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Abstract. We use a technique of Szankowski to construct operator Hilbert
spaces that do not have the operator approximation property, including an
example in a noncommutative $L_p$ space for $p \neq 2$.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

A Banach space $X$ has the approximation property, or AP, if the identity op-
erator on $X$ can be approximated uniformly on compact subsets of $X$ by linear
operators of finite rank. In the 50’s, Grothendieck [G] investigated this property
and found several equivalent statements. For example, he proved that $X$ has the
AP if the natural map $J : X^* \hat{\otimes} X \to X^* \hat{\otimes} X$ is one-to-one ($\hat{\otimes}$ is the projective
tensor product of Banach spaces and $\hat{\otimes}$ is the injective tensor product of Banach
spaces). However, it remained unknown if every Banach space had the AP until
Enflo [E] constructed the first counterexample in the early 70’s. In [S], Szankowski
gave a very explicit example of a subspace of $\ell_p$, $1 < p < 2$, without the AP. He
considered $X = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus (2^p))$, which is isomorphic to $\ell_p$, and defined $Z$ to be the
closed span of some vectors of length six. He then used a clever combinatorial argu-
ment to exploit the difference between the 2-norm of the blocks and the $p$-norm of
the sum to prove that $Z$ fails the approximation property. Szankowski’s technique
is fairly general. In the second section of this paper we will use it to show that the
$\ell_2$-sum (as defined in [P2]) of row operator spaces has a subspace without the oper-
ator space version of the approximation property, or OAP. Since this subspace is a
Hilbert space at the Banach space level, it has the Banach approximation property
and even a basis. Thus, this is an example of an operator space with the AP but
without the OAP. This answers a question of J. Kraus. Furthermore, this is also
the first example of an operator space with a basis but without a complete basis.
M. Junge suggested that a similar construction using rows in the Schatten $p$-class
$S_p$ and Rademacher functions in $L_p[0, 1]$ could lead to an example of a Hilbertian
subspace of $L_p[S_p]$ failing the OAP. In the third section we verify that this is indeed
possible. These are new examples of Hilbertian subspaces of noncommutative $L_p$
spaces that are not completely complemented, even if $p > 2$.

An operator space $E$ is a Banach space $E$ with an isometric embedding into
$B(H)$, the set of all bounded operators on a Hilbert space $H$. Or, equivalently, an
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operator space $E$ is a closed subspace of $B(H)$. If $E \subset B(H_1)$ and $F \subset B(H_2)$ are operator spaces, their minimal tensor product $E \otimes_{\min} F$ is the closure of the algebraic tensor product $E \otimes F$ in $B(H_1 \otimes_2 H_2)$. A linear map $u : E \to F$ is completely bounded, or cb, if for every operator space $G$, the map $1_G \otimes u : G \otimes_{\min} E \to G \otimes_{\min} F$ is bounded. The completely bounded norm of $u$, or $\|u\|_{cb}$, is the supremum of $\|1_G \otimes u\|$, where $G$ runs over all operator spaces $G$. It turns out that it is enough to verify that $1_G \otimes u$ is bounded when $G$ is $K(\ell_2)$, the set of all compact operators on the Hilbert space $\ell_2$, and that $\|u\|_{cb} = \|1_{K(\ell_2)} \otimes u\|$. The set of all cb-maps from $E$ to $F$ is denoted by $CB(E,F)$. Independently of each other, Blecher and Paulsen [BP] and Effros and Ruan [ER1] gave $E^*$, the Banach space dual of $E$, an operator space structure that gives $E^* \otimes_{\min} F$ the norm induced by $CB(E,F)$. This indicates that the minimal tensor product is the operator space analogue of the injective tensor product of Banach spaces. In the same papers, Blecher and Paulsen [BP] and Effros and Ruan [ER1] introduced the operator space analogue of the projective tensor product. This is denoted by $E \varepsilon F$ and satisfies $(E \varepsilon F)^* = CB(E,F^*)$. We refer to [ER3], [J], and [P3] for more information about operator spaces.

It is well known that the compact subsets of a Banach space $X$ are contained in the convex hull of null sequences in $X$. Since there is a correspondence between null sequences in $X$ and elements of $c_0 \varepsilon X$, it is easy to see that $X$ has the AP iff for every $u \in c_0 \varepsilon X$ and every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a finite rank operator on $X$ such that $\|u - (I \varepsilon T)(u)\| < \epsilon$. Based on this observation, Effros and Ruan [ER2] said that an operator space $V$ has the operator approximation property, or OAP, if for every $u \in K(H) \otimes_{\min} V$ and every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a finite rank operator $T$ on $V$ such that $\|u - (I \varepsilon T)(u)\| < \epsilon$. They proved that an operator space $V$ has the OAP if and only if the natural map $J : V^* \varepsilon V \to V^* \otimes_{\min} V$ is one-to-one.

