

## EQUIDISTRIBUTION OF HECKE EIGENFORMS ON THE MODULAR SURFACE

WENZHI LUO

(Communicated by Dennis A. Hejhal)

ABSTRACT. For the orthonormal basis of Hecke eigenforms in  $S_{2k}(\Gamma(1))$ , one can associate with it a probability measure  $d\mu_k$  on the modular surface  $X = \Gamma(1)\backslash\mathbf{H}$ . We establish that this new measure tends weakly to the invariant measure on  $X$  as  $k$  tends to infinity, and obtain a sharp estimate for the rate of convergence.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\{f_{j,k}\}_{1 \leq j \leq J_k}$  be the orthonormal basis of Hecke eigenforms in  $S_{2k}(\Gamma(1))$ , the space of holomorphic cusp forms of weight  $2k$  with respect to the modular group  $\Gamma(1)$ . Thus

$$J_k = \dim_{\mathbf{C}} S_{2k}(\Gamma(1)) = \begin{cases} [k/6] - 1, & \text{if } k \equiv 1 \pmod{6}, \\ [k/6], & \text{if } k \not\equiv 1 \pmod{6}. \end{cases}$$

One expects that the following equidistribution law holds for  $f_{j,k}$  as the weight  $k \rightarrow \infty$ : for any measurable subset  $A$  on the modular surface  $X = \Gamma(1)\backslash\mathbf{H}$ , we have

$$(1) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max_{1 \leq j \leq J_k} \left| \int_A y^{2k} |f_{j,k}(z)|^2 d\mu - \int_A d\mu \right| = 0,$$

where

$$d\mu = \frac{1}{\text{area}(X)} \frac{dx dy}{y^2}$$

is the normalized invariant measure on  $X$ .

The above statement can be viewed as a natural analogue of the unique quantum ergodicity conjecture, as formulated by Rudnick and Sarnak [2], for holomorphic cusp forms. While conjecture (1) seems out of reach at the present, one would like to establish this conjecture on the average. In [1] the variance over short intervals of  $k$  has been studied.

---

Received by the editors August 6, 2001.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11F11, 11F25.

*Key words and phrases*. Hecke eigenform, automorphic kernel.

This research was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-9988503, the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation Research Fellowship and the Seed Grant from the Ohio State University.

For each integer  $k \geq 8$ , which we are assuming throughout the paper, we associate with it a probability measure on  $X$ ,

$$(2) \quad d\mu_k = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{\dim S_{2k}(\Gamma(1))} y^{2k} |f_{j,k}(z)|^2}{\dim S_{2k}(\Gamma(1))} d\mu.$$

The purpose of this simple note is to establish the following.

**Theorem.** *For any measurable subset  $A$  on the modular surface  $X$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have*

$$(3) \quad \int_A d\mu_k = \int_A d\mu + O_\epsilon(k^{-1/2+\epsilon})$$

uniformly for all  $A$  on  $X$ .

*Remark 1.* What seems new here, at least for the *non-compact* modular surface, is the sharp decay rate  $k^{-1/2+\epsilon}$ . The stronger statement that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{J_k} \left| \int_A y^{2k} |f_{j,k}(z)|^2 d\mu - \int_A d\mu \right|^2 \ll k^\epsilon$$

seems out of reach at the present.

*Remark 2.* One notes that  $d\mu_k$  is actually independent of the choice of orthonormal basis.

*Remark 3.* Our proof is direct, without employing the theory of L-functions or imposing any conditions on  $A$  (other than the measurability). The key ingredient is the holomorphic (automorphic) kernel  $h_{k,m}(z, z')$  (see §2) for the Hecke operator  $T_k(m)$ .

