

## NON-TANGENTIAL LIMITS, FINE LIMITS AND THE DIRICHLET INTEGRAL

STEPHEN J. GARDINER

(Communicated by Albert Baernstein II)

ABSTRACT. Let  $B$  denote the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . This paper characterizes the subsets  $E$  of  $B$  with the property that  $\sup_E h = \sup_B h$  for all harmonic functions  $h$  on  $B$  which have finite Dirichlet integral. It also examines, in the spirit of a celebrated paper of Brelot and Doob, the associated question of the connection between non-tangential and fine cluster sets of functions on  $B$  at points of the boundary.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $B(x, r)$  denote the open ball of centre  $x$  and radius  $r$  in Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ), and let  $B = B(0, 1)$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a collection of harmonic functions on  $B$ , then it is natural to ask which non-empty subsets  $E$  of  $B$  have the property that

$$(1) \quad \sup_E h = \sup_B h \quad \text{for all } h \in \mathcal{A}.$$

In the case where  $\mathcal{A} = h^\infty$ , the collection of all bounded harmonic functions on  $B$ , it is known (cf. [2]) that (1) holds if and only if  $\sigma(E_{NT}) = \sigma(\partial B)$ , where  $\sigma$  denotes surface area measure on  $\partial B$  and  $E_{NT}$  is the (Borel) set of points of  $\partial B$  which can be approached non-tangentially by a sequence in  $E$ . In the case where  $\mathcal{A} = h^1$ , the collection of differences of positive harmonic functions on  $B$ , it has been shown (see [10] and [8]) that (1) holds if and only if

$$\int_{E(1/2)} |x - y|^{-n} dx = +\infty \quad \text{for all } y \in \partial B,$$

where  $E(1/2) = \bigcup_{x \in E} B(x, (1 - |x|)/2)$ . Below we present the corresponding result when  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{D}$ , the collection of all harmonic functions  $h$  on  $B$  which have finite Dirichlet integral; that is,  $\int_B |\nabla h(x)|^2 dx < +\infty$ . We will use  $\mathcal{C}(\cdot)$  to denote Newtonian (if  $n \geq 3$ ) or logarithmic (if  $n = 2$ ) capacity on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\emptyset \neq E \subseteq B$  and  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{D}$ . Then (1) holds if and only if  $\mathcal{C}(E_{NT}) = \mathcal{C}(\partial B)$ .*

When  $n = 2$ , Theorem 1 is closely related to a recent result of Stray [13] concerning holomorphic functions in the Dirichlet space. However, our methods are completely different. If  $\sigma(E_{NT}) = \sigma(\partial B)$ , then it follows easily, by considering

---

Received by the editors December 17, 1999 and, in revised form, April 3, 2000.  
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 31B25.

Poisson integrals in  $B$  of suitable potentials, that  $\mathcal{C}(E_{NT}) = \mathcal{C}(\partial B)$ . In fact, the capacity condition is much weaker, as the following example shows.

**Example 1.** Let  $n = 2$ , let  $\mathbb{R}^2$  be identified with  $\mathbb{C}$  in the usual manner, and let

$$E = \{(1 - 2^{-2j}) \exp(ik2^{1-j}\pi) : j \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } k = 0, 1, \dots, 2^j - 1\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{C}(E_{NT}) = \mathcal{C}(\partial B)$ , but  $\sigma(E_{NT}) = 0$ . (See §3.4 for details.)

Brelot and Doob, in their landmark paper [3], were able to relate classical and potential theoretic boundary limit theorems by establishing the relationship between non-tangential and minimal fine cluster sets of functions. Inspired by their work and Theorem 1 we will now provide corresponding results which describe the relationship between non-tangential and fine cluster sets of functions.

Recall that the *fine topology* on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is the coarsest topology for which all superharmonic functions are continuous. A set  $A$  is said to be *thin* at a point  $x$  if  $x$  is not a fine limit point of  $A$ . (For an account of these concepts see Chapter 1.XI of the book by Doob [7].) By Wiener’s criterion, this is equivalent to the condition

$$\sum_k 2^{k(n-2)} \mathcal{C}^*(\{y \in A : 2^{-k-1} \leq |x - y| \leq 2^{-k}\}) < +\infty \quad (n \geq 3)$$

or

$$\sum_k \frac{k}{\log 1/\mathcal{C}^*(\{y \in A : 2^{-k-1} \leq |x - y| \leq 2^{-k}\})} < +\infty \quad (n = 2),$$