The following criterion allows us to check that $J$ is not one-to-one, when $V$ fails the OAP.

**Enflo’s Criterion.** If there exists a sequence of finite rank operators $\beta_n \in V^* \varepsilon V$ satisfying:

(i) trace($\beta_n$) = 1 for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
(ii) $\|\beta_n\|_{V^* \otimes_{\min} V} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, and
(iii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}\|_{V^* \varepsilon V} < \infty$,

then $V$ does not have the OAP.

Indeed, $\beta = \beta_1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \beta_n - \beta_{n-1} = \lim_{n} \beta_n$ belongs to $V^* \varepsilon V$ by (iii). $J\beta = 0$ by (ii). And since $tr(\beta) = 1$, $\beta$ is not zero. Hence $J$ is not one-to-one and $V$ fails the OAP.

2. An example using complex interpolation

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\Delta_n$ be a partition of $\sigma_n = \{2^n, 2^n + 1, 2^n + 2, \ldots, 2^{n+1} - 1\}$. Then $\{B \in \Delta_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a partition of $\mathbb{N}$. For each $B \in \Delta_n$, let $R_B$ be the row Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $\{e_j : j \in B\}$. We define $X$ to be the $\ell_2$-sum of these row spaces. More precisely, $X$ is the complex interpolation space between $(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{B \in \Delta_n} \varepsilon R_B)_{\infty}$ and $(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{B \in \Delta_n} \varepsilon R_B)_1$ of parameter $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ (see [P2], page 34). That is,

$$X = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{B \in \Delta_n} \varepsilon R_B\right)_{\ell_2} = \left(\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{B \in \Delta_n} \varepsilon R_B\right)_{\infty},\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{B \in \Delta_n} \varepsilon R_B\right)_1\right)_{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
At the Banach space level, $X$ is a Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $\{e_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. But at the operator space level, $X$ is a combination of row Hilbert spaces and $OH$, the self-dual operator Hilbert space introduced by Pisier in [P1]. If $A \subset \mathbb{N}$, let $X_A = \text{span}(e_i : i \in A) \subset X$. It follows from the definition of $X$ that if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A \subset B$ for some $B \in \Delta_n$, then $X_A$ is completely isometric to $R_A$, the row Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $\{e_i : i \in A\}$. And if for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $A$ has at most one point from each element in $\Delta_n$ (i.e., \text{card}(A \cap B) \leq 1$ for every $B \in \Delta_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$), then $X_A$ is completely isometric to $OH_A$.

Let $Z$ be the closed subspace of $X$ spanned by

$$z_i = e_{2i} - e_{2i+1} + e_{4i+1} + e_{4i+2} + e_{4i+3}, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots .$$

**Theorem 1.** With the appropriate selection of $\Delta_n$, $Z$ does not have the OAP.

For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $z_i^* = \frac{1}{2}(e_{2i}^* - e_{2i+1}^*)$, where the $e_i^*$’s are biorthogonal to the $e_i$’s. Then let

$$\beta_n = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i \in \sigma_n} z_i^* \otimes z_i \quad \text{for } n \geq 2.$$

We need to check that the $\beta_n$’s satisfy the conditions of Enflo’s criterion.

**Condition (i)**

This is trivially verified. Since $z_i^*(z_i) = 1$ for every $i \geq 1$, we see that $\text{trace}(\beta_n) = (1/2^n) \sum_{i \in \sigma_n} z_i^*(z_i) = (1/2^n) |\sigma_n| = 1$.