## 2. HOLOMORPHIC KERNEL $h_{k,m}(z, z')$ FOR $T_k(m)$

The Hecke operator  $T_k(m)$  ( $m \geq 1$ ) acts on cusp form  $f \in S_{2k}(\Gamma(1))$  by

$$T_k(m)f = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{ad=m} a^{2k} \sum_{0 \leq b < d} f\left(\frac{az+b}{d}\right).$$

It was shown by Zagier (see [3]) that  $T_k(m)$  can be represented by the holomorphic automorphic kernel  $C_k^{-1} m^{2k-1} h_{k,m}(z, z')$  ( $C_k$  defined in (7) below),

$$(4) \quad h_{k,m}(z, z') = \sum_{ad-bc=m} (czz' + dz' + az + b)^{-2k},$$

where the sum is taken over all integer matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  with determinant  $m$ , in the sense that

$$(5) \quad \langle f, C_k^{-1} m^{2k-1} h_{k,m}(\cdot, -\bar{z}') \rangle_k = (T_k(m)f)(z')$$

for any  $f \in S_{2k}(\Gamma(1))$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_k$  is the (normalized) Petersson inner product on  $S_{2k}(\Gamma(1))$ .

The series in (4) is absolutely convergent and  $h_{k,m}(z, z')$  as a function of each variable  $z$  or  $z'$  is a cusp form in  $S_{2k}(\Gamma(1))$ , and we have the identity

$$(6) \quad C_k^{-1} m^{2k-1} h_{k,m}(z, z') = \sum_{j=1}^{J_k} \lambda_{j,k}(m) f_{j,k}(z) f_{j,k}(z'),$$

where  $\lambda_{j,k}(m)$  is the Hecke eigenvalue of  $f_{j,k}$  under  $T_k(m)$  and

$$(7) \quad C_k = \frac{3(-1)^k}{2^{(2k-3)}(2k-1)}.$$

In particular, for  $m = 1$  and  $z' = z$ , we obtain

$$(8) \quad C_k^{-1}h_{k,1}(z, -\bar{z}) = \sum_{j=1}^{J_k} |f_{j,k}(z)|^2.$$

The kernel  $h_{k,m}(z, z')$  was used in [3] to give a new proof of Eichler-Selberg trace formula on  $\Gamma(1)$ . This note is motivated by [3].

### 3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Throughout we denote  $\Gamma = \Gamma(1)$  and let  $\chi_A$  denote the characteristic function of  $A$  on  $X$ . One can extend it (with the same notation) to  $\mathbf{H}$  as a  $\Gamma$ -invariant function. We have

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_A d\mu_k &= \frac{1}{J_k} \int_X \chi_A(z) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{J_k} y^{2k} |f_{j,k}(z)|^2 \right) d\mu \\ &= \frac{1}{J_k C_k} \int_X \chi_A(z) h_{k,1}(z, -\bar{z}) y^{2k} d\mu \\ &= \frac{1}{J_k C_k} \int_X \chi_A(z) \left( \sum_{ad-bc=1} \frac{y^{2k}}{(c|z|^2 + d\bar{z} - az - b)^{2k}} \right) d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Since replacing  $z$  by  $\gamma z$  ( $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ) in each term of the sum in (9) amounts to replacing

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \text{ by } \gamma^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \gamma,$$

we may decompose the sum into  $\Gamma$ -invariant pieces with  $a + d = t$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Thus,

$$(10) \quad \int_A d\mu_k = \sum_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{J_k C_k} \int_X \chi_A(z) \left( \sum_{ad-bc=1, a+d=t} \frac{y^{2k}}{(c|z|^2 + d\bar{z} - az - b)^{2k}} \right) d\mu.$$

There is a bijection between the integral matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  with determinant 1 and trace  $t$ , and the set of integral binary quadratic forms  $g$  with discriminant  $\text{disc}(g) = t^2 - 4$ . The bijection is given by

$$(11) \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto g(u, v) = cu^2 + (d-a)uv - bv^2,$$

$$(12) \quad g(u, v) = \alpha u^2 + \beta uv + \gamma v^2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} (t-\beta)/2 & -\gamma \\ \alpha & (t+\beta)/2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For  $g(u, v) = \alpha u^2 + \beta uv + \gamma v^2$  and  $z = x + iy$ , set

$$(13) \quad R_g(z, t) = \frac{y^{2k}}{(\alpha(x^2 + y^2) + \beta x + \gamma - ity)^{2k}}.$$

Then for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  we have (here we identify the quadratic form  $g$  with the symmetric  $2 \times 2$  matrix representing  $g$ )

$$(14) \quad R_{\gamma^T g \gamma}(z, t) = R_g(\gamma z, t),$$

and (10) can be written as

$$(15) \quad \int_A d\mu_k = \sum_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{J_k C_k} \int_X \chi_A(z) \left( \sum_{\text{disc}(g)=t^2-4} R_g(z, t) \right) d\mu,$$

where the sum is taken over all forms of discriminant  $t^2 - 4$ .