where  $\mathcal{C}^*(\cdot)$  denotes outer (Newtonian or logarithmic) capacity. If, instead, the weaker condition

$$2^{k(n-2)} \mathcal{C}^*(\{y \in A : 2^{-k-1} \leq |x - y| \leq 2^{-k}\}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad (n \geq 3)$$

or

$$\frac{k}{\log 1/\mathcal{C}^*(\{y \in A : 2^{-k-1} \leq |x - y| \leq 2^{-k}\})} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad (n = 2)$$

holds, then  $A$  is said to be *semi-thin* at  $x$ . Now let  $f : S \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ , where  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $x \in \overline{S}$  and  $l \in [-\infty, +\infty]$ . We say that  $l$  is a *fine* (respectively, *semi-fine*) *cluster value of  $f$  at  $x$*  if, for every neighbourhood  $N$  of  $l$  in  $[-\infty, +\infty]$ , the set  $f^{-1}(N)$  is not thin (respectively, not semi-thin) at  $x$ . Finally, we define the non-tangential approach region

$$K(z, \delta, \varepsilon) = \{x : \varepsilon > 1 - |x| > \delta|x - z|\} \quad (z \in \partial B; 0 < \delta < 1; 0 < \varepsilon < 1).$$

The following result is straightforward to prove, using ideas from [14].

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $h$  be a harmonic function on  $K(z, \delta, \varepsilon)$  such that*

$$\int_{K(z, \delta, \varepsilon)} (1 - |x|)^{2-n} |\nabla h(x)|^2 dx < +\infty,$$

*and let  $\delta < \delta_1 < 1$ . If there is a sequence  $(x_k)$  of points in  $K(z, \delta_1, \varepsilon)$  such that  $x_k \rightarrow z$  and  $h(x_k) \rightarrow l$ , then  $l$  is a semi-fine (and hence a fine) cluster value of  $h$  at  $z$ .*

Less obvious is the next result, which goes in the opposite direction. If  $f : B \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ , then the *non-tangential* and *fine cluster sets* of  $f$  at a point  $z \in \partial B$  are defined respectively by

$$C_{NT}(f, z) = \{l \in [-\infty, +\infty] : f(x_k) \rightarrow l \text{ for some sequence } (x_k) \text{ of points in } B \text{ which approaches } z \text{ non-tangentially}\}$$

and

$$C_F(f, z) = \{l \in [-\infty, +\infty] : l \text{ is a fine cluster value of } f \text{ at } z\}.$$

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $f : B \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ . Then there is a Euclidean- $G_\delta$  set  $A \subseteq \partial B$  such that  $\mathcal{C}(A) = \mathcal{C}(\partial B)$  and  $C_F(f, z) \subseteq C_{NT}(f, z)$  whenever  $z \in A$ .*

Theorem 2 can be viewed as a fine topology analogue of a maximality theorem of Collingwood and Lohwater (see Theorem 4.10 in [5]). We note that Mizuta [12] has also considered the relationship between non-tangential, normal and fine cluster sets. His results, which are specific to harmonic functions satisfying a Dirichlet-type integral condition, are of a completely different nature. The sharpness of Theorem 2, even for harmonic functions, is demonstrated by the next result.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $A \subseteq \partial B$  be a Euclidean- $G_\delta$  set such that  $\mathcal{C}(A) = \mathcal{C}(\partial B)$ . Then there is a harmonic function  $h$  on  $B$  such that  $C_F(h, z) = [-\infty, +\infty]$  and  $C_{NT}(h, z) = \{0\}$  whenever  $z \in \partial B \setminus A$ .*

Proposition 1 and Theorems 1 and 2 will be proved in §§2 - 4 respectively. Theorem 3 will be proved in §5 using recent results concerning approximation by harmonic functions.

