

## OPERATOR WEAK AMENABILITY OF THE FOURIER ALGEBRA

NICO SPRONK

(Communicated by David R. Larson)

ABSTRACT. We show that for any locally compact group  $G$ , the Fourier algebra  $A(G)$  is operator weakly amenable.

Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. It is shown by Johnson in [16] (and by Despić and Ghahramani in [6]) that the group algebra  $L^1(G)$  is always weakly amenable. It is natural to ask whether the same holds for the Fourier algebra  $A(G)$ . In [17] it is shown for  $G = SO(3)$  that  $A(G)$  is not weakly amenable. We note that  $A(G)$  is weakly amenable (and, in fact amenable) whenever  $G$  is Abelian, since  $A(G) \cong L^1(\widehat{G})$  where  $\widehat{G}$  is the dual group. Also,  $A(G)$  is weakly amenable whenever the connected component of the identity in  $G$  is Abelian [11]. It is conjectured that this characterizes the weak amenability of  $A(G)$ .

Since  $A(G)$  is the predual of the von Neumann algebra  $VN(G)$ , it admits a natural structure as an operator space. Using this structure, Ruan [23] developed a completely bounded cohomology theory and proved that  $A(G)$  is operator amenable exactly when  $G$  is an amenable group. This is analogous to Johnson's result [15] that  $L^1(G)$  is amenable exactly when  $G$  is amenable. We note that the natural operator space structure on  $L^1(G)$  as the predual of  $L^\infty(G)$  is such that all bounded maps from  $L^1(G)$  into any operator space are automatically completely bounded. Thus the notions of amenability and operator amenability coincide on  $L^1(G)$ , making Ruan's result truly a dual result of Johnson's, in the sense that  $A(G)$  is the dual of  $L^1(G)$  in a way which generalizes Pontryagin's Duality Theorem (see [9]).

The purpose of this note is to show that the natural operator space structure on  $A(G)$  allows us to obtain another analogous result to one for  $L^1(G)$ : we show that  $A(G)$  is always operator weakly amenable. We note that this result was obtained in [12], for the case that the connected component of the identity in  $G$  is amenable.

The author would like to thank his doctoral advisor, Brian Forrest, for suggesting this problem.

### 1. PRELIMINARIES

If  $\mathcal{X}$  is a Banach space we always let  $\mathcal{X}^*$  denote its dual and  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$  denote the Banach algebra of bounded operators on  $\mathcal{X}$ . The symbol  $\mathcal{H}$  (possibly with a

---

Received by the editors July 6, 2001.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46L07; Secondary 43A07.

*Key words and phrases*. Fourier algebra, operator space, weakly amenable Banach algebra.

This work was supported by an Ontario Graduate Scholarship.

subscript) will always denote a Hilbert space and  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  will denote the group of unitary operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  with the relativized weak operator topology.

Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. The *Fourier* and *Fourier-Stieltjes algebras*,  $A(G)$  and  $B(G)$ , are defined in [10]. We recall that  $B(G)$  is the space of matrix coefficients of all continuous unitary representations of  $G$ ; i.e. the space of functions of the form  $s \mapsto \langle \pi(s)\xi|\eta \rangle$  where  $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$  is a continuous homomorphism and  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$ .  $B(G)$  is the dual of the enveloping group  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(G)$  via  $\langle a, \langle \pi(\cdot)\xi|\eta \rangle \rangle = \langle \pi_*(a)\xi|\eta \rangle$ , where  $\pi_* : C^*(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$  is the representation induced by  $\pi$ . It can be shown that  $B(G)$  is a commutative Banach algebra (under pointwise operations) and  $A(G)$  is the closed ideal in  $B(G)$  generated by compactly supported matrix coefficients.

If  $\pi$  is a continuous unitary representation of  $G$ , let  $A_\pi$  be the norm closure of  $\text{span}\{\langle \pi(\cdot)\xi|\eta \rangle : \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_\pi\}$  in  $B(G)$ . Then, by [1, 2.2],  $A_\pi^* \cong \text{VN}_\pi$ , where  $\text{VN}_\pi$  is the von Neumann algebra generated by  $\pi(G)$ . If  $\lambda$  is the left regular representation of  $G$  on  $L^2(G)$ , then  $A(G) = A_\lambda$  and we write  $\text{VN}(G) = \text{VN}_\lambda$ . Given two unitary representations  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  of  $G$ , we let  $\pi \oplus \sigma : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}_\pi \oplus \mathcal{H}_\sigma)$  denote their direct sum. If  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are disjoint (i.e. there are no subrepresentations  $\pi'$  of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma'$  of  $\sigma$  such that  $\pi'$  is spatially equivalent to  $\sigma'$ ), then

