

ON CLASSES OF MAPS WHICH PRESERVE FINITISTICNESS

AKIRA KOYAMA AND MANUEL A. MORON

(Communicated by Alan Dow)

ABSTRACT. We shall prove the following: (1) Let $r : X \rightarrow Y$ be a refinable map between paracompact spaces. Then X is finitistic if and only if Y is finitistic. (2) Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a hereditary shape equivalence between metric spaces. Then if X is finitistic, Y is finitistic.

1. INTRODUCTION

To extend the classical cohomological methods in the study of group actions on compact Hausdorff spaces or finite-dimensional paracompact spaces Swan [Sw] introduced the concept of finitistic spaces and obtained a Smith-type fixed point theorem. Typical results on group actions for finitistic spaces may be found in [B], [De-Si₁], [De-Si-S] and [De-T].

Definition. A space X is said to be finitistic if every open cover of X has an open refinement of finite order.

By the definition, the class of paracompact, finitistic spaces may be considered the natural one combining both compact and finite-dimensional paracompact spaces. Recently from the dimension-theoretical viewpoint several authors investigated finitistic spaces as a kind of infinite-dimensional spaces (cf. [De-P], [De-Si₂], [Dy-M-S], [H1] and [H2]). Moreover, Rubin and Schapiro [Ru-Sc₂] succeeded to show that the class of paracompact, finitistic spaces has a nice role in cohomological dimension theory. Namely

Theorem (Rubin and Schapiro). *Suppose that X is a paracompact, finitistic space and G is a finitely generated abelian group. Then:*

- (1) $\dim_G \beta X = \dim_G X$, where βX is the Stone-Čech compactification of X ,
- (2) if X is separable and metrizable, then X has a metrizable compactification kX with $\dim_G kX = \dim_G X$.

On the other hand, since refinable maps were originally introduced by Ford and Rogers [F-R] to study continuum theory, many authors have found dimension-theoretical properties of refinable maps (cf. [A], [C-V], [G-Ro], [Ka], [Ka-Ko], [Ko1], [Ko2] and [Ko-Sh]). For a refinable map between metric spaces the first

Received by the editors December 12, 2000 and, in revised form, April 24, 2001.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55M10, 54F45.

Key words and phrases. Finitistic spaces, refinable maps, c-refinable maps, hereditary shape equivalences, extension dimension, cohomological dimension.

This work was started when the first author visited Departamento de Geometría y Topología, Facultad de Ciencias Matemáticas, Universidad Complutense de Madrid.

author [Ko2] showed that $\dim X = \dim Y$ and in [Ko-Sh] that $\dim_G X = \dim_G Y$ for any finitely generated abelian group G . In case r is c -refinable, we also have that $K \in AE(X)$ if and only if $K \in AE(Y)$ for any simplicial complex K (see [Ko2], Theorem 1). Recently Chigogidze and Valov [C-V] generalized those results. Namely, *if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a refinable map between metric spaces X and Y , and K is a CW-complex, then $e\text{-dim } X \leq K$ if and only if $e\text{-dim } Y \leq K$* . Note that this result is true for a refinable map between compact Hausdorff spaces.

Similarly, by the definition, we can easily see that *if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a hereditary shape equivalence between metric spaces X and Y , and $e\text{-dim } X \leq K$, where K is a CW-complex, then $e\text{-dim } Y \leq K$* . Therefore hereditary shape equivalences preserve dimension and cohomological dimension. Moreover, they preserve property C (see [A]) and small weak infinite-dimensionality (see [Mi]). Recently Dijkstra [Di] and Dijkstra and Mogilski [Di-Mo] gave an interesting example and results about small transfinite inductive dimension and countable dimensionality. Namely, we can say that hereditary shape equivalences have interesting dimension-theoretical properties.

In this paper, first, we shall show that if $r : X \rightarrow Y$ is a refinable map between paracompact spaces, then X is finitistic if and only if Y is finitistic. We shall note remarks on the extension property of finitistic spaces. Next, we shall show that if $r : X \rightarrow Y$ is a hereditary shape equivalence between metric spaces and X is finitistic, Y is also finitistic. Note that Dydak, Mishra and Shukla [Dy-M-S] discussed several mapping theorems for finitistic spaces.