## 2. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1

Let  $h$  be a harmonic function on  $K(z, \delta, \varepsilon)$  such that

$$\int_{K(z, \delta, \varepsilon)} (1 - |x|)^{2-n} |\nabla h(x)|^2 dx < +\infty,$$

let  $\delta < \delta_0 < \delta_1 < 1$  and  $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon$ . Then there is a decreasing function  $\phi : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$  such that  $\phi(t) \leq 2\phi(2t)$  for all  $t$  and  $\phi(t) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , and such that  $L < +\infty$ , where

$$L = \int_{K(z, \delta, \varepsilon)} (1 - |x|)^{2-n} \phi(1 - |x|) |\nabla h(x)|^2 dx.$$

Let  $\eta_0 > 0$  be small enough so that  $B(x, \eta_0(1 - |x|)) \subseteq K(z, \delta, \varepsilon)$  whenever  $x \in K(z, \delta_0, \varepsilon_0)$ . By the volume mean value inequality, applied to the subharmonic function  $|\nabla h|^2$  and the ball  $B(x, \eta_0(1 - |x|))$ , we see that there is a positive constant  $M$ , depending only on  $\eta_0$  and  $n$ , such that

$$(1 - |x|)^{2-n} \phi(1 - |x|) |\nabla h(x)|^2 \leq ML(1 - |x|)^{-n} \quad (x \in K(z, \delta_0, \varepsilon_0)),$$

and hence

$$(2) \quad (1 - |x|) |\nabla h(x)| \leq \sqrt{\frac{ML}{\phi(1 - |x|)}} \quad (x \in K(z, \delta_0, \varepsilon_0)).$$

Let  $\eta_1 > 0$  be small enough so that  $B(x, \eta_1(1 - |x|)) \subseteq K(z, \delta_0, \varepsilon_0)$  whenever  $x \in K(z, \delta_1, \varepsilon_1)$ . Also, let  $(x_k)$  be a sequence of points in  $K(z, \delta_1, \varepsilon_1)$  such that

$x_k \rightarrow z$  and  $h(x_k) \rightarrow l$ , and let  $D = \bigcup_k B(x_k, \eta_1(1 - |x_k|))$ . It follows from (2) and the mean value theorem of differential calculus that

$$h(x) \rightarrow l \quad (x \rightarrow z; x \in D),$$

in view of the fact that  $\phi(t) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}(B(x, r))$  is  $r^{n-2}$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) or  $r$  ( $n = 2$ ), it is clear that  $D$  is not semi-thin at  $z$ . Thus  $l$  is a semi-fine (and hence a fine) cluster value of  $h$  at  $z$ .

### 3. PROOFS OF THEOREM 1 AND EXAMPLE 1

3.1. For the proofs of Theorems 1 - 3 we will assume that  $n \geq 3$  and omit the minor modifications required to adapt our arguments to the plane. Before proving Theorem 1 we will assemble some preliminary observations. A function  $f : S \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$  is said to have a *fine limit* at a fine limit point  $x$  of  $S$  if  $C_F(f, x)$  consists of only one point. If, further,  $C_F(f, x) = \{f(x)\}$ , then  $f$  is said to be *finely continuous* at  $x$ .

**Lemma A.** *Let  $f : B \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be integrable on  $B$  and let  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ . Then there is a set  $Y \subset \partial B$ , of zero  $(n - 2)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure, such that*

$$\int_{K(z, \delta, \varepsilon)} (1 - |x|)^{2-n} f(x) dx < +\infty \quad (z \in \partial B \setminus Y; 0 < \delta < 1).$$

**Theorem A.** *If  $h \in \mathcal{D}$ , then there is a finite-valued extension  $\bar{h}$  of  $h$  to  $\bar{B}$ , and a polar set  $Z \subset \partial B$ , such that*

$$(3) \quad h(rz) \rightarrow \bar{h}(z) \quad (r \rightarrow 1-; z \in \partial B \setminus Z)$$

*and  $\bar{h}$  is finely continuous at each point of  $\partial B \setminus Z$ .*

In proving Lemma A it is clearly enough to show that, for a fixed choice of  $\delta$ , there is a set  $Y_\delta \subset \partial B$ , of zero  $(n - 2)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure, such that

$$\int_{K(z, \delta, \varepsilon)} (1 - |x|)^{2-n} f(x) dx < +\infty \quad (z \in \partial B \setminus Y_\delta).$$

This can be done by imitating the proof of the analogous result for functions on half-spaces, which may be found in Lemma 5 of [14].

Theorem A is taken from Deny ([6], Chap. IV, Théorème 3), who proved the result for the more general class of Beppo Levi functions  $h$  on  $B$ . Actually, Deny's result asserts only that  $\bar{h}$  has a fine limit at each point of  $\partial B \setminus Z$ , but the proof makes it clear that  $\bar{h}$  is actually finely continuous at each point of a set which has this form.

