

## PSEUDONORMALITY AND STARCOMPACTNESS OF $\sigma$ -PRODUCTS

KEIKO CHIBA

(Communicated by Alan Dow)

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we shall prove the following: For every non-trivial  $\sigma$ -product  $\sigma$ , of uncountable number of spaces, having at least two points,  $\sigma \setminus \sigma_n$  is not pseudonormal. And every non-trivial  $\sigma$ -product is not strongly starcompact.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper we assume that each space is a  $T_1$ -space having at least two points. We recall the definition of  $\sigma$ -products which were introduced by H. H. Corson [4].

**Definition 1.** Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{X_\alpha | \alpha \in \Omega\}$  be a family of spaces. “ $\sigma = \sigma(\mathcal{S})$  is called a  $\sigma$ -product of  $\mathcal{S}$ ” if there is a point  $x^* = (x_\alpha^*)_{\alpha \in \Omega} \in X = \Pi\{X_\alpha | \alpha \in \Omega\}$  (called the base point of  $\sigma$ ) such that  $\sigma$  is the subspace of  $X$  consisting of  $x \in X$  such that  $Q(x)$  is finite. Here  $Q(x) = \{\alpha | \alpha \in \Omega, x_\alpha \neq x_\alpha^*\}$ . Let  $[\Omega]^n = \{a \subset \Omega : |a| = n\}$  for each  $n \in \omega$  and put  $[\Omega]^{<\omega} = \bigcup\{[\Omega]^n : n \in \omega\}$ . Here  $|a|$  denotes the cardinal number of  $a$ .

$\Sigma = \{x \in X : |Q(x)| \leq \omega\}$  is called a  $\Sigma$ -product of  $\mathcal{S}$ .

A  $\sigma$ -product  $\sigma$  (resp.  $\Sigma$ -product  $\Sigma$ ) is called non-trivial if  $\sigma \neq X$  (resp.  $\Sigma \neq X$ ).

Let  $X$  be a space and  $\tau$  be an infinite cardinal number such that  $|\Omega| = \tau$ . In case  $X_\alpha = X$  for each  $\alpha \in \Omega$ , let us denote  $\sigma(\mathcal{S})$  by  $\sigma(X^\tau)$ . For  $a \in X$ , we denote by  $a^* = (a_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Omega}$ ,  $a_\alpha = a$  for each  $\alpha \in \Omega$ .

For a finite subset  $F$  of  $\Omega$ ,  $\Pi\{X_\alpha | \alpha \in F\}$  is said to be a finite subproduct of  $\sigma$ .

The following fact concerning  $\sigma$ -products is known.

**Fact.** Let  $\sigma = \sigma(\mathcal{S})$  and  $\sigma_n = \{x \in \sigma : |Q(x)| \leq n\}$  for each  $n \in \omega$ . Then  $\sigma_n$  is closed in  $\sigma$ .

In this paper we investigate normality-type properties of special subspaces of  $\sigma$ -products and compactness-type properties of  $\sigma$ -products.

In 1959, Corson [4] proved that for every non-trivial  $\Sigma$ -product  $\Sigma$ , a subspace  $\Sigma \setminus \{x\}$  is not normal for every point  $x \in \Sigma$ . In 1978, A. P. Kombarov [8] proved that if a set  $Z$  is closed in the  $\tau$ -envelope  $Y = Y(x^*, \tau) = \{y \in X = \Pi\{X_\alpha | \alpha \in \Omega\} : |Q(y)| < \tau\}$  and  $|\bigcup\{Q(z) : z \in Z\}| < \tau$ , then  $Y \setminus Z$  is a non-normal subset

---

Received by the editors April 20, 2001 and, in revised form, August 20, 2001.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54B05, 54B10, 54D15, 54D20.

*Key words and phrases.*  $\sigma$ -product, pseudonormal, strongly starcompact, starcompact.

of  $Y$ . As corollaries of this theorem we have non-normality of  $\Sigma \setminus \{x\}$ , where  $\Sigma$  is a non-trivial  $\Sigma$ -product and  $x \in \Sigma$ , and non-normality of  $\sigma \setminus \{x\}$ , where  $\sigma$  is a non-trivial  $\sigma$ -product of uncountable number of spaces and  $x \in \sigma$ .

A space  $X$  is called pseudonormal if any two disjoint closed sets, one of which is countable, are separated by open sets in  $X$ . Obviously, any normal space is pseudonormal.

In 1996, Kombarov [9] proved that if  $Y$  is a  $\tau$ -envelope of spaces  $X_\alpha, \alpha \in \Omega$ ,  $|\Omega| \geq \max\{\omega_1, \tau\}$ , then a subspace  $Y \setminus \{x\}$  is not pseudonormal for every  $x \in Y$ . In particular he obtained the following.

**Theorem A** (Kombarov [9]). *Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{X_\alpha | \alpha \in \Omega\}$  be a family of spaces such that  $|\Omega| \geq \omega_1$  and let  $\sigma = \sigma(\mathcal{S})$ . Then  $\sigma \setminus \sigma_0$  is not pseudonormal.*

In this paper we shall prove a generalization of Theorem A.