$$(1.1) \quad \text{VN}_{\pi \oplus \sigma} = \text{VN}_\pi \oplus_\infty \text{VN}_\sigma \quad \text{and} \quad A_{\pi \oplus \sigma} = A_\pi \oplus_1 A_\sigma$$

by [22, 3.8.10] and [1, 3.13], respectively, where  $\oplus_p$  denotes the  $\ell^p$ -direct sum for  $p = 1, \infty$ .

Our standard reference for operator spaces will be [8]. Given two operator spaces  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{CB}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$  the space of completely bounded linear maps between  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  and denote the norm on it by  $\|\cdot\|_{cb}$ . If  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Y}$ , we will denote the Banach algebra  $\mathcal{CB}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$  by  $\mathcal{CB}(\mathcal{X})$ . Dual spaces will always be given the standard operator dual structure ([8, Sec. 3.2], [3]). Given two operator spaces  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$ , their direct product with the canonical product operator space structure will be denoted  $\mathcal{X} \oplus_\infty \mathcal{Y}$ . The direct sum  $\mathcal{X} \oplus_1 \mathcal{Y}$  will be given the operator space structure it obtains from being imbedded into  $(\mathcal{X}^* \oplus_\infty \mathcal{Y}^*)^*$ . The product and sum are denoted by  $\mathcal{X} \oplus_{CM} \mathcal{Y}$  and  $\mathcal{X} \oplus_{CL} \mathcal{Y}$ , respectively, in [7]. If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a von Neumann algebra, its predual  $\mathcal{M}_*$  will always be given the operator space structure it inherits from being imbedded in the dual  $\mathcal{M}^*$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{M}$  is then the standard dual of  $\mathcal{M}_*$  ([8, 4.2.2], [3]). In particular, each space  $A_\pi \cong (\text{VN}_\pi)_*$  will be endowed with this predual operator space structure.

The projective tensor product of Banach space theory admits an operator space analogue. Given two operator spaces  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$ , we denote their *operator space projective tensor product* by  $\mathcal{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{Y}$ . We will not need the explicit formula for the norm of this tensor product, but note that it is a completion of the algebraic tensor product  $\mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{Y}$ . We will use two important properties of this operator tensor product. First,

$$(1.2) \quad (\mathcal{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{Y})^* \cong \mathcal{CB}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}^*) \quad \text{via} \quad \langle x \otimes y, T \rangle = \langle y, Tx \rangle.$$

See [8, 7.1.5] or [4]. This is analogous to the usual dual formula for the Banach space projective tensor product. Second, if  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  are von Neumann algebras, then

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{M}_* \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{N}_* \cong (\mathcal{M} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{N})_*$$

where  $\mathcal{M}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{N}$  is the von Neumann tensor product of  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$ . See [8, 7.2.4]. In particular, since  $\text{VN}(G\times G) \cong \text{VN}(G)\widehat{\otimes}\text{VN}(G)$  spatially, via the unitary which implements  $L^2(G)\otimes L^2(G) \cong L^2(G\times G)$ , we thus have that  $A(G)\widehat{\otimes}A(G) \cong A(G\times G)$  completely isometrically. This identity holds isometrically for the Banach space projective tensor product only when  $G$  is Abelian. See [20].

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is an operator space which is also an algebra, it is called a *completely contractive Banach algebra* if the multiplication map  $m_0 : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  extends to a complete contraction  $m : \mathcal{A}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ . The Fourier algebra  $A(G)$  is a completely contractive Banach algebra since the multiplication map  $m : A(G)\widehat{\otimes}A(G) \rightarrow A(G)$  corresponds to restriction to the diagonal subgroup, that is, the map  $R : A(G\times G) \rightarrow A(G)$  given by  $Ru(s) = u(s, s)$  ( $s \in G$ ). Since the adjoint  $R^* : \text{VN}(G) \rightarrow \text{VN}(G\times G)$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism, it is a complete contraction and hence  $R \cong m$  is a complete contraction.

