

ON THE REGULARITY OF SOLUTIONS TO FULLY
NONLINEAR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS
VIA THE LIOUVILLE PROPERTY

QINGBO HUANG

(Communicated by David S. Tartakoff)

ABSTRACT. We show that any $C^{1,1}$ solution to the uniformly elliptic equation $F(D^2u) = 0$ must belong to $C^{2,\alpha}$, if the equation has the Liouville property.

§1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider the interior regularity of solutions to the following fully nonlinear elliptic equation:

$$(1) \quad F(D^2u) = 0.$$

We assume that F is uniformly elliptic, i.e., there exist constants $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda$ such that

$$(2) \quad \lambda\|N\| \leq F(M + N) - F(M) \leq \Lambda\|N\|, \quad \text{for } M, N \in \mathcal{S}, N \geq 0,$$

where \mathcal{S} denotes the space of real $n \times n$ symmetric matrices and $\|N\|$ denotes the norm of N .

For simplicity, we also assume that $F(0) = 0$.

There have been a number of works concerning equation (1). For instance, see [CC], [GT], [K] and the references cited there. When F is a concave or convex functional, it is well known that the Evans-Krylov estimate

$$[D^2u]_{C^\alpha(B_{1/2})} \leq C\|u\|_{C^{1,1}(B_1)}$$

holds, and $C^{1,1}$ viscosity solutions of (1) are $C^{2,\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$.

On the contrary, in the case when F is not concave nor convex, $C^{1,1}$ viscosity solutions of (1) may not be in the C^2 class. This has recently been shown by Nadirashvili in [N] in which he found a $C^{1,1}$ viscosity solution u to the equation $F(D^2u) = 0$ where F is smooth, uniformly elliptic and u is not C^2 . Therefore, it would be interesting to know under what condition a $C^{1,1}$ solution of (1) is actually in the C^2 class.

It is our purpose in this paper to show that any $C^{1,1}$ viscosity solution of (1) must be $C^{2,\alpha}$ if the elliptic operator F has the Liouville property.

A continuous function $u(x)$ is said to be a viscosity subsolution (*resp.*, supersolution) of (1) in a domain Ω if for $x_0 \in \Omega$ and $\phi(x) \in C^2$, $u - \phi$ attains the local

Received by the editors September 20, 1999.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35J60; Secondary 35B65.

Key words and phrases. Fully nonlinear elliptic equation, regularity, Liouville property, VMO.

maximum (*resp.*, minimum) at x_0 , then $F(D^2\phi(x_0)) \geq 0$ (*resp.*, ≤ 0). If u is both a subsolution and a supersolution, then we say u is a viscosity solution. We mention that if $u \in C^{1,1}$, then u is a viscosity solution of (1) if and only if u is a strong solution to (1).

Equation (1) or F is said to satisfy the Liouville property if $u \in C_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is an entire viscosity solution of (1) with bounded D^2u in \mathbf{R}^n , $|D^2u| \leq C$, then u must be a polynomial of degree at most 2.

Let $B_r(x_0) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |x - x_0| < r\}$.

Now we state the main theorem.

Theorem. *Suppose that $F \in C^1$ satisfies (2) and $F(0) = 0$. Let $u \in C^{1,1}(B_1(0))$ be a viscosity solution of (1) in $B_1(0)$. If equation (1) satisfies the Liouville property, then for any $0 < \alpha < 1$, $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(B_{1/2}(0))$ and $[D^2u]_{C^\alpha(B_{1/2}(0))} \leq C$, where C depends only on $n, \lambda, \Lambda, \alpha, \|u\|_{C^{1,1}(B_1(0))}, F$, and the modulus of continuity of DF .*

§2. THE PROOF OF THE THEOREM

We will use the blow-up technique to prove the Theorem. The tool needed to obtain a subsequence of blow-up solutions converging in $W_{loc}^{2,2}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is the $W^{2,\delta}$ estimate for nondivergent uniform elliptic equations. For the convenience of our readers, let us give a little more preliminary information.