## 2. NORMALITY AND PSEUDONORMALITY

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{X_\alpha | \alpha \in \Omega\}$  be a family of spaces such that  $|\Omega| \geq \omega_1$  and let  $\sigma = \sigma(\mathcal{S})$ . Then  $\sigma \setminus \sigma_n$  is not pseudonormal for each  $n \in \omega$ .*

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{X_\alpha | \alpha \in \Omega\}$  such that  $|\Omega| \geq \omega_1$ . Let  $\sigma = \sigma(2^{\omega_1})$  be the  $\sigma$ -product with the base point  $0^*$ . Here  $2 = \{0, 1\}$  is the discrete space of two points. Then there is a homeomorphism  $f$  from  $\sigma$  onto  $f(\sigma) \subset \sigma'$  such that  $f(0^*) = x^*$  and  $f(\sigma \setminus \sigma_n)$  is a closed subset of  $\sigma' \setminus \sigma'_n$ . Here  $\sigma' = \sigma(\mathcal{S})$  with the base point  $x^*$ .*

*Proof.* Let us choose a point  $a_\alpha \in X_\alpha$  such that  $a_\alpha \neq x_\alpha^*$  for each  $\alpha \in \Omega$ . Let us consider  $\omega_1 \subset \Omega$ . Let  $f : \sigma \rightarrow \sigma'$  as follows: for each  $x = (x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \omega_1} \in \sigma$ , let  $f(x) = (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Omega}$  be

$$y_\alpha = \begin{cases} a_\alpha & \text{if } \alpha \in \omega_1 \text{ and } x_\alpha = 1, \\ x_\alpha^* & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $f$  has the desired properties. To prove that  $f(\sigma \setminus \sigma_n)$  is a closed subset of  $\sigma' \setminus \sigma'_n$ , let  $y \in (\sigma' \setminus \sigma'_n) \setminus f(\sigma \setminus \sigma_n)$ . Then  $Q(y) \cap (\Omega \setminus \omega_1) \neq \emptyset$ . Let us choose an element  $\alpha \in Q(y) \cap (\Omega \setminus \omega_1)$  and put  $U = \{z \in \sigma' \setminus \sigma'_n | z_\alpha \neq x_\alpha^*\}$ . Then  $U$  is an open neighborhood of  $y$  in  $\sigma' \setminus \sigma'_n$  such that  $U \cap f(\sigma \setminus \sigma_n) = \emptyset$ .

Since pseudonormality is inherited by closed subspaces, Theorem 1 follows from Proposition 1 below by using Lemma 1.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $\sigma = \sigma(2^{\omega_1})$  be the  $\sigma$ -product with the base point  $0^*$ . Then  $\sigma \setminus \sigma_n$  is not pseudonormal for each  $n \in \omega$ .*

*Proof.* We denote  $\sigma = \{f : \omega_1 \rightarrow 2 | Q(f) \text{ is finite}\}$ . Here  $Q(f) = \{\alpha \in \omega_1 | f(\alpha) = 1\}$ .

Put  $G = \sigma \setminus \sigma_n$ . Let us choose a subset  $A \subset \omega_1$  such that  $|A| = n$ . For each  $\alpha \in \omega_1$ , let us define  $f^\alpha : \omega_1 \rightarrow 2$  as follows:

$$f^\alpha(\beta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \beta \in A \cup \{\alpha\}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \beta \in \omega_1 \setminus (A \cup \{\alpha\}). \end{cases}$$

Then

- (1)  $f^\alpha \in \sigma_{n+1} \setminus \sigma_n$  for each  $\alpha \in \omega_1 \setminus A$ .

Let us choose subsets  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  of  $\omega_1$  such that  $|\Gamma_1| = \omega_1, |\Gamma_2| = \omega, \Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2 = \emptyset, (\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2) \cap A = \emptyset, \omega_1 = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 \cup A$ . Put  $E = \{f^\alpha | \alpha \in \Gamma_1\}$  and  $F = \{f^\alpha | \alpha \in \Gamma_2\}$ .

Then  $E \cap F = \emptyset, |F| = \omega$  and

- (i)  $E$  and  $F$  are closed subsets in  $G$ ;
- (ii)  $E$  and  $F$  are not separated by open sets in  $G$ .

*Proof of (i).* To prove that  $E$  is closed in  $G$ , let  $f \in G \setminus E$ . If  $|Q(f)| \geq n + 2$ , then  $f \notin \sigma_{n+1}$ . Put  $U = G \setminus \sigma_{n+1}$ . Then  $U$  is a neighborhood of  $f$  in  $G$  such that  $U \cap E = \emptyset$ . If  $|Q(f)| = n + 1$ , then  $Q(f) \setminus A \neq \emptyset$  because  $|A| = n$ . Let  $\alpha \in Q(f) \setminus A$ . Then  $f(\alpha) = 1$ . If  $|A \cap Q(f)| = n$ , then  $\alpha \in \Gamma_2$  because  $f \notin E$ . Put  $U = \{g \in G | g(\alpha) = 1\}$ . Then  $U$  is a neighborhood of  $f$  in  $G$  such that  $U \cap E = \emptyset$ . If  $|A \cap Q(f)| < n$ , then there exists an element  $\beta \in A$  such that  $f(\beta) = 0$ . Put  $U = \{g \in G | g(\beta) = 0\}$ . Then  $U$  is a neighborhood of  $f$  in  $G$  such that  $U \cap E = \emptyset$ . Therefore it is proved that  $E$  is closed in  $G$ . Quite similarly it is proved that  $F$  is closed in  $G$ .

*Proof of (ii).* Let  $U$  be an arbitrary open set in  $G$  such that  $E \subset U$ . Then, for each  $\alpha \in \Gamma_1$ , there is a finite set  $r_\alpha$  of  $\omega_1$  such that  $A \cup \{\alpha\} \subset r_\alpha$  and  $f^\alpha \in U_\alpha \equiv \{g \in G | g(\beta) = 1 \text{ for each } \beta \in A \cup \{\alpha\}, g(\beta) = 0 \text{ for each } \beta \in r_\alpha \setminus (A \cup \{\alpha\})\} \subset U$ . By Šanin's lemma, there are an uncountable set  $\Gamma^* \subset \Gamma_1$  and a finite set  $r^* \subset \omega_1$  such that  $\{r_\alpha \setminus r^* | \alpha \in \Gamma^*\}$  is disjoint. Since  $\Gamma_2$  is infinite and  $r^*$  is finite,  $\Gamma_2 \setminus r^* \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\alpha^* \in \Gamma_2 \setminus r^*$ . Then

$$(2) f^{\alpha^*} \in F \cap clU.$$

It is obvious that  $f^{\alpha^*} \in F$ . Therefore it is sufficient to prove the following.