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a completely contractive Banach algebra and  $\mathcal{X}$  is an operator space which is also an  $\mathcal{A}$ -module for which the module multiplication maps  $m_{l,0} : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  and  $m_{r,0} : \mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  extend to complete contractions  $m_l : \mathcal{A}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  and  $m_r : \mathcal{X}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ , then  $\mathcal{X}$  is called a *completely contractive  $\mathcal{A}$ -module*. A linear map  $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is called a derivation if  $D(ab) = a\cdot D(b) + D(a)\cdot b$  for  $a, b$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $\mathcal{X}$  is a completely contractive  $\mathcal{A}$ -module, then  $\mathcal{X}^*$  is, too.  $\mathcal{A}$  is called *operator amenable* if every completely bounded derivation  $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^*$ , where  $\mathcal{X}$  is a completely contractive  $\mathcal{A}$ -module, is inner (i.e.  $D(a) = a\cdot f - f\cdot a$  for some  $f$  in  $\mathcal{X}^*$ ).  $\mathcal{A}$  is called *operator weakly amenable* if every completely bounded derivation  $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$  is inner. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is commutative, this is equivalent to saying that the only completely bounded derivation  $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ , where  $\mathcal{X}$  is any symmetric completely contractive  $\mathcal{A}$ -module (i.e.  $a\cdot x = x\cdot a$  for  $a$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $x$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ ), is 0. See [2] for this result in the Banach algebra case and [12] for the adaptation to the operator theoretic setting.

## 2. A THEOREM OF GROENBAEK

In this section we adapt a theorem of Groenbaek [13] to the completely contractive Banach algebra setting. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a completely contractive Banach algebra and  $\mathcal{X}$  an operator space which is a completely contractive  $\mathcal{A}$ -module. Let  $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A} \oplus_1 \mathbb{C}$  be the unitization of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mathcal{X}$  is a completely contractive  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -module by setting  $1\cdot x = x$  and  $x\cdot 1 = x$  for  $x$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ , where  $1 = 0 \oplus 1$  in  $\mathcal{A}_1$ . Indeed,  $\mathcal{A}_1\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{X} \cong (\mathcal{A}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{X}) \oplus_1 \mathcal{X}$  and the left multiplication map  $m_1 : \mathcal{A}_1\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  corresponds to the complete contraction  $m \boxplus \text{id}_{\mathcal{X}} : (\mathcal{A}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{X}) \oplus_1 \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  given by  $m \boxplus \text{id}_{\mathcal{X}}((a \otimes x) \oplus y) = a\cdot x + y$ . Similarly the right multiplication map can be extended. See [7].

The projection  $\pi : \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a complete quotient map. Then if we let  $i : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_1$  be the natural injection, we get that the identity map  $\text{id}_{\mathcal{A}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{X}}$  factors as

$$\mathcal{A}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{i\otimes\text{id}} \mathcal{A}_1\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\pi\otimes\text{id}} \mathcal{A}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{X}.$$

Hence we have for  $u$  in  $M_n(\mathcal{A}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{X})$  ( $n\times n$ -matrices over  $\mathcal{A}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{X}$ ) that

$$\|u\| \leq \|\pi \otimes \text{id}\|_{cb} \|(i \otimes \text{id})_n u\| \leq \|u\|,$$

from which it follows that  $\|(i \otimes \text{id})_n u\| = \|u\|$ , so  $\mathcal{A}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{X}$  is completely isometrically imbedded in  $\mathcal{A}_1\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{X}$ .

Consider the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{A}_1\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{m} \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $m$  denotes the left module multiplication map (denoted  $m_1$  above),  $\mathcal{K} = \ker m$  and  $i : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_1 \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{X}$  is the injection. Note that  $\mathcal{A}_1 \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{X}$  is a completely contractive  $\mathcal{A}$ -module via  $a \cdot (b \otimes x) = (ab) \otimes x$  and  $(b \otimes x) \cdot a = b \otimes (x \cdot a)$ . Let

$$(2.1) \quad [\mathcal{K}; \mathcal{A}] = \overline{\text{span}}\{a \cdot u - u \cdot a : u \in \mathcal{K} \text{ and } a \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

and note that  $[\mathcal{K}; \mathcal{A}] \subset \mathcal{K}$ . The following proposition is [13, Prop. 3.1]. We rework it here to ensure that it holds in our context.

