, and determines uniquely the true Borel class of $P(X)$ from the true Borel class of X ; it says: $P(X)$ is Polish if X is Polish, and for every $\alpha \geq 3$, $P(X)$ is true Π_{α}^0 if X is true Π_{α}^0 , $P(X)$ is true $\Pi_{\alpha+1}^0$

Received by the editors October 24, 1994 and, in revised form, November 24, 1999.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 03E15, 60B05; Secondary 28A05.
Key words and phrases. Descriptive set theory, probability measures, Borel complexity.
Supported in part by NSF Grant # DMS-9214048.

if X is true Σ_α^0 , and $P(X)$ is true Π_α^0 if X is true Δ_α^0 . (These cases are mutually exclusive and exhaustive for Borel X .)

In particular, if X is Borel, then either $P(X)$ is Polish or $P(X)$ is true Π_α^0 for some (unique) $\alpha \geq 3$, so that if $\alpha \geq 3$, there is no X such that $P(X)$ is true Σ_α^0 or true Δ_α^0 .

2. TERMINOLOGY

We use the notation of [1] and [4] for the Borel pointclasses: the additive, multiplicative, and ambiguous classes of level α are denoted, respectively, by Σ_α^0 , Π_α^0 , and Δ_α^0 , with Σ_1^0 denoting the pointclass of open sets. Γ is a Borel pointclass if Γ is one of Σ_α^0 , Π_α^0 , and Δ_α^0 . If X is a Polish space, we use the notation $\Sigma_\alpha^0 \upharpoonright X$ to denote the Σ_α^0 subsets of X , and similarly for Π_α^0 and Δ_α^0 . Note that for $\alpha \geq 2$ the pointclass Π_α^0 is intrinsic,¹ and for $\alpha \geq 3$ the pointclasses Σ_α^0 and Δ_α^0 are also intrinsic. For an intrinsic pointclass Γ , we can speak (unambiguously) of a separable metrizable space X being Γ , without mentioning any Polish space in which X is embedded. The notion of “the true Borel class” of a Borel set is defined in the usual way.

ω^ω denotes the Baire space, i.e., the space of irrationals. If X, Y are Polish spaces, $B \subseteq Y$, and \mathcal{C} is a collection of subsets of X , we say that B is \mathcal{C} -hard if for all $C \in \mathcal{C}$ there is a continuous $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $C = f^{-1}(B)$.

If X is a metrizable space, $P(X)$ denotes the space of probability measures² on X with the weak topology.³

For a separable metrizable space Y , and a Borel $X \subseteq Y$, we can (topologically) identify (see [1]) the space $P(X)$ with the subspace $P(X/Y)$ of $P(Y)$, where

$$P(X/Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\mu \in P(Y) \mid \mu(Y \setminus X) = 0\}.$$

3. THE BOREL COMPLEXITY OF $P(X)$

Theorem 3.1. *If X is any non-Polish Borel subspace of a Polish space, then $P(X)$ is true Π_ξ^0 , where ξ is the least such that X is Π_ξ^0 .*

Proof. We will use the following two lemmas:

Lemma 3.2 (Louveau and Saint Raymond). *If Y is a Polish space, α is a countable ordinal ≥ 2 , $A \subseteq Y$ such that A is Borel, and $A \notin \Pi_\alpha^0$, then A is $\Sigma_\alpha^0 \upharpoonright \omega^\omega$ -hard, i.e. for all $B \subseteq \omega^\omega$, if B is Σ_α^0 , then $B = f^{-1}(A)$ for some continuous $f: \omega^\omega \rightarrow Y$.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of [3, Theorem 3, p. 455]. \square

Lemma 3.3 (The δ -propagation lemma). *Let Y, Z be Polish spaces, \mathcal{C} a collection of subsets of Z , and X a subset of Y which is \mathcal{C} -hard, i.e. for every A in \mathcal{C} there is a continuous map f from Z to Y such that $A = f^{-1}(X)$. Then $P(X/Y)$ is \mathcal{C}_δ -hard, where \mathcal{C}_δ denotes the countable intersections of sets in \mathcal{C} .*

¹ Γ is an intrinsic pointclass if for all Polish X, Y and $A \subseteq X, B \subseteq Y$, if A is a Γ -set in X and A is homeomorphic to B , then B is a Γ -set in Y .