Recall that $u \in \text{BMO}(\Omega)$ is in $\text{VMO}(\Omega)$ if

$$\eta_u(R, \Omega) = \sup_{\substack{x_0 \in \Omega \\ 0 < r \leq R}} \int_{B_r(x_0) \cap \Omega} |u(x) - u_{x_0,r}| dx \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } R \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\int_A f dx$ denotes the average of f over A and $u_{x_0,r}$ the average of u over $B_r(x_0) \cap \Omega$. We will call η_u the VMO modulus of u in Ω .

Now let us recall the class \mathcal{S} of solutions of uniformly elliptic equations. For more details, see [CC]. Let $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda,\Lambda}$ denote all symmetric matrices whose eigenvalues belong to $[\lambda, \Lambda]$. Define Pucci extremal operators $M^+(M)$ and $M^-(M)$ by

$$M^+(M) = \sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}_{\lambda,\Lambda}} \text{trace}(AM),$$

$$M^-(M) = \inf_{A \in \mathcal{A}_{\lambda,\Lambda}} \text{trace}(AM),$$

for $M \in \mathcal{S}$. It is easy to check that M^+ and M^- are uniformly elliptic operators. A continuous function u is in class \mathcal{S} if $M^-(D^2u) \leq 0$ and $M^+(D^2u) \geq 0$ in the viscosity sense.

The following result on precompact sets in L^p is a local variant of Theorem 3.44 in [A].

Proposition 1. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbf{R}^n and \mathcal{A} a bounded subset of $L^p(\Omega)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. For any domain $D \subset \subset \Omega$, if*

$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{A}} \int_D |u(x+h) - u(x)|^p dx \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } |h| \rightarrow 0,$$

then \mathcal{A} is precompact in $L^p(D)$.

Now let us prove the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Assume that F satisfies (2) and $F(0) = 0$. Then the following two statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *If $u \in C^{1,1}(B_1(0))$ is a viscosity solution of (1) in $B_1(0)$ and $|D^2u| \leq M$ in $B_1(0)$, then $D^2u \in VMO(B_{1/2}(0))$ and $\eta_{D^2u}(R) \leq \eta(R)$, where $\eta_{D^2u}(R)$ is the VMO modulus of D^2u in $B_{1/2}(0)$, $\lim_{R \rightarrow 0^+} \eta(R) = 0$, and η depends only on n, λ, Λ, F , and M .*
- (ii) *F satisfies the Liouville property.*

Proof. (i) implies (ii). Let $u \in C_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be an entire solution of (1) with $|D^2u| \leq M$ in \mathbf{R}^n . Consider

$$v_k(y) = \frac{u(ky) - u(0) - Du(0)ky}{k^2}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Obviously $\|v_k\|_{C^{1,1}(B_1(0))} \leq C_n M$ and

$$F(D^2v_k) = 0 \quad \text{in } B_1(0).$$

Therefore by (i), for $\rho > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_\rho(0)} |D^2u - (D^2u)_{0,\rho}| dx \\ &= \int_{B_{\frac{\rho}{k}}(0)} |D^2v_k - (D^2v_k)_{0,\frac{\rho}{k}}| dy \\ &\leq \eta_{D^2v_k}\left(\frac{\rho}{k}\right) \leq \eta\left(\frac{\rho}{k}\right) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $D^2u = \text{const}$ in \mathbf{R}^n and hence u is a polynomial of degree at most 2.