For each discriminant  $D = t^2 - 4$  and a quadratic form  $g$  of discriminant  $D$ , we let  $\Gamma_g$  denote the isotropy group of elements leaving  $g$  fixed, and observe that

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{\text{disc}(g)=D} R_g(z, t) &= \sum_{\text{disc}(g)=D, \text{mod } \Gamma} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_g \backslash \Gamma} R_{\gamma^T g \gamma}(z, t) \\ &= \sum_{\text{disc}(g)=D, \text{mod } \Gamma} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_g \backslash \Gamma} R_g(\gamma z, t), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{mod } \Gamma$  means the sum is taken over a set of representatives for classes of quadratic forms with discriminant  $D$ . For  $D \neq 0$ , recall the class number  $h(D)$  is finite, and thus we obtain

$$(17) \quad \int_X \chi_A(z) \left( \sum_{\text{disc}(g)=D} R_g(z, t) \right) d\mu = \sum_{\text{disc}(g)=D, \text{mod } \Gamma} \int_{X_g} \chi_A(z) R_g(z, t) d\mu,$$

where

$$(18) \quad X_g = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma_g \backslash \Gamma} \gamma X$$

is a fundamental domain for the action of  $\Gamma_g$  on  $\mathbf{H}$  with  $X$  identified with a fundamental domain of  $\Gamma$ .

We distinguish the following three cases:

**Case 1.**  $D = t^2 - 4 < 0$ .

In this case  $|\Gamma_g| = 1, 2, \text{ or } 3$  and  $t = 0, \text{ or } \pm 1$ . For a quadratic form

$$g(u, v) = \alpha u^2 + \beta uv + \gamma v^2$$

with discriminant  $D$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{X_g} \chi_A(z) R_g(z, t) d\mu &= \frac{1}{|\Gamma_g|} \int_{\mathbf{H}} \chi_A(z) R_g(z, t) d\mu \\ &= \frac{1}{|\Gamma_g|} \int_{\mathbf{H}} \chi_A((2z - \beta)/(2|\alpha|)) \frac{y^{2k}}{(|z|^2 \pm ity - D/4)^{2k}} d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step, we made the substitution  $z \mapsto (2z - \beta)/(2|\alpha|)$ , and the sign ‘ $\pm$ ’ is ‘ $-$ ’ or ‘ $+$ ’ according to whether  $\alpha > 0$  or not.

Since

$$\frac{2y}{(|z|^2 - ity - D/4)} \leq 1,$$

we deduce that, with  $D = t^2 - 4$  and  $B_k = \{z = x + iy : |x| \leq k^{-1/2+\epsilon}, 0 \leq y \leq 3\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{D < 0} \sum_{\text{disc}(g)=D, \text{mod } \Gamma} \frac{1}{J_k C_k} \int_{X_g} \chi_A(z) R_g(z, t) d\mu \\ & \ll \int_{\mathbf{H}} \frac{(2y)^{2k}}{(|z|^2 - ity - D/4)^{2k}} d\mu \\ & \ll k^{-1/2+\epsilon} + \sup_{z \notin B_k} \frac{(2y)^{2k-2}}{(|z|^2 - ity - D/4)^{2k-2}} \times \int_{\mathbf{H}} \frac{(2y)^2}{(|z|^2 - ity - D/4)^2} d\mu \\ & \ll k^{-1/2+\epsilon} + (1 - k^{-1+\epsilon})^k \ll k^{-1/2+\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last step above, the double integral converges and we estimate the function

$$\frac{(2y)^2}{(|z|^2 - ity - D/4)^2}$$

in the cases  $\{z = x + iy : y > 3\}$  and  $\{z = x + iy : |x| > k^{-1/2+\epsilon}, 0 \leq y \leq 3\}$  separately.