**Proposition 2.1.** *If an operator  $S$  in  $\mathcal{CB}(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{X}^*) \cong (\mathcal{A}_1 \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{X})^*$  is a derivation, then it annihilates  $[\mathcal{K}; \mathcal{A}]$ . In particular, 0 is the only derivation in  $\mathcal{CB}(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{X}^*)$  if  $[\mathcal{K}; \mathcal{A}] = \mathcal{K}$ .*

*Proof.* First note that

$$\mathcal{K} = \{u - 1 \otimes m(u) : u \in \mathcal{A}_1 \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{X}\} = \overline{\text{span}}\{b \otimes x - 1 \otimes b \cdot x : b \in \mathcal{A}_1 \text{ and } x \in \mathcal{X}\}.$$

Then for any  $a$  and  $b$  in  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $x$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle b \otimes x - 1 \otimes b \cdot x, S \cdot a - a \cdot S \rangle &= \langle x, S(ab) - a \cdot S(b) \rangle - \langle b \cdot x, S(a) - a \cdot S(1) \rangle \\ &= \langle x, S(ab) - a \cdot S(b) \rangle - \langle x, S(a) \cdot b \rangle \\ &= \langle x, S(ab) - (a \cdot S(b) + S(a) \cdot b) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that  $S \cdot a - a \cdot S \in \mathcal{K}^\perp$  for all  $a$ . However,  $S \cdot a - a \cdot S \in \mathcal{K}^\perp$  for all  $a$ , if and only if for all  $u$  in  $\mathcal{K}$ ,

$$0 = \langle u, S \cdot a - a \cdot S \rangle = \langle a \cdot u - u \cdot a, S \rangle.$$

Hence we obtain the first statement of the proposition.

Suppose that  $S$  in  $\mathcal{CB}(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{X}^*)$  is a derivation. For any  $u$  in  $\mathcal{A}_1 \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{X}$ , we can write  $u = (u - 1 \otimes m(u)) + 1 \otimes m(u)$ , so  $\mathcal{A}_1 \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{K} \oplus (1 \otimes \mathcal{X})$ . (Note that  $u \mapsto u - 1 \otimes m(u)$  is a completely bounded projection from  $\mathcal{A}_1 \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{X}$  onto  $\mathcal{K}$ , so the direct sum is one of operator spaces.) Observe that  $\langle 1 \otimes x, S \rangle = \langle x, S1 \rangle = 0$  for any  $x$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ , so if  $S \neq 0$ , then there must be  $u$  in  $\mathcal{K}$  such that  $\langle u, S \rangle \neq 0$ . This is possible only if  $[\mathcal{K}; \mathcal{A}] \neq \mathcal{K}$ .  $\square$

Now we will let  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{A}$ , and  $m : \mathcal{A}_1 \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_1$  be the multiplication map. Put

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{K}_1 = \ker m, \quad \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_1 \cap (\mathcal{A}_1 \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{K}_0 = \mathcal{K}_1 \cap (\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}).$$

Since  $\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}$  imbeds into  $\mathcal{A}_1 \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}$ , it is easily seen that  $\mathcal{K}$  is the same as in the notation above. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is commutative, then  $\mathcal{K}_1$  and hence  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{K}_0$  are closed ideals in  $\mathcal{A}_1 \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}_1$ .

With only trivial modifications to his proof, we get the following theorem of Groenbaek [13].

**Theorem 2.2.** *If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a commutative completely contractive Banach algebra, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{A}$  is operator weakly amenable.
- (ii)  $[\mathcal{K}; \mathcal{A}] = \mathcal{K}$ .
- (iii)  $\overline{\mathcal{K}_1^2} = \mathcal{K}_1$ .
- (iv)  $\overline{\mathcal{K}^2} = \mathcal{K}$ .
- (v)  $\overline{\mathcal{A}^2} = \mathcal{A}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{K}_0^2} = \overline{(\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}) \cdot \mathcal{K}_1}$ .

Furthermore, if  $\mathcal{A}$  has a bounded approximate identity, the above conditions are equivalent to

(iv)  $\overline{\mathcal{K}_0^2} = \mathcal{K}_0$ .

### 3. THE FOURIER ALGEBRA

We would now like to apply the above result to the Fourier algebra  $A(G)$  of a locally compact group  $G$ . Unless it is specified otherwise, let  $G$  be a *non-compact* locally compact group.

If  $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$  and  $\sigma : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}_\sigma)$  are continuous unitary representations of  $G$ , let  $\pi \times \sigma : G \times G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}_\pi \otimes \mathcal{H}_\sigma)$  be the *Kronecker product* of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$ , given by  $\pi \times \sigma(s, t) = \pi(s) \otimes \sigma(t)$ . We note, for future reference, that  $VN_\pi \otimes VN_\sigma = VN_{\pi \times \sigma}$ . Let  $\lambda : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(L^2(G))$  be the left regular representation of  $G$  and  $1 : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \cong \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C})$  be the trivial representation.