*Claim.*  $f^{\alpha^*} \in clU$ .

To prove this, let  $V$  be an arbitrary open set in  $G$  such that  $f^{\alpha^*} \in V$ . Then there is a finite set  $r$  of  $\omega_1$  such that  $A \cup \{\alpha^*\} \subset r$  and  $f^{\alpha^*} \in V' \equiv \{g \in G | g(\beta) = 1 \text{ for each } \beta \in A \cup \{\alpha^*\}, g(\beta) = 0 \text{ for each } \beta \in r \setminus (A \cup \{\alpha^*\})\} \subset V$ .

Since  $\Gamma^*$  is uncountable and  $r$  and  $r^*$  are finite, there is an element  $\beta^* \in \Gamma^*$  such that  $r \cap (r_{\beta^*} \setminus r^*) = \emptyset$  and  $\beta^* \in r_{\beta^*} \setminus r^*$ . Then it is easy to see that

$$(3) \alpha^* \neq \beta^*, \alpha^*, \beta^* \notin A.$$

Define  $g^* : \omega_1 \rightarrow 2$  by

$$g^*(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha \in A \cup \{\alpha^*, \beta^*\}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha \in \omega_1 \setminus (A \cup \{\alpha^*, \beta^*\}). \end{cases}$$

Then  $g^* \in \sigma_{n+2} \setminus \sigma_{n+1}$  and therefore  $g^* \in G$ . Moreover we have

$$(4) g^* \in V' \cap U_{\beta^*}.$$

To prove that  $g^* \in V'$ , let  $\beta \in r \setminus (A \cup \{\alpha^*\})$ . Then  $\beta \notin r_{\beta^*} \setminus r^*$ . Thus  $\beta \neq \beta^*$ . Hence  $g(\beta) = 0$ . Therefore  $g \in V'$ . To prove that  $g^* \in U_{\beta^*}$ , let  $\beta \in r_{\beta^*} \setminus (A \cup \{\beta^*\})$ . If  $\beta \in r^*$ , then  $\beta \neq \alpha^*$ . Thus  $g(\beta) = 0$ . If  $\beta \notin r^*$ , then  $\beta \in r_{\beta^*} \setminus r^*$ . Therefore  $\beta \notin r$ . Then  $\beta \neq \alpha^*$  and so  $g(\beta) = 0$ . Hence  $g \in U_{\beta^*}$ .

It is known that there exists a non-normal  $\sigma$ -product such that each finite sub-product is normal (cf. [3]).

**Theorem 2.** *If each  $X_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$  is normal (resp. pseudonormal), then  $\sigma_1$  is normal (resp. pseudonormal).*

*Proof.* We shall write only the proof of normality because the proof of pseudonormality is quite similar. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be disjoint closed subsets in  $\sigma_1$ . Let  $x^* \in A$ . There are a finite set  $\{\alpha_i | i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$  of  $\Omega$  and open sets  $U_{\alpha_i}$  in  $X_{\alpha_i}$  such that  $x^* \in W \equiv \{x \in \sigma_1 | x_{\alpha_i} \in U_{\alpha_i} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and  $clW \cap B = \emptyset$ . Since  $X_{\alpha_i}$  is normal, there is an open set  $U'_{\alpha_i}$  in  $X_{\alpha_i}$  such that  $x^*_{\alpha_i} \in U'_{\alpha_i}$  and  $clU'_{\alpha_i} \subset U_{\alpha_i}$  for

$i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Put  $W' \equiv \{x \in \sigma_1 | x_{\alpha_i} \in U'_{\alpha_i} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . Then  $W'$  is open in  $\sigma_1$ ,  $x^* \in W'$  and  $clW' \cap B = \emptyset$ .

Let  $Y_\alpha = \{x \in \sigma_1 | x_\beta = x_\beta^* \text{ for each } \beta \neq \alpha\}$ , i.e.,  $Y_\alpha = X_\alpha \times \{z_\alpha^*\}$ . Here  $z_\alpha^* = (x_\beta^*)_{\beta \in \Omega \setminus \{\alpha\}}$ . Then it is easy to see that

- (1)  $Y_\alpha \subset W'$  for each  $\alpha \in \Omega \setminus \{\alpha_i | i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ ;
- (2)  $Y_\alpha$  is closed in  $\sigma_1$ .

Put  $A_i = A \cap Y_{\alpha_i} \setminus W'$  and  $B_i = B \cap Y_{\alpha_i} \setminus W'$ . Then  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  are disjoint closed sets in  $Y_{\alpha_i}$  and  $x^* \notin A_i, x^* \notin B_i$ . Since  $Y_{\alpha_i}$  is normal, there are open sets  $V_i$  and  $V'_i$  in  $X_{\alpha_i}$  such that  $V_i \cap V'_i = \emptyset, x_{\alpha_i}^* \notin V_i \cup V'_i, A_i \subset V_i \times \{z_\alpha^*\}$  and  $B_i \subset V'_i \times \{z_\alpha^*\}$ . Put  $G_i = \{x \in \sigma_1 | x_{\alpha_i} \in V_i\} \setminus \bigcup\{Y_{\alpha_j} | j = 1, 2, \dots, m; j \neq i\}$  and  $H_i = \{x \in \sigma_1 | x_{\alpha_i} \in V'_i \setminus clU'_{\alpha_i}\} \setminus \bigcup\{Y_{\alpha_j} | j = 1, 2, \dots, m; j \neq i\}$ . Then  $G_i$  and  $H_i$  are open sets in  $\sigma_1$  such that

- (3)  $A_i \subset G_i, B_i \subset H_i$ ;
- (4)  $G_i \cap H_i = \emptyset$ .