**Condition (ii)**

Since $\|\beta_n\|_{Z \otimes_{\text{min}} Z} \leq \|\beta_n\|_{cb} = \|\beta_n\|_{cb}$, we will estimate the cb-norm of $\beta_n : X \rightarrow X$. However, it follows from the definition of $\beta_n$ that we only need to estimate the cb-norm of $\beta_n : X_{\sigma_{n+1}} \rightarrow X_{\sigma_{n+1} \cup \sigma_{n+2}}$ where $X_{\sigma_k} = \text{span}(e_i : i \in \sigma_k)$. Let $I_1 : X_{\sigma_{n+1}} \rightarrow R_{\sigma_{n+1}}$ and $I_2 : R_{\sigma_{n+1} \cup \sigma_{n+2}} \rightarrow X_{\sigma_{n+1} \cup \sigma_{n+2}}$ be the formal identity maps, and let $\tilde{\beta}_n : R_{\sigma_{n+1}} \rightarrow R_{\sigma_{n+1} \cup \sigma_{n+2}}$ be $\tilde{\beta}_n = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i \in \sigma_n} z_i^* \otimes z_i$ (that is, $\tilde{\beta}_n$ has the same matrix representation as $\beta_n$, but it is defined on row operator spaces).

Then $\beta_n = I_2 \circ \tilde{\beta}_n \circ I_1$. Since the $z_i$’s, $i \in \sigma_n$, have disjoint support, the $z_i^*$’s, $i \in \sigma_n$, also have disjoint support, and the row spaces are homogeneous, it is easy to see that $\|\tilde{\beta}_n\|_{cb} = \|\tilde{\beta}_n\| = \frac{1}{2^n+1} \sqrt{3}$. We will prove condition (ii) by checking that

$$\|I_1\|_{cb} \|I_2\|_{cb} \leq \sqrt{2^n+2}.$$

From the definition of $X$, we see that $X_{\sigma_{n+1}}$ is equal to $(\sum_{B \in \Delta_{n+1}} \oplus R_B)_{\ell_2}$, the complex interpolation space $\left((\sum_{B \in \Delta_{n+1}} \oplus R_B)_{\ell_2}, (\sum_{B \in \Delta_{n+1}} \oplus R_B)_{\ell_1}\right)^\perp$. It is easy to check that $\|I_1 : (\sum_{B \in \Delta_{n+1}} \oplus R_B)_{\ell_2} \rightarrow R_{\sigma_{n+1}}\|_{cb} \leq \sqrt{|\Delta_{n+1}|}$ and that $\|I_1 : (\sum_{B \in \Delta_{n+1}} \oplus R_B)_{\ell_1} \rightarrow R_{\sigma_{n+1}}\|_{cb} \leq 1$. Therefore $\|I_1\|_{cb} \leq \sqrt{|\Delta_{n+1}|}$.

Similarly, $\|I_2\|_{cb} \leq \sqrt{|\Delta_{n+1} + |\Delta_{n+2}|}\frac{1}{2}$. Since $|\Delta_k| \leq 2^k$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we see that $\|I_1\|_{cb} \|I_2\|_{cb} \leq \sqrt{2^n+2}$.

**Condition (iii)**

Using the fact that $z_i^* = \frac{1}{2}(e_{4i}^* + e_{4i+1}^* + e_{4i+2}^* + e_{4i+3}^*)$ on $Z$, we get that

$$\beta_n - \beta_{n-1} = \frac{1}{2^n+1} \sum_{i \in \sigma_n} (e_{4i}^* - e_{2i+1}^*) \otimes (e_{2i} - e_{2i+1} + e_{4i} + e_{4i+1} + e_{4i+2} + e_{4i+3})$$

$$- \frac{1}{2^n+1} \sum_{i \in \sigma_{n-1}} (e_{4i}^* + e_{4i+1}^* + e_{4i+2}^* + e_{4i+3}^*) \otimes (e_{2i} - e_{2i+1} + e_{4i} + e_{4i+1} + e_{4i+2} + e_{4i+3})$$
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Szankowski defined nine functions $f_k : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, $k \leq 9$, to index these vectors. Let $n = 4i + l$ and $l = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Then $f_{1}(4i + l) = 2i$ and $f_{2}(4i + l) = 2i + 1$. For $k = 3, 4, 5$, $f_{k}(4i + l) = 2i$. For $l = 0, 1$, $f_{6}(4i + l) = 8i$, $f_{7}(4i + l) = 8i + 1$, $f_{8}(4i + l) = 8i + 2$, and $f_{9}(4i + l) = 8i + 3$. And finally, for $l = 2, 3$, $f_{6}(4i + l) = 8i + 4$, $f_{7}(4i + l) = 8i + 5$, $f_{8}(4i + l) = 8i + 6$, and $f_{9}(4i + l) = 8i + 7$. Then we have

$$\beta_n - \beta_{n-1} = \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \sum_{j \in \sigma_{n+1}} e_j^* \otimes y_j,$$

where $y_j = \sum_{k=1}^{9} \lambda_{j,k} e_{f_{k}(j)} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Recall that eight of the $\lambda_{j,k}$'s have absolute value equal to one, and one has absolute value equal to 2.