All spaces considered in this paper are assumed to be *normal* and maps are *continuous*.

2. REFINABLE MAPS AND FINITISTICNESS

Let \mathcal{P} be a class of (not necessarily compact) polyhedra. A space X is said to be \mathcal{P} -like if for every locally finite open cover \mathcal{U} of X there exists a \mathcal{U} -map $\varphi : X \rightarrow P \in \mathcal{P}$, here a \mathcal{U} -map means that each point $z \in P$ has a neighborhood O_z such that $\varphi^{-1}(O_z)$ is contained in an element of \mathcal{U} . It is well-known that a paracompact space X has $\dim X \leq n$ if and only if X is \mathcal{P}_n -like, where \mathcal{P}_n is the class of all polyhedra of dimension $\leq n$. In a similar way we can find a similar characterization of paracompact, finitistic spaces as follows:

Proposition 2.1. *Let \mathcal{P}_f be the class of all finite-dimensional polyhedra. Then a paracompact space X is finitistic if and only if X is \mathcal{P}_f -like.*

A surjective map $r : X \rightarrow Y$ is called *refinable* if for any open cover \mathcal{U} of X and any open cover \mathcal{V} of Y there exists a surjective \mathcal{U} -map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that r and f are \mathcal{V} -close, that is, for every point $x \in X$ there is an element of \mathcal{V} containing both $r(x)$ and $f(x)$, and shortly denoted by $d(r, f) \leq \mathcal{V}$. The map f is called a $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ -refinement of r . When there exists a closed $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ -refinement of r , we say that r is *c-refinable*.

Theorem 2.2. *Let \mathcal{P} be a class of polyhedra and let $r : X \rightarrow Y$ be a refinable map between paracompact spaces. Then X is \mathcal{P} -like if and only if Y is \mathcal{P} -like.*

Proof. First we suppose that X is \mathcal{P} -like. For a given open cover \mathcal{V} of Y , let us take a locally finite open refinement \mathcal{U} of $r^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$. By the definition there are a $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and a \mathcal{U} -map $\varphi : X \rightarrow P$. Then there is a locally finite open cover $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ of P such

that

$$(1) \quad \varphi^{-1}(St(\tilde{\mathcal{U}})) \prec r^{-1}(\mathcal{V});$$

here $St(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}) = \{St(\tilde{U}, \tilde{\mathcal{U}}) \mid \tilde{U} \in \tilde{\mathcal{U}}\}$ and $St(\tilde{U}, \tilde{\mathcal{U}}) = \cup\{\tilde{U}_* \in \mathcal{U} \mid \tilde{U} \cap \tilde{U}_* \neq \emptyset\}$. We choose a sufficiently small triangulation T of P such that

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{T} = \{st(v, T) \mid v \in T^{(0)}\} \prec \tilde{\mathcal{U}}.$$

Then we can take a $(\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{T}), \mathcal{V})$ -refinement $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of r . Since f is a $\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{T})$ -map, there exists a locally finite open cover \mathcal{W} of Y such that

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{W} \prec \mathcal{V} \text{ and}$$

$$(4) \quad f^{-1}(\mathcal{W}) \prec \varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{T}).$$

Moreover we may assume that the cover \mathcal{W} is given by cozero sets of a partition of unity $\{\xi_W\}_{W \in \mathcal{W}}$. Hence we can define the map $\eta : Y \rightarrow N(\mathcal{W})$ of Y to the nerve $N(\mathcal{W})$ of \mathcal{W} by $\eta(y) = \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}} \xi_W(y) \cdot W$.

For each $W \in \mathcal{W}$, by (4), there exists a $v_W \in T^{(0)}$ such that

$$(5) \quad f^{-1}(W) \subset \varphi^{-1}(st(v_W, T)).$$

For a finite subset $\{W_0, \dots, W_n\}$ of \mathcal{W} , if $\bigcap_{i=0}^n W_i \neq \emptyset$, by (5), $\bigcap_{i=0}^n st(v_{W_i}, T) \neq \emptyset$. Thus, the set of vertices $\{v_{W_0}, \dots, v_{W_n}\}$ spans a simplex of T . Hence the correspondence $v_W, W \in \mathcal{W}$, induces a map $\psi : N(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow |T| = P$.