*Proof of (3).* Let  $x \in A_i \cup B_i$ . Then  $x_\alpha = x_\alpha^*$  for each  $\alpha \neq \alpha_i$ . Since  $x \notin W', x_{\alpha_i} \neq x_{\alpha_i}^*$ . Thus  $x \notin Y_{\alpha_j}$  if  $j \neq i$ . Hence, if  $x \in A_i$ , then  $x \in G_i$ . Let  $x \in B_i$ . Then  $x_{\alpha_i} \in V'_i$ . If  $x_{\alpha_i} \in clU'_{\alpha_i}$ , then  $x_{\alpha_i} \in U_{\alpha_i}$ . Since  $x_{\alpha_j} = x_{\alpha_j}^* \in U_{\alpha_j}$  for each  $j \neq i, x \in W$ . Therefore  $x \notin B$ . This is a contradiction. Thus  $x_{\alpha_i} \notin clU'_{\alpha_i}$ . Hence  $x \in H_i$ .

Put  $G = W' \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^m G_i$  and  $H = \bigcup_{i=1}^m H_i$ . Then  $G$  and  $H$  are open sets in  $\sigma_1$  such that

- (5)  $A \subset G, B \subset H$

and

- (6)  $G \cap H = \emptyset$ .
- (5) is obvious. (6) follows from (4) and (7) and (8) below.
- (7)  $W' \cap H_i = \emptyset$  for each  $i$ .
- (8)  $i \neq j \Rightarrow G_i \cap H_j = \emptyset$ .
- (7) is obvious.

*Proof of (8).* If  $x \in G_i \cap H_j$ , then  $x_{\alpha_i} \neq x_{\alpha_i}^*$  and  $x_{\alpha_j} \neq x_{\alpha_j}^*$ . Thus  $|Q(x)| \geq 2$ , which contradicts  $x \in \sigma_1$ .

**Example 1.** There exists a  $\sigma$ -product such that each finite subproduct is normal and  $\sigma_2$  is not normal.

To prove Example 1, we shall use the following lemma.

**Lemma 2** ([2]). *Let  $X$  be a space and  $A$  be a closed set of  $X$  which is not a  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $X$ . Let  $F$  be Bing's Example  $G$  or  $H$  constructed by  $P = X \setminus A$ . Then  $X \times F$  is not normal.*

**Definition 2** (Bing's Example  $G$  ([1])). Let  $P$  be an uncountable set and  $Q = \{q | q \subset P\}$ . Put  $F = \{f : Q \rightarrow 2\}$ . For each  $p \in P$ , define  $f_p$  as follows:

$$f_p(q) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \in q, \\ 0 & \text{if } p \notin q. \end{cases}$$

Put  $F_P = \{f_p | p \in P\}$ . Define the topology of  $F$  as follows: each  $f_p$  has a neighborhood base in Cartesian product topology and for each  $f \in F \setminus F_P, \{f\}$  is open. For each  $r \in R = Q^{<\omega}$ , put  $V(f_p; r) = \{f \in F | f(q) = f_p(q) \text{ for each } q \in r\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{V}(f_p) = \{V(f_p; r) | r \in R\}$  is a neighborhood base of  $f_p$ .

*Proof of Lemma 2.* In case  $F$  is Bing's Example H, the proof is in [2]. The proof is quite similar for the case of Bing's Example G. But, since [2] is not widely known, we shall sketch the proof of the case of Bing's Example G. Let  $C = A \times F$  and  $D = \{\langle p, f_p \rangle | p \in P\}$ . Then  $C$  and  $D$  are disjoint closed subsets in  $X \times F$  and are not separated by open sets in  $X \times F$ . To show this, let  $O$  be an arbitrary open set in  $X \times F$  such that  $D \subset O$ . For each  $p \in P$ , there is a member  $V(f_p; r_p)$  of  $\mathcal{V}(f_p)$  such that  $\bigcup_{p \in P} (\{p\} \times V(f_p; r_p)) \subset O$ . Let us put  $P_i = \{p | p \in P, |r_p| = i\}$  for each  $i < \omega$ . Since  $A$  is not a  $G_\delta$ -set of  $X$ , there is an  $i$  such that  $A \cap cl(P_i) \neq \emptyset$ . Let us fix this  $i$ . Let  $x_0 \in A \cap cl(P_i)$ . Let us put  $P_i(r) = \{p \in P_i | r_p \supset r\}$  for each  $r \in R$ . Then we can prove that there exists an element  $r^* \in R$  satisfying the following conditions: (1)  $x_0 \in cl(P_i(r^*))$ , (2)  $x_0 \notin cl(P_i(r^* \cup \{q\}))$  for each  $q \in Q \setminus r^*$ . Let us put  $R^* = \{s | s \subset r^*\}$ . For each  $s \in R^*$ , we define an element  $q_s$  of  $Q$  by  $q_s = \bigcap \{q | q \in s\} \setminus \bigcup \{q | q \in r^* \setminus s\}$ . Then  $\{q_s | s \in R^*\}$  is a finite cover of  $P$ . Therefore, we can choose a member  $s_0$  of  $R^*$  such that  $x_0 \in cl(P_i(r^*) \cap q_{s_0})$ . For this  $s_0$ , we choose an element  $p^* \in q_{s_0}$ . Then  $\langle x_0, f_{p^*} \rangle \in C$ . Next we shall prove that  $\langle x_0, f_{p^*} \rangle \in cl(O)$ . Let  $U$  be an arbitrary open neighborhood of  $x_0$  in  $X$  and  $V(f_{p^*}; r)$  be an arbitrary member of  $\mathcal{V}(f_{p^*})$ . Then we can choose an open neighborhood  $U'$  of  $x_0$  in  $X$  such that  $U' \cap (\bigcup \{P_i(r^* \cup \{q\}) | q \in r \setminus r^*\}) = \emptyset$ . Then  $U \cap U' \cap P_i(r^*) \cap q_{s_0} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $p \in U \cap U' \cap P_i(r^*) \cap q_{s_0}$ . Then  $r_p \cap (r \setminus r^*) = \emptyset$ . Define  $f : Q \rightarrow 2$  by

$$f(q) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p^* \in q \in r \text{ or } p \in q \in r_p, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $f \in V(f_{p^*}; r) \cap V(f_p; r_p)$ . Therefore  $(U \times V(f_{p^*}; r)) \cap (\{p\} \times V(f_p; r_p)) \neq \emptyset$ .