The following lemma of Szankowski provides the key combinatorial argument (see [S] and [LT], page 108).

**Lemma 2** (Szankowski). There exist partitions $\Delta_n$ and $\nabla_n$ of $\sigma_n$ into disjoint sets, and a sequence $m_n \geq 2^\frac{3}{2} - 2$, $n = 2, 3, \ldots$, so that
1. $V \in \nabla_n$, $m_n \leq \text{card}(A) \leq 2m_n$,
2. $V \in \nabla_n$, $\forall B \in \Delta_n$, $\text{card}(A \cap B) \leq 1$,
3. $V \in \nabla_n$, $\forall 1 \leq k \leq 9$, $f_{k}(A)$ is contained in an element of $\Delta_{n-1}$, $\Delta_n$, or $\Delta_{n+1}$.

(Notice that $f_{k}(\sigma_n) \subset \sigma_{n-1}$ for $k = 1, 2$, $f_{k}(\sigma_n) \subset \sigma_n$ for $k = 3, 4, 5$, and $f_{k}(\sigma_n) \subset \sigma_{n+1}$ for $k = 6, 7, 8, 9$.)

Since $\nabla_{n+1}$ is a partition of $\sigma_{n+1}$, we have that

$$\beta_n - \beta_{n-1} = \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \sum_{A \in \nabla_{n+1}} \left[ \sum_{j \in A} e_j^* \otimes y_j \right].$$

**Lemma 3.** For every $A \in \nabla_{n+1}$, $\| \sum_{j \in A} e_j^* \otimes y_j \|_{Z^* \otimes \mathbb{Z}} \leq 18(\text{card}(A))^{\frac{3}{2}}$.

The last condition of Enflo’s criterion follows immediately from (1), Lemma 2, and Lemma 3. Indeed,

$$\| \beta_n - \beta_{n-1} \|_{Z^* \otimes \mathbb{Z}} \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \text{card}(\nabla_{n+1}) 18 \left( \max_{A \in \nabla_{n+1}} \text{card}(A) \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \frac{2^{n+1}}{m_{n+1}} 18(2m_{n+1})^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq \frac{36}{m_{n+1}^2},$$

which is clearly summable.

We only need to prove Lemma 3. For this, we need the result of Pisier (see remark 2.11 of [PT]) that $CB(R_n, OH_n) = S^n_4$, where $S^n_4$ is the Schatten 4-class.
Consequently, if \( S : OH_n \to R_n \), then \( \| S \|_{OH_n \otimes R_n} = \| S \|_{s_n^{3/4}} \). In particular, if \( I : OH_n \to R_n \) is the formal identity, \( \| I \|_{OH_n \otimes R_n} = n^{3/4} \).

**Proof of Lemma 3.** The element \( \gamma = \sum_{j \in A} e^*_j \otimes y_j \in X^* \hat{\otimes} Z \) induces a finite rank map \( \gamma : X \to Z \). The restriction of \( \gamma \) to \( Z \) is the map \( \alpha = \gamma|_Z : Z \to Z \), which clearly satisfies \( \alpha = \sum_{j \in A} \| e_j^* \otimes y_j \in Z^* \hat{\otimes} Z \), where \( q = (\iota_Z)^* : X^* \to Z^* \) is the adjoint of the inclusion \( \iota_Z : Z \to X \). Since \( (Z^* \hat{\otimes} Z)^* = CB(Z^*, Z^*) \), we have that \( \| \alpha \|_{Z^* \hat{\otimes} Z} = \sup \{ |\langle T, \alpha \rangle| : T : Z^* \to Z^*, \| T \|_{cb} \leq 1 \} \), where \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) is the trace duality.

We will see that we can factor \( \alpha \) through the formal identity map \( I : OH_A \to R_A \), where \( R_A \) is the row Hilbert space with basis \( \{ \delta_j : j \in A \} \). Recall that the projective tensor norm of \( I : OH_A \to R_A \), viewed as an element of \( OH_A \otimes R_A \), is equal to \( (\text{card}(A))^{\frac{2}{3}} \).