**Lemma 1.** *The unit sphere  $\partial B$ , with the topology induced on it by the fine topology on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , is a Baire space.*

To prove Lemma 1, we note that the fine topology on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  has a base which consists of (Euclidean) compact sets. The same is therefore also true of the topology it induces on  $\partial B$ . We can now adopt the argument given on pp. 167, 168 of [7] to see that this space is Baire.

If  $A$  is a bounded set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then we use  $\widehat{R}_1^A$  to denote the capacity potential of  $A$ . Thus the Riesz measure  $\nu_A$  associated with  $\widehat{R}_1^A$  satisfies  $\nu_A(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{C}^*(A)$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $A \subseteq \partial B$  and  $z \in \partial B$ . If  $A$  is thin at  $z$ , then  $\widehat{R}_1^A(z) < 1$ .*

To see this we note that, if  $z \in \bar{A}$ , then there is a positive superharmonic function  $u$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow z, x \in A} u(x) > u(z).$$

If we define  $v(x) = u(x) + a|x + z|^{2-n}$ , where  $a$  is a suitably chosen positive number, then  $v$  is a positive superharmonic function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$\inf_{A \setminus \{z\}} v > v(z).$$

It follows easily that  $\widehat{R}_1^A(z) < 1$ , and this inequality is obviously also true when  $z \notin \bar{A}$ .

3.2. We now turn to the “if” part of Theorem 1. Suppose that  $\mathcal{C}(E_{NT}) = \mathcal{C}(\partial B)$  and suppose further, for the sake of contradiction, that  $E_{NT}$  is thin at some point  $z$  of  $\partial B$ . Then  $\widehat{R}_1^{E_{NT}}(z) < 1$ , by Lemma 2, and this leads to the contradictory conclusion that  $\mathcal{C}(E_{NT}) = \widehat{R}_1^{E_{NT}}(0) < 1 = \mathcal{C}(\partial B)$ . Hence the fine closure of  $E_{NT}$  is all of  $\partial B$ .

Now let  $h \in \mathcal{D}$ . By Theorem A, there exist a function  $\bar{h} : \bar{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and a polar set  $Z \subset \partial B$  such that  $\bar{h}|_B = h$  and (3) holds, and such that  $\bar{h}$  is finely continuous at all points of  $\partial B \setminus Z$ . Let  $M = \sup_E h$  and suppose, to avoid triviality, that  $M < +\infty$ . By Proposition 1 and Lemma A (with  $f = |\nabla h|^2$ ), there is a polar subset  $Y$  of  $\partial B$  such that  $\bar{h} \leq M$  on  $E_{NT} \setminus (Y \cup Z)$ . (Here we are using the fact that a bounded set of finite  $(n-2)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure is polar; see [4], Chap. IV, Theorem 1). The fine closure of  $E_{NT} \setminus (Y \cup Z)$  is also  $\partial B$ , so  $\bar{h} \leq M$  on  $\partial B \setminus Z$ . We note that the subharmonic function  $h^2$  has a harmonic majorant on  $B$  because

$$\int_B (1 - |x|) (\Delta (h^2))(x) dx \leq 2 \int_B |\nabla h(x)|^2 dx < +\infty.$$

Hence  $h$  is equal to the Poisson integral of a function  $g$  on  $\partial B$  (see [7], 1.II.14). Further, from (3) and Fatou’s boundary limit theorem,  $g = \bar{h}|_{\partial B}$  almost everywhere ( $\sigma$ ) on  $\partial B$ , and so  $h \leq M$  on  $B$ . Thus (1) holds and we have now established the “if” part of Theorem 1.

3.3. Conversely, suppose that (1) holds and let

$$F(r) = \partial B \cap \left( \bigcup_{x \in E \setminus B(0,r)} B(x, 2(1 - |x|)) \right) \quad (0 < r < 1).$$

Clearly (1) implies that  $\bar{E} \cap \partial B \neq \emptyset$ , so  $F(r) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $r$ . Suppose further, for the sake of contradiction, that there exists  $r$  such that the fine closure of  $F(r)$  is a proper subset of  $\partial B$ . Then the function  $h = 1 - \widehat{R}_1^{F(r)}$ , which is harmonic on  $B$ , is strictly positive there, in view of Lemma 2. We note (see [7], 1.IV.5) that there is an increasing sequence  $(u_k)$  of  $C^\infty$  Newtonian potentials, with associated measures  $(\mu_k)$ , such that  $u_k \uparrow \widehat{R}_1^{F(r)}$  and  $u_k$  is harmonic outside  $\overline{B(0, 1 + 1/k)} \setminus B(0, 1 - 1/k)$  and that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u_k(x)|^2 dx = a_n \int u_k d\mu_k$$