**Case 2.**  $D = t^2 - 4 = 0$ .

In this case, we can take as a system of representatives the forms  $g_r$  ( $r \in \mathbf{Z}$ ), where  $g_r(u, v) = rv^2$ .  $\Gamma_{g_r}$  is equal to  $\Gamma$  for  $r = 0$ , and is equal to

$$\Gamma_\infty = \left\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

for  $r \neq 0$ . In the last case, in view of (16), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} (19) \quad & \int_X \chi_A(z) \left( \sum_{\text{disc}(g)=0} R_g(z, t) \right) d\mu = \int_X \chi_A(z) R_{g_0}(z, t) d\mu \\ & + \int_{X_\infty} \chi_A(z) \left( \sum_{r \neq 0} R_{g_r}(z, t) \right) d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

where  $X_\infty$  is a fundamental domain for  $\Gamma_\infty$ , say the strip between 0 and 1.

First we have (recall  $t = \pm 2$ )

$$(20) \quad \frac{2}{J_k C_k} \int_X \chi_A(z) R_{g_0}(z, \pm 2) d\mu = \frac{2}{J_k C_k} \int_A \left( \frac{i}{2} \right)^{2k} d\mu = \mu(A) + O(k^{-1}).$$

Next we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{J_k C_k} \int_{X_\infty} \chi_A(z) \left( \sum_{r \neq 0} R_{g_r}(z, t) \right) d\mu \\ & = \frac{1}{J_k C_k} \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 \chi_A(z) y^{2k-2} \sum_{r \neq 0} (r - ity)^{-2k} dx dy \\ & = \frac{1}{J_k C_k} \int_0^{k^{1/2-\epsilon}} \int_0^1 \chi_A(z) y^{2k-2} \sum_{r \neq 0} (r - ity)^{-2k} dx dy \\ & + \frac{1}{J_k C_k} \int_{k^{1/2-\epsilon}}^\infty \int_0^1 \chi_A(z) y^{2k-2} \sum_{r \neq 0} (r - ity)^{-2k} dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

We infer that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{J_k C_k} \int_0^{k^{1/2-\epsilon}} \int_0^1 \chi_A(z) y^{2k-2} \sum_{r \neq 0} (r - ity)^{-2k} dx dy \\ & \ll \max_{0 \leq y \leq k^{1/2-\epsilon}} \left( \frac{(2y)^2}{1 + (2y)^2} \right)^{k-1} \int_0^{k^{1/2-\epsilon}} \left( \sum_{r \neq 0} (r^2 + (2y)^2)^{-1} \right) dy \ll k^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since

$$\frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2(\pi z)} = \sum_{r \in \mathbf{Z}} (r - z)^{-2},$$

and for  $s \neq 0$ ,

$$\frac{d^{2k-2}}{ds^{2k-2}} \sinh^{-2}(\pi sy) = 4 \sum_{n \geq 1} n (2\pi ny)^{2k-2} \exp(-2\pi n|s|y) \geq 0,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{J_k C_k} \int_{k^{1/2-\epsilon}}^{\infty} \int_0^1 \chi_A(z) y^{2k-2} \left( \sum_{r \neq 0} (r - ity)^{-2k} \right) dx dy \\ & = \frac{1}{J_k C_k} \frac{i^{2k-2}}{(2k-1)!} \int_{k^{1/2-\epsilon}}^{\infty} \int_0^1 \chi_A(z) \frac{d^{2k-2}}{ds^{2k-2}} \Big|_{s=t} \left( \sum_{r \neq 0} (r - isy)^{-2} \right) dx dy \\ & = \frac{1}{J_k C_k} \frac{i^{2k-2}}{(2k-1)!} \int_{k^{1/2-\epsilon}}^{\infty} \int_0^1 \chi_A(z) \frac{d^{2k-2}}{ds^{2k-2}} \Big|_{s=t} \left( \frac{1}{s^2 y^2} - \frac{\pi^2}{\sinh^2(\pi sy)} \right) dx dy \\ & \ll k^{-1/2+\epsilon} + \frac{1}{(2k-1)!} \int_{k^{1/2-\epsilon}}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} n (4\pi ny)^{2k-2} \exp(-4\pi ny) \right) dy \\ & \ll k^{-1/2+\epsilon} + k^{-1} \sum_{n \geq 1} \Gamma(2k-1, 4\pi n k^{1/2-\epsilon}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Gamma(m, x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m)} \int_x^{\infty} e^{-\xi} \xi^{m-1} d\xi = e^{-x} \left( \frac{x^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} + \frac{x^{m-2}}{(m-2)!} + \cdots + x + 1 \right)$$

is the incomplete  $\Gamma$ -function.