Let \( \Psi : R_A \to Z \) be the map defined by \( \Psi(\delta_j) = y_j \). We claim that \( \| \Psi \|_{cb} \leq 18 \). Indeed, if \( a_j \in B(\mathcal{H}) \) for \( j \in A \), then

\[
\sum_{j \in A} a_j \otimes y_j = \sum_{j \in A} a_j \otimes \sum_{k=1}^9 \lambda_{j,k} e_{f_k(j)} = \sum_{j \in A} \sum_{k=1}^9 \lambda_{j,k} a_j \otimes e_{f_k(j)}.
\]

It follows from (3) of Lemma 2 that \( \{ f_k(j) : j \in A \} \subseteq B \) for some \( B \in \Delta_n, \Delta_{n+1}, \) or \( \Delta_{n+2} \). Then the definition of \( X \) implies that the span of the \( e_{f_k(j)} \)'s for \( j \in A \) is a row operator space. Hence,

\[
\left\| \sum_{j \in A} a_j \otimes y_j \right\| \leq (9)(2)\left\| \sum_{j \in A} a_j a_j^* \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} = 18\left\| \sum_{j \in A} a_j \otimes \delta_j \right\|.
\]

Let \( X_A = \text{span}\{ e_j : j \in A \} \). By (2) of Lemma 2, all the elements of \( A \) belong to different elements of the partition \( \Delta_{n+1} \). This implies that \( X_A \) is completely isometric to \( OH_A \). Let \( P_A : X \to X_A \) be the completely contractive projection onto \( X_A \), and let \( I : X_A \to R_A \) be the formal identity. Then we have that

\[
\alpha = \Psi \circ I \circ P_A \circ \iota_Z.
\]

If \( T : Z^* \to Z^* \) is completely bounded, then

\[
|\langle T, \alpha \rangle| = |tr(T^* \circ \alpha)| = |tr(T^* \circ \Psi \circ I \circ P_A \circ \iota_Z)| = |tr(P_A \circ \iota_Z \circ T^* \circ \Psi \circ I)|
\leq \| P_A \circ \iota_Z \circ T^* \circ \Psi \|_{cb} \| I \|_{OH_A \otimes R_A} \leq 18\| T \|_{cb} (\text{card}(A))^{\frac{2}{3}}.
\]

This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.

**Remarks.** We can replace the \( \ell_2 \) sum of \( R_n \)'s by the \( \ell_2 \) sum of \( C_n \)'s or by the \( \ell_2 \) sum of any other family of homogeneous Hilbert spaces which is “far” from \( OH \) (e.g., \( R_p^n = (R_n, C_n)^{\frac{1}{p}} \), \( p \neq 2 \)). The same proof gives that all of these spaces have subspaces failing the operator approximation property. We can also replace the \( \ell_2 \) sum of \( R_n \)'s by the \( \ell_p \) sum of \( R_n \)'s. However, when \( p \neq 2 \), then \( X \) is no longer a Hilbert space. The subspace \( Z \) of \( X \) will fail the operator approximation property, but Szankowski's theorem actually gives that \( Z \) already fails the Banach approximation property.
3. An Example in Noncommutative $L_p$\

We recall standard facts about noncommutative $L_p$ spaces. $S_p$ is the Schatten $p$-class on $\ell_2$ with the operator space structure induced by the complex interpolation $S_p = (S_\infty, S_1)_{\frac{1}{p}}$ (see [P2]). $R_p = \text{span}\{e_{1n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is the row of $S_p$, and $C_p = \text{span}\{e_{n1} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is the column of $S_p$. Both of them are taken with the operator space structure they inherit from $S_p$. It follows from Lemma 1.7 of [P2] that the operator space structure of any operator space $E$ is determined by $S_p[E]$, the noncommutative $E$-valued $S_p$-space. In particular, the operator space structures of $R_p$ and $S_p$ are determined by $S_p[R_p]$ and $S_p[C_p]$. That is, if $a_n \in S_p$ is a finite family, then

$$\left\| \sum_n a_n \otimes e_{1n} \right\|_{S_p[R_p]} = \left\| \left( \sum_n a_n a_n^* \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{S_p}$$

and

$$\left\| \sum_n a_n \otimes e_{n1} \right\|_{S_p[C_p]} = \left\| \left( \sum_n a_n^* a_n \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{S_p}.$$ 

We will also consider $R_p^n$ and $C_p^n$, the row and column of $S_p^n$; and more generally, if $B$ is a subset of $\mathbb{N}$, $R_p^B$ and $C_p^B$ are the row and column of $S_p^B$, the Schatten $p$-class on the Hilbert space with orthonormal basis indexed by $B$.