Suppose that F satisfies the Liouville property. We want to show (i). Let

$$X_M = \{u \in C^{1,1}(B_1(0)) : F(D^2u) = 0 \text{ and } |D^2u| \leq M \text{ in } B_1(0)\}.$$

To prove that (i) holds, it suffices to show the following claim:

$$(3) \quad \sup_{\substack{u \in X_M \\ x_0 \in B_{1/2}(0) \\ r \leq R}} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |D^2u - (D^2u)_{x_0,r}|^2 dx \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow \infty.$$

We will show (3) by contradiction. If (3) is false, then there exist $\varepsilon_0 > 0, r_k \rightarrow 0, x_k \in B_{1/2}(0), u_k \in X_M$ such that for $k \geq 1$

$$\int_{B_{r_k}(x_k)} |D^2u_k - (D^2u_k)_{x_k,r_k}|^2 dx \geq \varepsilon_0.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} T_k y &= x_k + r_k y, & \Omega_k &= T_k^{-1} B_1(0); \\ v_k(y) &= \frac{u_k(x_k + r_k y) - u_k(x_k) - Du_k(x_k)r_k y}{r_k^2}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that

$$F(D^2v_k) = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega_k.$$

$$(4) \quad \int_{B_1(0)} |D^2v_k - (D^2v_k)_{0,1}|^2 dy \geq \varepsilon_0.$$

$$(5) \quad \|v_k\|_{C^{1,1}(B_{2A}(0))} \leq C_{n,A} M, \quad \text{if } B_{2Ar_k}(x_k) \subset B_1(0).$$

Now we want to show $\{D^2v_k\}$ is precompact in L^2 . By [CC] (see Prop. 5.5)

$$\Delta_{\tau e}v_k(y) = \frac{v_k(y + \tau e) - v_k(y)}{\tau} \in \mathcal{S}, \quad \text{in } B_{3A/2}(0), |e| = 1.$$

By the $W^{2,\delta}$ estimate ($\delta > 0$) (see Prop. 7.4, [CC]) for functions in \mathcal{S}

$$\int_{B_A(0)} |D^2\Delta_{\tau e}v_k|^\delta(y) dy \leq C_A \|\Delta_{\tau e}v_k\|_{L^\infty(B_{3A/2}(0))}^\delta \leq C_{A,M}M^\delta,$$

where δ and C_A are independent of k .

Therefore, by (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_A(0)} |D^2v_k(y + \tau e) - D^2v_k(y)|^2 dy \\ & \leq C \|D^2v_k\|_{L^\infty(B_{2A}(0))}^{2-\delta} \int_{B_A(0)} |D^2v_k(y + \tau e) - D^2v_k(y)|^\delta dy \\ & \leq C\tau^\delta. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 1, this fact together with

$$\|D^2v_k\|_{L^2(B_{2A}(0))} \leq C_A$$

implies that $\{D^2v_k\}$ is precompact in $L^2(B_A(0))$. Since $v_k(0) = 0, Dv_k(0) = 0$, and $\|v_k\|_{C^{1,1}(B_{2A}(0))} \leq C_A$, we may assume that by the diagonalizing process

$$\begin{aligned} v_k & \longrightarrow v, & \text{in } W_{loc}^{2,2}(\mathbf{R}^n) \cap C_{loc}^1(\mathbf{R}^n), \\ D^2v_k & \longrightarrow D^2v, & \text{a.e. in } \mathbf{R}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $F(D^2v) = 0$ in \mathbf{R}^n . Since $\|D^2v_k\|_{L^\infty(B_A(0))} \leq \|D^2u_k\|_{L^\infty(B_1(0))} \leq M, |D^2v| \leq M$ in \mathbf{R}^n . By the Liouville property, v must be a polynomial of degree at most 2, and hence $D^2v = \text{const}$. This contradicts the following:

$$\int_{B_1(0)} |D^2v - (D^2v)_{0,1}|^2 dy = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_1(0)} |D^2v_k - (D^2v_k)_{0,1}|^2 dy \geq \varepsilon_0.$$