*Proof of Example 1.* Let  $\sigma = \sigma(2^{\omega_1})$  be the  $\sigma$ -product with the base point  $0^*$ . Then

(i)  $0^*$  is not a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $\sigma_1$ .

*Proof.* Assume that there exist countable open sets  $\{W_n | n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  in  $\sigma_1$  such that  $\{0^*\} = \bigcap_{n < \omega_1} W_n$ . Then there are finite sets  $a_n \subset \omega_1$  and open sets  $U_{n,\alpha}$  in  $X_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in a_n$  such that  $0^* \in U_n \equiv \{x \in \sigma_1 | x_\alpha = 0 \text{ for each } \alpha \in a_n\} \subset W_n$  for each  $n$ . Then  $\{0^*\} = \bigcap_{n < \omega} U_n$ . Since  $\omega_1 \setminus \bigcup_{n < \omega} a_n \neq \emptyset$ , choose an element  $\alpha \in \omega_1 \setminus \bigcup_{n < \omega} a_n$ . Let us define  $x = (x_\beta)_{\beta < \omega_1}$  by  $x_\alpha = 1, x_\beta = 0$  if  $\beta \neq \alpha$ . Then  $x \in \bigcap_{n < \omega} U_n \setminus \{0^*\}$ , which contradicts  $\{0^*\} = \bigcap_{n < \omega} U_n$ .

(ii) Put  $P = \sigma_1 \setminus \{0^*\}$  and let  $F$  be Bing's Example G constructed by  $P$ . Then  $\sigma_1 \times F$  is not normal.

Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{2_\alpha | \alpha < \omega_1\} \cup \{F\}$  where  $2_\alpha = 2$  for each  $\alpha$  and let  $\sigma' = \sigma(\mathcal{S})$  with the base point  $\langle 0^*, f^* \rangle, f^* \in F \setminus F_P$ . Then

(iii)  $\sigma'_2$  is not normal.

Since normality is inherited by closed subspaces, (iii) follows from (ii) and (iv) below.

(iv)  $\sigma_1 \times F$  is a closed subset of  $\sigma'_2$ .

*Proof.* It is obvious that  $\sigma_1 \times F \subset \sigma'_2$ . Let  $y \in \sigma'_2 \setminus \sigma_1 \times F$ . Then we can denote  $y = \langle x, f \rangle, x \in \sigma, f \in F$ . Since  $\langle x, f \rangle \notin \sigma_1 \times F, x \notin \sigma_1$ . Thus  $x \in \sigma_2 \setminus \sigma_1$ . Hence  $f = f^*$ . Since  $(\sigma_2 \setminus \sigma_1) \times \{f^*\}$  is an open set in  $(\sigma_2) \times F, ((\sigma_2 \setminus \sigma_1) \times \{f^*\}) = (\sigma_2 \setminus \sigma_1) \times F \cap \sigma'_2$  and  $(\sigma_2 \setminus \sigma_1) \times \{f^*\}$  is an open neighborhood of  $y$  in  $\sigma'_2$  such that  $((\sigma_2 \setminus \sigma_1) \times \{f^*\}) \cap (\sigma_1 \times F) = \emptyset$ .

## 3. STARCOMPACTNESS

It is well known that every non-trivial  $\sigma$ -product is not countably compact. A space  $X$  is called countably compact if every countable open cover of  $X$  has a finite subcover, or, which is equivalent, every infinite subset has a limit point. A space  $X$  is called strongly starcompact if for every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  there exists a finite set  $B$  of  $X$  such that  $st(B, \mathcal{U}) = X$ . Here  $st(B, \mathcal{U}) = \bigcup\{U \in \mathcal{U} \mid U \cap B \neq \emptyset\}$ . A space  $X$  is called starcompact if for every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  there exists a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{U}'$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $st(\bigcup \mathcal{U}', \mathcal{U}) = X$ .

It is known that countably compact  $\Rightarrow$  strongly star compact  $\Rightarrow$  starcompact, and for  $T_2$ -spaces, the converses hold.

**Theorem 3.** *Every non-trivial  $\sigma$ -product is not strongly starcompact.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{X_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Omega\}$  be a family of spaces such that  $|\Omega| \geq \omega$ . Let  $\sigma = \sigma(2^\omega)$  be the  $\sigma$ -product with the base point  $0^*$  and let  $\sigma' = \sigma(\mathcal{S})$ . Let us choose a point  $a_\alpha \in X_\alpha$  such that  $a_\alpha \neq x_\alpha^*$  for each  $\alpha \in \Omega$ . Let us consider  $\omega \subset \Omega$ . Define  $f: \sigma \rightarrow \sigma'$  as follows: for each  $x = (x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \omega} \in \sigma$ , let  $f(x) = (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Omega}$  be

$$y_\alpha = \begin{cases} a_\alpha & \text{if } \alpha \in \omega \text{ and } x_\alpha = 1, \\ x_\alpha^* & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $f$  is a homeomorphism from  $\sigma$  onto  $f(\sigma)$  such that  $f(0^*) = x^*$  and  $f(\sigma)$  is a closed subset of  $\sigma'$ . To prove that  $f(\sigma)$  is a closed subset of  $\sigma'$ , let  $y \in \sigma' \setminus f(\sigma)$ . Then there exists  $\alpha \in \Omega \setminus \omega$  such that  $y_\alpha \neq x_\alpha^*$  and put  $U = \{z \in \sigma' \mid z_\alpha \neq x_\alpha^*\}$ . Then  $U$  is an open neighborhood of  $y$  in  $\sigma'$  such that  $U \cap f(\sigma) = \emptyset$ .