The operator space structure of $L_p[0,1]$ is determined by $S_p[L_p]$. We note that by Proposition 2.1 of [P2], $S_p[L_p]$ is completely isometric to the more familiar $L_p[S_p]$. We will consider $R_p \subset L_p[0,1]$, the subspace generated by the Rademacher functions $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, with the operator space structure inherited from $L_p$. It is known that the operator space structure of $R_p$ is determined by the noncommutative Khintchine’s inequalities of [L-P] and [L-PP]. If $p \geq 2$, $R_p$ is completely isomorphic to $R_p \cap C_p$ and $R_p^\alpha$ is completely isomorphic to $R_p^\alpha \cap C_p^\alpha$, with a constant depending only on $p$. If $1 \leq p \leq 2$, $R_p$ is completely isomorphic to $R_p + C_p$ and $R_p^\alpha$ is completely isomorphic to $R_p^\alpha + C_p^\alpha$, with a constant depending only on $p$ (see [P2], Section 8.4, for details).

Recall that the classical Khintchine’s inequality states that there exist constants $A_p$ and $B_p$ such that for any square summable sequence $(\alpha_n)$

$$A_p \left\| \sum_n \alpha_n e_n \right\|_2 \leq \left\| \sum_n \alpha_n e_n \right\|_p \leq B_p \left\| \sum_n \alpha_n e_n \right\|_2. \tag{2}$$

We will now construct a Hilbertian subspace of $L_p[S_p]$ as a combination of Rademacher functions in $L_p$ and the row of $S_p$. The idea is to put a finite set of Rademacher functions in the $(1,1)$ row of $S_p$, then to put another finite set of Rademacher functions in the $(1,2)$ row of $S_p$, a third finite set of Rademacher functions in the $(1,3)$ row of $S_p$, and so on. At the end we get a space $X$ which plays the same role as the space $X$ of the previous section. To make the construction more precise, recall that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Delta_n$ is a partition of $\sigma_n = \{2^n, 2^n + 1, \ldots, 2^{n+1}-1\}$. Then $\mathcal{P} = \{B \in \Delta_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a partition of $\mathbb{N}$. For convenience, we index the row of $S_p$ by the countable set $\mathcal{P}$. That is, $R_p = \text{span}\{e_{1B} : B \in \mathcal{P}\}$. Define $X = \text{span}\{e_{1B} \otimes e_k : B \in \mathcal{P}, k \in B\} \subset S_p[L_p] = L_p[S_p]$.
Alternatively, define $e_k := e_{1B} \otimes e_k \in S_p[L_p]$, where $B \in \mathcal{P}$ is the element in the partition that contains $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $X$ be the closed span of the $e_k$'s.

**Proposition 4.** At the Banach space level, $X$ is isomorphic to a Hilbert space.

**Proof.** An element $f \in X$ has the form $f = \sum_{B \in \mathcal{P}} e_{1B} \otimes f_B$, where $f_B$ belongs to $\text{span}\{e_k : k \in B\} = R_p^B$. Since $S_p[L_p]$ is completely isometric to $L_p[S_p]$, we view $f : [0,1] \rightarrow S_p$ as an $S_p$-valued function with norm

$$
\|f\|_{L_p[S_p]} = \left( \int_0^1 \|f(t)\|^p_{S_p} \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left[ \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{B \in \mathcal{P}} |f_B(t)|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \, dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}.
$$

If $p \geq 2$, then $\|f\|_{L_p[S_p]} \geq \left( \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{B \in \mathcal{P}} |f_B(t)|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \sqrt{\sum_{B \in \mathcal{P}} \|f_B\|^2_{L^p}}$. To check the opposite direction, we use that $L_p[S_p]$ has type 2, and that $\sum_{B \in \mathcal{P}} e_{1B} \otimes f_B$ is an unconditional sum. Then, $\|f\|_{S_p[L_p]} \leq C \sqrt{\sum_{B \in \mathcal{P}} \|f_B\|^2_{L^p}}$. Since $f_B \in R_p^B$, it follows from (2) that $\|f_B\|_{L^p} \leq \|f_B\|_{L^2}$. Therefore, $\|f\|_{S_p[L_p]}$ is equivalent to $\sqrt{\sum_{B \in \mathcal{P}} \|f_B\|^2_{L^2}}$, which is the norm of a Hilbert space.