Then we shall show that $\psi \circ \eta : Y \rightarrow P$ is a $St(\mathcal{V})$ -map. First we note the following:

$$(6) \quad d(\varphi, \psi \circ \eta \circ f) \leq \mathcal{T}.$$

For any $x \in X$, let us take a $W_0 \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $f(x) \in W_0$. Then $\xi_{W_0}(f(x)) > 0$ and $\psi \circ \eta \circ f(x) \in st(v_{W_0}, T)$. Hence, by (5), $\varphi(x), \psi \circ \eta \circ f(x) \in st(v_{W_0}, T)$.

Let us fix an arbitrary vertex v_0 of T . Take a given point $y \in (\psi \circ \eta)^{-1}(st(v_0, T))$ and a point $x \in f^{-1}(y)$. Then, by (6), there exists a vertex $v_x \in T$ such that $\varphi(x), \psi \circ \eta(y) \in st(v_x, T)$. Hence when we take $\tilde{U}_0, \tilde{U}_x \in \tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ such that $st(v_0, T) \subset \tilde{U}_0$ and $st(v_x, T) \subset \tilde{U}_x$, $\tilde{U}_x \cap \tilde{U}_0 \neq \emptyset$ and $\varphi(x) \in St(\tilde{U}_0, \tilde{\mathcal{U}})$. Hence $f^{-1}(y) \subset \varphi^{-1}(St(\tilde{U}_0, \tilde{\mathcal{U}}))$. Therefore, by (1), $f^{-1}(y) \subset r^{-1}(V_0)$ for some $V_0 \in \mathcal{V}$. Since $d(f, r) \leq \mathcal{V}$, $y \in f(r^{-1}(V_0)) \subset St(V_0, \mathcal{V})$. Note that choosing V_0 depends on only the vertex v_0 . It follows that $(\psi \circ \eta)^{-1}(st(v_0, T)) \subset St(V_0, \mathcal{V})$. Namely Y is \mathcal{P} -like.

Next suppose that Y is \mathcal{P} -like. For a locally finite open cover \mathcal{U} of X there exists a \mathcal{U} -map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and an open cover \mathcal{V} of Y such that $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V}) \prec \mathcal{U}$. Since Y is \mathcal{P} -like, there exist a $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and a \mathcal{V} -map $\psi : Y \rightarrow P$. Then the composition $\psi \circ f : X \rightarrow P$ is a \mathcal{U} -map. Therefore X is \mathcal{P} -like. □

By Theorem 2.2 and Proposition 2.1 we can see the following:

Corollary 2.3. *Let $r : X \rightarrow Y$ be a refinable map between paracompact spaces. Then X is finitistic if and only if Y is finitistic.*

Dranishnikov [Dr] gave a remarkable example of a separable metric space X such that $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}} X \leq 4$ but $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}} \beta X = \infty$ and Dydak-Walsh [Dy-W], for any abelian group G , constructed a separable metric space Y such that $\dim_G Y \leq 3$ but $\dim_G \alpha Y > 3$ for any compactification αY of Y . In spite of these examples, finitistic spaces, by Rubin-Schapiro theorem, still give a large class of spaces whose Stone-Ćech compactifications keep cohomological dimension with respect to any

finitely generated abelian groups. A current movement of cohomological dimension theory is shifting to a more general notation called *extension theory*. Namely, for a CW-complex K the *extension dimension of a space X is equal or less than K* , shortly $e\text{-dim } X \leq K$, if every map $f : A \rightarrow K$ of a closed subset A of X to K admits a continuous extension $F : X \rightarrow K$. Corresponding and improved examples of Dranishnikov's and Dydak-Walsh's examples to extension dimension theory were obtained by Levin [L]. Here we state a corresponding result with Rubin-Schapiro theorem as follows:

Theorem 2.4 ([Dy-M-S], Theorem 4.1). *Suppose that X is a finitistic, paracompact space and K is a CW-complex of finite type, that is, each skeleton of K is a finite subcomplex. If $e\text{-dim } X \leq K$, then $e\text{-dim } \beta X \leq K$.*

Moreover, if K is complete and $e\text{-dim } \beta X \leq K$, then $e\text{-dim } X \leq K$.