²The collection of all countably additive non-negative real-valued functions μ defined on the Borel sets of X such that $\mu(X) = 1$.

³The weakest topology such that, for every bounded continuous real-valued function f on X , the real-valued map $\mu \rightarrow \int f d\mu$ defined on $P(X)$ is continuous.

Proof. Let X, Y, Z , and \mathcal{C} be as above. To show that $P(X/Y)$ is \mathcal{C}_δ -hard, let F be an arbitrary subset of Z in \mathcal{C}_δ . Then there is sequence $(E_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ of subsets of Z such that $(\forall n)(E_n \in \mathcal{C})$, and

$$F = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n.$$

For each $n \in \omega$, choose a continuous function $f_n: Z \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$E_n = f_n^{-1}(X).$$

Define $\Psi: Z \rightarrow P(Y)$ by setting for each $x \in Z$ and each Borel $E \subseteq Y$:

$$\Psi(x)(E) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_E(f_k(x))}{2^{k+1}},$$

where, for any set A , χ_A denotes the characteristic function of A . In other words, for any $x \in Z$, $\Psi(x)$ is the measure

$$\Psi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} \delta_{f_k(x)},$$

where, for any $y \in Y$, δ_y denotes the probability measure on Y known as the “unit mass” at y . Ψ is well-defined and continuous. It is now easy to verify that Ψ reduces F to $P(X/Y)$. \square

Now let X be non-Polish Borel, and Y be any metrizable compactification of X ; let α be the least such that X is $\mathbf{\Pi}_\alpha^0$. Then $\alpha \geq 3$, as X is not $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^0$ in Y . Also, $P(X)$ is $\mathbf{\Pi}_\alpha^0$. It remains to show that $P(X)$ is not $\mathbf{\Sigma}_\alpha^0$ ($\mathbf{\Sigma}_\alpha^0$ is an intrinsic pointclass since $\alpha \geq 3$). Put $Z = \omega^\omega$, and

$$\mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathbf{\Sigma}_\beta^0 \upharpoonright \omega^\omega.$$

By Lemma 3.2 X is $\mathbf{\Sigma}_\beta^0 \upharpoonright \omega^\omega$ -hard for every $\beta < \alpha$, and hence is \mathcal{C} -hard. The hypotheses of the δ -propagation lemma now hold. Therefore $P(X/Y)$ is \mathcal{C}_δ -hard; but $\mathcal{C}_\delta = \mathbf{\Pi}_\alpha^0 \upharpoonright \omega^\omega$. So $P(X)$ must be true $\mathbf{\Pi}_\alpha^0$. \square

It follows from the above theorem that the Borel complexity of the space of probability measures on \mathbb{Q} (the rationals) is $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ but not $\mathcal{G}_{\delta\sigma}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1995. MR **96e**:03057
- [2] A. S. Kechris, *Measure and category in effective descriptive set theory*, *Annals of Math. Logic* **5** (1973), 337–384. MR **51**:5308
- [3] A. Louveau and J. Saint Raymond, *Borel classes and closed games: Wadge-type and Hurewicz-type results*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **304** (1987), 431–467. MR **89g**:03068
- [4] Y. N. Moschovakis, *Descriptive Set Theory*, North-Holland, 1980. MR **82e**:03002
- [5] K. R. Parthasarathy, *Probability Measures on Metric Spaces*, Academic Press, 1967. MR **37**:2271
- [6] S. Shreve, *Probability measures and the C-sets of Selivanovskij*, *Pacific J. Math.* **79** (1978), 189–196. MR **80d**:28008

E-mail address: takdoom@yahoo.com