The case $1 \leq p < 2$ is similar. We first check that $\|f\|_{L_p[S_p]} \leq \sqrt{\sum_{B \in \mathcal{P}} \|f_B\|^2_{L^p}}$. Then we use the cotype 2 of $L_p[S_p]$ and the Rademacher estimate of (2) to conclude that $\|f\|_{L_p[S_p]} \geq C \sqrt{\sum_{B \in \mathcal{P}} \|f_B\|^2_{L^p}}$.

The operator space structure of $X$ can be described easily. The blocks of $X$ are indexed by $B \in \mathcal{P}$, and they are completely isometric to $\text{span}\{e_k : k \in B\} = R_p^B$. When $p \geq 2$, $R_p^B$ is completely isometric (with constant depending only on $p$) to $R_p^B \cap C_p^B$, and when $1 \leq p \leq 2$, $R_p^B$ is completely isometric to $R_p^B + C_p^B$. Since the sum is taken in an $R_p$ sense, we obtain the following complete isomorphisms:

$$X \approx \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{B \in \Delta_n} \left[ R_p^B \cap C_p^B \right] \right)_{R_p} \quad \text{for } p \geq 2,$n

$$X \approx \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{B \in \Delta_n} \left[ R_p^B + C_p^B \right] \right)_{R_p} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq p \leq 2.$$

To apply Enflo’s criterion, we need the following estimates.

**Lemma 5.** Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then the normed spaces $CB(C_p^n, R_p^n)$, $CB(R_p^n, C_p^n)$, $CB(C_p^n, R_p^n \cap C_p^n)$, and $CB(R_p^n, R_p^n \cap C_p^n)$ are isomorphic to $S_p^n$, the Schatten $r$-class, where $r$ satisfies $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}$.

**Proof.** It is enough to prove that $CB(C_p^n, R_p^n)$ is isomorphic to $S_p^n$. The proof for the second space is similar, and the proof for the last two spaces follows from the first two. By duality, it is enough to assume that $1 \leq p \leq 2$. Let $T \in CB(C_p^n, R_p^n)$. Write $T = UDV$, where $U : R_p^n \rightarrow R_p^n$ and $V : C_p^n \rightarrow C_p^n$ are unitary, and $D : C_p^n \rightarrow R_p^n$ is the diagonal operator $D_{e_{1i}} = \lambda_{e_{1i}}$ with $\lambda_i$ equal to the $i$th singular number of $T$. Since $R_p^n$ and $C_p^n$ are homogeneous Hilbert spaces, we conclude that $\|T\|_{cb} = \|D\|_{cb}$. By Lemma 1.7 of [2],

$$\|D\|_{cb} = \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i \leq n} \lambda_i e_{1i} \otimes a_i \right\|_{R_p^n[S_p]} : a_i \in S_p, \quad i \leq n \right\}.$$
It is well known that, for $1 \leq p \leq 2$, \( \| \sum_{i \leq n} \lambda_i e_{i1} \otimes a_i \| \leq \left( \sum_{i \leq n} |\lambda_i|^p \| a_i \|_{S_p}^{2p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \) and \( \| \sum_{i \leq n} e_{i1} \otimes a_i \| \geq \left( \sum_{i \leq n} \| a_i \|_{S_p}^{2p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \). Moreover, if \( a_i = b_i e_{i1} \in S_p^n \) for some scalars \( b_i \in \mathbb{C} \), the inequalities are attained. Since \( \| a_i \|_{S_p} = |b_i| \), we have
\[
\| D \|_{cb} = \sup \left\{ \left( \sum_{i \leq n} |\lambda_i|^{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} : \sum_{i \leq n} |b_i|^2 \leq 1 \right\} = \left( \sum_{i \leq n} |\lambda_i|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} = \| T \|_{S_p^n}.
\]
This finishes the proof.

Since \( CB(C^n_p, R^n_p \cap C^n_p) \) is the dual of \( (R^n_p)^* \hat{\otimes} (R^n_p + C^n_p) \), it follows from Lemma 5 that for every \( T \in (R^n_p)^* \hat{\otimes} (R^n_p + C^n_p) \) we have \( \| T \|_{(R^n_p)^* \hat{\otimes} (R^n_p + C^n_p)} = \| T \|_{S_p^n} \), where \( \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{p} = 1 \). In particular, if \( T = I_n \) is the “formal” identity map, then
\[
\| I_n \|_{(R^n_p)^* \hat{\otimes} (R^n_p + C^n_p)} = n^{\frac{1}{r}}.
\]
Similarly,
\[
\| I_n \|_{(R^n_p \cap C^n_p)^* \hat{\otimes} R^n_p} = n^{\frac{1}{r}}.
\]

With these estimates, we can follow Szankowski’s construction to obtain the following theorem. We sketch the proof.

