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Abstract. We give a characterization of the invertible bilateral weighted
shifts that are hypercyclic or supercyclic. Although there is a general charac-
terization due to H. Salas, in the invertible case the conditions simplify greatly.

1. Introduction

A linear operator \(T\) on a Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H}\) is hypercyclic if there is a vector with
dense orbit; that is, if there exists an \(x \in \mathcal{H}\) such that \(\{x, Tx, T^2x, \ldots\}\) is dense in
\(\mathcal{H}\). An operator \(T\) is supercyclic if there exists a vector whose scaled orbit is dense;
that is, if there exists an \(x \in \mathcal{H}\) such that \(\{\lambda T^n x : n \geq 0, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}\) is dense in \(\mathcal{H}\).
The first example of a hypercyclic operator on a Banach space was a multiple of
the backward shift on \(\ell^2(\mathbb{N})\); it was shown in 1969 by Rolewicz [6] that if \(B\) is the
backward shift, then \(\lambda B\) is hypercyclic if and only if \(|\lambda| > 1\). It then follows easily
that \(B\) itself is supercyclic. It was shown later in 1974 by Hilden and Wallen [4]
that any (unilateral) backward weighted shift is supercyclic. These results were
fairly constructive and particular to weighted shifts.

a criterion that implies hypercyclicity for more general operators; it has become
known as the “Hypercyclicity Criterion”. The Hypercyclicity Criterion has been
widely used to show that many different types of operators are hypercyclic. For
instance hypercyclic operators arise in the classes of composition operators [1],
adjoints of multiplication operators [3], cohyponormal operators [2], and weighted
shifts [7].

In [7] and [8], Salas characterized the bilateral weighted shifts that are hyper-
cyclic and those that are supercyclic in terms of their weight sequence. However the
characterization is (necessarily) rather complicated, involving several quantifiers.

In this paper the author, in an attempt to verify Salas’ characterization for a
particular example, found that a much simpler condition characterizes the invertible
bilateral weighted shifts that are hypercyclic or supercyclic. However, we give an
example showing that this simpler condition does not give a characterization in
general.

We first give our characterization in the invertible case and then present an
example showing that the simpler condition is not always sufficient. Finally we
show that one may relax the invertibility hypothesis somewhat and only assume that the negative (or positive) weights are bounded below.

2. Preliminaries

The principal tools used to show that operators are hypercyclic or supercyclic are the following criteria. Below is a variation of the hypercyclicity criterion due independently to Kitai [5] and Gethner and Shapiro [3].

**Theorem 2.1** (Hypercyclicity Criterion). Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. If there exist two dense sets $Y$ and $Z$ in $X$ and a sequence $n_k \to \infty$ such that:

1. $T^{n_k}x \to 0$ for every $x \in Y$, and
2. there exists a function $B : Z \to Z$ such that $TBx = x$ for all $x \in Z$ and $B^{n_k}x \to 0$ for every $x \in Z$,

then $T$ is hypercyclic.

Salas [8] developed a criterion for proving that certain operators are supercyclic. A more general supercyclicity criteria was given in Feldman, Miller and Miller [2].

**Theorem 2.2** (A Supercyclicity Criterion). Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. If there exist a sequence $n_k \to \infty$ and two dense sets $Y$ and $Z$ such that:

1. there exists a function $B : Z \to Z$ such that $TBx = x$ for all $x \in Z$,
2. if $y \in Y$ and $x \in Z$, then $\|T^{n_k}x\| B^{n_k}y \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$,

then $T$ is supercyclic.

Note that the functions $B$, which are right inverses of $T$, need only be well defined maps; they may be, and usually are, discontinuous.

Next we give the characterizations of hypercyclicity and supercyclicity for weighted shifts as given by Salas [7, 8].