We note that for any finitely generated abelian group G and $n \geq 1$ we can have an Eilenberg-MacLane complete complex $K(G, n)$ of finite type.

We state the following fact about Stone-Ćech extension of c -refinable maps:

Theorem 2.5 ([Ko1], Theorem 3.1). *Let $r : X \rightarrow Y$ be a c -refinable map between normal spaces. Then the extension $\beta f : \beta X \rightarrow \beta Y$ is refinable.*

Therefore Theorems 2.4 and 2.5 induce the following result related to extension dimension:

Corollary 2.6. *Let $r : X \rightarrow Y$ be a c -refinable map between paracompact spaces. If one of X or Y is finitistic, then another is finitistic, and $e\text{-dim } X \leq K$ for a complete CW-complex K of finite type if and only if $e\text{-dim } Y \leq K$.*

Remark 1. To investigate extension property of noncompact or nonmetrizable spaces, the notation $\alpha(K)$ introduced by Kuz'minov [Ku] may be useful. The author essentially used the property in [Ko2], and Chigogidze and Valov [C-V] succeeded to characterize extension dimension by using the notation " $\alpha(K)$ -like spaces".

3. HEREDITARY SHAPE EQUIVALENCES AND FINITISTICNESS

A map between metric spaces is called *proper* if the preimage of every compact subset is compact, or equivalently the map is closed and has compact fibers. A proper map f from X onto Y is a *hereditary shape equivalence* if for every closed subset B of Y the restriction $f|_A : A \rightarrow B, A = f^{-1}(B)$, is a shape equivalence.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a hereditary shape equivalence. If X is finitistic, then Y is also finitistic.*

For the proof we shall use the following characterization by [H1] and [Dy-M-S].

Proposition 3.2. *A paracompact space X is finitistic if and only if there exists a compact subspace K of X such that $\dim F < \infty$ for every closed subspace F with $F \cap K = \emptyset$.*

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a hereditary shape equivalence on a finitistic space X . By Proposition 3.2, we take a compact subspace K of X satisfying the desired property. We shall show the compact subspace $f(K) = L$ of Y has the property in Proposition 3.2.

Let us take a closed subset F of Y with $F \cap L = \emptyset$. Then $f^{-1}(F) \cap K = \emptyset$. Hence $\dim f^{-1}(F) < \infty$. Now the restriction $f|_{f^{-1}(F)} : f^{-1}(F) \rightarrow F$ is a hereditary shape equivalence. Therefore $\dim F \leq \dim f^{-1}(F) < \infty$. Thus, L has the required property. \square

Remark 2. We recall that a proper map f from X onto Y is *cell-like* if for every $y \in Y$, $f^{-1}(y)$ has the trivial shape. Namely, the notation of hereditary shape equivalences is a strengthening of cell-like maps. Now let us consider Dranishnikov's separable metric space X in [Dr] again. Then, by Rubin and Schapiro's cell-like resolution theorem [Ru-Sc₁], there can exist a cell-like map from a metric space Z with $\dim Z = \dim_{\mathbb{Z}} X \leq 4$ onto X . Thus, a cell-like image of a finitistic space, even a finite-dimensional metric space, is not finitistic.