**Proposition 3.1.** *The representations  $1 \times 1, 1 \times \lambda, \lambda \times 1$  and  $\lambda \times \lambda$  of  $G \times G$  are all disjoint (i.e. no two of these representations have subrepresentations which are unitary equivalent).*

*Proof.* Since  $G$  is non-compact,  $\lambda$  has no fixed points, for a fixed point would give a constant function in  $A(G)$ . Hence none of  $1 \times \lambda, \lambda \times 1$  or  $\lambda \times \lambda$  have any fixed points for all of  $G \times G$ , so they are all disjoint from  $1 \times 1$ .  $1 \times \lambda$  fixes the entire subgroup  $G \times \{e\}$  while neither  $\lambda \times 1$  nor  $\lambda \times \lambda$  have any fixed points for that subgroup, so  $1 \times \lambda$  is disjoint from  $\lambda \times 1$  and  $\lambda \times \lambda$ . Similarly,  $\lambda \times 1$  and  $\lambda \times \lambda$  are disjoint. □

Since  $\lambda$  and  $1$  are disjoint representations of  $G$ , we find that  $VN_{\lambda \oplus 1} \cong VN_\lambda \oplus_\infty VN_1 = VN(G) \oplus_\infty \mathbb{C}$ , by (1.1), and hence obtain the completely isometric identification  $A(G)_1 = A(G) \oplus_1 \mathbb{C} \cong A_{\lambda \oplus 1}$ . The implied map is clearly an algebra isomorphism.

If  $u$  and  $v$  are complex functions on  $G$ , let  $u \times v$  denote the complex valued function on  $G \times G$  given by  $u \times v(s, t) = u(s)v(t)$ . Also, let  $1$  denote the constant function on  $G$  (as well as the trivial representation).

**Proposition 3.2.** *We have the following completely isometric identifications:*

- (i)  $A(G)_1 \widehat{\otimes} A(G) \cong A_{(\lambda \times \lambda) \oplus (1 \times \lambda)} = \text{span}\{u, 1 \times v : u \in A(G \times G) \text{ and } v \in A(G)\}$ .
- (ii)  $A(G)_1 \widehat{\otimes} A(G)_1 \cong A_{(\lambda \times \lambda) \oplus (1 \times \lambda) \oplus (\lambda \times 1) \oplus (1 \times 1)} = \text{span}\{u, 1 \times v, v \times 1, 1 \times 1 : u \in A(G \times G) \text{ and } v \in A(G)\}$ .

*These are implemented by algebra isomorphisms.*

*Proof.* (i) We have  $A(G)_1^* \cong VN_{\lambda \oplus 1}$  and  $A(G)^* \cong VN(G) = VN_\lambda$ . Thus, using (1.3), (1.1) and the lemma above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (A(G)_1 \widehat{\otimes} A(G))^* &\cong VN_{\lambda \oplus 1} \widehat{\otimes} VN_\lambda = (VN_\lambda \oplus_\infty VN_1) \widehat{\otimes} VN_\lambda \\ &= (VN_\lambda \widehat{\otimes} VN_\lambda) \oplus_\infty (VN_1 \widehat{\otimes} VN_\lambda) \\ &= VN_{\lambda \times \lambda} \oplus_\infty VN_{1 \times \lambda} = VN_{(\lambda \times \lambda) \oplus (1 \times \lambda)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last space is the dual of  $A_{(\lambda \times \lambda) \oplus (1 \times \lambda)}$ . The latter equality in the statement (i) is a straightforward identification of  $A_{(\lambda \times \lambda) \oplus (1 \times \lambda)}$  in  $B(G \times G)$ . That the identifications are implemented by algebra isomorphisms is clear.