**Theorem 2.3** (Hector Salas, 1995). Let $T$ be a bilateral weighted shift with positive weight sequence $\{w_n\}$. Then $T$ is hypercyclic if and only if for any $\epsilon > 0$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an arbitrarily large $n$, such that for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $|j| \leq q$, we have

$$\prod_{s=0}^{n-1} w_{s+j} < \epsilon$$

and

$$\prod_{s=1}^{n} \frac{1}{w_{j-s}} < \epsilon.$$

**Theorem 2.4** (Hector Salas, 1999). Let $T$ be a bilateral weighted shift with positive weight sequence $\{w_n\}$. Then $T$ is supercyclic if and only if for every $q \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\lim \inf_{n \to \infty} \max \left\{ \frac{\prod_{k=j}^{j+n-1} w_k}{\prod_{k=h}^{h+n-1} w_k} : |j|, |h| \leq q \right\} = 0.$$
Notice that $TBe_n = e_n$ for all $n$. If $T$ is invertible, then $B = T^{-1}$. Also observe that for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \geq 0$, we have

$$T^k(e_n) = \left( \prod_{j=n}^{n+k-1} w_j \right) e_{n+k}$$

and

$$B^k(e_n) = \left( \prod_{j=n+1}^{n+k} \frac{1}{w_j} \right) e_{n-k}.$$ 

Keeping the above notation, we now prove our characterizations. It follows easily from Salas’ work that our conditions are necessary. We will prove that they are sufficient. The following lemma is the key point where invertibility is used.

**Lemma 3.1.** Suppose that $T$ is an invertible bilateral weighted shift and $\{n_k\}$ is a sequence of positive integers such that $n_k \to \infty$. If there exists an $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $T^{n_k} e_n \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$, then $T^{n_k} e_j \to 0$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

**Proof.** If $T^{n_k} e_n \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, then there exist a $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a > 0$ such that $aT^p(e_n) = e_j$. Since $T^p$ is continuous and $T^{n_k} e_n \to 0$ we get $T^{n_k}(e_j) = T^{n_k}(aT^p(e_n)) = aT^p(T^{n_k}(e_n)) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. □

The invertibility is needed above because if $j < n$, then $p < 0$, in which case we are using the existence and continuity of $T^{-1}$.

Since $T$ is invertible in the following arguments, $B = T^{-1}$ so that $B$ is also a bilateral weighted shift and as such Lemma 3.1 applies to it as well. We will use the notation $B$ for $T^{-1}$ simply to make an easy connection with the hypercyclicity criterion; also $B$ is of interest for non-invertible weighted shifts where $B$ is still well defined on vectors with finite support.

**Theorem 3.2.** If $T : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \to \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ is an invertible bilateral weighted shift with weight sequence $(w_n)_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$, then $T$ is hypercyclic if and only if there exists a sequence of integers $n_k \to \infty$ such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} w_j = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k \to \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} \frac{1}{w_j} = 0.$$ 

**Proof.** Suppose the condition on the weights is satisfied. We will verify the Hypercyclicity Criterion with $Y = \mathbb{Z} = \{x \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) : x \text{ has only finitely many non-zero coordinates} \}$. Thus we need to show that if $x$ is any vector with finite support, then $T^{n_k} x \to 0$ and $B^{n_k} x \to 0$. It suffices to assume that $x = e_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Furthermore, by Lemma 3.1 it suffices to show that $T^{n_k} e_1 \to 0$ and $B^{n_k} e_0 \to 0$. But that is easy because $\|T^{n_k}(e_1)\| = \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} w_j \to 0$ and $\|B^{n_k}(e_0)\| = \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} \frac{1}{w_j} \to 0$. □

**Lemma 3.3.** Suppose that $T$ is an invertible bilateral weighted shift and $\{n_k\}$ is a sequence of positive integers such that $n_k \to \infty$. If there exists $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\|T^{n_k} e_n\| B^{n_k} e_m \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$, then $\|T^{n_k} e_i\| \|B^{n_k} e_j\| \to 0$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