*Claim.*  $\sigma'$  is not strongly starcompact.

*Proof.* Let  $U_0 = \{x \in \sigma' \mid x_0 \neq a_0\}$  and let  $U_n = \{x \in \sigma' \mid x_0 \neq x_0^*, x_1 \neq x_1^*, \dots, x_{n-1} \neq x_{n-1}^*, x_n \neq a_n\}$  for each  $n \geq 1$ . Put  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_n \mid n \in \omega\} \cup \{\sigma' \setminus f(\sigma)\}$ . Then

- (i)  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open cover of  $\sigma'$ ;
- (ii) there is no finite  $B \subset \sigma'$  such that  $st(B, \mathcal{U}) = \sigma'$ .

Proof of (i) is easy and so we omit it.

*Proof of (ii).* Let  $B$  be a finite set of  $\sigma'$ . Then  $B \subset \sigma'_n$  for some  $n$ . Since  $U_i \cap \sigma'_n = \emptyset$  for each  $i \geq n+1$ ,  $st(B, \mathcal{U}) \subset \bigcup_{i \leq n} U_i \cup (\sigma' \setminus f(\sigma))$ . However  $\bigcup_{i \leq n} U_i \cup (\sigma' \setminus f(\sigma)) \neq \sigma'$ . To show this, let us define  $z = (z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Omega}$  as follows:

$$z_\alpha = \begin{cases} a_\alpha & \text{if } \alpha \in \omega \text{ and } \alpha \leq n, \\ x_\alpha^* & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $z \in \sigma'$  and  $z \notin \bigcup_{i \leq n} U_i \cup (\sigma' \setminus f(\sigma))$ . Therefore  $st(B, \mathcal{U}) \neq \sigma'$ .

**Theorem 4.** *If each  $X_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$  is strongly starcompact (resp. starcompact), then  $\sigma_1$  is strongly starcompact (resp. starcompact).*

Proofs are easy and so we omit them.

Since for  $T_2$ -spaces, starcompactness is equivalent to countable compactness, every non-trivial  $\sigma$ -product of  $T_2$ -spaces is not starcompact. However, for  $T_1$ -spaces, non-trivial  $\sigma$ -product can be starcompact.

We denote  $\sigma(X^\tau)$  with the base point  $x^*$  by  $\sigma(X^\tau; x^*)$ .

**Example 2.** There exists a starcompact space  $X$  such that  $X$  is not a  $T_2$ -space and not countably compact and (1)  $\sigma = \sigma(X^\omega; a^*)$  is starcompact for some  $a \in X$ . (2)  $\sigma' = \sigma(X^\omega; b^*)$  is not starcompact for some  $b \in X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X = \mathbf{R}$  with the topology as follows: let  $\mathcal{U}(0) = \{U | 0 \in U, |X \setminus U| \leq \omega\}$  be the neighborhoods of 0 in  $X$  and for each  $x \neq 0, \mathcal{U}(x) = \{U | U \text{ is a neighborhood of } x \text{ in usual topology of } \mathbf{R}\}$  be the base of  $x$  in  $X$ . Then  $X$  is a  $T_1$ -space and not a  $T_2$ -space and  $X$  is starcompact and not countably compact. By Theorem 5 below, (1)  $\sigma = \sigma(X^\omega; 0^*)$  is starcompact. By Theorem 6, (2)  $\sigma' = \sigma(X^\omega; 1^*)$  is not starcompact.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $X$  satisfy the condition: “there exists  $a \in X$  such that if  $U$  and  $V$  are open sets in  $X$  and  $a \in U$ , then  $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ ”. Let  $\tau$  be an infinite cardinal number, and  $\sigma = \sigma(X^\tau; a^*)$ . Then (i)  $\sigma$  is starcompact, (ii)  $\sigma_n$  is starcompact ( $\forall n$ ), (iii)  $X^\tau$  is starcompact. Moreover let  $\sigma' = \sigma(X^\omega; b^*), b \in X, b \neq a$ . Then (iv)  $\sigma'_n$  is starcompact ( $\forall n$ ).*

*Proof.* *Proof of (i).* Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be an arbitrary open cover of  $\sigma$ . Let us choose  $G_0 \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $a^* \in G_0$ . There are a finite set  $\{\alpha_i | i = 1, 2, \dots, m\} \subset \tau$  and open sets  $U_{\alpha_i}$  in  $X_{\alpha_i}$  such that  $a^* \in W_0 \equiv \{x \in \sigma | x_{\alpha_i} \in U_{\alpha_i} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, m\} \subset G_0$ . For each  $x \in \sigma \setminus W_0$ , let us choose  $G_x \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $x \in G_x$ . Then there are a finite set  $\{\beta_j | j = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$  and open sets  $V_{\alpha_i}$  in  $X_{\alpha_i}$  and  $V_{\beta_j}$  in  $X_{\beta_j}$  such that  $x \in W_x \equiv \{y \in \sigma | y_{\alpha_i} \in V_{\alpha_i} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, m; y_{\beta_j} \in V_{\beta_j} \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, k\} \subset G_x$ . Since  $a \in U_{\alpha_i}, U_{\alpha_i} \cap V_{\alpha_i} \neq \emptyset$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Thus  $W_0 \cap W_x \neq \emptyset$  and so  $G_0 \cap G_x \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore  $st(G_0, \mathcal{G}) = \sigma$ .