We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1} \Gamma(2k-1, 4\pi n k^{1/2-\epsilon}) & = \sum_{n \leq k^{1/2+2\epsilon}} \Gamma(2k-1, 4\pi n k^{1/2-\epsilon}) \\ & \quad + \sum_{n \geq k^{1/2+2\epsilon}} \Gamma(2k-1, 4\pi n k^{1/2-\epsilon}) \\ & \ll k^{1/2+2\epsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

in view of the estimates  $0 < \Gamma(m, x) \leq 1$  for  $x \geq 0$ , and

$$\Gamma(m, x) \leq e^{-x} \frac{x^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \left( 1 - \frac{m-1}{x} \right)^{-1}$$

provided  $x > m - 1$ .

Thus

$$\sum_{D=0} \frac{1}{J_k C_k} \int_X \chi_A(z) \left( \sum_{\text{disc}(g)=0} R_g(z, t) \right) d\mu = \mu(A) + k^{-1/2+2\epsilon}.$$

**Case 3.**  $D = t^2 - 4 > 0$ .

Here again the class number is finite as in the case  $D < 0$ , but the isotropy groups are infinite cyclic. Let  $g(u, v) = \alpha u^2 + \beta uv + \gamma v^2$  be a quadratic form with the discriminant  $D$ . The conjugate of  $\Gamma_g$  by some  $\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbf{R})$  acts on  $\mathbf{H}$  as the infinite cyclic group generated by  $z \mapsto \epsilon^2 z$ , where  $\epsilon > 1$  is the fundamental unit of the order in  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{D})$  associated with  $g$ . We have

$$(\gamma^T g \gamma)(u, v) = \sqrt{D} uv,$$

and we can choose the fundamental domain  $X_g$  such that  $\gamma^{-1} X_g$  is an annulus defined by

$$\Delta : y > 0; \quad 0 < r_0 \leq |z| \leq \epsilon^2 r_0.$$

We infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{J_k C_k} \int_{X_g} \chi_A(z) R_g(z, t) d\mu &= \frac{1}{J_k C_k} \int_{X_g} \chi_A(z) R_{\gamma^T g \gamma}(\gamma^{-1} z, t) d\mu \\ &= \frac{1}{J_k C_k} \int \int_{\Delta} \chi_A(\gamma z) (\sqrt{D} x - ity)^{-2k} y^{2k-2} dx dy \\ &\ll \int_0^\pi \int_{r_0}^{\epsilon^2 r_0} \left( \frac{4}{t^2 - 4 \cos^2 \theta} \right)^k \frac{dr}{r} d\theta \\ &\ll (\log |t|) (4/5)^k \frac{1}{(t^2 - 4)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $h(D) = h(t^2 - 4) \ll |t|^{1+\epsilon}$ , we conclude that

$$\sum_{D>0} \sum_{\text{disc}(g)=D, \text{mod } \Gamma} \frac{1}{J_k C_k} \int_{X_g} \chi_A(z) R_g(z, t) d\mu \ll (4/5)^k.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank Peter Sarnak and Zeév Rudnick for some helpful comments.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] W.Luo and P.Sarnak, Mass equidistribution for Hecke eigenforms, preprint, 2001.
- [2] Z.Rudnick and P.Sarnak, The behaviour of eigenstates of arithmetic hyperbolic manifolds, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 161, 1994, 195-213. MR **95m**:11052
- [3] D.Zagier, The Eichler-Selberg trace formula on  $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ , Appendix to *Introduction to Modular Forms* by S.Lang, Springer, Berlin, 1976, 44-54; errata in *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. 627, Springer-Verlag, 171-173, 1977. MR **58**:522

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, 231 WEST 18TH AVENUE, COLUMBUS, OHIO 43210

*E-mail address*: wluo@math.ohio-state.edu