**Proof.** If $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, then there exist $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a, b > 0$ such that $e_i = aT^p(e_n)$ and $e_j = bT^q(e_q)$. Thus

$$\|T^{n_k}(e_i)\| \|B^{n_k}(e_j)\| = \|T^{n_k}(aT^p(e_n))\| \|B^{n_k}(bT^q(e_m))\|$$

$$\leq ab \|T^p\| \|T^q\| \|T^{n_k}(e_n)\| \|B^{n_k}(e_m)\| \to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$ □
Theorem 3.4. If \( T: \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \to \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \) is an invertible bilateral weighted shift with weight sequence \( (w_n)_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \), then \( T \) is supercyclic if and only if there exists a sequence of integers \( n_k \to \infty \) such that
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} w_j \right) \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} \frac{1}{w_{-j}} \right) = 0.
\]

Proof. We will verify that \( T \) satisfies the supercyclicity criteria. Let \( Y = Z = \{ x \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) : x \) has only finitely many non-zero coordinates\}. We need to show that \( ||T^{nk}x|| ||B^{nk}y|| \to 0 \) as \( k \to \infty \) for any \( x, y \in Y \). It suffices, by linearity and the triangle inequality, to suppose that \( x = e_n \) and \( y = e_m \) for \( n, m \in \mathbb{Z} \). However, by Lemma 3.3 it suffices to suppose that \( x = e_1 \) and \( y = e_0 \). But notice that \( ||T^{nk}(e_1)|| ||B^{nk}(e_0)|| = \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} w_j \right) \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} \frac{1}{w_{-j}} \right) \to 0 \) as \( k \to \infty \). The necessity of this condition follows easily from Salas’ characterization, Theorem 2.4.

We now give an example of a non-invertible bilateral weighted shift that is not hypercyclic yet satisfies the condition in Theorem 3.2. A similar example can be given for the condition in Theorem 3.4.

Example 3.5. If \( T \) is the bilateral weighted shift with the weight sequence given below, then \( T \) is not hypercyclic, but \( T \) satisfies the following condition: There exists a sequence \( \{n_k\} \) such that \( \lim_{k \to \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} w_j = 0 \) and \( \lim_{k \to \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} \frac{1}{w_{-j}} = 0 \). Thus for non-invertible operators Theorem 3.2 is not sufficient—it is only necessary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>-10</th>
<th>-15</th>
<th>-14</th>
<th>-13</th>
<th>-12</th>
<th>-11</th>
<th>-10 *</th>
<th>-9</th>
<th>-8</th>
<th>-7</th>
<th>-6</th>
<th>-5 *</th>
<th>-4</th>
<th>-3</th>
<th>-2 *</th>
<th>-1</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( w_n )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{2} )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{2} )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{2} )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| \( n \) | 1 | 2 \* | 3 | 4 | 5 \* | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 \* | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 \* | 18 | 19 |
| \( w_n \) | 1 | \( \frac{1}{2} \) | 2 | 1 | \( \frac{1}{2} \) | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | \( \frac{1}{2} \) | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | \( \frac{1}{2} \) | 2 | 2 |

| \( n \) | 1 | 2 \* | 3 | 4 | 5 \* | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 \* | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 \* | 18 | 19 |
| \( p_n \) | 1 | \( \frac{1}{2} \) | 1 | 1 | \( \frac{1}{2} \) | \( \frac{1}{2} \) | 1 | 1 | 1 | \( \frac{1}{2} \) | \( \frac{1}{2} \) | 1 | 1 | 1 | \( \frac{1}{2} \) | \( \frac{1}{2} \) | \( \frac{1}{2} \) |
| \( q_n \) | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | \( 2^2 \) | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | \( 2^3 \) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | \( 2^4 \) | 1 | 1 |

In the table above, \( p_n = \prod_{j=1}^{n} w_j \) and \( q_n = \prod_{j=1}^{n} w_{-j} \).