Proofs of (ii) and (iii) are similar.

*Proof of (iv).* First we define  $\mathcal{B}, y^s$  as follows: put  $\mathcal{B} = \{W | W \text{ is a basic open set in } \sigma'\}$ . Here  $W \subset \sigma'$  is called a basic open set in  $\sigma'$  if  $W = \{x \in \sigma' | x_i \in U_i \text{ for each } i \leq n\}, n \in \omega, U_i \text{ is an open set in } X_i \text{ for each } i \leq n$ . Define  $l(W) = n$ . For each  $s \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$ , define  $y^s = (y_i^s)_{i \in \omega}$  as follows:

$$y_i^s = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } i \in s, \\ b & \text{if } i \notin s. \end{cases}$$

To prove (iv), let  $\mathcal{G}$  be an arbitrary open cover of  $\sigma'$ . Let us prove that there exists a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{G}_n$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  such that  $st(\bigcup \mathcal{G}_n, \mathcal{G}) \supset \sigma'_n$  for each  $n \in \omega$ .

(I) Let us choose an element  $G_0 \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $b^* \in G_0$ . Then there is a set  $W_0 \in \mathcal{B}$  such that

(0-1)  $b^* \in W_0 \subset G_0$ .

Put  $l(W_0) = k_0$ . Then

(0-2) For  $x \in \sigma'$ , if  $l > k_0$  for each  $l \in Q(x)$ , then  $x \in W_0$ .

(II) For each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , inductively we can choose  $k_n, S_n$  and  $\mathcal{W}_n$  satisfying the conditions:

(1)  $k_n \in \omega, k_n < k_{n+1} (\forall n \geq 1), k_0 = k_1$ .

(2)  $S_n \subset S_{n+1} (\forall n \geq 1)$ .

(3)  $(n-1) S_n = \{s : s \subset \omega, 1 \leq |s| \leq n, l \leq k_n (\forall l \in s)\}$ .

$(n-2) \mathcal{W}_n = \{W_s | s \in S_n\} \cup \{W_0\} \subset \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{W}_n$  is a partial refinement of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

$(n-3) y^s \in W_s (\forall s \in S_n), k_n < l(W_s) \leq k_{n+1} (\forall s \in S_n)$ .

$(n-4) st(\bigcup \mathcal{W}_n, \mathcal{G}) \supset \sigma'_n$ .

Assume that  $k_n, S_n$  and  $\mathcal{W}_n$  have been chosen for each  $n \leq m$ . Define  $k_{m+1} = \max\{l(W_s) | s \in S_m\}$  and  $S_{m+1} = \{s : s \subset \omega, 1 \leq |s| \leq m+1, l \leq k_{m+1} (\forall l \in s)\}$ .

For each  $s \in S_{m+1} \setminus S_m$ , choose  $G_s \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $W_s \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $y^s \in W_s \subset G_s$ . Put  $\mathcal{W}_{m+1} = \{W_s | s \in S_{m+1}\} \cup \{W_0\}$ . Then  $k_{m+1}, S_{m+1}$  and  $\mathcal{W}_{m+1}$  satisfy the conditions. We only prove  $(m+1-4)$  because others are obvious.

*Proof of  $(m+1-4)$ .* Let  $x \in \sigma'_{m+1} \setminus \sigma'_m$ . Put  $s = Q(x)$ . Then  $|s| = m+1$ . Choose  $G_x \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $W_x \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $x \in W_x \subset G_x$ . Put  $s = \{l_i | i = 1, 2, \dots, m+1\}$  such that  $l_i < l_{i+1} (\forall i)$ .

(i) If  $l_{m+1} \leq k_{m+1}$ , then  $s \in S_{m+1}$  and  $W_x \cap W_s \neq \emptyset$ . To show this, let  $W_s = \{y \in \sigma' | y_i \in U_i^s \text{ for } \forall i \leq l(W_s)\}$  and  $W_x = \{y \in \sigma' | y_i \in U_i^x \text{ for } \forall i \leq l(W_x)\}$ . Here we may assume that  $l(W_s), l(W_x) \geq k_{m+1}$ . Since  $a \in U_i^s$  for each  $i \in s$ ,  $U_i^s \cap U_i^x \neq \emptyset$  for each  $i \in s$ . For each  $i \notin s$ ,  $i \leq \min\{l(W_s), l(W_x)\}$ ,  $b \in U_i^s \cap U_i^x$ . Hence  $U_i^s \cap U_i^x \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore  $W_x \cap W_s \neq \emptyset$ . Thus  $x \in st(\bigcup \mathcal{W}_{m+1}, \mathcal{G})$ .

(ii) Case  $l_{m+1} > k_{m+1}$ . If  $l_1 > k_0$ , then  $x \in W_0$  by (0-2).

Suppose  $l_1 \leq k_0$ . Then there is a  $j$  such that  $1 \leq j \leq m+1$ ,  $Q(x) \cap \{l | k_j < l \leq k_{j+1}\} = \emptyset$ . Let  $j$  be the greatest such number. Then there exists  $t \in \{i | i = 1, 2, \dots, m+1\}$  such that  $l_t \leq k_j$  and  $l_{t+1} > k_{j+1}$ . Then  $t \leq j$ . Therefore  $s = \{l_i | i \in t\} \in S_j$ . Since  $l(W_s) \leq k_{j+1}$ , it is easy to see that  $W_x \cap W_s \neq \emptyset$ .

Since  $\mathcal{W}_n$  is a partial refinement of  $\mathcal{G}$ , there exists  $\mathcal{G}_n$  such that  $st(\bigcup \mathcal{G}_n, \mathcal{G}) \supset \sigma'_n$ .