by Green’s first identity, where  $a_n$  is a positive constant depending only on  $n$ . Since  $|\nabla u_k| \rightarrow |\nabla h|$  locally uniformly on  $B$ , it follows from the reciprocity law that

$$\int_B |\nabla h(x)|^2 dx \leq a_n \int \widehat{R}_1^{F(r)} d\nu_{F(r)} \leq a_n \mathcal{C}(F(r)) < +\infty;$$

that is,  $h|_B \in \mathcal{D}$ .

Let  $M = \sup_B h$  and let  $v_r$  denote the harmonic measure of  $\partial B \setminus F(r)$  in  $B$ . The definition of  $F(r)$  ensures that there is a constant  $c \in (0, 1)$ , independent of  $E$ , such that  $v_r \leq c$  on  $E \setminus B(0, r)$ . Since  $h = 1 - \widehat{R}_1^{F(r)} = 0$  on  $F(r)$ , it follows that  $h \leq cM$  on  $E \setminus B(0, r)$ , and so

$$\sup_E h \leq \max \left\{ cM, \sup_{B(0,r)} h \right\} < M = \sup_B h.$$

This contradicts (1). Hence, for every  $r \in (0, 1)$ , the fine closure of  $F(r)$  is all of  $\partial B$ .

Let

$$F = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} F\left(\frac{k}{k+1}\right).$$

Then, by Lemma 1, the fine closure of  $F$  is also all of  $\partial B$ . Finally, it is clear that  $F \subseteq E_{NT}$ , so the fine closure of  $E_{NT}$  is also  $\partial B$ . Thus  $\widehat{R}_1^{E_{NT}} = \widehat{R}_1^{\partial B}$ , and hence  $\mathcal{C}(E_{NT}) = \mathcal{C}(\partial B)$ , as required.

3.4. We now present the details of Example 1. Let  $E$  be the set defined there. Then

$$E_{NT} = \bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=m}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=0}^{2^j-1} F_{j,k,l},$$

where

$$F_{j,k,l} = \{e^{i\theta} : |\theta - k2^{1-j}\pi| < l2^{-2j}\}.$$

The set  $F_{j,k,l}$  is an open arc of the unit circle and  $\mathcal{C}(F_{j,k,l}) \geq l2^{-2j-2}$  (see [11], p. 173, (2.4.4)). It follows easily from Wiener’s criterion that the set

$$A_{l,m} = \bigcup_{j=m}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=0}^{2^j-1} F_{j,k,l}$$

is finely dense in the circle. Hence, for any  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set  $\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} A_{l,m}$  is finely dense in the circle, by Lemma 1. It follows that  $\mathcal{C}(E_{NT}) = \mathcal{C}(\partial B)$ . However,

$$\sigma(A_{l,m}) \leq \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1} l2^{1-2j} = l2^{2-m},$$

so  $\sigma(\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} A_{l,m}) = 0$  and hence  $\sigma(E_{NT}) = 0$ , as claimed.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Let  $f : B \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$  and

$$D = \{z \in \partial B : C_F(f, z) \setminus C_K(f, z) \neq \emptyset\},$$

where, for each  $z \in \partial B$ ,

$$C_K(f, z) = \{l \in [-\infty, +\infty] : f(x_k) \rightarrow l \text{ for some sequence } (x_k) \text{ of points in } K(z, 1/2, 1/2) \text{ such that } x_k \rightarrow z\}.$$

Further, let  $\mathcal{I}$  denote the collection of closed intervals of  $[-\infty, +\infty]$  with endpoints in  $\mathbb{Q} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ . Suppose that  $z \in D$ . Since  $C_K(f, z)$  is a compact subset of  $[-\infty, +\infty]$ , we can find  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ , a finite union  $J$  of intervals from  $\mathcal{I}$ , and  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, 1)$  such that

$$(4) \quad I \cap C_F(f, z) \neq \emptyset, \quad I \cap J = \emptyset$$

and

$$(5) \quad f \left( K \left( z, \frac{1}{2}, \varepsilon \right) \right) \subseteq J.$$

If  $I, J$  and  $\varepsilon$  are as above, then we say that  $z \in D(I, J, \varepsilon)$ . Thus

$$(6) \quad D \subseteq \bigcup_{I, J, \varepsilon} D(I, J, \varepsilon),$$

where the union is over all possible choices of  $I, J, \varepsilon$ .