The proof of (ii) is similar. □

**Theorem 3.3.** *If  $G$  is a locally compact group, then  $A(G)$  is operator weakly amenable.*

*Proof.* If  $G$  is compact, then  $A(G)$  is operator amenable by [8, 16.2.3] (or Theorem 4.2, *infra*) and hence operator weakly amenable. Hence we are left to consider non-compact  $G$ . Let  $\pi = (1 \times 1) \oplus (1 \times \lambda) \oplus (\lambda \times 1) \oplus (\lambda \times \lambda)$  so that  $A_\pi$  is the subalgebra of  $B(G \times G)$  indicated in Proposition 3.2(ii) above. In the identification  $A(G)_1 \widehat{\otimes} A(G)_1 \cong A_\pi$ , the multiplication map  $m : A(G)_1 \widehat{\otimes} A(G)_1 \rightarrow A(G)_1$  corresponds to the map  $R : A_\pi \rightarrow A_{\lambda \oplus 1}$ , which restricts functions to the diagonal subgroup  $G_D = \{(s, s) : s \in G\} \cong G$ , i.e.  $Ru(s) = u(s, s)$  for  $s$  in  $G$ . Letting  $\mathcal{K}_1$  and  $\mathcal{K}_0$  be as in (2.2), we obtain identifications

$$\mathcal{K}_1 \cong \ker R = \text{span}\{u - 1 \times R(u), u - R(u) \times 1 : u \in A_\pi\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}_0 \cong \ker R \cap A(G \times G) = I(G_D).$$

Here,  $I(G_D)$  denotes *the* ideal in  $A(G \times G)$  with hull  $G_D$ : since  $G_D$  is a subgroup, it is a set of spectral synthesis by [14] (or see [17])<sup>†</sup>. From spectral synthesis we obtain that  $\overline{I(G_D)^2} = I(G_D)$  so

$$(3.1) \quad \overline{\mathcal{K}_0^2} = \mathcal{K}_0.$$

Since  $A(G \times G)$  is an ideal in  $A_\pi$ , we get

$$(3.2) \quad (A(G) \widehat{\otimes} A(G)) \cdot \mathcal{K}_1 \cong A(G \times G) \cdot \ker R \subset A(G \times G) \cap \ker R = I(G_D) \cong \mathcal{K}_0.$$

On the other hand, again using that  $G_D$  is a set of spectral synthesis for  $A(G \times G)$ ,

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{K}_0 \cong I(G_D) = \overline{A(G \times G) \cdot I(G_D)} \subset \overline{A(G \times G) \cdot \ker R} \cong \overline{(A(G) \widehat{\otimes} A(G)) \cdot \mathcal{K}_1}.$$

We thus have, assembling (3.1) and the inclusions (3.2) and (3.3),

$$\overline{(A(G) \widehat{\otimes} A(G)) \cdot \mathcal{K}_1} = \overline{\mathcal{K}_0^2}.$$

Hence condition (v) of Theorem 2.2 is satisfied, since  $\overline{A(G)^2} = A(G)$  by the Tauberian Theorem for  $A(G)$ .  $\square$

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a completely contractive Banach algebra and  $\varphi$  is a character of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $\mathbb{C}$  can be made into an  $\mathcal{A}$ -module via  $a \cdot z = \varphi(a)z = z \cdot a$  for  $a$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $z$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . If  $\varphi$  is continuous, it is automatically completely bounded, and hence  $\mathbb{C}$  is a completely contractive  $\mathcal{A}$ -module. A *point derivation* is a derivation  $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  admits continuous non-zero point derivations, then it is not (operator) weakly amenable.

**Corollary 3.4.**  *$A(G)$  has no continuous point derivations.*

In contrast to the case for  $A(G)$ , if  $G$  is Abelian and non-compact, then  $B(G) \cong M(\widehat{G})$  (the measure algebra of the non-discrete Abelian group  $\widehat{G}$ ) admits continuous point derivations by [5], and hence is not (operator) weakly amenable. If  $G$  is a locally compact group containing a closed non-compact Abelian subgroup  $H$  such that the restriction map  $R_H : B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$  is surjective, then  $B(G)$  is not (operator) weakly amenable. Note that if  $R_H$  is surjective, then  $R_H^* : W^*(H) \rightarrow W^*(G)$  (enveloping von Neumann algebras) is a \*-homomorphism, and hence a complete contraction. See [11] for further results on the weak amenability of  $B(G)$ .

<sup>†</sup> Note added in proof: The spectral synthesis result, in full generality, can be found in [24].

It has been recently announced by H. G. Dales, F. Ghahramani and A. Ya. Helemskii that the measure algebra  $M(G)$  has continuous point derivations whenever  $G$  is not discrete. Hence we deduce that  $M(G)$  is weakly amenable if and only if  $G$  is discrete. The reasonable dual conjecture to this is:  $B(G)$  is operator weakly amenable if and only if  $G$  is compact.