**Theorem 6.** *There are a countable closed subset  $A$  of  $X$  and a pairwise disjoint open family  $\mathcal{U} = \{U(a) | a \in A\}$  such that  $a \in U(a)$  for each  $a \in A$  and  $X \setminus A \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\sigma = \sigma(X^\omega; a^*), a \in A$ . Then  $\sigma$  is not starcompact.*

*Proof.* Put  $A = \{a_n | n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ ,  $U(a_n) = U_n$  for each  $n$  and put  $U_0 = X \setminus A$ . Then  $\bigcup_{n < \omega} U_n = X$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $a = a_1$ . For each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , let  $\Lambda_k \equiv \{(l_0, l_1, \dots, l_{k-1}, 1) \in [\omega]^{k+1} | l_0 \neq 1, l_{k-1} \neq 1\}$  and put  $\Lambda = \bigcup_{1 \leq k} \Lambda_k$ .

Define  $G_1 \equiv \{x \in \sigma | x_0 \in U_1\}$  and  $G_\lambda \equiv \{x \in \sigma | x_i \in U_{l_i} \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1; x_k \in U_1\}$  for each  $\lambda = (l_0, l_1, \dots, l_{k-1}, 1) \in \Lambda$  and put  $\mathcal{G} = \{G_\lambda | \lambda \in \Lambda\} \cup \{G_1\}$ . Then

(1)  $\mathcal{G}$  is an open cover of  $\sigma$ .

(2) For any finite subfamily  $\mathcal{G}'$  of  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $st(\bigcup \mathcal{G}', \mathcal{G}) \neq \sigma$ .

*Proof of (1).* Let  $x \in \sigma$ . If  $x_0 \notin U_1$ , then  $x_0 \in U_i$  for some  $i \neq 1$ . Since  $|Q(x) = \{i | x_i \neq a_1\}| < \omega$ , there is a  $k$  such that  $x_i = a_1$  for each  $i \geq k$  and  $x_{k-1} \neq a_1$ . Then  $x \in G_\lambda$  for some  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

*Proof of (2).* Let  $\mathcal{G}'$  be an arbitrary finite subfamily of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Then there exists  $k > 1$  such that

(2-1)  $G_\lambda \notin \mathcal{G}'$  for each  $\lambda \in \bigcup_{m > k} \Lambda_m$ .

Define  $x = (x_i)_{i \in \omega}$  as follows:

$$x_i = \begin{cases} a_k & \text{if } i \leq k, \\ a_1 & \text{if } i > k. \end{cases}$$

Then

(2-2)  $x \notin st(\bigcup \mathcal{G}', \mathcal{G})$ .

*Proof of (2-2).* Let  $x \in G \in \mathcal{G}$ . Then  $G \neq G_1$ . Therefore  $G = G_\lambda$  with  $\lambda = (l_0, l_1, \dots, l_{m-1}, 1) \in \Lambda_m$ . Then  $m > k$ . To show this, assume that  $m \leq k$ . Then  $x_m = a_k$  by the definition of  $x$ . Since  $a_k \notin U_1$ ,  $x \notin G_\lambda$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore  $m > k$ . It is easy to see that  $l_i = k$  for each  $i \leq k$  and  $l_i = 1$  for each  $i \geq k+1$ . Thus  $l_0 \geq 2$  and so  $U_{l_0} \cap U_1 = \emptyset$ . Hence  $G_\lambda \cap G_1 = \emptyset$ . If  $m \geq k+2$ , then  $l_{m-1} = 1$ . This contradicts the definition of  $\lambda$ . Thus  $m = k+1$ . Let  $G_\mu \in \mathcal{G}'$  with  $\mu = (s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{t-1}, 1) \in \Lambda_t$ . Then  $t \leq k$ . Therefore  $l_t = k$ . Since  $U_k \cap U_1 = \emptyset$ ,  $G_\lambda \cap G_\mu = \emptyset$ .

*Remark.* For  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  in Example 2,  $\sigma_n$  and  $\sigma'_n$  are starcompact for each  $n$  by Theorems 5 and 6.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is grateful to the referee for his helpful comments.

## REFERENCES

1. R. H. Bing, Metrization of topological spaces, *Canad. J. Math.*, 3 (1951), 175 -186. MR **13**:264f
2. K. Chiba, Two remarks on the normality of product spaces, *Reports of Faculty of Science, Shizuoka University*, 11 (1976), 17 - 22. MR **56**:1257
3. K. Chiba, The strong paracompactness of  $\sigma$ -products, *Scientiae Mathematicae*, vol. 2, No. 3 (1999), 285-292. MR **2000g**:54042
4. H. H. Corson, Normality in subsets of product spaces, *Amer. J. Math.*, 81 (1959), 785 - 796. MR **21**:5947
5. R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa (1988).
6. W. M. Fleishman, A new extension of countable compactness, *Fund. Math.*, 67 (1970), 1-9. MR **41**:9200
7. S. Ikenaga, A class which contains Lindelöf spaces, separable spaces and countably compact spaces, *Memories of Numazu College of Techonology* 18 (1983), 105-108.
8. A. P. Kombarov, On a theorem of Corson, *Vestnik Moskov. Univ., Ser. Mat.*, No. 6 (1978), 33-34 (Russian), (Engl. transl.: *Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.*, vol. 33, No. 6 (1978), 28-29. MR **80c**:54016
9. A. P. Kombarov, On expandable discrete collections, *Topology and its Applications*, 69 (1996), 283-292. MR **97d**:54011
10. M. V. Matveev, A survey on star covering properties, *Topology Atlas*, preprint No. 330 (1998).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, SHIZUOKA UNIVERSITY, OHYA,  
SHIZUOKA, 422-8529 JAPAN

*E-mail address*: smktiba@ipc.shizuoka.ac.jp