Now suppose that one of the sets in this union,  $D_0 = D(I_0, J_0, \varepsilon_0)$  say, has the property that its (Euclidean) closure has non-empty interior  $U$  with respect to the fine-induced topology on  $\partial B$ , let

$$(7) \quad E = B \setminus \left( \bigcup_{z \in D_0} K \left( z, \frac{1}{2}, \varepsilon_0 \right) \right)$$

and  $h = 1 - \widehat{R}_1^{\partial B \setminus U}$ . Then  $h = 0$  on  $\partial B \setminus U$  since the latter set is finely perfect. Also,  $\overline{E} \cap \partial B \neq \emptyset$  by (4) and (5), and

$$B(x, 2(1 - |x|)) \cap U = \emptyset \quad (x \in E \setminus \overline{B(0, 1 - \varepsilon_0)})$$

by the definition of  $E$ . Reasoning as in §3.3, we now see that  $h > 0$  on  $B$ , and on  $U$  by Lemma 2, but  $\sup_E h < \sup_B h$ . Let  $\sup_E h < M < \sup_B h$  and  $V = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : h(x) > M\}$ , and choose  $z_1 \in U$  such that  $h(z_1) > M$ . Then  $V$  is a fine neighbourhood of  $z_1$  and  $V \cap E = \emptyset$ . It now follows from (5) and (7) that  $C_F(f, z_1) \subseteq J_0$ , but this contradicts (4). Thus  $U = \emptyset$ .

Let

$$(8) \quad A = \bigcap_{I, J, \varepsilon} \left( \partial B \setminus \overline{D(I, J, \varepsilon)} \right).$$

Thus  $A$  is a (Euclidean)  $G_\delta$ -set and each of the sets  $\partial B \setminus \overline{D(I, J, \varepsilon)}$  is open and dense in the fine-induced topology on  $\partial B$ . By Lemma 1, the fine closure of  $A$  is  $\partial B$  and hence  $\mathcal{C}(A) = \mathcal{C}(\partial B)$ . Since  $C_K(f, z) \subseteq C_{NT}(f, z)$ , it follows that

$$C_F(f, z) \subseteq C_{NT}(f, z) \quad (z \in \partial B \setminus D).$$

From (6) and (8) we see that  $A \subseteq \partial B \setminus D$ , and so Theorem 2 is established.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

Let  $A \subseteq \partial B$  be a Euclidean- $G_\delta$  set such that  $\mathcal{C}(A) = \mathcal{C}(\partial B)$ . As we argued at the beginning of §3.2, it follows that  $A$  is finely dense in  $\partial B$ . Thus there is an increasing sequence  $(F_k)$  of compact sets such that  $\partial B \setminus A = \bigcup_k F_k$  and such that  $\partial B \setminus F_k$  is finely dense in  $\partial B$  for each  $k$ . To avoid trivialities we may assume that the sets  $\partial B \setminus A$  and  $F_1$  are non-empty.

Let  $g_k : \partial B \rightarrow [0, 1)$  be defined by

$$g_k(z) = \frac{\{\text{dist}(z, F_k)\}^2}{5} \quad (z \in \partial B; k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Then the sets

$$E_k = \left\{ rz : z \in \partial B \setminus F_k \text{ and } 1 - r = \frac{g_k(z)}{3k - 1} \right\} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}),$$

$$D_1 = B \cap \{ rz : z \in \partial B \text{ and } 1 - r \geq g_1(z) \},$$

and

$$D_{k+1} = B \cap \left\{ rz : z \in \partial B \text{ and } \frac{g_k(z)}{3k} \geq 1 - r \geq \frac{g_{k+1}(z)}{3k + 1} \right\} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N})$$

are all closed relative to  $B$  and are pairwise disjoint. Let

$$(9) \quad E = \left( \bigcup_k E_k \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_k D_k \right)$$

and let  $B^*$  denote the Alexandroff (one-point) compactification of  $B$ . Since, for a given value of  $r$  in  $(0, 1)$ , only finitely many sets in the union in (9) meet  $B(0, r)$ , the set  $E$  is also closed relative to  $B$ .