4. OPERATOR AMENABILITY OF THE FOURIER ALGEBRA

In this section we give a quick proof that  $A(G)$  is operator amenable when  $G$  is an amenable [SIN]-group. This proof uses elements of both [8, Sec. 16] (i.e. of [23, 3.5]) and [17, 5.3].

We say that  $G$  has the *small invariant neighbourhood* property, or that  $G$  is a [SIN]-group, if there is a neighbourhood base  $\mathcal{V}$  of the identity in  $G$  such that  $sVs^{-1} = V$  for  $V$  in  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $s$  in  $G$ , i.e. each  $V$  in  $\mathcal{V}$  is invariant under inner automorphisms. Any compact, Abelian or discrete group is a [SIN]-group. [SIN]-groups are all unimodular. See [21] for more information.

We let  $I(G_D)$  be as in the proof of Theorem 3.3. If we let  $I_0(G_D)$  denote the set of functions in  $A(G \times G)$  which are compactly supported with support disjoint from  $G_D$ , then  $\overline{I_0(G_D)} = I(G_D)$ , since  $G_D$  is a set of spectral synthesis for  $A(G \times G)$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *If  $G$  is a [SIN]-group, then there is a bounded net  $\{u_V\}_{V \in \mathcal{V}}$  in  $B(G \times G)$  such that  $u_V(s, s) = 1$  for  $s$  in  $G$  and  $u_V v \rightarrow 0$  for  $v$  in  $I(G_D)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a neighbourhood base of the identity in  $G$  consisting of relatively compact neighbourhoods, each of which is invariant under inner automorphisms. Let  $\pi : G \times G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(L^2(G))$  be given by  $\pi(s, t)f = \lambda(s)\rho(t)f$  for  $f$  in  $L^2(G)$ , where  $\lambda$  and  $\rho$  are the left and right regular representations of  $G$ , respectively. Then  $\pi$  is a continuous unitary representation of  $G \times G$ . For  $v$  in  $\mathcal{V}$ , let  $u_V = \frac{1}{\mu(V)} \langle \pi(\cdot)\chi_V | \chi_V \rangle$ , where  $\chi_V$  is the indicator function of  $V$  and  $\mu$  is the Haar measure. Then for  $(s, t)$  in  $G \times G$ ,

$$u_V(s, t) = \frac{1}{\mu(V)} \int_G \chi_V(s^{-1}rt)\chi_V(r)d\mu(r) = \frac{\mu(sVt^{-1} \cap V)}{\mu(V)}.$$

Clearly  $u_V(s, s) = 1$  for  $s$  in  $G$ . Hence, since each  $u_V$  is positive definite, we have  $\|u_V\| = u_V(e, e) = 1$ . If  $v \in I_0(G_D)$ , then there is  $V$  in  $\mathcal{V}$  such that  $u_V v = 0$ . Hence  $u_V v \rightarrow 0$  for  $v$  in  $I(G_D)$ , where  $V$  runs through decreasing elements of  $\mathcal{V}$ .  $\square$

We remark that if  $G$  is discrete, we can use the positive definite function  $\chi_{G_D}$  in place of the net above.

**Theorem 4.2.** *If  $G$  is an amenable [SIN]-group, then  $A(G)$  is operator amenable.*

By [8, 16.1.4] (i.e. [23]), it suffices to show that  $A(G)$  has an operator bounded approximate diagonal, i.e. a bounded net  $\{w_\beta\}_{\beta \in B}$  in  $A(G \times G) \cong A(G) \widehat{\otimes} A(G)$  such that

- (i)  $\{Rw_\beta\}_{\beta \in B}$  is a bounded approximate identity for  $A(G)$ , and
- (ii)  $\|u \times 1 w_\beta - w_\beta 1 \times u\| \rightarrow 0$  for all  $u$  in  $A(G)$ .

Recall that  $R : A(G \times G) \rightarrow A(G)$  is the map  $Ru(s) = u(s, s)$  for  $s$  in  $G$ . Let  $\{u_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  be a bounded approximate identity for  $A(G)$  (which we can obtain since  $G$  is amenable; see [19]), and  $\{u_V\}_{V \in \mathcal{V}}$  be as in the lemma above. Let  $B = A \times \mathcal{V}^A$  be the product directed set. For each  $\beta = (\alpha, (V_{\alpha'})_{\alpha' \in A})$  in  $B$  let

$$w_\beta = u_{V_\alpha} u_\alpha \times u_\alpha.$$

Then  $Rw_\beta = u_\alpha^2$ , so (i) is satisfied. To see (ii), let  $v \in A(G)$ , so  $u_\alpha \times u_\alpha(v \times 1 - 1 \times v) \in I(G_D)$  for each  $\alpha$ , and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\dagger) \quad \lim_{\beta} \|u \times 1 w_\beta - w_\beta 1 \times u\| &= \lim_{\beta=(\alpha, (V_{\alpha'})_{\alpha' \in A})} \|u_{V_\alpha} u_\alpha \times u_\alpha(v \times 1 - 1 \times v)\| \\
 &= \lim_{\alpha} \lim_V \|u_V u_\alpha \times u_\alpha(v \times 1 - 1 \times v)\| \\
 &= \lim_{\alpha} 0 = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