**Theorem 6.** For every \( 1 \leq p < \infty, p \neq 2 \), there exists a Hilbertian subspace of \( L_p[S^n_p] \) without the operator approximation property.

Recall that \( X \) is the closed span of \( e_k := e_{1B} \otimes e_k, k \in \mathbb{N} \). For each \( i = 1, 2, \ldots \), let \( z_i = e_{2i} - e_{2i+1} + e_{4i} + e_{4i+1} + e_{4i+2} + e_{4i+3} \) and \( z_i^* = \frac{1}{2}(e_{2i} - e_{2i+1}) \), where the \( e_i^* \)'s are biorthogonal to the \( e_i \)'s. For each \( n \geq 2 \), let \( \beta_n = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i \in \sigma^n_n} z_i^* \otimes z_i \). Finally, let \( Z \) be the closed span of the \( z_i \)'s. The claim is that there exist partitions \( (\Delta_n)_n \) such that \( Z \) fails the OAP. We prove this by checking the three conditions of Enflo’s criterion. The first one is trivial. The second one follows easily. We estimate the cb-norm of \( \beta_n \) through the cb-norm of \( \hat{\beta}_n \), which has the same matrix representation as \( \beta_n \), but is defined on \( R_p \), the row of \( S_p^n \).

We use Szankowski’s partitions of Lemma 2 to check the third condition of Enflo’s criterion for \( 1 \leq p < 2 \). Recall that \( \beta_n - \beta_{n-1} = \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \sum_{j \in \sigma_{n+1}} e_j^* \otimes y_j = \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \sum_{j \in \Delta_{n+1}} \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_{j,k} e_{k+1} \otimes y_j \), where \( y_j = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_{j,k} e_{k+1} \in Z \) for some \( |\lambda_{j,k}| = 1 \) or 2. Let \( A \in \nabla_{n+1} \). It follows from Lemma 2 (2) that \( X_A = \text{span}(e_i : i \in A) \) is completely isomorphic to \( R^n_p \). And if \( \Psi : R^n_p + C^n_p \to Z \) is defined by \( \Psi(\delta_j) = y_j \), it follows from Lemma 2 (3) that \( \| \Psi \|_{cb} \leq 18 \). Note that
\[
\sum_{j \in A} e_j^* \otimes y_j = \sum_{j \in A} e_j^* \otimes \Psi(\delta_j) = (I \otimes \Psi) \sum_{j \in A} e_j^* \otimes \delta_j,
\]
and that \( \sum_{j \in A} e_j^* \otimes \delta_j \) is the “formal” identity map on \( (R^n_p)^* \hat{\otimes} (R^n_p + C^n_p) \). Then it follows from (3) that
\[
\left\| \sum_{j \in A} e_j^* \otimes y_j \right\|_{Z^* \otimes Z} \leq \| \Psi \|_{cb} \left\| \sum_{j \in A} e_j^* \otimes \delta_j \right\|_{(R^n_p)^* \hat{\otimes} (R^n_p + C^n_p)} \leq 18 (\text{card}(A))^{\frac{1}{r}}.
\]
where \( \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{p} = 1 \) and \( \frac{1}{p} = \| \beta_n - \beta_{n-1} \|_{Z^* \otimes Z} < \infty \).

To prove the third condition of Enflo’s criterion for \( p > 2 \), we consider the variation of Lemma 2 described in [LT], page 111. Namely, we find partitions \( \Delta_n \), \( \nabla_n \) such that every \( A \in \nabla_n \) is contained in some element of \( \Delta_n \) while, for every
A ∈ ∇_n, k = 1, ..., 9, and B in ∆_{n+1}, ∆_n, or ∆_{n+1}, card(B ∩ f_k(A)) ≤ 1. Then, if A ∈ ∇_n+1, X_A is completely isomorphic to R^A_p ∩ C^A_p, and if Ψ : R^A_p → Z is defined by Ψ(δ) = y_j, then ∥Ψ∥ ≤ 1. Since \( \sum_{j \in A} e_j^* \otimes \delta_j \) is the “formal” identity map on \( (R^A_p \cap C^A_p)^* \otimes R^A_p \), it follows from (4) that ∥ \( \sum_{j \in A} e_j^* \otimes y_j \) ∥ \( Z^* \otimes Z \) ≤ 18(card(A))^{1/2}, and the third condition of Enflo’s criterion follows.
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