We claim that there is a weight sequence \( \{w_n\} \) (as shown above) such that the following conditions hold:
1. There exists a sequence \( n_k \to \infty \) such that \( p_{n_k} \to 0 \) and \( q_{n_k} \to \infty \), and
2. for \( n \geq 1 \), we have \( p_n < 1 \) and \( q_n > 1 \) if and only if \( n = n_k \) for some \( k \).

In the example above the “starred” terms in the chart correspond to the sequence \( \{n_k\} \) and we have that \( p_{n_k} = \frac{1}{2^n} \) and \( q_{n_k} = 2^k \) and for any \( n \notin \{n_k\} \), we have either \( p_n = 1 \) or \( q_n = 1 \).

4. Relaxing invertibility

In this section we show that the assumption of invertibility can be relaxed in Theorems 3.2 and 3.3. Keeping the notation from the previous section, one easily sees that \( T \) is invertible if and only if there exists \( m > 0 \) such that \( ||w_n|| \geq m \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \). In this section we will show that Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 still hold with only
The assumption that there exists an \( m > 0 \) such that \( w_n \geq m \) for all \( n < 0 \) (or for all \( n > 0 \)).

**Theorem 4.1.** Suppose that \( T : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \to \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \) is a bilateral weighted shift with weight sequence \((w_n)_{n=\infty}^{\infty}\) and \( w_n \geq m > 0 \) for all \( n < 0 \). Then:

1. \( T \) is hypercyclic if and only if there exists a sequence of integers \( n_k \to \infty \) such that \( \lim_{k \to \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} w_j = 0 \) and \( \lim_{k \to \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} \frac{1}{w_j} = 0 \).

2. \( T \) is supercyclic if and only if there exists a sequence of integers \( n_k \to \infty \) such that \( \lim_{k \to \infty} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} w_j \right) \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} \frac{1}{w_j} \right) = 0 \).

**Proof.** (1) Assume that there exists a sequence of integers \( n_k \to \infty \) such that \( \lim_{k \to \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} w_j = 0 \) and \( \lim_{k \to \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} \frac{1}{w_j} = 0 \). We will verify the conditions in Salas’ characterization, Theorem 2.3. So, let \( \epsilon > 0 \) and \( q \in \mathbb{N} \). Suppose \( \delta > 0 \) (we will prescribe \( \delta \) later); then there exists an (arbitrarily large) \( n_k \) such that \( \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} w_j < \delta \) and \( \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} \frac{1}{w_j} < \delta \). Now let \( n = n_k + q + 1 \) (this choice of \( n \) guarantees that \( n + j - 1 \geq n_k \) for all \( j \) with \( |j| \leq q \)). Then for \( j \in \mathbb{Z} \) with \( |j| \leq q \) we have

\[
\prod_{s=0}^{n-1} w_{s+j} = \prod_{s=j}^{n+j-1} w_s = C_j \left( \prod_{s=1}^{n_k} w_s \right) \left( \prod_{s=n_k+1}^{n+j-1} w_s \right) \leq C_j \|T^{2q}\| \left( \prod_{s=1}^{n_k} w_s \right) < C_j \|T^{2q}\| \delta,
\]

where \( C_j = \left( \prod_{s=1}^{j} \frac{1}{w_s} \right) \) if \( 1 < j \leq q \), \( C_j = 1 \) if \( j = 1 \), and \( C_j = \left( \prod_{s=j}^{q} w_s \right) \) if \(-q \leq j < 1\). In particular, if \( C := \max\{C_j : |j| \leq q\} \) and \( \delta < \frac{\epsilon}{C \|T^{2q}\|} \), then we have

\[
\prod_{s=0}^{n-1} w_{s+j} < \epsilon \quad \text{for all} \quad j \quad \text{with} \quad |j| \leq q.
\]