The equality of limits at  $(\dagger)$  is from [18, p. 69].  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

1. G. Arzac, *Sur l'espace de Banach engendré par les coefficients d'une représentation unitaire*, Pub. Dép. Math. Lyon **13** (1976), no. 2, 1–101. MR **56**:3180
2. W. G. Bade, P. C. Curtis, and H. G. Dales, *Amenability and weak amenability for Beurling and Lipschitz algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **55** (1987), no. 3, 359–377. MR **88**f:46098
3. D. P. Blecher, *The standard dual of an operator space*, Pacific Math. J. **153** (1992), no. 1, 15–30. MR **93**d:47083
4. D. P. Blecher and V. I. Paulsen, *Tensor products of operator spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **99** (1991), 262–292. MR **93**d:46095
5. G. Brown and W. Moran, *Point derivations on  $M(G)$* , Bull. London Math. Soc. **8** (1976), 57–64. MR **54**:5744
6. M. Despić and F. Ghahramani, *Weak amenability of group algebras of locally compact groups*, Canad. Math. Bull. **37** (1994), no. 2, 165–167. MR **95**c:43003
7. E. G. Effros and Z.-J. Ruan, *Operator convolution algebras: an approach to quantum groups*, Unpublished.
8. ———, *Operator spaces*, London Math. Soc. Monographs, New Series, vol. 23, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000. MR **2002**a:46082
9. M. Enock and J.-M. Schwartz, *Kac algebras and duality of locally compact groups*, Springer, Berlin, 1992. MR **94**e:46001
10. P. Eymard, *L'algèbre de Fourier d'un groupe localement compact*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **92** (1964), 181–236. MR **37**:4208
11. B. E. Forrest, *Amenability and weak amenability of the Fourier algebra*, Preprint.
12. B. E. Forrest and P. J. Wood, *Cohomology and the operator space structure of the Fourier algebra and its second dual*, Indiana Math. J. **50** (2001), 1217–1240.
13. N. Groenbaek, *A characterization of weakly amenable Banach algebras*, Studia Math. **94** (1989), 149–162. MR **92**a:46055
14. C. S. Herz, *Harmonic synthesis for subgroups*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble **23** (1973), no. 3, 91–123. MR **50**:7956
15. B. E. Johnson, *Cohomology in banach algebras*, Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 127, 1972. MR **51**:11130
16. ———, *Weak amenability of group algebras*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **23** (1991), 281–284. MR **92**k:43004
17. ———, *Non-amenability of the Fourier algebra of a compact group*, J. London Math. Soc. **50** (1994), no. 2, 361–374. MR **95**i:43001
18. J. L. Kelley, *General topology*, Grad. texts in math., vol. 27, Springer, 1955. MR **16**:1136c; MR **51**:6681
19. H. Leptin, *Sur l'algèbre de Fourier d'un groupe localement compact*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. A-B **266** (1968), no. 1968, 1180–1182. MR **39**:362
20. V. Losert, *On tensor products of the Fourier algebras*, Arch. Math. **43** (1984), 370–372. MR **87**c:43004
21. T. W. Palmer, *Classes of nonabelian, noncompact, locally compact groups*, Rocky Mountain Math. J. **8** (1978), no. 4, 683–741. MR **81**j:22003
22. G. K. Pedersen, *C\*-algebras and their automorphism groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1979. MR **81**e:46037

23. Z.-J. Ruan, *The operator amenability of  $A(G)$* , Amer. J. Math. **117** (1995), 1449–1474. MR **96m**:43001
24. M. Takesaki and N. Tatsuuma, *Duality and Subgroups*, II, J. Funct. Anal. **11** (1972), 184–190. MR **52**:5865

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO, WATERLOO, ONTARIO,  
CANADA N2L 3G1

*E-mail address*: nspronk@math.uwaterloo.ca