Thus, Lemma 1 follows. □

Lemma 2. *Let $F \in C^1$ satisfy (2) and $F(0) = 0$. If u is a viscosity solution of (1) in $B_1(0)$ and $D^2u \in VMO(B_1(0))$, then for any $0 < \alpha < 1, u \in C^{2,\alpha}(B_{1/2}(0))$ and $[D^2u]_{C^{2,\alpha}(B_{1/2}(0))} \leq C$, where C depends on $n, \alpha, \lambda, \Lambda$, the modulus of continuity of $DF, \|u\|_{C^1(B_1(0))}$ and the VMO modulus of D^2u .*

Proof. By differentiating (1), we obtain

$$(6) \quad a_{ij}(x)D_{ij}\Delta_{he}u(x) = 0, \quad \text{in } B_{3/4}(0),$$

where $\Delta_{he}u(x) = [u(x + he) - u(x)]/h, h < \frac{1}{4}, |e| = 1$, and

$$a_{ij}(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial F}{\partial M_{ij}}((1 - \theta)D^2u(x) + \theta D^2u(x + he)) d\theta.$$

Let $u_h(x) = u(x + he)$ and

$$c_{ij} = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial F}{\partial M_{ij}}((1 - \theta)(D^2u)_{x_0,r} + \theta(D^2u_h)_{x_0,r}) d\theta.$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that the continuity modulus of DF denoted by $\omega(R)$ is concave. Obviously by Jensen's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_r(x_0)} |a_{ij}(x) - c_{ij}| dx \\ & \leq \int_{B_r(x_0)} \int_0^1 \omega[(1-\theta)(D^2u - (D^2u)_{x_0,r}) + \theta(D^2u_h - (D^2u_h)_{x_0,r})] d\theta dx \\ & \leq \omega(\eta_{D^2u}(r)) \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } r \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $a_{ij} \in \text{VMO}(B_{3/4}(0))$. Since (2) holds, $\lambda'I \leq (a_{ij}) \leq \Lambda'I$ and (6) is uniformly elliptic. By the L^p estimate in [CFL], we obtain

$$\|\Delta_{he}u\|_{W^{2,p}(B_{1/2}(0))} \leq C\|\Delta_{he}u\|_{L^\infty(B_{3/4}(0))}.$$

Thus, we finish the proof of Lemma 2. \square

The Theorem follows immediately from Lemma 1 and Lemma 2.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author expresses his gratitude to Professor L. Caffarelli for discussions on several occasions. The author also thanks the referee for some suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [A] T. Aubin, *Nonlinear Analysis on Manifolds. Monge-Ampère Equations*, Springer-Verlag, 1982. MR **85j**:58002
- [CC] L. Caffarelli & X. Cabré, *Fully nonlinear elliptic equations*, AMS Colloquium Publications V. 43, AMS, Rhode Island, 1993. MR **96h**:35046
- [CFL] F. Chiarenza, M. Frasca & P. Longo, *$W^{2,p}$ -solvability of the Dirichlet Problem for Nondivergence Elliptic Equations with VMO Coefficients*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **336** (1993), 841-853. MR **93f**:35232
- [GT] D. Gilbarg & N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order, 2nd edition*, Springer-Verlag, 1983. MR **86c**:35035
- [Gi] M. Giaquinta & J. Nečas, *On the Regularity of Weak Solutions to Nonlinear Elliptic Systems of Partial Differential Equations*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **316** (1980), 140-159. MR **81m**:35056
- [H] Q. Huang, *Estimates on the Generalized Morrey Spaces $L_\phi^{2,\lambda}$ and BMO_ψ for Linear Elliptic Systems*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **45** (1996), 397-439. MR **97i**:35033
- [K] N. V. Krylov, *Nonlinear Elliptic and Parabolic Equations of Second Order*, Mathematics and its Applications, Reidel, 1987. MR **88d**:35005
- [N] N. Nadirashvili, *Nonclassical Solutions to Fully Nonlinear Elliptic Equations*, Preprint.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN, AUSTIN, TEXAS 78712

E-mail address: qhuang@math.utexas.edu

Current address: Department of Mathematics & Statistics, Wright State University, Dayton, Ohio 45435

E-mail address: qhuang@math.wright.edu