Also, with the same choice of \( n \) (which guarantees that \( n - j \geq n_k + 1 \)) and \( |j| \leq q \) we have

\[
\prod_{s=1}^{n} \frac{1}{w_{j-s}} = \prod_{s=1-j}^{n-j} \frac{1}{w_{-s}} = C'_j \left( \prod_{s=1}^{n_k} \frac{1}{w_{-s}} \right) \prod_{s=n_k+1}^{n-j} \frac{1}{w_{-s}} \leq C'_j \left( \frac{1}{m} \right)^{2q} \left( \prod_{s=1}^{n_k} \frac{1}{w_{-s}} \right) \leq C'_j \left( \frac{1}{m} \right)^{2q} \delta,
\]

where \( m \) is a lower bound for the negative weights and \( \{C'_j\} \) is a finite collection of positive constants, depending only on \( q \). Thus if we let \( C' = \max\{C'_j\} \) and also require that \( \delta < \frac{m^{2q}}{C'} \), then we will have \( \prod_{s=1}^{n} \frac{1}{w_{j-s}} < \epsilon \) as required.

Thus \( T \) is hypercyclic by Theorem 2.3.

(2) Again we will verify Salas’ condition in Theorem 2.4. So, let \( \epsilon > 0 \) and \( q \in \mathbb{N} \). Suppose \( \delta > 0 \) (we will prescribe \( \delta \) later); then there exists an (arbitrarily large) \( n_k \) such that \( \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} w_j \right) \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} \frac{1}{w_j} \right) < \delta \). Now let \( n = n_k + q + 1 \) and suppose that \( j, h \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( |j| \leq q \) and \( |h| \leq q \). Then using the estimates from part (1) above we
have:
\[
\left( \prod_{k=j}^{j+n-1} w_k \right) \left( \prod_{k=h-1}^{h-n} \frac{1}{w_k} \right) = \left( \prod_{k=j}^{j+n-1} w_k \right) \left( \prod_{k=h-1}^{h-n} \frac{1}{w_k} \right) \leq C_j \| T^{2q} \| \delta \left( C'_h \left( \frac{1}{m} \right)^{2q} \right).
\]

Thus we have that
\[
\left( \prod_{k=j}^{j+n-1} w_k \right) \left( \prod_{k=h-1}^{h-n} \frac{1}{w_k} \right) \leq K \delta^2
\]
where
\[
K = C_j C'_h \| T^2 \| m^{-2q}
\]
is a constant depending only on \( q \). Thus, if \( \delta \) is small enough, then we will have \( K \delta^2 < \epsilon \), hence Salas’ condition is satisfied. Thus \( T \) is supercyclic.

The proof is similar when the positive weights are bounded below and is left to the reader (in this case choose \( n = n_k - q \)).

We now apply our criteria to obtain a condition for the direct sum of two invertible bilateral weighted shifts to be hypercyclic or supercyclic. One should observe that the criterion given by Salas [8] for direct sums to be supercyclic is stated incorrectly.

**Theorem 4.2.** Suppose that \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) are invertible bilateral weighted shifts with weight sequences \((a_n)_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\) and \((b_n)_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\). Then:

1. \( T_1 \oplus T_2 \) is hypercyclic if and only if there exists a sequence of integers \( n_k \to \infty \) such that
   \[
   \lim_{k \to \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} a_j = \lim_{k \to \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} \frac{1}{a_{-j}} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} b_j = \lim_{k \to \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} \frac{1}{b_{-j}} = 0.
   \]
2. \( T \) is supercyclic if and only if there exists a sequence of integers \( n_k \to \infty \) such that
   \[
   \lim_{k \to \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} \frac{a_j}{a_{-j}} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} \frac{b_j}{b_{-j}} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} \frac{a_{-j}}{a_j} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} \frac{b_{-j}}{b_j} = 0.
   \]

The proof of the above result is similar to that of Theorems 3.2 and 3.4 and the details will be left to the reader.
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