REFERENCES

- [A] F. Ancel, *Proper hereditary shape equivalence property C*, Topology and its Appl., **19**(1985), 71–74. MR **86g**:54026
- [B] G. E. Bredon, *Introduction to compact transformation groups*, Academic Press, 1972, New York. MR **54**:1265
- [C-V] A. Chigogidze and V. Valov, *Extension dimension and refinable maps*, preprint(1999).
- [De-P] S. Deo and A. R. Pears, *A completely finitistic space is finite-dimensional*, Bull. London Math. Soc., **17**(1985), 49–51. MR **85k**:54041
- [De-Si₁] S. Deo and T. B. Singh, *On the converse of some theorems about orbit spaces*, J. London Math. Soc., **25**(1982), 162–170. MR **83k**:54039
- [De-Si₂] ———, *On certain constructions in finitistic spaces*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., **6**(1983), 477–482. MR **85c**:54058
- [De-Si-S] S. Deo, T. B. Singh, and R. A. Shukra, *On an extension of localization theorem and generalized Conner conjecture*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **269**(1982), 395–402. MR **83a**:57051
- [De-T] S. Deo and H. S. Tripathi, *Compact Lie group actions on finitistic spaces*, Topology, **21**(1982), 393–399. MR **83k**:54042
- [Di] J. J. Dijkstra, *A dimension raising hereditary shape equivalence*, Fund. Math., **149**(1996), 265–274. MR **97f**:54040
- [Di-Mo] J. J. Dijkstra and J. Mogilski, *Countable dimensionality and dimension raising cell-like maps*, **80**(1997), 73–79. MR **98i**:54017
- [Dr] A. N. Dranishnikov, *Cohomological dimension is not preserved under Stone-Ćech compactification*, Comptes Rendus Bulgarian Acad. of Sci., **41**(1988), 9–10. MR **90e**:55002
- [Dy-M-S] J. Dydak, S. N. Mishra and R. A. Shukla, *On finitistic spaces*, Topology and its Appl., **97**(1999), 217–229. MR **2000i**:55003
- [Dy-W] J. Dydak and J. Walsh, *Spaces without cohomological dimension preserving compactifications*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **113**(1991), 1155–1162. MR **92c**:54039
- [F-R] Jo Ford and J. Rogers, Jr., *Refinable maps*, Colloq. Math., **39**(1978), 263–269. MR **80d**:54009
- [G-Ro] D. Garity and D. Rohm, *Property C, refinable maps and dimension raising maps*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **98**(1986), 336–340. MR **87i**:54077
- [H1] Y. Hattori, *A note on finitistic spaces*, Questions and Answers Gen. Topology, **3**(1985), 47–55. MR **86m**:54025
- [H2] ———, *Finitistic spaces and dimension*, Houston J. Math., **25**(1999), 687–696.
- [Ka] H. Kato, *A note on infinite dimension under refinable maps*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **88**(1983), 177–180. MR **84c**:54064
- [Ka-Ko] H. Kato and A. Koyama, *A role of refinable maps - a survey*, Topology Proc., **11**(1986), 317–348. MR **89f**:54030
- [Ko1] A. Koyama, *Refinable maps in dimension theory*, Topology and its Appl., **17**(1984), 247–255. MR **86d**:54056
- [Ko2] ———, *Refinable maps in dimension theory II*, Bull. Pol. Math. Soc., **42**(1994), 255–261. CMP 2001:07

- [Ko-Sh] A. Koyama and R. Sher, *Approximable dimension and acyclic dimension*, Fund. Math., **152**(1997), 43–53.
- [Ku] V. I. Kuz'minov, *Homological dimension theory*, Russian Math. Surveys, **23**(1968), 1–45. MR **39**:2158
- [L] M. Levin, *Some examples in cohomological dimension theory*, preprint(1999).
- [Mi] R. Millspaugh, *Proper hereditary shape equivalences preserve small weak infinite dimensionality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **90**(1984), 1055–1061. MR **91d**:54041
- [Ru-Sc₁] L. Rubin and P. Schapiro, *Cell-like maps onto non-compact spaces of finite cohomological dimension*, Topology and its Appl., **27**(1987), 221–244. MR **89b**:55002
- [Ru-Sc₂] ———, *Compactifications which preserve cohomological dimension*, Glasnik Mat., **28(48)**(1993), 155–165. MR **95g**:54029
- [Sw] R. G. Swan, *A new method in fixed point theory*, Comment. Math. Helv., **34**(1960), 1–16. MR **22**:5978

DIVISION OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, OSAKA KYOIKU UNIVERSITY, KASHIWARA, OSAKA 582-8582, JAPAN

E-mail address: `koyama@cc.osaka-kyoiku.ac.jp`

UNIDAD DOVENTE DE MATEMATICAS, E. T. S. I. MONTES, UNIVERSIDAD POLITÉCNICA, 28040, MADRID, SPAIN

E-mail address: `mam@montes.upm.es`