If we define  $u : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$(10) \quad u(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in \bigcup_k D_k, \\ q_k & \text{if } x \in E_k; k \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where  $(q_k)$  is an enumeration of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then  $u$  extends to a locally constant (and hence harmonic) function on an open set which contains  $E$ . It is easy to check that  $B^* \setminus E$  is connected and locally connected (see §3.2 of [9] for a discussion of local connectedness in this context). We note that, if  $z \in \partial B \setminus A$  and  $0 < \delta < 1$ , then there exists a (smallest) number  $k_0$  such that  $z \in F_{k_0}$  and a number  $\varepsilon_{z,\delta}$  in  $(0, 1)$  such that

$$(11) \quad K(z, \delta, \varepsilon_{z,\delta}) \subseteq D_{k_0} \subseteq \bigcup_k D_k \quad (0 < \delta < 1).$$

We also claim that, if  $z \in \partial B \setminus A$ , then  $E_k$  is non-thin at  $z$  for all sufficiently large  $k$ . To see this, we choose  $k_0$  such that  $z \in F_{k_0}$  and suppose that  $E_k$  is thin at  $z$  for some  $k \geq k_0$ . Since the radial projection map from  $E_k$  to  $\partial B \setminus F_k$  is a Lipschitz map with Lipschitz constant 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{C}(\{y \in \partial B \setminus F_k : 2^{-j-1} \leq |y - z| \leq 2^{-j}\}) \\ & \leq 2^{n-2} \mathcal{C}(\{y \in E_k : 2^{-j-2} \leq |y - z| \leq 2^{-j+1}\}) \end{aligned}$$

for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , by standard contraction and dilation properties of Newtonian capacity (see [11], Chap. 2, §3). Hence, by Wiener's criterion, we obtain the contradictory conclusion that  $\partial B \setminus F_k$  is also thin at  $z$ . Thus our claim is verified.

We now apply a recent harmonic approximation result (see [1], or Corollary 3.10 in [9]) to observe that there is a harmonic function  $h$  on  $B$  such that

$$|h(x) - u(x)| < 1 - |x| \quad (x \in E).$$

It follows from (10) and (11) that  $C_{NT}(h, z) = \{0\}$  whenever  $z \in \partial B \setminus A$ . For such  $z$ , it also follows from (10) and the claim verified in the previous paragraph that  $C_F(h, z)$  contains all but a finite number of the rationals, and so  $C_F(h, z) = [-\infty, +\infty]$ . The proof of Theorem 3 is now complete.

#### REFERENCES

1. D. H. Armitage and M. Goldstein, *Tangential harmonic approximation on relatively closed sets*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), **68** (1994), 112-126. MR **94i**:31005
2. F. F. Bonsall, *Domination of the supremum of a bounded harmonic function by its supremum over a countable subset*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc., **30** (1987), 471-477. MR **88k**:31001
3. M. Brelot and J. L. Doob, *Limites angulaires et limites fines*, Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble, **13** (1963), 395-415. MR **33**:4299
4. L. Carleson, *Selected problems on exceptional sets*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1967. MR **37**:1576
5. E. F. Collingwood and A. J. Lohwater, *The theory of cluster sets*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1966. MR **38**:325
6. J. Deny, *Les potentiels d'énergie finie*, Acta Math. **82** (1950), 107-183. MR **12**:98e
7. J. L. Doob, *Classical potential theory and its probabilistic counterpart*, Springer, New York, 1983. MR **85k**:31001
8. S. J. Gardiner, *Sets of determination for harmonic functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **338** (1993), 233-243. MR **93j**:31005
9. S. J. Gardiner, *Harmonic approximation*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 221, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995. MR **96j**:31001
10. W. K. Hayman and T. J. Lyons, *Bases for positive continuous functions*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **42** (1990), 292-308. MR **92a**:31002
11. N. S. Landkof, *Foundations of modern potential theory*, Springer, Berlin, 1972. MR **50**:2520
12. Y. Mizuta, *On the behaviour of harmonic functions near a hyperplane*, Analysis **2** (1982), 203-218.
13. A. Stray, *Simultaneous approximation in the Dirichlet space*, in: Advances in Multivariate Approximation, ed. W. Haussmann et al., Wiley, Berlin, 1999, pp. 307-319.
14. H. Wallin, *On the existence of boundary values of a class of Beppo Levi functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **120** (1965), 510-525. MR **32**:5911

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY COLLEGE DUBLIN, DUBLIN 4, IRELAND  
*E-mail address*: [stephen.gardiner@ucd.ie](mailto:stephen.gardiner@ucd.ie)